#### THE AMERICAN MINERALOGIST, VOL. 49, JANUARY-FEBRUARY, 1964

# DETERMINATION OF THE HEAVY ATOM CONTENT IN CHLORITE BY MEANS OF THE X-RAY DIFFRACTOMETER<sup>1</sup>

WILLIAM PETRUK, Mineral Sciences Division, Mines Branch, Department of Mines and Technical Surveys, Ottawa, Canada.

### Abstract

A relationship between the approximate iron content in chlorite and intensity data obtained by means of the x-ray diffractometer has been established. Twenty-nine chemically analysed chlorites whose compositions range from those of the iron-rich varieties to those of the magnesium-rich varieties were studied. The results show that the intensities of x-rays diffracted from even-ordered basal planes of chlorite are proportional to the number of heavy atoms (Fe+Mn+Cr) in the octahedral layers of the mineral. A ratio of these intensities, expressed by the term (I<sub>002</sub>+I<sub>004</sub>)/I<sub>003</sub>, was selected as a variable factor representing the intensity, and its relationship to the number of atoms in the octahedral layers of chlorite was derived.

### INTRODUCTION

Chlorites are a group of hydrous silicate minerals that have exceedingly varied chemical compositions. A number of schemes for subdividing them into species have been suggested (Winchell, 1936; Hey, 1954; Foster, 1962), and some relationships between their optical properties and chemical compositions have been established. Recent work has shown that it is possible to relate the approximate composition of chlorites to certain x-ray diffraction data (Brown, 1955; Brindley and Gillery, 1956; Shirozu, 1958; Eckstrand, 1962; Schoen, 1962). The approximate Si content can be determined by measuring the cell dimension c. The approximate Fe+Mn content can be determined either by measuring the cell dimension b from the 060 reflection or by comparing intensities of x-rays diffracted from basal planes. The former has been investigated by Shirozu (1958) and Eckstrand (1962) who showed that it can be used satisfactorily if there is no interference of the 060 reflection. The method based on intensity measurements proposed by Brindley and Gillery (1956), and tested to a limited extent by Schoen (1962), involves converting intensities to structure factors. The present investigation was undertaken to simplify the procedure and to provide an empirical relationship between intensity data and the Fe+Mn content in chlorite.

## STRUCTURAL CHEMISTRY OF CHLORITES

Identification of a chlorite species by x-ray methods involves a consideration of the finer details of x-ray diffractometer data that are dependent on both the chlorite structure and the isomorphous substitution of ions.

<sup>1</sup> Crown Copyright Reserved.

#### WILLIAM PETRUK

The chlorite structure consists of alternating layers of Si-O tetrahedra and layers composed of a variety of ions in octahedral co-ordination (Fig. 1). One octahedral layer is bound between two tetrahedral layers that, together, form a mica-like unit. Another lies between two mica-like units and forms a brucite-like layer. The chlorite structure is, therefore, inter-



FIG. 1. Unit cell of chlorite 010 plane.

preted as alternating mica-like units and brucite-like layers (Pauling, 1930; McMurchy, 1934; Brindley, 1951; Steinfink, 1958).

Al<sup>3+</sup>, and probably minor amounts of Ti<sup>4+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup> and Cr<sup>3+</sup> replace silicon in the tetrahedral layers. Divalent and trivalent ions such as  $Mg^{2+}$ , Fe<sup>2+</sup>,  $Mn^{2+}$ , Fe<sup>3+</sup>, Cr<sup>3+</sup>, and Al<sup>3+</sup> replace one another in the octahedral layers.

