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ABSTRACT

A model for the mixing properties of quaternary Ca-Mg-Fe-Mn garnets is developed by mathematical programming analysis of reversed phase-equilibrium data as well as calorimetric and volumetric data. The positions of end-member equilibria are established by using the thermodynamic data of Berman (1988) with minor revisions. Recent phase-equilibrium and calorimetric data strongly suggest excess entropy [5.08 J/(mol K)] on the grossular-almandine join, but considerably smaller excess enthalpy than implied by the data of Cresssey et al. (1978).

Near-ideal mixing is deduced for the pyrope-almandine join from an analysis of three sets of orthopyroxene-garnet Fe-Mg exchange experiments. The derived mixing properties of Fe-Mg garnets are tested by calibrating annite properties from Ferry and Spear's (1978) experimental data on the garnet-biotite exchange equilibrium. These annite data lead to reasonable results for pressures computed from the equilibrium muscovite + almandine = annite + aluminosilicate + quartz, in contrast to results obtained with annite properties derived by using larger nonideal Fe-Mg mixing in garnet. Applications of this formulation of the garnet-biotite geothermometer yield most reasonable results when $W_{\text{Mn-Mg}} = 0$.

Excellent support for the derived mixing properties of garnet is demonstrated by (1) convergence of different equilibria involving various garnet components in a single $P-T$ region for a two-pyroxene granulite, (2) calculating pressures with the equilibrium 3 anorthite = grossular + 2 aluminosilicate + quartz that place the observed aluminosilicate polymorph in natural assemblages within its computed stability field, and (3) computing pressures as above and garnet-biotite temperatures that place rocks with more than one aluminosilicate phase in proximity to the appropriate aluminosilicate phase boundary.

INTRODUCTION

Garnet is one of the most important minerals used in petrogenetic calculations because of its occurrence in a wide range of bulk compositions over a wide range of metamorphic grades. Nevertheless, and in spite of considerable effort very little consensus has been reached about the validity of various models proposed for garnet solution properties (compare, for example, Ganguly and Saxena, 1984, with Wood, 1987). This lack of consensus stems primarily from three sources. First, experimental constraints on solution properties come from direct measurements (calorimetry, XRD) and phase-equilibrium data that make the definition of a unique set of solution properties highly sensitive to the weight given to each type of data. A related problem is the weight given to distribution-coefficient ($K_d$) data of natural assemblages. Second, phase-equilibrium data (or natural $K_d$ data) cannot be used to refine solution properties independently of standard-state thermodynamic properties so that definition of the former is sensitive to the choice of the latter. Third, considerable freedom exists in selecting model complexity and calibration of model parameters because of the nonnegligible uncertainties associated with all three types of data.

Several models for quaternary Ca-Mg-Fe-Mn garnets have been proposed (Ganguly and Kennedy, 1974; Hodges and Spear, 1982; Ganguly and Saxena, 1984), although Ganguly and Saxena recommended caution in applications of their model to garnets with Fe/Mg < 3. In spite of extreme differences between the latter two models, both are still commonly used by petrologists attempting to decipher $P-T$ conditions and $P-T-t$ histories. Application of these and other models that apply to garnets of a limited range of compositions invariably lead to diverse results among which it is difficult for most petrologists to select objectively. The purposes of this paper are to begin to resolve these differences by showing the range of solution properties that are consistent with available experimental data and to derive a revised garnet solution model that can be applied with more confidence to a wide range of bulk compositions.

Phase-equilibrium experiments provide an important source of data from which to retrieve mixing properties, but the data derived are very sensitive to the choice of standard-state thermodynamic data used in the calculations. Recently, Berman (1988) presented a set of standard-state thermodynamic properties for 67 minerals in the system Na$_2$O-K$_2$O-CaO-MgO-FeO-Fe$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$-TiO$_2$-H$_2$O-CO$_2$ that were optimized using the technique
of mathematical programming (MAP). The internal consistency of these properties and their high degree of compatibility with available experimental data (see Berman, 1988, for supporting details) provide an excellent point of departure to derive excess free energies of the garnet solid solution. Direct measurements of mixing properties are utilized to distinguish the enthalpy, entropy, and volume contributions to the free energies of mixing.

EQUATIONS FOR MULTICOMPONENT SOLUTIONS

This study utilizes the general excess equations (Eqs. 9 and 22) derived by Berman and Brown (1984) for multicomponent solutions. For a third-degree polynomial (asymmetric excess model), the activity coefficient of the nth component is written as follows:

\[ nRT \ln \gamma_m = \sum W_{ij,k}(Q_{m}X_{j}X_{k}/X_{m} - 2X_{j}X_{k}), \] (1)

where each \( W \) refers to \( W_{ij} = W_{ij} - TW_{ij} + PW_{ij} \), \( Q_m \) is a term that counts the number of the i, j, k subscripts that are equal to m (Table 1; see also App. 1), \( n \) is the number of sites on which mixing occurs, and \( p \) is equal to the number of excess parameters needed for a given system. This number and the identity of the parameters represent all possible permutations of the three subscripts in a given component system. The major advantages of this formulation are (1) it can be memorized easily because the parameter subscripts serve as reminders of their coefficients, (2) the same equations apply to any number of components [or any degree of polynomial used to express the excess free energy if Eq. 22 of Berman and Brown (1984) is used], and (3) calculation of activity coefficients can be programmed with a minimum amount of computer code while allowing for maximum generality.

