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#### Abstract

Extended Hückel molecular orbital (EнMO) calculations for hypothetically distorted $\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{\text {s- }}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{{ }^{4}}{ }^{4}$ ions predict that shorter $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds on the average should be involved with wider $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ angles. Plots of $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length $v s$ the average of the three $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ angles common to each bond, and of $\langle\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})\rangle$ vs $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ angle, confirm this for selected ortho- and pyrophosphates. Bond overlap populations, $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$, calculated with all $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths clamped at $1.50 \AA$, tend to be larger for shorter observed P-O bond lengths. The P-O bond lengths in herderite, $\mathrm{CaBePO}_{4}(\mathrm{OH})$, are estimated from ehmo theory using a relation between bond overlap population and bond length. As $\xi(\mathrm{O}), n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$, bond number and $\pi$-bond order are highly correlated, caution should be exercised in making inferences about the nature of a bond because any one of these parameters appears capable of providing a plausible interpretation of bond length and angle variations. It appears that both $\zeta(\mathrm{O})$ and $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$ measure a similar property of the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond.


## Introduction

In 1939, Pauling proposed that phosphorus may utilize its outer $3 d$-orbitals in a double-bond formation with its coordinating oxygen atoms because it is not rigorously restricted by the octet rule. Expanding upon this proposal, Cruickshank (1961) has asserted from group-theory arguments and approximate molecular orbital calculations that only two of the five $3 d$-orbitals, $3 d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ and $3 d_{z^{2}}$, are actually used in double-bond formation in $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedra. Employing a model for establishing bond strengths, he worked out the $\pi$-bond orders for the tetrahedral bonds in a number of phosphates and found that they correlate well with the observed P-O bond lengths. Cruickshank predicted from his model that: (1) P-O(nbr) bonds should be shorter than P-O(br) bonds and (2) the length of the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})$ bond should increase as the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ angle decreases. Brown and Gibbs' (1970) scatter diagrams of $\langle\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})\rangle$ and of $[\langle\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})\rangle-\langle\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{nbr})\rangle]$ versus $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ angle apparently substantiate both predictions. Recently Baur (1970) demonstrated that the steric details in phosphates can also be rationalized in terms of his extended electrostatic model.

In an extended Hückel molecular orbital (eнmo)

[^0]examination of the Pauling-Cruickshank $d$ - $p \pi$-bonding model, Bartell, Su , and Yow (1970) have found that Mulliken bond overlap populations, $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$, calculated for a number of phosphates correlate with the simple $\pi$-bond orders worked out by Cruickshank (1961). They observed good correlation between $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length and $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$ even though all $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ distances were held constant at $1.50 \AA$ during the calculations. They also conclude that all five $3 d$ orbitals participate in bonding instead of just the two as proposed by Cruickshank (1961).

The present study (Lager, 1972; Lager and Gibbs, 1972) was undertaken to evaluate the effect of the variations in the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ angles on $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length, relationships not considered by Bartell et al (1970). Evidence will be presented which will show that $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$ values are strongly dependent upon angular variations indicating that shorter $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds on the average should be involved in wider $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ angles. In addition, the similarities between bonding models and parameters will be discussed.

## Correlations Between Bond Overlap Population and P-O Bond Length

The phosphates selected in our study are given in Table 1 together with their calculated Mulliken (1955) bond overlap populations, $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$, and ob-

Table 1. Observed bond lengths and calculated bond overlap populations for selected phosphates.

