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#### Abstract

The crystal structure of the rare playa mineral hydrochlorborite from the Salar Carcote, Antofagasta, Chile-the second world occurrence-has been solved by direct and difference Fourier methods and refined to a conventional $R$ of 0.050 , including hydrogen positions. Our crystal data agree with those previously reported (monoclinic, $I 2 / a, Z=8, a=22.783(3), b=$ $\left.8.745(1), c=17.066(1) \mathrm{A}, \beta=96.705(1)^{\circ} V=3376.9(3) \mathrm{A}^{3}, \mathrm{G}=1.852\right]$; however, our formula unit contains one less water molecule than previously assigned, resulting in a calculated density of 1.841 rather than $1.876 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. The structure has isolated borate polyanions, each composed of two borate tetrahedra and one borate triangle, corner-linked to form a threemembered ring, with a side borate tetrahedron corner-linked to the triangle $[3:(2 \mathrm{~T}+\Delta)+\mathrm{T}]$; this group is unique among borate polyanions reported to date. The polyanions are crosslinked by hydrogen bonds to water molecules and by $\mathrm{CaO}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$ polyhedra, four of which share two corners and an edge to form four-membered chains. The most unusual feature of the structure involves the Cl anion, which is not bonded to Ca as expected, but instead is hydrogen-bonded to eight oxygens (three hydroxyls and five water molecules) at distances ranging from 3.182 to 3.395 A . To our knowledge, this is the largest number of hydrogens bonded to Cl reported to date in an inorganic structure. The average $\mathrm{B}^{111}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{B}^{1 \mathrm{~V}}-$ $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Ca}^{\text {vIII }}-\mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{VIII}} \ldots \mathrm{O}$ distances are $1.367,1.474,2.478$, and 3.296 A , respectively. The good $\{001\}$ cleavage and tabular morphology parallel to $\{001\}$ are consistent with the structure, wherein slabs of composition $2\left\{\mathrm{Ca}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\left[\mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{OH})_{4} \cdot \mathrm{OB}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right]\right\}^{1+}$, which are parallel to $\{001\}$, are hydrogen-bonded to $\left[\mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}\right]^{1-}$ anions. This arrangement is also consistent with the mineral's reported slow solubility in water at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and its observed seasonal nature. The formation of this mineral may result from a reaction between associated ulexite and halite when pH increases. However, its apparent rarity suggests that such a reaction takes place only under unusual circumstances.


## Introduction

The playa mineral hydrochlorborite, 3 CaO . $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 21 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, was first reported from China (Ch'ien and Chen, 1965; Ch'ien et al., 1965), although no detailed locality information was given by these authors. In 1966, a second occurrence of this mineral was found in northern Chile. In this locality, hydrochlorborite is associated with ulexite, halite, and clay minerals. This occurrence was described by

Hurlbut et al. (1977), who also gave crystallographic, physical, optical and chemical data for the mineral. Among the more interesting observations made by Hurlbut et al. were the slow dissolution in water (at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and the seasonal nature of hydrochlorborite; the mineral apparently occurs in this locality only during the dry season of the year. In order to shed light on its slow rate of dissolution and to determine the structural role of water in this mineral, we under-
took the structure determination and refinement reported below. Our investigation shows that the chemical formula, $3 \mathrm{CaO} \cdot \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 22 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, assigned by the studies reported above, has one water molecule too many, the correct formula having only 21 water molecules (Brown and Clark, 1977).

## Experimental and computational details

The crystal selected for intensity measurements was provided by R. C. Erd, U. S. Geological Survey, and came from the Salar Carcote, Antofagasta, Chile, the locality described by Hurlbut et al. (1977). The specimen was prismatic, having $\{110\},\{\overline{2} 11\}$, and $\{001\}$ forms, and dimensions $0.15 \times 0.2 \times 0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. The $c^{*}$ axis of the crystal was oriented parallel to the $\phi$-axis of a Picker Facs-I diffractometer, and the orientation was refined by least-squares fit of the angular coordinates of 35 automatically centered reflections in the $2 \theta$ range $30^{\circ}$ to $40^{\circ}$. The resulting cell parameters $[a=22.783(4), b=8.749(1), c=$ 17.061(3)A, $\left.\beta=96.696(5)^{\circ}, V=3377.5 \mathrm{~A}^{3}\right]$ agree well with those reported by Hurlbut et al. $[a=22.783(3)$, $b=8.745(1), c=17.066(1) \mathrm{A}, \beta=96.705(1)^{\circ}, V=$ 3376.9(3)A $\left.{ }^{3}\right]$; however, Hurlbut's values were used in all further calculations because of their higher precision. Space group $I 2 / a$, assigned by Hurlbut et al. on the basis of systematic absences on precession photographs and morphology, was assumed to be correct.

A total of 1441 nonzero symmetry independent intensities in the angular range $5^{\circ}$ to $40^{\circ} 2 \theta$ were measured using an $\omega-2 \theta$ scan mode, a scan range of $2.0^{\circ}$ plus the $\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}$ dispersion, graphite monochromatized $\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation, and a solid-state detection system. Two standard reflections, at $0^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ} \chi$, were monitored every 30 reflections and showed no significant variation in integrated intensity during the 3 days required for data collection. Of the observed intensities, 188 were less than $3 \sigma(I)$, where $\sigma(I)$ is the standard deviation of the intensity $(I)$ as calculated using the formula of Corfield et al. (1967) and an instrumental instability constant of 0.04 . These data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors, the latter assuming a 50 percent ideally mosaic monochromator crystal; no corrections were made for absorption ( $\mu=9.00 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, MoK $\alpha$ ) or extinction effects. After refinement was completed, no systematic discrepancies between strong observed and calculated structure factors were found, indicating that there were no serious extinction effects.