For use in systems with more than two components, a specific form of excess equation that was suggested by Wohl (1946, 1953) has found widespread use (e.g., Anderson and Lindsley, 1981; Ganguly and Saxena, 1984; Fuhrman and Lindsley, 1988; Jackson, 1989). The Wohl equation can be recast in the form of the general excess equation (i.e., Eq. 1) by substitution for the ternary \( W_{ijk} \):

\[ W_{ijk} = (W_{iij} + W_{ijj} + W_{ikk} + W_{jik} + W_{jji} + W_{kkj})/2 - C_{ijk}. \] (2)

Equations 1 and 2 combine to give the same equation that Anderson and Lindsley (1981) derived independently by making the ternary excess parameter a function of third-degree constants in the initial polynomial used to represent the excess free energy of solution. In the absence of ternary data to calibrate the ternary Wohl \( C_{ijk} \) term, it can be seen that the Wohl equation approximates the ternary parameter given by Equation 1 as one-half the sum of the bounding binary parameters. This relationship has been retained in this study as experimental data are available to calibrate ternary interactions only in the Ca-Mg-Fe system.

| Table 1. \( Q_m \) terms in Equation 1 for garnet excess parameters given in Table 4 |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Parameter  | \( m = 1 \) | \( m = 2 \) | \( m = 3 \) | \( m = 4 \) |
| 112        | 2              | 1              | 0              | 0              |
| 122        | 1              | 2              | 0              | 0              |
| 113        | 2              | 0              | 1              | 0              |
| 133        | 1              | 0              | 2              | 0              |
| 223        | 0              | 2              | 1              | 0              |
| 233        | 0              | 1              | 2              | 0              |
| 123        | 1              | 1              | 0              | 1              |
| 124        | 1              | 1              | 0              | 1              |
| 134        | 1              | 0              | 1              | 1              |
| 234        | 0              | 1              | 1              | 1              |

METHODOLOGY

The technique of mathematical programming (Berman et al., 1986) has been used to calibrate all relevant thermodynamic properties. Accordingly, each half-bracket on the position of a phase equilibrium is cast as an inequality constraint on the Gibbs free energy of reaction, with the directional sense of the inequality constraint reflecting from which side the equilibrium has been approached (Fig. 1). For the equilibrium

\[ 3\text{An} = \text{Gr} + 2\text{Ky} + \text{Qz}, \] (A)

(see App. 2 for abbreviations of mineral names) these constraints are written as

\[ \Delta G \leq 0. \] (3)

If garnet is the only phase that exhibits solid solution in the experiment, this expression can be expanded (for a site multiplicity of three) to

\[ \Delta G^0 + 3RT \ln \gamma_{\text{Gr}} + 3RT \ln X_{\text{Gr}} \leq 0. \] (4)

Upon rearrangement, it can be seen that each experiment offers direct linear constraints on the set of Margules parameters used to represent the activity-coefficient term if the standard-state properties and \( X_{\text{Gr}} \) are known from experiment:

\[ W'X' \leq -\Delta G^0 - 3RT \ln X_{\text{Gr}}, \] (5)

where \( W' \) represents the set of Margules parameters and \( X' \) the sum of all mole-fraction coefficients resulting from substitution of Equation 1. If any of the standard-state properties \( (H, S, V, C_p, \alpha, \beta) \) are unknown or in need of refinement, these terms remain as variables on the left side of Relation 5.

The mathematical programming approach described above and discussed in more detail by Berman et al. (1986) offers several advantages over alternate methods of treating phase-equilibrium data. First, results using regression analysis are highly sensitive to the implicit or explicit weighting of the input data with no safeguard against violation of specific phase-equilibrium brackets. With MAP, the full range of solution properties consistent with a set of phase-equilibrium data can be explored without recourse to the iterative manual adjustment of input data used by Lindsley et al. (1981, p. 166) or the trial and
Fig. 1. Illustration of the procedure used to derive solution properties for garnet from constant temperature experiments on the displacement of the equilibrium $3\text{An} = \text{Gr} + 2\text{Ky} + \text{Qz}$. Crosses and arrowheads show the starting and final garnet compositions, respectively. Uncertainties in pressure and final compositions are incorporated into the $P-\Delta G$ location of constraints used in the analysis. Squares and diamonds represent inequality brackets with $\Delta G < 0$ and $\Delta G > 0$, respectively.

Prior to the $\Delta G$ analysis, excess-volume parameters were fixed by analysis of measured volumes on each of the binary joins. Excess-entropy parameters were similarly fixed for the grossular-pyrope join, the only binary for which direct excess-entropy measurements have been made. Every attempt has been made to adhere to the philosophy that the adopted solution model be only as complex as required by the experimental data and associated uncertainties.