|  | $(\mathrm{P}-0)^{\text {® }}$ |  | $n(\mathrm{P}-0)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bond | P-0(br) | $\underline{p-0}(\mathrm{nbr})$ | P-0=1. 50 | $\underline{P-0=0 b s}$ |
| $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (Calvo, 1967) |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.613 |  | 0.620 | 0.556 |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-0(2)$ |  | 1.535 | . 642 | . 625 |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-0(3)$ |  | 1.506 | . 641 | . 648 |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-0$ (4) |  | 1.473 | . 654 | . 687 |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-0(1)$ | 1.568 |  | . 624 | . 599 |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-0(5)$ |  | 1.535 | . 647 | . 631 |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ |  | 1.526 | . 637 | . 630 |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$-0(7) |  | 1.521 | . 648 | . 645 |
| $\mathrm{a}^{-\mathrm{Ca}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \text { (Calvo, 1968) }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| P (1)-O(1) | 1.579 |  | 0.621 | 0.587 |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)=0(2)$ |  | 1.518 | . 650 | . 645 |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ |  | 1.521 | . 641 | . 634 |
| $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{O}(4)$ |  | 1.507 | . 637 | . 643 |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.616 |  | . 618 | . 556 |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-0(5)$ |  | 1.535 | . 648 | . 633 |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-0(6)$ |  | 1.508 | . 642 | . 649 |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-0(7)$ |  | 1.493 | . 642 | . 662 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| P (1) $\mathbf{- 0}(1)$ | 1.637 |  | 0.610 | 0.541 |
| $P(1)-0(2)$ |  | 1.505 | . 638 | . 654 |
| $P(1)-0(3)$ |  | 1.538 | . 647 | . 632 |
| $P(1)-0(4)$ |  | 1.519 | . 653 | . 655 |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-0(1)$ | 1.615 |  | . 610 | . 554 |
| $P(2)-0(5)$ |  | 1.480 | . 644 | . 675 |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-0(6)$ |  | 1.530 | . 645 | . 633 |
| $P(2)-0(7)$ |  | 1.526 | . 650 | . 641 |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-0(8)$ | 1.589 |  | . 621 | . 581 |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{O}(9)$ |  | 1.498 | . 649 | . 665 |
| $P(3)-0(10)$ |  | 1.504 | . 647 | . 657 |
| $P(3) \cdots(11)$ |  | 1.563 | . 639 | . 602 |
| $\mathrm{P}(4)-0(8)$ | 1.618 |  | . 611 | . 551 |
| $\mathrm{P}(4)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ |  | 1.503 | . 639 | . 654 |
| P (4)-0(13) |  | 1.527 | . 651 | . 644 |
| $\mathrm{P}(4) \mathrm{O}$ (14) |  | 1.527 | . 651 | . 645 |
| $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (Robertson and Calvo, 1967) |  |  |  |  |
| $P(1)=0$ (1) | 1.578 |  | 0.639 | 0.597 |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ |  | 1.533 | . 632 | . 615 |
| $P(1)-0(3)$ |  | 1.478 | . 641 | . 669 |
| $P(1)-0(4)$ |  | 1.517 | . 649 | . 644 |
| $P(2)-0(1)$ | 1.580 |  | . 625 | . 594 |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-0(5)$ |  | 1.546 | . 642 | . 624 |
| P(2)-0(6) |  | 1.562 | . 640 | . 6097 |
| P(2)-0(7) |  | 1.518 | . 653 | . 657 |
| $\mathrm{B}-2 \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (Calvo, 1965b) |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-0$ (1) | 1.569 |  | 0.640 | 0.616 |
| $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{O}$ (2) |  | 1.554 | . 633 | . 612 |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$-0(3) |  | 1.553 | . 646 | . 625 |
| $P(1)-0(4)$ |  | 1.553 | . 646 | . 625 |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-0(1)$ | 1.569 |  | . 640 | . 616 |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ |  | 1.554 | . 633 | . 613 |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-0(6)$ |  | 1.555 | . 646 | . 623 |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-0(7)$ |  | 1.555 | . 646 | . 623 |
| $\mathrm{Ca}_{7} \mathrm{Mg}_{9}(\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Mg})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{12}$ (Dickens \& Brown, 1971) |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-0(1)$ |  | 1.563 | 0.628 | 0.594 |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-0(2)$ |  | 1.521 | . 636 | . 635 |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-0(3)$ |  | 1.521 | . 648 | . 647 |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-0(4)$ |  | 1.554 | . 628 | . 602 |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-0(5)$ |  | 1.534 | . 639 | . 627 |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-0(6)$ |  | 1.525 | . 638 | . 634 |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-0(7)$ |  | 1.527 | . 639 | . 633 |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-0(8)$ |  | 1.568 | . 624 | . 587 |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-0(9)$ |  | 1.528 | . 642 | . 636 |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{O}(10)$ |  | 1.577 | . 624 | . 581 |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-0(11)$ |  | 1.530 | . 639 | . 632 |
| $P(3)-0(12)$ |  | 1.528 | . 635 | . 630 |
| $\mathrm{P}(4) \mathrm{O}(13)$ |  | 1.539 | . 632 | . 617 |
| $\mathrm{P}(4) \mathrm{O}(14)$ |  | 1.538 | . 639 | . 625 |
| $P(4)-0(15)$ |  | 1.539 | . 640 | . 624 |
| $P(4)-0(16)$ |  | 1.539 | . 629 | . 615 |
| $\mathrm{P}(5)-0(17)$ |  | 1.546 | . 638 | . 617 |
| $\mathrm{P}(5)-\mathrm{O}(18)$ |  | 1.549 | . 627 | . 604 |
| $\mathrm{P}(5)-0(19)$ |  | 1.521 | . 642 | . 640 |
| $\mathrm{P}(5)-0(20)$ |  | 1.530 | . 633 | . 624 |
| $P(6)-0(21)$ |  | 1.523 | . 628 | . 624 |
| $P(6)-0(22)$ |  | 1.528 | . 635 | . 627 |
| $\mathrm{P}(6)-0(23)$ |  | 1.535 | . 635 | . 621 |
| $\mathrm{P}(6)-\mathrm{O}(24)$ |  | 1.545 | . 642 | . 619 |
| Herderite (Lager \& Gibbs, in preparation) |  |  |  |  |
| P (1) $-\mathrm{O}(1)$ |  | 1.513 | 0.642 | 0.648 |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-0(2)$ |  | 1.544 | . 636 | . 617 |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-0(3)$ |  | 1.551 | . 634 | . 610 |
| $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{O}(4)$ |  | 1.554 | . 630 | . 604 |