The resulting structure factors were converted to normalized structure factors, $E$, using programs from the University of Rochester Crystallographic Pro-
gram Library. For this calculation and the reinnements discussed below, neutral atomic scattering factors were calculated by using the analytical expression and coefficients of Doyle and Turner (1968) and the anomalous dispersion values of Cromer and Liberman (1970); for hydrogen, the SDS coefficients given by Ibers and Hamilton (1974, Table 2.2B) were used. The chemical formula applied at this stage was the one reported by Hurlbut et al. (1977). The statistical distribution of $|E|, E^{2}$, and $\left|E^{2}-1\right|$ confirmed the centrosymmetric space group $I 2 / a$. The signs of the three largest $E$-values were fixed as positive, and a set of the 100 largest and $50 \psi_{0} E$ 's was used in the tangent formula program Multan (Main et al., 1971) to search for solutions. The solution that had the best figure of merit proved to be the correct one and yielded an $E$-map having 40 major peaks. The 18 strongest peaks were assigned to the 2 calcium, 1 chlorine, and 16 of the 18 oxygen atoms of the asymmetric unit. Structure-factor calculations based on this assignment gave a conventional residual, $R$, of 0.260 . The positions of the four borons and two remaining oxygens in the asymmetric unit were located by a three-dimensional difference Fourier synthesis and by study of a structure model. Two of the oxygen positions assigned using $E$-maps were incorrect; however, in retrospect, the $E$-maps from the correct Multan solution were found to show all nonhydrogen atoms of the asymmetric unit, plus several spurious ones.

Seven cycles of full-matrix isotropic refinement were computed using Rfine (Finger and Prince, 1975), resulting in a conventional, unweighted $R=\Sigma$ $\left|\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right| / \Sigma\left|F_{o}\right|$ of 0.071 . Twelve additional refinement cycles having anisotropic temperature factors reduced the unweighted $R$ to 0.058 ( $R=0.073$, all data); 18 of the 1253 structure factors greater than $3 \sigma_{F}$ were not included in the refinement because $|\Delta F|$ was larger than an arbitrary cutoff value. The average shift/error at this stage of refinement was 0.03 . The structure model from the isotropic refinement was used to compute another three-dimensional difference Fourier synthesis, which, together with crystal chemical considerations, provided approximate locations for the 21 hydrogen atoms in the asymmetric unit. Six additional cycles of refinement were carried out in which positional parameters and an isotropic thermal parameter of each hydrogen were allowed to vary, and the positional and anisotropic thermal parameters of the 25 nonhydrogen atoms were fixed at their refined values after cycle 19. The final unweighted $R$ was 0.050 for the 1244 observed data
with $F$ greater than $3 \sigma_{F}$; nine observations were excluded from these cycles because of poor fit. The final unweighted $R$ for all 1441 data was 0.063 . A final three-dimensional difference Fourier was featureless, confirming that the chemical formula is indeed $\mathrm{Ca}_{4} \mathrm{~B}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{15} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 21 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
The final positional parameters, isotropic temper-
ature factors for all atoms in the asymmetric unit, and anisotropic thermal parameters for all nonhydrogen atoms are listed in Table 1, together with the estimated standard error of each parameter. Observed and calculated structure factors from the final cycle of refinement (cycle 25) are compared in Table 2 , and the orientations and dimensions of the thermal