Although inequality constraints representing $2\sigma$ uncertainties of excess enthalpies could be analyzed simultaneously with the phase-equilibrium data, this procedure has not been followed here because of the repeated occurrence of inconsistencies between phase-equilibrium data and measured heats of mixing. Instead, phase-equilibrium data have been used to form the primary constraints on enthalpies and entropies of mixing, whereas heat-of-mixing data were used to optimize the final properties with a nonlinear least-squares objective function (Berman et al., 1986). The lesser weight given to the latter data can be rationalized by the common occurrence within individual sets of enthalpy measurements of outliers that do not agree with $2\sigma$ uncertainties with either smooth analytical representations of the entire data set or with duplicate measurements (Figs. 3a, 6a, 8a). In contrast, taking account of compositional uncertainties in phase-equilibrium experiments generally suffices to correct for any overstepping of inferred equilibrium boundaries indicated by nominal compositions, although inconsistencies among data sets can arise that need to be resolved.

**CALIBRATION OF BINARY JOINS**

Table 2 summarizes the phase-equilibrium data that have been used to constrain thermodynamic properties. The thermodynamic activity of a mineral component is calculated from the pressure difference between the position of an equilibrium with end-member minerals and the position of the same equilibrium with the solid solution. It is therefore important to establish and correct for any differences among the positions of the end-member curves computed with the thermodynamic data used in this study and the experimentally determined end-member curves. In this study preference is given to the position of the thermodynamic end-member curves, as the thermodynamic data have been refined using an ex-
Experimental database that extends far beyond the equilibria listed in Table 2 (see Berman, 1988, for details). In addition, this assumption leads to fulfillment of one of the goals of this work: to provide petrologists with an internally consistent set of standard-state and solution properties of minerals that can be applied meaningfully to natural assemblages.

Figure 2 shows the position of Equilibrium A computed with the thermodynamic data of Berman (1988) in comparison with brackets determined in four studies aimed at measuring grossular activity by the displaced equilibrium method. The computed curve agrees with the overall distribution of Koziol and Newton's (1988a) data, which have been adjusted downward in pressure by 0.2 kbar to account for their estimation of friction effects in ¼-in. (1.905-cm) NaCl pressure assemblies, although the curve is displaced to the low-pressure uncertainty limit (0.54 kbar estimated by Koziol and Newton) of the 950 and 1050 °C brackets. These small differences may be indicative of slightly greater friction effects than they estimate or by upward displacement of the equilibrium caused by incorporation of small amounts of Li (from the Li₂MoO₄ flux) into the feldspar structure. In either case this small difference can be ignored in analyzing their displaced phase-equilibrium data, as the friction effects should be smaller at the lower pressures of the displaced equilibrium experiments, and contamination by Li should affect both the displaced and the end-member data equally.

At 1050 °C, Wood (1988) reversed Equilibrium A between 23.0 and 23.5 kbar using a piston cylinder apparatus with a ¼-in. (1.905-cm) NaCl pressure assembly. As these brackets are virtually identical to those found by Koziol and Newton (1988a), Wood's data have also been adjusted downward by the 0.2-kbar friction correction recommended by Koziol and Newton for ¼-in. NaCl pressure assemblies. Comparison of the 1300 and 1350 °C brackets of Hensen et al. (1975) and Cressey et al. (1978) with the computed equilibrium curve (Fig. 1) indicates a 1-kbar correction toward lower pressure. This correction represents a minimum value that should be applied to their displaced equilibrium data collected at lower temperatures where friction effects would be expected to increase.

### Grossular-Almandine

Reversed experimental data relevant to analysis of the Gr-Alm join have been obtained by Koziol and Newton (1988b) for Equilibrium A and by Bohlen and Liotta (1986) and Bohlen et al. (1983b), respectively, for equilibria involving garnet of the composition GrₓAlm₁₋ₓ:

\[ \text{An} + 6 \text{Ilm} + 3 \text{Qz} = \text{Gr} + 2 \text{Alm} + 6 \text{Ru} \quad (B) \]

and

\[ 3 \text{Fa} + 3 \text{An} = \text{Gr} + 2 \text{Alm} \quad (C) \]

Retrieval of mixing properties from these data is critically dependent on assumed standard-state thermodynamic data. In order to reproduce all phase-equilibrium data as closely as possible on this join, minor adjustments were made to the standard state properties of almandine and ilmenite given by Berman (1988) and derived without consideration of mixing properties on this join. These revised standard state properties (Table 3) are consistent with all other thermodynamic properties given by Berman (1988) and with the same phase equilibrium experiments used by Berman (1988) to derive these properties.

It is encouraging that these revisions lead to very close
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Fig. 3. Comparison of predicted and measured values for (a) heats of mixing and (b) volumes of mixing on the grossular-almandine join. Error bars show 2σ uncertainties that incorporate uncertainties in end-member values. Predicted curves are also shown in (a) for models of Geiger et al. (1987; dashed curve) and Ganguly and Saxena (1984). Note in (b) that the suggestion of negative excess volume (Cressey et al., 1978) for almandine-rich compositions is not supported by more recent data.

agreement of the enthalpy of the formation of almandine (−5267.216 kJ/mol) with that (−5267.8 kJ/mol) derived from electrochemical measurements reported recently by Woodland and Wood (1989).