Table 2. Valence orbital ionization potentials (VOIP) and orbital exponents ( $\zeta$ ).

| Atom | Atomic Orbital | VOIP(ev) | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oxygen | 2s | -35.13 | 2.275 |
|  | 2p | -13.62 | 2.275 |
| Phosphorus | 3 s | -20.30 | 1.600 |
|  | 3 p | -11.00 | 1.600 |
|  | 3d | -2.50 | 1.100 |

served P-O bond lengths. Values of $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$ were calculated using the енмо program written by Hoffmann (1963), the orbital exponents and diagonal Hückel matrix elements given in Table 2, and the Wolfsberg-Helmholz parametrization (cf Bartell et al, 1970). The relationship between observed P-O bond length and $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$ calculated using constant bond lengths ( $1.50 \AA$ ), observed $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ angles, and an $s p$ basis set is shown in Figure 1. A plot of observed $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ lengths versus $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$ values calculated using the observed bond lengths and angles (Fig. 2) gives a statistically improved correlation as expected. Although $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$ values calculated using an $s p d$-basis set also correlate with P-O bond length (Bartell et al, 1970; Lager, 1972), the correlations are not given because comparisons of all electron $a b$ initio SCF-MO and eнmo results show that енмо calculations tend to over-exaggerate the participation of the $d$-orbitals in the resulting mo wavefunctions (Leland Allen, personal communication).

## Correlations Between O-P-O and P-O-P Angles and $n[\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{O}]$

The correlations obtained between observed $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths and $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$ values calculated with fixed $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ distances indicate that the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ angles affect bond overlap population. To evaluate this effect, енмо calculations were carried out on hypothetically distorted $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{4-}$ ions. The $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ distances were fixed in the calculations at $1.50 \AA$ and the angles were varied over a range which was thought to be consistent with experimentally observed values. A minimum valence $s p$ basis set was assumed in all the calculations. The calculated trends were then compared with observed data obtained for the phosphates in Table 1.

## $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ angle vs $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$

In the calculation for the hypothetically distorted $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ ion, the basal ( $\beta$ ) and apical ( $\alpha$ ) angles were varied within the constraints of $C_{3 r}$ symmetry (see


Fig. 1. Scatter diagram of observed $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths vs $n(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O})$ calculated ignoring the non-tetrahedral cations, using observed $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ angles and assuming all $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds are $1.50 \AA$ in length. Open circles refer to $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})$ bonds and the solid ones, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{nbr})$ bonds. Data from Table 1.
insert, Fig. 3). Values of $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$ calculated for seven different $\alpha$ angles between $102^{\circ}$ and $117^{\circ}$ indicate that as the apical angle ( $\alpha$ ) widens, $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ (apical)] increase while $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ (basal) $]$ decrease (Fig. 3). Accordingly, when $\alpha$ is larger than $\beta$, the apical bond is predicted to be shorter than the basal bonds. It is encouraging to find that the steric details predicted by енмо theory (Fig. 3) are consistent with the relationship between $\langle\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}\rangle_{3}$, the mean of the
three tetrahedral angles common to a bond, and observed P-O bond length (Fig. 4a) and $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$ (Fig. 4b). This suggests that part of the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length $v s \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ angle variation can be interpreted in terms of the predominantly covalent ehmo model (cf Bartell et al, 1970).