Table 1. Atomic coordinates and thermal parameters for hydrochlorborite

| Atom | Structural role ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}  & \text { Coordinates } \\ y \end{array}$ |  | 3 | Equivalent isotropic B $(\AA)^{2}$ | $\beta_{11}$ | Thermal parameters $\times 10^{4}{ }^{3}$ |  |  |  | $\beta_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\beta_{22}$ |  |  | $\beta_{33}$ | $\beta_{12}$ | $\beta_{13}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Ca}(1)$ |  | 0.03304 (8) | $0.1717(2)$ |  | 0.1619 (1) | 1.14 (4) | $7.5(4)$ | 31 (3) | 8.6(7) | -2.0(9) | 2.4(4) | 1 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Ca}(2)$ |  | 0.21377 (8) | 0.0575 (2) | 0.2956 (1) | 1.14 (4) | $6.8(4)$ | 38 (3) | 8.1 (7) | 0.5 (8) | 2.3 (4) | 2(1) |
| B(1) | ring $\mathrm{T}(1)$ | $0.1592(4)$ | $0.3150(11)$ | 0.1914 (6) | $0.8(2)$ | $5(2)$ | 31 (16) | 5(4) | -4(5) | 1 (2) | $6(6)$ |
| B(2) | ring T(2) | 0.1897 (5) | 0.4666 (12) | 0.3166 (6) | 1.5 (2) | $9(3)$ | 44 (17) | 11(5) | -4(6) | 3 (3) | 5(7) |
| B(3) | ring $\triangle$ | 0.0884 (4) | $0.4902(11)$ | 0.2469 (6) | $0.8(2)$ | 4(3) | 0 | 14 (4) | -1(5) | 2(3) | 7(7) |
| B(4) | side $\mathrm{T}(4)$ | -0.0107 (4) | $0.5596(12)$ | 0.1714 (6) | 1.1 (2) | $5(2)$ | 44(16) | 10(4) | 1 (5) | 1(3) | 1(7) |
| C1 |  | $0.1335(1)$ | 0.6356 (3) | 0.0084 (1) | 2.09 (5) | 12.8(6) | 68 (4) | 14.1(9) | 5 (1) | 2.6 (6) | 2(2) |
| O(1) | T(1)-T(2) | $0.1939(2)$ | 0.3289 (6) | 0.2693 (3) | 1.1 (1) | 8(1) | 36 (9) | 5(2) | 2(3) | O(1) | -1(4) |
| O(2) | T(1)- $\Delta$ | 0.1007 (2) | 0.3904 (6) | 0.1885 (3) | 0.8 (1) | 5(1) | 40(9) | 1(2) | 7 (3) | -1(1) | -1(3) |
| O(3) | $\mathrm{T}(2)-\Delta$ | 0.1293 (2) | $0.5301(7)$ | 0.3076 (3) | 1.6(1) | 5 (1) | 68 (10) | 14 (2) | 7 (3) | -3(1) | -14(4) |
| O(4) | $\Delta-T(4)$ | $0.0336(2)$ | 0.5556 (7) | 0.2414 (3) | 1.6 (1) | 8(1) | 49(9) | 13(2) | 2(3) | 3 (1) | -15(4) |
| $\mathrm{OH}(1)$ | T(1) | 0.1475 (2) | $0.1498(6)$ | 0.1803 (3) | 1.1 (1) | 10(1) | 27 (8) | $5(2)$ | -3(3) | 3(1) | -9(4) |
| $\mathrm{OH}(2)$ | T(1) | 0.1934 (2) | 0.3764 (6) | 0.1312 (3) | 1.4(1) | 8 (1) | 54 (9) | 8(2) | -1(3) | 4(1) | $0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{OH}(3)$ | T(2) | $0.2056(2)$ | 0.4355 (7) | 0.4012 (3) | 1.4 (1) | 11 (1) | 54 (9) | 3(2) | 1 (3) | 0(1) | -2(4) |
| $\mathrm{OH}(4)$ | T(2) | 0.2318 (2) | 0.5793 (7) | 0.2887 (3) | 1.6 (1) | 9(1) | 58 (9) | 10(2) | -4(3) | 4 (1) | -2(4) |
| OH(5) | T(4) | -0.0575(2) | $0.6534(7)$ | 0.1975 (3) | $1.7(1)$ | 8 (1) | 71(10) | 13(2) | -6(3) | 3(1) | 13(4) |
| $\mathrm{OH}(6)$ | T(4) | -0.0302 (2) | 0.4001 (7) | 0.1507 (3) | 1.7(1) | 8(1) | 47 (9) | 17 (2) | -1(3) | 1 (1) | $8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{OH}(7)$ | T (4) | 0.0110 (3) | 0.6323 (7) | 0.1021 (3) | 1.6(1) | 12(1) | 51 (9) | 8(2) | -3(3) | 3(1) | 6(4) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1)$ |  | $0.0547(3)$ | 0.2142 (8) | 0.0269 (3) | 2.8(1) | 19(2) | 103(11) | 13(2) | 8 (4) | $7(2)$ | $9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2) |  | $0.0610(3)$ | $0.9145(7)$ | 0.1354 (4) | 2.3(1) | 14 (2) | $52(10)$ | $22(3)$ | -4(3) | 2 (2) | -9(4) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3) |  | $0.0561(2)$ | 0.5759 (7) | 0.4218 (3) | 2.1(1) | 10 (1) | $98(11)$ | 12(2) | 7 (3) | 4 (1) | -3(4) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (4) |  | 0.2379 (3) | -0.1601(7) | 0.3917 (3) | 2.0 (1) | 12 (2) | $64(10)$ | 13(2) | -1(3) | O(1) | $2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (5) |  | 0.1358 (3) | 0.8585 (7) | 0.2767 (3) | 2.1 (1) | 11 (1) | 46 (10) | 23(3) | 0 (3) | 0 (2) | 6(4) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6)$ |  | 0.1637 (3) | 0.1488 (7) | 0.4039 (3) | 2.1 (1) | 16 (1) | 62 (10) | 11(2) | -1(3) | $7(2)$ | 2 (4) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (7) |  | 0.1745 (3) | 0.9943 (7) | 0.0443 (3) | 2.5(1) | 14 (2) | $101(11)$ | $12(2)$ | -5(3) | 4 (2) | -8(4) |
| H(1) | $\mathrm{OH}(1)$ | $0.158(5)$ | $0.120(15)$ | $0.147(7)$ | 4 (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H(2) | $\mathrm{OH}(2)$ | 0.159 (6) | $0.431(16)$ | 0.096 (8) | 6 (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H(3) | OH(3) | 0.245 (5) | 0.451 (12) | 0.418 (6) | 1(2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H(4) | $\mathrm{OH}(4)$ | 0.242 (4) | 0.668 (11) | 0.328 (5) | 1 (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H(5) | $\mathrm{OH}(5)$ | -0.103(7) | 0.670 (16) | 0.153 (9) | $9(5)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H(6) | $\mathrm{OH}(6)$ | -0.061(6) | 0.379 (16) | $0.102(8)$ | 6 (4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(7)$ | $\mathrm{OH}(7)$ | $0.050(5)$ | $0.570(13)$ | 0.088 (6) | 3(2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(8)$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1)$ | $0.064(8)$ | $0.158(16)$ | $0.003(9)$ | 11 (7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H(9) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1) | $0.038(5)$ | 0.257 (14) | -0.003(7) | 3(3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H(10) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2)$ | 0.037 (6) | 0.813(16) | 0.117 (8) | 7 (4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H(11) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2)$ | $0.098(6)$ | 0.877 (16) | 0.160 (8) | 5(3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H(12) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3)$ | 0.086 (4) | $0.564(12)$ | 0.385 (6) | $1(2)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H(13) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3)$ | 0.079 (6) | $0.633(17)$ | 0.456 (8) | 5 (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H(14) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4)$ | 0.211 (6) | -0.173(16) | 0.423 (8) | 5 (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H(15) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4)$ | 0.277 (5) | -0.183(13) | 0.422 (6) | 2(2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H(16) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (5) | 0.142 (9) | 0.785 (16) | 0.277 (8) | 15(7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H(17) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5)$ | 0.093 (6) | 0.845 (16) | 0.294 (8) | 8(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H(18) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6)$ | $0.157(5)$ | $0.111(15)$ | 0.440 (8) | $3(2)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H(19) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6)$ | 0.174 (6) | 0.241 (18) | 0.409 (8) | 4(3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H(20) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7)$ | $0.185(6)$ | 1.048(16) | 0.004 (8) | 8(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H(21) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (7) | 0.158 (8) | 0.907 (16) | 0.046 (8) | 14 (6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]Table 4. Bond distances and angles in the borate polyanion of hydrochlorborite