Although the data for Equilibria A and B are compatible with near-ideal mixing with no excess entropy, the highest temperature bracket for Equilibrium C requires a minimum symmetric entropy of about 9 J/(mol K). However, this amount of excess entropy leads to excess heats of mixing that are considerably larger than measured by
Table 3. Standard-state thermodynamic properties of minerals (at 1 bar and 298.15 K) modified from Berman (1988)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>( \Delta H ) (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>( S ) [J/(mol K)]</th>
<th>( V ) [J/bar]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Almandine</td>
<td>Fe₃Al₂Si₃O₁₂</td>
<td>-5267.216*</td>
<td>340.007*</td>
<td>11.511†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annite</td>
<td>KFe₂Al₅Si₃O₧(OH)₈</td>
<td>-5142.802†</td>
<td>420.000‡</td>
<td>15.408§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilmenite</td>
<td>FeTiO₃</td>
<td>-1232.448*</td>
<td>108.628†</td>
<td>3.17†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phlogopite</td>
<td>KMg₃Al₅Si₃O₧(OH)₈</td>
<td>-6201.391§</td>
<td>334.346$</td>
<td>14.977†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Heat-capacity and volume functions are given by Berman (1988) for all minerals except annite: 
\( C_p = 727.21 - 4775.04 T^{-1.9} + 13831.90 T^{-2} + 211960.000 T^{-3} \) (in J/(mol K); estimation based on reaction exchange to phlogopite) 
\( V_{p,1}/V_{p,0} = 1 + (3.445 \times 10^{-9})(T - 298.15) - (1.697 \times 10^{-9})(P - 1) \) (same as phlogopite). 

Properties revised on the basis of experimental data involving garnet solid solutions discussed in this paper.

Properties derived from garnet-biotite data of Ferry and Spear (1978) in conjunction with garnet properties given in Table 4 and the assumption of ideal Fe-Mg mixing in biotite.

Properties revised to account for data of Wood (1976) and Peterson and Newton (1988) for the equilibrium phlogopite + quartz = enstatite + sanidine + H₂O.

Table 4. Garnet solution properties (only nonzero values) to be used with Equations 1 and A1–A4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>( W_1 ) (J/mol)</th>
<th>( W_2 ) [J/(mol K)]</th>
<th>( W_3 ) (J/bar)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>21 560</td>
<td>18.79</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>69 200</td>
<td>18.79</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>20 320</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>2620</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233</td>
<td>3720</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>58 625</td>
<td>23.87</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>45 424</td>
<td>18.79</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>11 470</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Component order: 1 = Gr, 2 = Py, 3 = Alm, 4 = Sp. Ternary terms were calculated from binary parameters with Equation 2; ternary parameters of Wohl equation, \( C_p \), are all equal to zero.

Geiger et al. (1987). A small adjustment (0.3 kbar) beyond the uncertainty reported by Bohlen et al. (1983b) for this bracket permits a smaller excess entropy (5.08 J/(mol K)) and heats of mixing that are in good agreement with the data of Geiger et al. (Fig. 3a). The amount of this adjustment is within the uncertainties of the thermodynamic properties of minerals in Equilibrium C and may indicate that minor revisions in some heat capacity and volume functions are necessary. The adopted solution properties (Table 4) are consistent with both sets of phase-equilibrium data for the Alm₃₂₅Gr₃₂₅ composition (Fig. 4) and with Koziol and Newton’s (1988b) data (Fig. 5). The data of Cressey et al. (1978) were not used because they are clearly inconsistent with those of Koziol and Newton (Fig. 5) since similar garnet compositions were produced about 3 kbar higher in the experiments of Cressey et al. The simplest interpretation is that this discrepancy results from much larger friction effects in the experiments of Cressey et al. at 1000 °C than the 1-kbar correction implied by comparison of their 1350-°C reversal of the end-member equilibrium with the computed position and with the other data sets (Fig. 2).

Excess volumes measured by Geiger et al. (1987) and Koziol (1988) are positive across the entire grossular-almandine join, contradicting the suggestion of negative excess volume for almandine-rich compositions (Cressey et al., 1978; see Fig. 3b). The present two-parameter representation of the volume data is asymmetric toward grossular-rich compositions, the same shape exhibited in heats of mixing derived from the above analysis (Fig. 3a). This parallelism can be viewed most simply as the energetic response to the observed volume mismatch.

The model yields enthalpies of mixing that are less strongly nonideal than the models of Ganguly and Saxena (1984) and Anovitz and Essene (1987). This difference can be attributed to the previous workers’ use of (a) phase equilibrium data of Cressey et al. (1978), which are sys-

Fig. 4. Comparison of experimental data for two equilibria involving Gr₁₇Alm₃₂₅ garnets with positions computed with thermodynamic data from Tables 3 and 4. Symbols as in Fig. 2. The brackets for the high pressure equilibrium have been adjusted down by 0.5 kbar, the displacement calculated (assuming ideal mixing) for the reported compositions of anorthite and ilmenite (Table 2). See text for discussion of the inconsistency with the high temperature bracket for the Gr + 2Alm = 3An + 3Fa equilibrium.
the amount of scatter within and the degree of correspondence among various data sets, it is difficult to justify a more complicated representation than the symmetric model adopted in this study. This model contrasts with those that incorporate negative departures from ideality (e.g., Haselton and Newton, 1980; Ganguly and Saxena, 1984) and near ideal mixing (Wood, 1988) near the pyrope end-member.