## $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ angle os $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$

In a model which requires the participation of $s$ and $p$-orbitals in bond formation, shorter $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ (br) bonds are predicted to be involved with wider $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ angles. In order to test this prediction, the effect of the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ angles must also be considered because $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})]$ will depend on angular variations within a tetrahedron (vide supra). This is evident from an examination of the $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$ values calculated with all $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}=1.50 \AA$ for the three $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{4-}$ ions ( $D_{3 h}$ point symmetry) depicted in Figure 5 where $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})-$ $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{nbr})$ angles are set at (a) $117^{\circ}$, (b) $109.47^{\circ}$, and (c) $102^{\circ}$, respectively. Because of the intrinsic relation between $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})]$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ angle, ehmo calculations were carried out on $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7^{4-}}$ ions (Fig. 5) in which both $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{nbr})$ and $\mathrm{P}-$ $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ angles were varied. The results (Fig. 6) indicate that regardless of whether the $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{nbr})$ is


Fig. 2. Scatter diagram of observed P-O bond lengths vs $n(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O})$ calculated using observed angles and bond lengths but ignoring the non-tetrahedral cation for compounds in Table 1. Open circles designate $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})$ bonds and closed ones $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ (nbr) bonds.


Fig. 3. Plot of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ angle os $n(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O})$ for the apical and basal bonds in a phosphate ion with $C_{3 v}$ point symmetry. The $n(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O})$ were calculated with all $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths fixed at $1.50 \AA$ using a minimum $s p$ basis set. $\alpha$ defines the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ angles between the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ apical bond parallel to $C_{3}$ and the basal bonds in vertical mirrors, $\sigma_{v}$, and $\beta$ defines the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ angles between the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds lying in $\sigma_{v}$ (see insert in upper left corner).
greater or less than $109.47^{\circ}, n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})]$ values exhibit an inverse relationship with respect to the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ angle, with the largest $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})]$ always associated with the widest angle. This is consistent with the plot of $\langle\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})\rangle$ vs $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ prepared by Brown and Gibbs (1970), with observations by Cruickshank (1961) and by Calvo (1965a, b) and with the data in Figure 7 where the average observed $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length, $\langle\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})\rangle$, is plotted as a function of associated $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ angle.


## Bond Length Estimates from Ehmo Theory

A linear equation for estimating $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths, similar to that developed by Baur (1970), can be deduced from the relationship (cf Mulliken, 1955; Louisnathan and Gibbs, 1972)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]}{n_{e}[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]}=\frac{\Delta d(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O})}{d_{\epsilon}(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O})} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{e}[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$ represents the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond overlap population calculated for each bond in an ideal $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$


Fig. 4. Scatter diagram of $\langle\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}\rangle_{3}(=$ the average $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ angle involving a common $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond) $v s$ (a) the observed $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length and (b) $n(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O})$ calculated assuming a constant bond length of $1.50 \AA$, using the observed angles and ignoring the non-tetrahedral cations. Data for (a) are from the following sources in addition to Table 1: $\mathrm{Na}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{10}$, Phase II, (Cruickshank, 1964b); $\mathrm{RbPO}_{3}$, (Cruickshank, 1964e). Data for plot (b) are from $\mathrm{CaBePO}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$ and $\mathrm{Ca}_{7} \mathrm{Mg}_{9}(\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Mg})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{12}$.


Fig. 5. A comparison of $n(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O})$ (values given adjacent to $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{nbr})$ bonds in upper part of each ion) calculated for three pyrophosphate ions, $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7^{4-}}$, (with $D_{3 n}$ point symmetry with straight $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})-\mathrm{P}$ linkage along $C_{3}$ ) where in (a) the O (br)- $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ (nbr) angles are wider than the $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{nbr})-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{nbr})$, (b) the $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{nbr})$ angles are equal to O (nbr) $-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ (nbr) angles and (c) the $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})-\mathrm{P}-$ O (nbr) angles are narrower than the $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{nbr})-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{nbr})$ angles. The calculations were made using all $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths clamped at $1.50 \AA$ and the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ angles indicated at the lower part of each ion.
tetrahedron ( $T_{d}$ symmetry) assuming an equilibrium bond length $d_{e}(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O})$ of $1.50 \AA$ and where $\Delta d(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O})$ is the change in the bond length associated with a change in the overlap population, $\Delta n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$. To obtain estimates of individual $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths induced by changes in the bond overlap population, we can write that

$$
\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\text { est. })=d_{e}(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O})+\Delta d(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}) .
$$