## HEAVY ATOM CONTENT IN CHLORITE

## X-ray Analysis of Chlorites by Means of Intensity Measurements

Theoretical considerations The intensity of an x-ray beam diffracted from a particular plane in a mineral depends largely upon the diffracting powers of atoms in the mineral. Whenever isomorphous substitution occurs the diffracting powers of the new atoms may differ from those of the replaced atoms and the intensity of the diffracted x-ray beam is changed proportionately. The diffracting powers of heavy atoms that may be present in silicate minerals (e.g., Fe, Mn, Cr) are about twice as large as the diffracting powers of the light atoms (e.g., Mg, Si, Al). Isomorphous substitutions of light atoms by heavy atoms would, therefore, cause the intensity of particular reflections to increase.

The reflections that would be affected by such substitutions are determined by the sites at which replacement occurs. In chlorites the main replacement of light atoms by heavy atoms occurs in the octahedral layers and causes reflections from these layers to increase. Similar replacements in the tetrahedral layers are negligible.

X-rays diffracted from the basal planes of chlorite represent reflections from the octahedral and tetrahedral layers, and the intensity of a diffracted x-ray beam is a measure of the diffracting powers of atoms in these layers.

Intensities of x-rays diffracted from even-ordered basal planes When x-rays are diffracted from even-ordered basal planes of chlorite (002, 004, etc), reflections from the octahedral layers are in phase and reinforce each other. Thus, if the heavy atom content in the octahedral layers is increased, the intensity of an x-ray beam diffracted from an even-ordered basal plane will increase proportionately.

Intensities of x-rays diffracted from odd-ordered basal planes When x-rays are diffracted from odd-ordered basal planes of chlorite (001, 003, etc.), reflections from the octahedral layers are out of phase and cancel each other. If the two types of octahedral layers contain the same number of light and heavy atoms, reflections from the octahedral layers will be cancelled completely. Under these conditions the intensity of an x-ray beam diffracted from an odd-ordered basal plane represents only contributions from the tetrahedral layers.

If the two types of octahedral layers contain different numbers of light and heavy atoms, x-ray reflections from the octahedral layers will not cancel each other completely. Under these conditions a diffracted x-ray beam will represent contributions from the tetrahedral layers plus uncancelled contributions from the octahedral layers.

It is possible to determine whether the different octahedral layers con-

#### WILLIAM PETRUK

tain unequal amounts of light and heavy atoms from the ratios of intensities of x-rays diffracted from the odd-ordered basal planes. The intensity of an x-ray beam diffracted from the 001 plane and the 005 plane increases, and that diffracted from the 003 plane decreases, when the heavy atom content in the octahedral layer of the mica-like unit increases over that in the brucite-like layer. The intensity of an x-ray beam diffracted by the 001 plane, however, is unreliable due to the low angle of diffraction



FIG. 2. Relationship between "degree of asymmetry" (number of heavy atoms in mica-like octahedral layer minus number of heavy atoms in brucite-like layer) and the ratio  $I_{003}/I_{005}$ .

and, therefore, only  $I_{003}$  and  $I_{005}$  can be used with confidence. The theoretical relationship between the ratio  $I_{003}/I_{005}$  and the number of heavy atoms in the octahedral layer of the mica-like unit minus the number of heavy atoms in the brucite-like layer was calculated and the results plotted in Fig. 2.

The numerical expression for the number of heavy atoms in the octahedral layer of the mica-like unit minus the number of heavy atoms in the brucite-like layer is defined by the writer as the "degree of asymmetry" and will be used in this manner throughout the remainder of the paper.

## Relationship between intensities and heavy atom content in chlorite (1) Symmetrical distribution

The preceding sections show that if the two types of octahedral layers contain an equal number of heavy atoms (symmetrical distribution) the following relationships are valid: (a) the intensity of an x-ray beam diffracted from an even-ordered basal plane increases in proportion to the number of heavy atoms in the octahedral layers; (b) the intensity of an x-ray beam diffracted from an odd-ordered basal plane is independent of the heavy atom content in the two types of octahedral layers and is relatively constant. Under these conditions a ratio of the above intensities would be a measure of the heavy atom content in chlorite. The ratio  $(I_{002}+I_{004})/I_{003}$  was selected as this measure because  $I_{002}$  and  $I_{004}$  are the strongest even-ordered basal reflections and the position of  $I_{003}$  is relatively near the 002 and 004 reflections.