| Atoms ${ }^{1}$ | Distance ${ }^{2}$ <br> (A) | Atoms ${ }^{1}$ | Distance ${ }^{2}$ <br> (A) | Atoms ${ }^{1}$ | Distance ${ }^{2}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | Atoms ${ }^{1}$ | Distance ${ }^{2 .}$ <br> (A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B(1)-O(1)$ | 1.470 (11) | O(1)-0(2) | $2.453(7)$ | B (4)-O(4) | 1.471 (11) | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{OH}(5)$ | 2.289 (8) |
| -0(2) | 1.483(11) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{OH}(1)$ | 2.346 (7) | -OH(5) | 1.455 (11) | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{OH}(6)$ | 2.414(8) |
| -OH(1) | 1.477 (11) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{OH}(2)$ | 2.393(7) | $-\mathrm{OH}(6)$ | 1.494 (12) | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{OH}(7)$ | 2.466 (8) |
| -OH(2) | 1.464(11) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{OH}(1)$ | 2.370 (7) | -OH(7) | 1.478(11) | $\mathrm{OH}(5)-\mathrm{OH}(6)$ | 2.459 (8) |
|  |  | O(2)-OH(2) | $2.433(7)$ |  |  | OH (5)-OH (7) | 2.389(8) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{OH}(1)-\mathrm{OH}(2)$ | 2.436 (7) |  |  | $\mathrm{OH}(6)-\mathrm{OH}(7)$ | 2.423(8) |
| average | 1.474 | average | 2.405 | average | 1.474 | average | 2.407 |
| $B(2)-O(1)$ | $1.459(12)$ | $0(1)-0(3)$ | 2.431(7) | $B(3)-O(2)$ | $1.378(11)$ | $0(2)-0(3)$ | 2.396(7) |
| -0(3) | 1.476 (12) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{OH}(3)$ | 2.421 (7) | -0(3) | $1.356(11)$ | $0(2)-0(4)$ | $2.358(7)$ |
| -OH(3) | 1.471 (11) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{OH}(4)$ | 2.364 (8) | -0(4) | 1.366 (11) | $0(3)-0(4)$ | 2.346 (7) |
| -OH(4) | 1.491(12) | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{OH}(3)$ | 2.368 (7) | average | 1.367 | average | 2.367 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{OH}(4)$ | 2.432 (7) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{OH}(3)-\mathrm{OH}(4)$ | 2.427 (8) | $B(1)-B(2)$ | 2.541 (14) |  |  |
| average | 1.474 | average | 2.407 | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | 2.490 (14) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $B(2)-B(3)$ | 2.480 (14) |  |  |
| average of 12 | 1.474 | average of 18 | 2.406 | $B(4)-B(3)$ | 2.539 (14) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | average | 2.512 |  |  |
| Central atom | Other atoms | Angle $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ |  | Central atom | Other atoms | Angle $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |  |
| $B(1)$ | O(1), 0(2) | 112.4(7) |  | B (4) | $\mathrm{O}(4), \mathrm{OH}(5)$ | 102.9(7) |  |
|  | 0 (1) , $\mathrm{OH}(1)$ | 105.5(7) |  |  | $\mathrm{O}(4), \mathrm{OH}(6)$ | 109.1(7) |  |
|  | $0(1), \mathrm{OH}(2)$ | 109.3(7) |  |  | $\mathrm{O}(4), \mathrm{OH}(7)$ | 113.4 (7) |  |
|  | $\mathrm{O}(2), \mathrm{OH}(1)$ | 106.4(7) |  |  | $\mathrm{OH}(5), \mathrm{OH}(6)$ | 113.0(7) |  |
|  | $\mathrm{O}(2), \mathrm{OH}(2)$ | 111.3(7) |  |  | $\mathrm{OH}(5), \mathrm{OH}(7)$ | 109.1(7) |  |
|  | $\mathrm{OH}(1), \mathrm{OH}(2)$ | 111.8(7) |  |  | $\mathrm{OH}(6), \mathrm{OH}(7)$ | 109.3(7) |  |
|  | average | 109.4 |  |  | average | 109.5 |  |
| B (2) | O(1), 0(3) | 111.8(7) |  | B (3) | O(2),0(3) | 122.4(8) |  |
|  | $\mathrm{O}(1), \mathrm{OH}(3)$ | 111.5 (7) |  |  | 0 (2), O(4) | 118.5(8) |  |
|  | $\mathrm{O}(1), \mathrm{OH}(4)$ | 106.5 (7) |  |  | $0(3), 0(4)$ | 119.0(8) |  |
|  | $\mathrm{O}(3), \mathrm{OH}(3)$ | 106.9(7) |  |  | - | 359.9 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{O}(3), \mathrm{OH}(4)$ | 110.1(7) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{OH}(3), \mathrm{OH}(4)$ | 110.0(7) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | average | 109.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 (1) | $\mathrm{B}(1), \mathrm{B}(2)$ | 120.4(7) |  | O(3) | B (2) , B (3) | 122.2(7) |  |
| $0(2)$ | $B(1), B(3)$ | 121.0(6) |  | O(4) | $B(3), B(4)$ | 127.0 (7) |  |