Replacing $\Delta d(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O})$ by (1) and $d_{e}(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O})$ by $\langle\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}\rangle$, the grand mean $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length ( $1.538 \AA$ ) found for a large number of phosphates (Louisnathan and Gibbs, 1972), we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\text { est. }) & =\langle\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}\rangle+b \Delta n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}] \\
& =1.538+2.479 \Delta n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}] \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the slope of the line

$$
b=\frac{d_{e}(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O})}{n_{e}[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]}=\frac{1.500}{0.636}=2.479
$$

and where $\langle\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}\rangle$ is the intercept.
To learn whether equation (2) is consistent with the data given in Table 1, $\Delta n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]=n_{e}[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]-$ $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$ was plotted against the observed $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths (Fig. 8) where $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$ is the bond overlap population calculated assuming $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}=1.50 \AA$. The regression line fitted to the data

$$
\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\text { est. })=1.545+4.192 \Delta n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]
$$

is in fair agreement with (2), suggesting that the latter can be used to make crude estimates of the tetrahedral bond lengths in phosphates.

The $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths in herderite, $\mathrm{CaBePO}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$, estimated using equation (2), show a reasonable correspondence with those observed (see Table 3). Bond lengths estimated from Baur's equation $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}($ est. $)=1.537+0.109 \Delta \zeta(\mathrm{O})^{2}$ have been included for comparison. However, the purpose of this comparison is not to show that equation (2) is superior in any way to Baur's but to show that the two are remarkably similar and that they give comparable estimates. This is true despite the fact that Baur's equation is based on an electrostatic parameter, $\Delta \zeta(\mathrm{O})$, while equation (2) is based on a covalent parameter, $\Delta n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$. Accordingly, it is clear that one should be circumspect in concluding that a bond is predominantly ionic in character because $\Delta \zeta(O)$ can be used to estimate bond length variations (vide post).

## Discussion

Since Pauling (1929) formulated his empirical set of rules for complex ionic compounds, the steric details of many structures have been found to be consistent with his simple electrostatic model. Baur (1970) has examined the phosphates and a number of other compounds in terms of Pauling's model and has found that $\zeta(\mathrm{O})$ correlates well with observed bond lengths. Interestingly, the bond lengths for the crystal structures examined by Baur show two apparent populations when plotted as a function of $\zeta(\mathrm{O})$, with the bond lengths involving oxygen and mono- or divalent cations giving distinctively poorer correlations than those involving oxygen and tri- or tetravalent cations. Baur (1970) suggests that, "this is partly due to the fact that in most of the crystal structures from which data have been used, the mono- and divalent cations form the weaker bonds . . .". Nevertheless, he is careful to note that the correlations could also be interpreted in terms of Cruickshank's double-bonding model. This observation is evinced by Figure 9, which shows that the $\zeta(\mathrm{O})$ values for the phosphates studied by Cruick-

[^1]

Fig. 6. Curves relating $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})-\mathrm{P}$ angle to $n(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O})$ calculated for three pyrophosphate ions in Figures $5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{~b}$, and 5 c , assuming all $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths to equal $1.50 \AA$.
shank (1961) are strongly correlated with $\pi$-bond order. Moreover, Baur's (1970) results also show that $\zeta(O)$ correlates better with bond length variations in the more covalent compounds ${ }^{3}$ ( $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}, r=$ 0.87 ; $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}, r=0.83$; $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}, r=0.69 ; \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{O}, r=$ 0.63 ) than with those in the more ionic ones ( $\mathrm{Ca}-\mathrm{O}$, $r=0.56 ; \mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{O}, r=0.49 ; \mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{O}, r=0.38 ; \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{O}$,

[^2]$r=0.20)$, suggesting that $\zeta(\mathrm{O})$ is reflecting some covalent aspect of a bond (Pant and Cruickshank, 1967; Gibbs et al, 1972; Brown and Shannon, 1973).

In view of the above discussion, it is tempting to speculate on the validity of the correlations of $\zeta(\mathrm{O})$ $v s \pi$-bond order and $n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$ (Fig. 10). Although an intrinsic relation between these parameters cannot be proven, these correlations are given credence by the well-developed correlation between the group overlap integrals calculated by Jaffe (1954) and the electrostatic bond strengths of the $T-\mathrm{O}$ bonds for the four tetrahedral oxyanions depicted in Figure 11. This plot, as well as those of Figures 9 and 10, indicates that the parameters used to characterize bond


Fig. 7. Scatter diagram of $\langle\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})\rangle$, the average $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length to the bridging oxygen $v s-1 /[\cos (\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{br})-\mathrm{P})]$. Data were taken from Table 1 and from the following sources: $\mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 10 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Cruickshank, $1964 \mathrm{e} ; \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12}$, Jarchow, 1964; $\mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Ondik, 1964; $\cdot\left(\mathrm{NaPO}_{3}\right)_{a}$, McAdam et al, 1968; $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, Cruickshank, 1964d; $\beta$ - $\mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$, Calvo, 1965a; $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12}$, Cruickshank, 1964c.