## (2) Asymmetrical distribution

When the two types of octahedral layers contain unequal numbers of heavy atoms ("asymmetrical distribution") the intensity of an x-ray beam diffracted from an even-ordered basal plane is still proportional to the number of heavy atoms in the octahedral layers, but that diffracted from an odd-ordered basal plane is no longer constant. Under these conditions the ratio  $(I_{002}+I_{004})/I_{003}$  is not a reliable measure of the heavy atom content in the octahedral layers. The value of  $I_{003}$  can, however, be corrected to the value it should have with a "symmetrical distribution" of heavy atoms in the octahedral layers, and the ratio  $(I_{002}+I_{004})/I_{003}$  corrected would then be a measure of the heavy atom content in the octahedral layers.

A correction factor for the odd-ordered planes can be developed from the equation:

 $I\alpha F^2 L.P.$  1)

where I is the intensity of an x-ray beam diffracted from a particular plane, F is the structure factor, and L.P. is the Lorentz polarization factor. From equation 1 the following relationship may be derived:

$$\frac{I_{sym}}{I_{asym}} = \frac{F_{sym}^2}{F_{asym}^2}$$
 2)

where  $I_{sym}$  is the intensity diffracted from a basal plane when both octahedral layers contain equal numbers of light and heavy atoms ("symmetrical distribution"), and  $I_{asym}$  is the observed intensity, which is the intensity diffracted when the octahedral layers have an "asymmetrical distribution" of heavy atoms. In chlorite  $F_{sym}$  for the 003 plane is 55.5; with an asymmetrical distribution  $F_{asym}$  is 55.5 minus "degree of asym-

## WILLIAM PETRUK

metry"  $\times$  12.3. 12.3 is the difference between the effective diffracting powers (atomic scattering factors) of Mg and Fe for the 003 plane of chlorite irradiated with either iron, copper or cobalt radiation.

For the 003 plane of chlorite irradiated with either FeK $\alpha$ , CoK $\alpha$  or CuK $\alpha$ , equation 2 gives:

$$I_{sym} = I_{asym(observed)} \left( \frac{(55.5)^2}{(55.5 - \text{``degree of asymmetry''} \times 12.3)^2} \right)$$
3)

 $I_{003 \text{ corrected}}$  is equal to  $I_{sym}$ .

Intensity calculations Theoretical structure factors were calculated for the basal planes of chlorites irradiated with Cu, Fe, and CoK $\alpha$  radiation. The calculations were made according to the method outlined by Brindley and Gillery (1956) and Petruk (1959) by assuming that the atom positions in chlorite are those reported by Brindley (1951) and that the composition varies between (MgAl)<sub>6</sub>(SiAl)<sub>4</sub>O<sub>10</sub>(OH)<sub>8</sub> and R<sub>6</sub>(AlSi)<sub>4</sub>O<sub>10</sub> (OH)<sub>8</sub>, where R is Fe+Mn+Cr. In these calculations the atomic scatter-



FIG. 3. Theoretical relation between number of heavy atoms in octahedral layers of chlorite and  $(I_{002}+I_{004})/I_{003}$  for FeK $\alpha$ , CuK $\alpha$  and CoK $\alpha$  radiation.

66

## HEAVY ATOM CONTENT IN CHLORITE



Number of heavy atoms in octahedral layers

FIG. 4. Observed relationship between  $(I_{002}+I_{004})/I_{003}$  and number of heavy atoms in octahedral layers in chlorite (FeK $\alpha$ , CuK $\alpha$  and CoK $\alpha$  radiation).

ing factors listed in International Tables (1935) were used. Since Fe, Mn and Cr have similar atomic scattering factors, only those for Fe were used. Theoretical ratios of  $(I_{002}+I_{004})/I_{003}$  were then calculated from the structure factors and the results plotted in Fig. 3.