An excess entropy of 4.51 J/(mol K) was measured by Haselton and Westrum (1980) on a synthetic garnet of composition \( X_{G} = 0.4 \). Following Haselton and Newton (1980), and consistent with the above representation of the volume data, the simplest assumption is that the entropy is a symmetric function, giving \( S_{v} = 18.79 \) J/(mol K). In contrast, Wood (1988) argues that \( S_{v} \) has the same dependence on composition as \( S_{v} \), is thus strongly asymmetric, and is nearly zero near the pyrope end-member.

Wood's reversals of garnet compositions \( X_{G} = 0.128 \) and 0.150 at 15 and 16 kbar agree with the half-brackets of Hensen et al. (1975), but the combined data (Fig. 7) for garnet compositions with \( X_{G} < 0.22 \) do not place very stringent constraints on mixing properties across this join. With the above approximations for excess entropy and volume, the enthalpy of mixing can be described as nearly symmetric to highly asymmetric toward pyrope-rich compositions. The latter alternative has been adopted in this study because it produces heats of mixing compatible with the measurements of Newton et al. (1977; Fig. 6a). It should be noted however that a symmetric fit (e.g., \( S_{v} = 41.4 \) kJ/mol given by Hodges and Spear, 1982) also represents these data reasonably well, but this alternative would require a considerably smaller excess entropy than derived by Haselton and Westrum (1980) in order to reproduce the phase-equilibrium data. Further experimental work involving more grossular-rich garnet and analysis of well-defined natural assemblages are needed to reduce this remaining uncertainty.

**Pyrope-Almandine**

Recent volume measurements (Geiger et al., 1987) indicate near-ideal mixing for the pyrope-almandine binary, consistent with the similarity in ionic radii of \( Fe^{2+} \) and \( Mg^{2+} \). The best representation of these data suggests small positive deviations from ideality with asymmetry towards the Fe end-member (Fig. 8b). The same sense of asymmetry but with much larger magnitude is observed in the heat-of-mixing data of Geiger et al. (1987; Fig. 8a) and was deduced by Ganguly and Saxena (1984) from an analysis of \( Mg \)-Fe fractionation among natural mineral pairs.

A great deal of experimentally determined \( Mg \)-Fe exchange data between garnet and other minerals can be used to derive garnet mixing properties on this join, but conclusions are highly dependent on the assumed properties of the other minerals involved in the experiments. It seems clear that the best strategy is to process all data together so as to extract simultaneously a consistent set
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Fig. 6. Comparison of predicted and measured values for (a) heats of mixing and (b) volumes of mixing on the join pyrope-grossular. Error bars show 2σ uncertainties that incorporate uncertainties in end-member values. Note the large discrepancies among various volume determinations (b) near both end-members.

of solution properties for all minerals (Engi et al., 1984). This is the only procedure that can effectively reduce the possibility of erroneous assumptions regarding the solution properties of any one mineral misleading the interpretation of the properties of another mineral, in this case garnet. Although the wisdom of this approach seems indisputable, the magnitude of this task is considerable and beyond the scope of this study. Instead the procedure adopted here is to derive garnet properties from consideration of experimental exchange data between orthopy-
orthopyroxene and garnet, and then to form an independent test of the derived properties by applying them to natural assemblages that do not contain orthopyroxene.

The assumption adopted in this study is that orthopyroxene can be well approximated at high temperature as a two-site ideal solution. Many activity measurements for Mg-Fe orthopyroxenes suggest near-ideal mixing (e.g., Kitayama and Katsura, 1968; Sakawa et al., 1978). In contrast, recent data of Sharma et al. (1987) gathered at lower temperature (727 °C) indicate positive excess heats of mixing, but these can be expected to more closely approach ideality at higher temperatures. Many workers have also concluded that the two-site ideal mixing model provides the most reasonable thermobarometric results for a wide range of natural assemblages (e.g., Wood and Banno, 1973; Newton, 1983; Perkins and Chipera, 1985). Such a model is admittedly oversimplified in that it ignores the effects of cation ordering for which there are conflicting descriptions (Davidson and Lindsley, 1989; Sack and Ghiorso, 1989). These effects are most important, however, at temperatures lower than those of the most constraining experiments used in the following analysis. An additional complication is introduced by possible nonideal interactions related to Al solubility in orthopyroxene. This should have a negligible effect in the analysis because the most constraining experimental data discussed below involve pyroxenes with less than 2.75 wt% Al₂O₃.

Table 2 summarizes the three sets of experiments on the equilibrium

$$3 \text{En} + \text{Alm} = 3 \text{Fs} + \text{Py}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (D)

The data of Lee and Ganguly (1988) constitute the most extensive set of data with $K_p$ values reversed from both directions. Kawasaki and Matsui (1983) provide much data at 1100 and 1300 °C, but the present analysis utilizes only those data in which the direction of change in $K_p$ values was reported and which were produced with crystalline starting materials. The data of Harley (1984) are difficult to use with confidence because many of the experiments were conducted in Fe capsules and orthopyroxene-garnet charges suffered differential iron addition. Comparison of the compositions of phases contained in Fe and C capsules along with textural criteria were used by Harley to estimate corrections in Fe-Mg ratios of the Fe capsule run products. Rather than base any conclusions on Harley's interpretations on the effects of Fe addition and zoning profiles, I used only Harley's experiments with C capsules in this analysis.