[^1]vibration ellipsoids for calciums, chlorine, and oxygens, calculated using L. W. Finger's unpublished Error program, are given in Table $3^{1}$.

The distances, angles, and associated standard errors for the borate polyanion and Ca polyhedra re-

[^2]ported in Tables 4 and 5 were calculated using Error and the refined boron, calcium, and oxygen positions and variance-covariance matrix from refinement cycle 25 . Several additional cycles of refinement were carried out during which the positional and anisotropic thermal parameters of all cations were fixed at their refined values and the positional and thermal parameters of chlorine, oxygens, and hydrogens were allowed to vary. The resulting positional parameters and variance-covariance matrix were used to com-
Table 5. Bond distances and angles for the Ca polyhedra in hydrochlorborite



Fig. 1. Stereoscopic-pair view ( $+b$ towards reader) of selected portions of the hydrochlorborite structure with spheres of arbitrary size for atoms, showing the $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{H}$ bonding, some $\mathrm{Ca}-\mathrm{O}$ polyhedral chains, and the borate polyanions. The $\mathrm{O} \ldots \mathrm{H}$ bonds are not drawn, but most can be noted with reference to Table 6. Drawing from Ortep (Johnson, 1965).
pute the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$, and $\mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distances and associated errors reported in Table 6. Because the errors associated with the H positions and these bond lengths are relatively large, the reported H positions and distances involving H should be considered as approximate. The large errors are due in part to the fact that our intensity data extend only to $40^{\circ} 2 \theta$ and the number of parameters to be refined is large compared with the number of data available.

## Structural description

As shown by the stereographic-pair view in Figure 1 , the structure of hydrochlorborite consists of isolated borate polyanions which are linked to each other by Ca polyhedra and hydrogen bonding to


Fig. 2. View along $b$ of one $\left[\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{OH})_{4} \cdot \mathrm{OB}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right]^{\text {3- }}$ polyanion in hydrochlorborite, $c$ approximately horizontal, thermal ellipsoids of 50 percent probability except for unlabelled hydrogen atoms (spheres of arbitrary size). Drawing from Ortep (Johnson, 1965).
form slabs stacked sandwich-like along $c$. These slabs are held to each other by hydrogen bonding to Cl anions. The Cl anions do not coordinate Ca , as was expected, but instead are held in the structure by eight hydrogen bonds to oxygens. The Ca polyhedra are linked together in groups of four through the sharing of two corners and an edge to form short chains approximately parallel to $a$; these groups do not link to form infinite chains parallel to $b$ as previously suggested in the preliminary report of this work (Brown and Clark, 1977).

## The borate polyanion

Each polyanion is formed by corner-sharing among two borate tetrahedra and a triangle, producing a triborate ring. This triborate polyanion type is the most frequently reported in borate structures studied to date and has been given the notation $3:(2 \mathrm{~T}$ $+\Delta$ ) by Christ and Clark (1977). However, in hydrochlorborite, this basic unit is modified by attachment of a tetrahedron to the non-ring oxygen of the borate trangle (Fig. 2), and the notation becomes 3:(2T+ + ) +T . This is the first such modification to the $3:(2 \mathrm{~T}+$ $\Delta$ ) polyanion reported to date ${ }^{2}$.
The only other modified triborate group known at this time is the $3:(3 \mathrm{~T})+\mathrm{T}$ polyanion in the structure of uralborite, $\mathrm{Ca}_{2}\left[\mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{OH})_{5} \cdot \mathrm{OB}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right.$ ] (Shashkin et al., 1970; Simonov et al., 1977; Christ and Clark, 1977). Ten other borate structures having the isolated, unmodified 3: $2 \mathrm{~T}+\Delta$ ) polyanion have been discussed by Christ and Clark (1977).