Brindley and Gillery (1956) and Schoen (1962) reported the calculated structure factors for the basal planes of chlorite. These structure factors were recalculated to  $(I_{002}+I_{004})/I_{003}$  and plotted in Fig. 3. It is noted that the curve, obtained from values calculated by the writer, does not superimpose upon either the one recalculated from Brindley and Gillery's structure factors or the one recalculated from the structure factors reported by Schoen. The reason for the discrepancy between the curves is not known.

Actual relationship between the heavy atom content in chlorite and the ratio  $(I_{002}+I_{034})/I_{003}$  The validity of the theoretical relationship between the number of heavy atoms in the octahedral layers in chlorite and the ratio  $(I_{002}+I_{004})/I_{003}$  corrected was tested by using twenty-nine chemically ana-

Table 1. Analyses and Structural Formulae of Chlorites Used in the Present Study

atoms in octahedral Total layers heavy\* 00000 000  $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1} 0.103\\ \textbf{1} 0.037\\ \textbf{1} 0.037\\ \textbf{1} 0.037\\ \textbf{1} 0.032\\ \textbf{1} 0.032\\$ Tetrahedral position 1.31 A13+ 20 occupied  $\begin{array}{c} 0.05 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.07 \end{array}$ 0.03 Ti4+ 0.02  $0.01 \\ 0.01$ 0.16 0.03 TTTT Sit+  $Mn^{2+}$  $\begin{array}{c} 0.07\\ 0.05\\ 0.05\\ 0.05\\ 0.04\\ 0.03\\ 0.03 \end{array}$  $\begin{array}{c} 0.03 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.05 \end{array}$ 0.06  $0.04 \\ 0.05$ 100 1111 1 1 0 Octahedral positions occupied Mg#+ Feat 0.09 0.17 0.27 0.52 0.52 ter 0.14 0.15 0.15 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.22  $\begin{array}{c} 0.33 \\ 0.28 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.31 \end{array}$ 0.56  $\begin{array}{c} 0.20 \\ 0.57 \\ 0.57 \\ 0.40 \\ 0.30 \\ 0.35 \\ 0.35 \\ 0.35 \\ 1.15 \end{array}$ Fett  $\begin{array}{c} 1.39\\ 0.83\\ 0.89\\ 0.89\\ 0.81\\ 0.82\\ 1.23\\ 1.23\\ 1.23\\ 1.23\\ 1.23\\ 1.23\\ 1.23\\ 1.23\\ 1.23\\ 1.23\\ 1.23\\ 1.23\\ 1.23\\ 1.24\\ 1.21\\$ 1.13 1.20 1.03 1.14 1.06 Al3+ 1110 11.79 13.16 12.93 0 