Using the standard-state properties given by Berman (1988) with or without the almandine revision of this study (see grossular-almandine section above), the combined data are nearly consistent with ideal mixing in orthopyroxene and garnet (Figs. 9a, 9b). Only small discrepancies occur with the highest temperature data of all three studies. In order to reproduce all valid experimental half-brackets, it is necessary to allow for small positive excess heats of mixing in garnet that show the same sense of asymmetry as measured volumes and heats of mixing (Fig. 8) but which are much smaller in magnitude than those suggested by the calorimetric data. A closer representation of the excess enthalpies could be obtained by allowing for positive excess entropy, but the very small observed volumes of mixing are more consistent with smaller excess heats retrieved here. In fact, the average of the derived mixing parameters is in reasonable accord with a symmetrical excess enthalpy of 1.32 kJ/mol predicted with the empirical model of Davies and Navrotsky (1983) that is based on the correlation of volume mismatch with excess enthalpy. It should be noted that the exact values of the excess parameters derived here for the pyrope-almandine join are dependent on the computed Ca-Fe mixing properties because the latter influence the derived thermodynamic properties of almandine.

Ganguly and Saxena (1984) derived Fe-Mg mixing parameters based on a regression analysis of $K_p$ values of natural assemblages; standard-state mineral properties used in their study were not reported. Their predicted mixing parameters (Fig. 8a) agree with the data of Geiger et al., but lead to large discrepancies with the orthopyroxene-garnet data at low $X_F$ (Fig. 9a). Because of the possibility of introducing a systematic error in garnet
properties as a result of the assumption of ideal mixing in orthopyroxene, it is desirable to form an independent check on the Fe-Mg mixing properties derived above. One effective method described below involves the use of phase equilibrium data to extract thermodynamic data that depend on garnet Fe-Mg mixing properties and then to test these new thermodynamic data against information gleaned from natural assemblages.

The Fe-Mg exchange data of Ferry and Spear (1978) for the garnet-biotite equilibrium,

$$\text{Ann} + \text{Py} = \text{Phl} + \text{Alm}$$

(E)
have been used to derive the standard-state enthalpy of formation for annite with standard-state properties for the other minerals in Equilibrium E given by Berman (1988) and in Table 3. Ideal Fe-Mg mixing in biotite is assumed following Mueller’s (1972) analysis of natural $K_P$ data and the experimental data of Wones and Eugster (1965). This assumption is also consistent with unit-cell refinements of Hewitt and Wones (1975), which show no deviation from a linear correlation between volume and composition on the annite-phlogopite join. Because the annite properties depend on garnet Fe-Mg mixing, two alternative values have been extracted, one using the properties derived in this study (Table 4, −5142.8 kJ/mol) and the other (−5160.0 kJ/mol) using the Fe-Mg garnet mixing properties of Ganguly and Saxena (1984). The large difference between the values derived for annite is a direct consequence of the differences in activity coefficients calculated for pyrope and almandine with the two models noted above. Comparison of how closely the two sets of annite-bearing equilibria correspond to other equilibria in applications to natural assemblages then forms an independent test of the proposed Fe-Mg mixing properties.

For the purpose of this test, analytical data have been used from muscovite-bearing pelites that straddle the kyanite-sillimanite isograd at Azure Lake, British Columbia (Pigage, 1982), and other muscovite-bearing assemblages interpreted to have equilibrated near the Al-silicate triple point at Mount Moosilauke, New Hampshire (Hodges and Spear, 1982). Compositional homogeneity of minerals on a thin-section scale and systematic element partitioning between minerals suggest that equilibrium was closely approached. In order to test the two sets of annite properties, comparison is made between pressures computed from Equilibrium A and the equilibrium

$$\text{Ann} + 2 \text{AlSiO}_3 + \text{Qz} = \text{Ms} + \text{Alm}. \quad \text{(F)}$$

Activities of anorthite, muscovite, and biotite are computed from

$$a_{\text{Ann}} = \gamma_{\text{Ann}}X_{\text{Ann}}(1 + X_{\text{Ann}})^{2/4}$$
$$a_{\text{Ms}} = \gamma_{\text{Ms}}X_{\text{K}}X_{\text{Al}}X_{\text{OH}}$$
$$X_{\text{Al}} = [^{60}\text{Al}]/(\text{Fe} + \text{Mg} + \text{Mn} + \text{Ti} + [^{60}\text{Al}])$$
$$a_{\text{Ann}} = \gamma_{\text{Ann}}X_{\text{K}}X_{\text{Al}}X_{\text{OH}}$$
$$X_{\text{Fe}} = \text{Fe}/(\text{Fe} + \text{Mg} + \text{Mn} + \text{Ti} + [^{60}\text{Al}])$$

with $\gamma_{\text{Ann}}$, $\gamma_{\text{Ms}}$, and $\gamma_{\text{Ann}}$ computed with the models of...
Fuhrman and Lindsley (1988), Chatterjee and Froese (1975), and Indares and Martingole (1985; model B), respectively. The latter model accounts for the nonideal effects of Ti and $^{60}$Al, discussed at greater length below.