[^3]In hydrochlorborite, $\mathrm{B}(3)$ is trigonally coordinated by oxygen anions which lie approximately in a plane with $\mathrm{B}(3)$ at an average distance of 1.367 A . This average $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{HI}}-\mathrm{O}$ distance is considerably shorter than the average $\mathrm{B}^{I V}-\mathrm{O}$ distance of 1.474 A for the three borate tetrahedra. The shorter $\mathrm{B}^{111}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds are presumably related to the stabilization of the $1 a_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ and $3 e^{\prime}$ molecular orbitals of $\left[\mathrm{BO}_{3}\right]^{3-}$, which are composed of boron $2 p$ and oxygen $2 p$ atomic orbitals, relative to the $3 t_{2}$ molecular orbital of $\left[\mathrm{BO}_{4}\right]^{5-}$ (Vaughan and Tossell, 1973). The $\mathrm{B}(1)^{\mathrm{IV}}-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{B}(3)^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{O}(3)-$ $B(2)^{\mathrm{IV}}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ ring is essentially planar except for puckering of the $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ linkage. The angle from the ring to the attached tetrahedron, $\mathrm{B}(4)^{\mathrm{IV}}-\mathrm{O}(4)-$ $B(3)^{111}$, is $127.0^{\circ}$, somewhat larger than the average $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{B}$ angle of $121.2^{\circ}$ within the ring. The experimental location of protons in this hydrated borate is in complete agreement with the rules of Christ and Clark (1977). Those oxygens attached to only one boron add a proton to form hydroxyl ion, and all oxygens not associated with the borate polyanion are doubly protonated, forming water molecules. As might be expected, the equivalent isotropic temperature factors for oxygen and hydroxyl ions are significantly lower than those for the water molecules (Table 1).

## The calcium polyhedra

The two crystallographically distinct Ca cations, $\mathrm{Ca}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Ca}(2)$, are each coordinated by two oxygens, three hydroxyls, and three water molecules at distances ranging from 2.336 to 2.681 A (Table 5), the grand mean $\mathrm{Ca}^{\mathrm{VIII}}-\mathrm{O}$ distance being 2.474 A . Each polyhedron shares two $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ edges with borate tetrahedra, averaging 2.350 A in length relative to the unshared edges, which average 3.236 A . As expected, the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Ca}-\mathrm{O}$ angles opposite shared edges are narrower than those opposite unshared edges; the cation-cation repulsions across shared edges result in significant distortions of the polyhedra. Two $\mathrm{Ca}(2)$ poly-


Fig. 3. An isolated four-membered chain of calcium polyhedra sharing corners and an edge in hydrochlorborite, viewed along $c$. Adapted from a drawing of Ortep (Johnson, 1965).
hedra share an $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ edge, 3.045 A , and each shares a corner with a $\mathrm{Ca}(1)$ polyhedron so that a four-membered chain is formed, oriented approximately parallel to $a$ (Fig. 3). These chains are joined to others through borate polyanions to form ribbons parallel to $a$. Adjacent ribbons are joined together through hydrogen bonding to form slabs that are oriented parallel to $\{001\}$.

## Chlorine coordination and hydrogen bonding

The Cl anion is hydrogen-bonded to eight oxygens, five associated with water molecules and three with hydroxyls, all at distances ranging from 3.182 to 3.395 A , the average $\mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{VIII}}-\mathrm{O}$ distance being 3.296 A (Table 6). The eight $\mathrm{H} \ldots \mathrm{Cl}$ distances average 2.43A, a value that agrees reasonably well with two $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ distances of 2.41 and 2.49 A reported in the structure of hilgardite (Ghose and Wan, 1978). The occurrence of six hydrogen bonds to chlorine has been reported in several structures, but, to our knowledge, hydrochlorborite is the first inorganic structure in which as many as eight $\mathrm{H} \ldots \mathrm{Cl}$ bonds occur. Its average $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ distance is somewhat longer than the average of 3.194 A reported for six $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ in $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2} \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Sasvari and Jeffrey, 1966) where that chlorine does not coordinate to Mg but is hydrogen-bonded only.

The $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}$ polyhedra in hydrochlorborite occur as isolated pairs, sharing an edge, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4)-$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4)^{\prime}$, the long axis of the dimer being oriented along $a$ (Fig. 1). These dimers connect the slabs of borate polyanions and Ca-polyhedral chains discussed earlier, literally holding the structure together through $\mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}$ linkages.

Each proton in hydrochlorborite is bonded to only one other anion, either chlorine or oxygen, in addition to its own associated hydroxyl- or water-oxygen atom. Of the 13 hydrogen bonds to water oxygens (Table 6), 2 can be considered strong, $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}<2.7 \mathrm{~A}$, and 11 weak, $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}>2.7 \mathrm{~A}$. Of the latter, only one must be considered very weak, i.e. $>3.0 \mathrm{~A}$.

The seven water molecules can be classified following the scheme devised by Chidambaram et al. (1964) and modified by Ferraris and Franchini-Angela (1972), which is based on the coordination of lonepair orbitals on the water oxygens. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2)$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5)$ each have one Ca cation oriented approximately along the bisectrix of the lone-pair orbitals on the water oxygen, and hence are classified as 1D. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4)$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6)$ each bond to one Ca cation and accept one hydrogen bond, both lying along the lone-pair orbitals, and so are classified as 2 H water molecules. The seventh water molecule,