Fig. 8. A scatter diagram of the observed $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length vs the variation in the equilibrium bond overlap population, $\Delta n(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O})$ calculated assuming constant $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths and observed $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ angles. Data from Table 1. Encircled-crosses represent two data plots. The expression taken from Mulliken (1955) (dashed line) is compared with the regression line for the data set (solid line). See text for explanation.

Table 3. Comparison of observed and estimated P-O bond lengths in herderite, $\mathrm{CaBePO}_{4}(\mathrm{OH})$ (Lager and Gibbs, in preparation).

| Bond | P-0(obs) $\AA$ | P-O(est.) $\AA$ * | P-0(est.) $\chi^{* *}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P-0(1) | 1.513(3)*** | 1.510 | 1.522 |
| P-O(2) | 1.544 (3) | 1.537 | 1.537 |
| $\mathrm{P}-0$ (3) | 1.551(3) | 1.564 | 1.542 |
| P-O(4) | 1.554(3) | 1.537 | 1.552 |
| * P-0(est.) $=1.537+0.109 \Delta \zeta(0)($ Baur, 1970) |  |  |  |
| ** $\mathrm{P}-0$ (est.) $=1.537+2.479 \Delta n(P-0)$ (this study) |  |  |  |
| *** esd's refer to last digit of observed $\mathrm{P}-0$ bond length |  |  |  |

strengths in current bonding models are very similar indeed. Accordingly, caution should be exercised in making inferences about the nature of a bond, because one or the other of these parameters appears capable of providing a plausible interpretation of bond length and angle variations.

In conclusion, we have tried to emphasize the close similarity between the енмо and the electrostatic bonding models. The steric details in the phosphates, for example, are consistent with the ehmo model and yet many aspects conform remarkably well with electrostatic models (Pauling, 1929; Baur, 1970). This


Fig. 9. Scatter diagram of $\zeta(O)$ vs $\pi$-bond orders for phosphates examined by Cruickshank (1961).


Fig. 10. Scatter diagram of $n(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O})$ vs $\zeta(\mathrm{O})$, the sum of the electrostatic bond strengths received by oxygen. Data from Table 1.
is not entirely surprising as the correlations between $\zeta(\mathrm{O}), n[\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}]$ and $\pi$-bond order suggest that bondstrength parameters of both models measure similar properties of the P-O bond. What is needed is a bonding model that takes explicit account of elec-


Fig. 11. Plot of the group overlap integrals (Jaffe, 1954; Brown et al, 1969) vs $s$, the electrostatic bond strength ( $=z / v$ where $z$ is the nominal charge on the cation and $\nu$ is its coordination number) for the $T-\mathrm{O}$ bonds in four $T \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{n-}$ tetrahedral oxyanion anions.
trostatic interactions. Although the енмо model is deficient in this respect, it can be useful in ordering and classifying bond length variation when the electronegativity difference between bonded atoms is not large (Allen, 1970). It is hoped that studies of this type as well as those currently underway in our laboratories using CNDO-2 theory, which includes electrostatic as well as covalent interactions, may eventually explain some of the similarities between current bonding models and in the process improve our understanding of bonding in minerals.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Now at the Department of Geology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver 8, British Columbia, Canada.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2} \Delta \zeta(\mathrm{O})=\zeta(\mathrm{O})-\langle\zeta(\mathrm{O})\rangle$ where $\zeta(\mathrm{O})$ is the sum of the strengths of the electrostatic bonds received by oxygen and $\langle\zeta(O)\rangle$ is the mean $\zeta(O)$ for the phosphate ion under consideration.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The correlation coefficients, $r$, quoted here were obtained by Baur (1970) in his linear regression analyses of bond length variation os $\zeta(\mathrm{O})$. As $r^{2}$ is a measure of the percent bond length variation $d(M-\mathrm{O})$ that can be explained in terms of a linear dependence on $\zeta(O)$, it is evident that more of the variation in $d(M-\mathrm{O})$ can be explained for the more electronegative metal atoms than for the more electropositive ones.