93 82 52 2 11110110110 13. Ci. Ξ tr .48 -0-H 0.20 0.28 0.23 57 80 98 0.48 0.32 0 000 0.10 0.20 0.40 0.10  $0.28 \\ 0.11$  $0.10 \\ 0.24$ CaO 0.94 0.10 0.72 t 0.36 0.70 0.70 0.56 0.58 3.33 3.33 3.33 3.33 5.42 Mn0 0.10 0.02 0.50  $\begin{array}{c} 0.81 \\ 0.87 \\ 0.60 \\ 0.60 \\ 0.60 \end{array}$ 30 tr 00  $\begin{array}{c} 10.30\\ 8.64\\ 13.10\\ 5.41\\ 5.39\\ 4.59\\ 4.54\\ 4.10\\ 4.10\\ \end{array}$ MgO 33.00 33.00 33.25 33.25 33.25 33.25 33.35 35.06 55.00 15.60 15.60 15.60 60 Chemical analysis  $\begin{array}{c} 2.69\\ 1.72\\ 1.36\\ 1.36\\ 1.38\\ 1.38\\ 1.98\\ 1.12\\ 1.77\\ 1.77\\ 1.77\\ 1.72\\ 2.22\\ 2.22\\ 3.30\\ 2.22\\ 2.22\\ 3.30\\ 0\end{array}$ 30 50 87 30 38 30 30 30 20 90 90 90 FeO Į 31. 338. 338. 338. 338. 338. 26. 233 C120.8  $\begin{array}{c} 0.15 \\ 1.14 \\ 2.30 \\ 3.40 \\ 6.47 \\ 7.88 \end{array}$ Fe2O3  $\begin{array}{c} 1.91 \\ 2.00 \\ 1.88 \\ 0.42 \\ 0.46 \\ 0.46 \end{array}$ 2.86 3.703.90 20  $\begin{array}{c} 2 & 26 \\ 6 & 90 \\ 6 & 90 \\ 7 & 45 \\ 7 & 49 \\ 9 & 88 \\ 3 & 38 \\ 3 & 38 \\ 3 & 38 \\ \end{array}$ ł -----21,82 21,82 21,82 21,82 23,90 24,00 21,70 22,80 21,40 21,40 22,82 23,70 21,40 21,40 21,50 22,82 22,92 22 Al203 0.10 not a  $0.35 \\ 0.04 \\ 2.00$ TiO<sub>2</sub>  $0.30 \\ 0.40$  $0.60 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.30 \\ 0.90 \\$ 03 0.31 tr tr not ŀ 00 331.85 331.85 331.162 322.040 322.040 3332.020 3332.020 3332.020 3332.020 3332.020 3332.020 3332.000 222.480 222.480 222.480 222.480 222.480 222.480 222.291 222.2321 222.2321 222.2321 222.2321 222.2321 222.2321 222.2321 222.2321 222.2321 222.2321 222.2321 222.2321 222.2322 222.2321 222.2322 222.2321 222.2322 222.2321 222.2322  $SiO_2$  $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Lapharm} \neq 10^2 \\ \mbox{Lapharm} \neq 10^2 \\ \mbox{Lapharm} \neq 12^{42} \\ \mbox{G3}(4) \\ \mbox{G3}(4) \\ \mbox{G4}(4) \\$ Brindley #2<sup>1</sup> Clark L. A.<sup>8</sup> Lapham #2<sup>3</sup> Steinfink<sup>3</sup> Sample No. 212-2A7 664 Ch65<sup>11</sup>† 197-2<sup>7</sup> 33<sup>8</sup>‡ 5-87<sup>9</sup> F-147<sup>9</sup> F-145<sup>9</sup> F-145<sup>9</sup> 15-4<sup>8</sup><sup>10</sup> 7-1379 7-1499 7-889