Use of the preferred thermodynamic properties of annite along with those of garnet in Table 4 yields garnet-biotite temperatures between 555 and 615 °C for the Azure Lake pelites and between 485 and 535 °C for the Mount Moosilauke pelites. Use of the second annite value along with Ganguly and Saxena (1984) garnet properties gives similar ranges of temperatures, shifted about 50 °C higher owing largely to the effects of Mn. The general similarity of results with both models reflects the fact that both sets of calculations are internally consistent and have been calibrated against the garnet-biotite experiments of Ferry and Spear (1978).

Figure 10 shows pressures computed with the preferred thermodynamic properties. For the Azure Lake pelites, Equilibrium F gives pressures that average 1 kbar higher than Equilibrium A, although for three samples excellent agreement is achieved. For the Mount Moosilauke pelites, four samples give nearly coincident pressures, and two samples give pressures about 1.5 kbar higher with Equilibrium F. In marked contrast, use of the annite properties derived with Ganguly and Saxena's (1984) garnet model yields pressures for sillimanite-bearing samples that average about 12 kbar higher with Equilibrium F than with Equilibrium A. For Azure Lake kyanite-bearing samples, the discrepancies increase to about 22 kbar. These extreme differences in the results of the two sets of calculations are a direct consequence of the assumed Fe-Mg garnet mixing properties, which are transferred to annite properties through thermodynamic analysis of experimental data for the garnet-biotite equilibrium. The magnitude of these differences reflects the low entropy of Equilibrium F, particularly with kyanite, making it an extremely sensitive test of thermodynamic input data. The reasonable pressures computed with Equilibrium F offer strong support, completely independent of the assumed orthopyroxene properties, for the Fe-Mg mixing properties derived herein as compared to those of Ganguly and Saxena (1984). The same conclusion also applies to the highly asymmetric model of Geiger et al. (1987) because of its similarity to the model of Ganguly and Saxena.

Although the above comparison supports the garnet properties derived here, the small discrepancies apparent in Figure 10 between pressures computed with Equilibria A and F warrant further attention. One complication, albeit a second-order effect, is that the Indares and Martin-gole (1985) model does not specify the separate interactions of Ti and $^{60}$Al with Fe and Mg in biotite, only the $W_{\text{FeTi}} - W_{\text{FeAl}}$ and $W_{\text{MgTi}} - W_{\text{MgAl}}$ differences. The results shown in Figure 10 were computed assuming that all Ti and $^{60}$Al interactions with Fe are zero. When interactions with Fe are assumed to be positive, pressures computed with Equilibrium F may be lowered by up to about 1 kbar, improving results for most of the Azure Lake samples but worsening them for most of the Mount Moosilauke samples. Until further work addresses the separate interactions of Ti and $^{60}$Al with Fe and Mg in biotite, it will remain unclear whether the discrepancies shown in Figure 10 are related to these effects, to calibration of garnet mixing properties, or to subtle effects of disequilibrium in the natural samples.

**Binary systems containing Mn**

Following Ganguly and Kennedy (1974), ideal interactions are assumed on the almandine-spessartine binary, consistent with the very small difference in ionic radii of Fe$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$. Recent displaced phase-equilibrium data by Koziol and Newton (1987) and Wood (1988) for Equilibrium A demonstrate that the grossular-spessartine join is ideal within uncertainties (Fig. 11). Ganguly and Kennedy (1974) and Ganguly and Saxena (1984) derived a large positive excess enthalpy of mixing on the pyrope-spessartine binary (37656 J/mol) from their analyses of Mg-Fe fractionation between garnet and coexisting phases. On the other hand, Hodges and Spear (1982) concluded that $W_{\text{MgMn}}^{-}$ must be close to zero in order to yield garnet-biotite temperatures close to the Al-silicate triple point for Mount Moosilauke pelites. The present for-
mulation of the garnet-biotite geothermometer using the annite properties derived above also gives the most reasonable temperatures with ideal Mg-Mn mixing. For the seven Mount Moosilauke samples (0.09 < \( X_{sp} < 0.171 \)), calculated temperatures at the Al-silicate triple point pressure (3.85 kbar) range from 485 to 535 °C, in agreement with the triple-point temperature of 505 °C. In contrast, use of \( W_{MgMn} = 37656 \) J/mol produces temperatures from 540 to 595 °C. Analysis of more Mn-rich pelites (0.08 < \( X_{sp} < 0.532 \)) described by Raeside et al. (1988) with \( W_{MgMn} = 0 \) also yields very reasonable garnet-rim biotite temperatures for assemblages with chlorite-albite (390 °C), chlorite-plagioclase (425–455 °C), biotite (446–510 °C), and sillimanite (485–610 °C). For the same assemblages, use of \( W_{MgMn} = 37656 \) J/mol yields 613 °C, 621–654 °C, 580–645 °C, and 660–790 °C. The latter set of temperatures is unrealistically high for the lower-grade rocks and does not show the same consistent correlation with increasing metamorphic grade.