Table 6. Hydrogen bonds in hydrochlorborite

| Donor oxygen atom | Hydrogen atom of donor | Acceptor atom ${ }^{1}$ | Distance ${ }^{2}(\AA)$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Angle } \left.{ }^{2}{ }^{\circ}\right) \\ & 0-\mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $0 \cdots 0$ | O-H | $\mathrm{H} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{O}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6)$ | H(19) | OH(3) | 2.685 (9) | 0.85 (15) | 1.85 (15) | 168 (13) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7)$ | H(20) | OH(3) : $x, \frac{3}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}-z$ | 2.693 (8) | 0.88(13) | 1.88 (13) | 152 (13) |
|  | average | 2 strong bonds | 2.689 | 0.86 | 1.86 | 160 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3)$ | H(12) | O(3) | 2.740 (8) | 1.00 (10) | 1.75 (11) | 170(8) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2) | H(10) | $\mathrm{OH}(7)$ | 2.749 (8) | 1.07 (13) | 1.70(13) | 168(13) |
| $\mathrm{OH}(5)$ | H(5) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6): \bar{x}, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z$ | 2.805 (8) | 1.21 (13) | 1.61 (13) | 166 (13) |
| $\mathrm{OH}(1)$ | H(1) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7): x, y-1, z$ | 2.819 (8) | 0.69 (12) | 2.14 (13) | 167 (13) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2) | H(11) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5)$ | 2.829 (8) | 0.96 (13) | 2.07 (13) | 134 (11) |
| $\mathrm{OH}(3)$ | H(3) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7): \frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{3}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}-z$ | 2.847 (8) | 0.92 (10) | 1.93 (10) | 174 (10) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5)$ | H(17) | $\mathrm{OH}(6): \bar{x}, y+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-z$ | $2.856(8)$ | 1.06 (13) | 1.87 (13) | 153 (13) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1)$ | H(9) | $\mathrm{OH}(7): \bar{x}, 1-y, \bar{z}$ | 2.849(8) | 0.72 (12) | 2.14 (12) | 174 (12) |
| OH(4) | H(4) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4): x, y+1, z$ | 2.871(8) | $1.03(10)$ | 1.87 (10) | 163 (7) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5)$ | H(16) | O(3) | 2.927 (8) | 0.66 (13) | 2.32 (13) | 155(13) |
|  | average | 10 bonds | 2.829 | 0.93 | 1.94 | 162 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1)$ | H(8) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3): x, \frac{1}{2}-y, z-\frac{1}{2}$ | 3.109 (9) | 0.69 (13) | 2.46 (13) | 157 (13) |
|  | average | all 13 bonds | 2.829 | 0.90 | 1.97 | 162 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6)$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 1: x, \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+z \\ & \mathrm{C} 1: x, \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+z \end{aligned}$ | 0...C1 | O-H | H. . - C1 | 0-H...Cl |
|  | H (18) |  | 3.182(6) | 0.74 (13) | 2.53 (14) | 148(13) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4)$ | H(14) |  | 3.220 (6) | 0.88 (14) | 2.43(14) | 165 (12) |
| $\mathrm{OH}(2)$ | H(2) |  | 3.274 (6) | 1.05 (14) | 2.36 (14) | 145(10) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4)$ | H(15) | $\mathrm{C} 1: \frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}-z$ | 3.283(6) | 1.00 (11) | 2.27 (11) | 158(9) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3)$ | H(13) | C1: $x, \frac{3}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+3$ | 3.325 (7) | 0.90 (15) | 2.48(15) | 157 (12) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7)$ | H(21) | C1 | 3.310(7) | 0.85 (15) | $2.50(15)$ | 158(13) |
| $\mathrm{OH}(7)$ | H(7) | C1 | 3.376(6) | 1.10 (11) | 2.52 (11) | 134 (8) |
| OH(6) | H(6) | C1: $\bar{x}, 1-y, \bar{z}$ | 3.395 (6) | 1.04 (15) | 2.36(15) | 173(13) |
|  | average | 8 bonds | 3.296 | 0.94 | 2.43 | 155 |
| next nea neighbor | $0(2)$ | Cl | 3.769 (6) |  |  |  |

[^4]$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7)$, has two hydrogen acceptor atoms forming bonds along the lone-pair orbitals and so is of Class 2 E .
The relative importance of hydrogen bonding in this structure is illustrated by the contribution of hydrogen to the bond strength summations for the oxygen atoms (Table 7). Because large errors are
associated with the hydrogen positions, as discussed earlier, the bond strengths involving hydrogen have been obtained using the $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distances and the associated bond strengths proposed by Zachariasen (1963), recently shown to be the best available method for evaluating hydrogen bonding in borate structures (Ghose et al., 1978). However, to our

Table 7. Bond strengths (s) in hydrochlorborite

| Anion | Es (valence units) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B-0 | $\mathrm{Ca}-0$ | O-H | $0 \cdots 0$ | $\Sigma$ |
| O(1) | 1.54 | 0.44 |  | - | 1.98 |
| 0 (2) | 1.71 | 0.25 |  |  | 1.96 |
| 0 (3) | 1.77 |  | - | 0.38 | 2.15 |
| O(4) | 1.76 | 0.22 |  |  | 1.98 |
| $\mathrm{OH}(1)$ | 0.74 | 0.46 | 0.80 | - | 2.00 |
| $\mathrm{OH}(2)$ | 0.77 | 0.27 | 0.88 |  | 1.92 |
| $\mathrm{OH}(3)$ | 0.76 |  | 0.81 | 0.51 | 2.08 |
| $\mathrm{OH}(4)$ | 0.72 | 0.30 | 0.82 |  | 1.84 |
| $\mathrm{OH}(5)$ | 0.79 | 0.28 | 0.80 |  | 1.87 |
| $\mathrm{OH}(6)$ | 0.71 | 0.26 | 0.88 | 0.18 | 2.03 |
| $\mathrm{OH}(7)$ | 0.74 |  | 0.88 | 0.43 | 2.05 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1) | - | 0.26 | 1.73 | - | 1.99 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2) | - | 0.28 | 1.56 |  | 1.84 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3) | - | 0.25 | 1.65 | 0.09 | 1.99 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (4) |  | 0.23 | 1.75 | 0.17 | 2.15 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (5) | - | 0.25 | 1.66 | 0.19 | 2.10 |
| H20(6) | - | 0.27 | 1.62 | 0.20 | 2.09 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (7) | - |  | 1.62 | 0.38 | 2.00 |
| C1 |  | - | - | - | 1.00 |