\* Heavy atoms = Fe+Mn+Cr.

1 Analysis corrected for muscovite.
1 Analysis corrected for muscovite.
1 Analysis corrected for muscovite plus quarts.
Chemical analyses of Sample reported by Pask and Warner (1954).
Chemical analyses of Sample reported by Fask and Warner (1954).
Chemical analyses of Sample reported by Fask and Warner (1958).
Chemical analyses of Sample reported by Sumink (1958).
Samples analyzed chemically by Warnock and Hersey, Montreal.
Samples analyzed chemically by Warnock and 197-2 reported by Each Montreal.
Chemical analysis of Sample Nos. 214-24 and 197-2 reported by Fask 260.
Chemical analysis of Sample Nos. 714-54 and 197-2 reported by More (1960).
Chemical analysis reported by Analytical Chemical Sciences Division, Mines Branch, Ottawa, Internal Reports MS-AC-62-421 and MS-AC-62-1417.
Chemical analysis supplied by Dr. J. M. Moore, Carleton University, Ottawa. 80

.

9

Sample	No. of heavy atoms in octahedral layers	$I_{003}/I_{005}$	"Degree of asymmetry" (Fig. 2)	${({ m I}_{002} + { m I}_{004}) / \over { m I}_{003}}$	$(I_{002}+I_{004})/I_{003 \text{ corrected}}$
Brindley #21	0.14	3.39	-0.05	2.52	2.58
Clark, L. A.	0.36	3.80	-0.36	2.03	2.47
Lapham #2 <sup>2</sup>	0.37	3.54	-0.15	2.20	2.35
Steinfink <sup>3</sup>	0.40	3.44	-0.10	2.41	2.52
Lapham #10 <sup>2</sup>	0.41	3.72	-0.27	2.03	2.30
Lapham #242	0.67	4.12	-0.52	2.07	2.57
Lapham #11 <sup>2</sup>	0.75	4.34	-0.62	1.80	2.31
63	0.97	3.52	-0.15	3.76	4.04
64	1.68	3.50	-0.14	4.13	4.40
Steinfink <sup>3</sup>	1.73	2.53	+0.60	6.11	4.58
61	1.96	3.42	-0.06	4.65	4.79
3058	2.09	3.31	0.00	4.95	4.95
7	2.20	3.31	0.00	5.63	5.63
1	2.23	3.31	0.00	5.81	5.81
3	2.35	3.31	0.00	4.97	4.97
NH-6	2.55	3.31	0.00	5.87	5.87
42	2.63	3.31	0.00	5.87	5.87
212-2A	2.70	3.67	-0.23	7.00	7.75
66	2.71	3.20	+0.10	6.60	6.47
Ch 65	2.74	2.86	+0.35	6.65	5.90
197-2	3.10	3.23	+0.07	7.10	6.85
33	3.24	3.23	+0.07	6.65	6.45
F-87	3.69	2.63	+0.54	9.55	7.40
F-147	3.86	2.75	+0.40	9.45	7.85
F-145	3.88	2.60	+0.54	12.15	9.43
15-4(3)	3.98	3.04	+0.20	9.86	9.05
F-137	4.10	3.29	+0.03	9.24	9.14
F-149	4.11	3.57	-0.16	9.22	9.94
F-88	4.19	3.33	-0.01	8.50	8.55

TABLE 2. INTENSITY RATIOS OF X-RAYS DIFFRACTED FROM BASAL PLANES OF CHLORITE

<sup>1</sup> Ratios recalculated from those reported by Brindley (1956).

<sup>2</sup> Ratios calculated from intensities reported by Lapham (1958).

<sup>3</sup> Ratios calculated from observed structure factors reported by Steinfink (1958).

All other ratios determined from measurements made by the writer.

lyzed chlorites whose value of  $(I_{002}+I_{004})/I_{003}$  could be obtained. The chemical analyses, calculated structure formulae and the heavy atom content of the chlorites are given in Table 1. The structural formulae and the heavy atom contents were calculated from the chemical analyses according to the method outlined by Foster (1962).

The values of  $(I_{002}+I_{004})/I_{003}$  for twenty-two of the chlorites were obtained from intensity measurements made by the writer, and the values

of  $(I_{002}+I_{004})/I_{003}$  for the remaining seven chlorites were calculated from observed intensities or structure factors reported in the literature (Table 2). Intensity measurements for the twenty-two chlorites studied by the writer were made on finely powdered samples mounted in either standard aluminum sample holders, or in copper sample holders recessed 0.002 inches. The samples were scanned in duplicate using FeK $\alpha$  and in some cases, CoK $\alpha$  and CuK $\alpha$  radiation on both General Electric and Philips *x*-ray diffractometers. The average ratios of  $I_{003}/I_{005}$  and  $(I_{002}+I_{004})/I_{003}$ were determined from the intensities (peak height minus background) and the value of  $I_{003}$  was corrected by use of equation 3 and Fig. 2. The values of  $(I_{002}+I_{004})/I_{003 \text{ corrected}}$  were then determined (Table 2) and plotted in Fig. 4. The plotted points fall close to the calculated curve, and an empirical curve obtained from these points superimposes upon the calculated one.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The approximate number of heavy atoms in the octahedral layers of chlorite can be determined directly from intensities of x-rays diffracted from the basal planes of this mineral. Since Fe is generally the major heavy atom in chlorite this approach provides an approximation of the Fe content in chlorite. The advantages of this method are its greater simplicity in that it does not involve conversion of intensities to structure factors, and that determinations are possible even when the chlorite has not been separated from the other minerals in the sample. Results obtained for the chlorites used in this investigation are in close agreement with theoretical values.