Bond strengths obtained as follows:
(1) B-0, Ca-0--Donnay and Allmann (1970); Brown and Shannon (1973)
(2) $0 \cdots 0-$-Zachariasen (1963, Table 9, assuming $s$ for $0-H=1-s$ for $0 \cdots$ )
(3) $0 \cdots \mathrm{C} 1$, assuming $s=0.125$ for each of 8 $0 \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ and associated $0-\mathrm{H} \mathrm{s}=0.875$
knowledge, no $\mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ bond strength-bond length relationship has been proposed. Therefore, we have assumed that a reasonable $\mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ strength is approximately 0.125 valence units in the present case, although obviously this value should vary somewhat with distance. Using this value and assuming the balance, 0.875 v.u., for the associated $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}$ bond strength, summations ranging from 1.84 to 2.15 are obtained for the oxygen atoms (Table 7). Considering the assumptions involved, these values seem satisfactory and do confirm the importance of hydrogen bonds in this structure and their correct assignment as acceptor and donor.

Relationship of crystal structure to cleavage, morphol-
ogy, solubility, and paragenesis of hydrochlorborite
The good $\{001\}$ cleavage, tabular morphology parallel to $\{001\}$, slow dissolution in water at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reported seasonal nature of this evaporite mineral can be rationalized in terms of the crystal structure which consists of slabs of composition $2\left\{\mathrm{Ca}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\left[\mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{OH})_{4} \cdot \mathrm{OB}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right]\right\}^{1+}$, oriented parallel to $\{001\}$ and bonded through hydrogen to $\left[\mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}\right]^{1-}$ anions. Water apparently breaks these hydrogen bonds and conceivably those holding together the ribbons of borate polyanions and fourmembered Ca polyhedral chains parallel to $a$. Hurl-
but et al. (1977) suggested that when the water table in the Salar Carcote intersects the clay layer containing crystals of hydrochlorborite, these crystals dissolve. A mechanism for the reformation of hydrochlorborite as the water table drops could involve the simple type of polyanion equilibrium suggested by Christ et al. (1967) to explain transformations with changing pH and activity of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ among hydrated $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Ca}$, and Mg borate minerals. The association of hydrochlorborite with ulexite, $\mathrm{NaCa}\left[\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{6}\right]$. $5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and halite permits the following hypothesis. If we assume that total boron in the system remains constant but that the activities of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}, \mathrm{H}^{+}$, $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ can be varied independently, following the suggestions by Christ et al., the transformation between ulexite and hydrochlorborite may be expressed in terms of the polyanions as

$$
\begin{aligned}
4\left[\mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{6}\right]^{3-}+3(\mathrm{OH})^{-} & +4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& =5\left[\mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{OH})_{4} \cdot \mathrm{OB}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right]^{3-}
\end{aligned}
$$

This reaction suggests that increasing pH in the presence of halite and water favors the formation of hydrochlorborite from ulexite. However, the apparent rarity of this mineral also suggests that other unaccounted-for factors may prevent or invalidate this simple reaction.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Fig. 1. $T=$ tetrahedron, $\Delta=$ triangle, $T-T=$ linking one tetrahedron to another, etc. H atoms are associated with the OH or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as noted.
    ${ }^{2}$ Number in parentheses is one standard deviation; for 0.03304 ( 8 ), read $0.03304 \pm 0.00008$, for 0.3150 (11), read $0.3150 \pm 0.0011$, ete.
    $\left\{-\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} h_{i} h_{n} h_{j} \beta_{i j}\right\}$. The thermal ellipsoid of $B(3)$
    was nonpositive definite, so $\beta_{22}$ was arbitrarily set at 0 .

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ All atoms at $x, y, z$ (Table 1)
    ${ }^{2}$ Number in garentheses is one standard deviation; for $1.470(11)$, read $1.470 \pm 0.011 \AA$, etc.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ To receive copies of Tables 2 and 3, order Document AM-78087 from the Business Office, Mineralogical Society of America, 1909 K Street, NW, Washington, DC 20006. Please remit $\$ 1.00$ in advance for the microfiche.

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ Modification by attachment of a triangle, $3:(2 T+\Delta)+\Delta$, was reported in the structure of a synthetic magnesium borate $\mathrm{MgB}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Abdullaev and Mamedov, 1969), but the structure is probably wrong (Wan and Ghose, 1977).

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Atoms at $x, y, z$ (Table1) unless transformed as noted.
    ${ }^{2}$ Number in parentheses is one standard deviation for $2.685(9)$, read $2.685 \pm 0.009 \AA$; for 1.85(15), read $1.85 \pm 0.15 \AA$, etc.