#### Acknowledgments

The writer is grateful to O. R. Eckstrand of Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass., for supplying nine chemically analyzed iron-rich chlorites; to J. M. Moore of Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario, for supplying one chemically analyzed chlorite; and to L. A. Clark of McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, for supplying one large hand specimen of a magnesium-rich chlorite.

#### References

BRINDLEY, G. W. (1952) X-ray Identification and Crystal Structure of Clay Minerals. Mineralogical Soc. of London, London.

- ——, AND F. H. GILLERY (1956) X-ray identification of chlorite species. Am. Mineral. 41, 169–186.
- BROWN, G. (1955) The effect of isomorphous substitution on the intensity of (001) reflections of the mica-chlorite type structures. *Mineral Mag.* **30**, 657–665.

ECKSTRAND, O. R. (1962) A study of some chlorite determinative methods, and their application to a mineralized shear zone at Chibougamau, Quebec. C.I.M.M. 55, 202.

- FOSTER, M. D. (1962) Interpretation of the composition and a classification of chlorites. U. S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Paper 414-A.
- HEY, M. H. (1954) A new review of the chlorites. Mineral Mag. 30, 277-292.

INTERNATIONAL TABLES FOR THE DETERMINATION OF CRYSTAL STRUCTURES (1935) 2, 560-561, Chemical Catalog Co., New York.

- LAPHAM, D. M. (1958) Structural and chemical variations in chromium chlorite. Am. Mineral 43, 921-956.
- McMurchy, R. C. (1934) The crystal structure of chlorite minerals. Zeit. Krist. 88, 420-432.
- MORSE, S. A. (1958) The chemistry, mineralogy and metamorphism in the Standing Pond Amphibolite, Hanover Quadrangle, New Hampshire-Vermont. M.Sc. Thesis, McGill University, Montreal.

PASK, J. A. AND M. F. WARNER (1954) Jour Am. Ceram. Soc. 37, 118-128.

PAULING, L. (1930) The structure of chlorites. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 16, 478-482.

PETRUK, W. (1959) The Clearwater copper-zinc deposit and its setting, with a special study of mineral zoning around such deposits. Ph.D. Thesis, McGill University, Montreal.

SCHOEN, R. (1962) Semi-quantitative analysis of chlorite by x-ray diffraction. Am. Mineral. 47, 1384–1393.

SHIMANE, H. AND T. SUDO (1958) A chloritic mineral found associated with vermiculite. Clay Mineral. Bull. 3, 297.

SHIROZU, H. (1958) X-ray powder pattern and cell dimension of some chlorites in Japan, with a note on their interference colour. *Mineral. Jour. Japan*, 2, 209–223.

STEINFINK, H. (1958) The crystal structure of chlorite. Acta Cryst 11, 191.

STONE, R. L. AND E. J. WEISS (1955) Examination of four coarsely crystalline chlorites by *x*-ray and high pressure D.T.A. techniques. *Clay Mineral. Bull.* 2, 214–222.

WINCHELL, A. N. (1936) A third study of chlorites. Am. Mineral 21, 642-651.

ZEN E-AN, (1960) Metamorphism of Lower Paleozoic rocks in the vicinity of the Taconic Range in west central Vermont. Am. Mineral. 45, 129-176.

Manuscript received, June 14, 1963; accepted for publication, August 22, 1963.