**Calibration of Ternary Interactions**

With the exception of the recent study by Koziol and Newton (1988b, 1988c) on Ca-Mg-Fe garnets, experimental data that can be used to constrain ternary garnet interactions are almost entirely lacking. MAPP analysis of the preliminary experiments reported by Koziol and Newton (1988b) on displacement of Equilibrium A with Ca-Mg-Fe garnet solutions suggests that these data are compatible with a Wohl ternary \( C_{123} \) interaction parameter equal to zero, assuming the binary mixing properties given in Table 4. Accordingly, and in marked contrast to the model of Ganguly and Saxena (1984), the ternary Wohl parameters have been set to zero for this and the other three ternaries in the quaternary garnet system.

**Discussion**

One of the ultimate goals of theoretical petrology is to provide thermodynamic data that allow one to calculate the same unique pressure and temperature from any of the equilibria implied by a mineral assemblage that equilibrated at the same \( P \) and \( T \) and for which accurate compositional data are available (Powell and Holland, 1988; Berman and Brown, 1988). In order to achieve this goal, accurate solution models are needed, as well as accurate standard-state properties. A great deal of attention has been focused recently on providing refinements in both types of thermodynamic data in order to obtain compatibility of different geobarometers in various chemical systems (e.g., Newton and Perkins, 1982; Anovitz and Essene, 1987; Moecher et al., 1988). Although not independent of standard-state thermodynamic data, multi-equilibrium \( P-T \) calculations provide one of the most stringent tests for solution models because, barring a fortuitous cancellation of errors, convergence in a single \( P-T \) region can only be achieved if all calculated component activity coefficients are close to correct. A number of granulites have been studied, and Figure 12 shows typical graphical results of \( P-T \) calculations for a two-pyroxene granulate studied by Coolen (1980). Using the activity models of Fuhrman and Lindsley (1988) for anorthite, Newton (1983) for clinopyroxene and orthopyroxene, and this paper for garnet, together with standard-state data of Table 3 and from Berman (1988), \( P-T \) intersections of metastable and stable equilibria are produced within a very narrow \( P-T \) window, spanning 20 °C and 0.4 kbar (small dotted region in Fig. 12). In contrast, use of the Moecher et al. (1988) or Ganguly and Saxena (1984) mixing properties for garnet leads to much wider divergence among these intersections, ranging over 200 °C and 5.0 kbar. This example highlights some of the major differences that can be produced using different solution models, a point that is further emphasized by the fact that pressures computed with individual barometers shown in Figure 12 are up to 3 kbar higher using the garnet models of Moecher et al. (1988) and Ganguly and Saxena (1984).

A significant problem in testing solution models is that convergence in \( P-T \) values derived from various equilibria is only one of the conditions necessary to be satisfied—some means of assessing the accuracy of the convergence zone must be devised. In addition, all mineral compositions may not have quenched at the same pressure and temperature. Newton and Haselton (1981) and later Ganguly and Saxena (1984) tested Al-silicate-bearing rocks by comparing pressures computed with Equilibrium A with the Al-silicate phase diagram. In order that results can be compared directly with these other two models, the same test is applied here. Although this test suffers from the limitations discussed above, a minimum
number of standard-state properties are involved in the
calculation, and these are extremely well constrained by
both direct calorimetric measurements as well as phase-
equilibrium data. The major sources of uncertainty in
using this test to assess garnet solution properties are the
effects of uncertainties in standard-state properties and
plagioclase solution properties. Although Berman (1988)
does not present uncertainties in standard-state properties,
pressures computed with Equilibrium A are viewed
more optimistically than concluded by Hodges and
McKenna (1987), because the process of thermodynamic
analysis results in significant refinement beyond the ex-
perimental data concerned solely with this equilibrium.
As pointed out by Hodges and McKenna (1987), the ef-
fects of uncertainties in plagioclase solution properties
cannot be quantified because of the variety of different
assumptions that form the basis of alternate model cali-
briations. An additional source of error is the nontrivial
shift in the position of the Al-silicate phase boundaries
for any given rock caused by grain size and minor
impurities (e.g., Kerrick, 1987). Because the slope of the
kyanite-sillimanite transition is almost parallel to that of
equilibrium A, errors in estimated equilibration temper-
atures of the rock are of importance only in comparison
with andalusite-sillimanite assemblages.

Use of the standard-state properties provided by Ber-
man (1988) and the garnet solution properties in Table 4
leads to good agreement between pressures computed with
Equilibrium A and the calculated Al-silicate phase dia-
gram (Fig. 13). As with the calibration of Newton and
Haselton (1981), the only major discrepancy occurs for a
sillimanite-bearing granulite (calculated pressure = 10.0
kbar) reported by Perkins (1979), but much of this dis-
crepancy may be due to errors in the computed anorthite
activity, as this sample contains the most anorthite-poor
plagioclase ($X_{\text{An}} = 0.05$) of all the samples plotted. The
remaining small inconsistencies are within the uncertain-
ty of the pressure comparison, and it is satisfying to find
that such good agreement can be achieved without resort
to the large ternary interaction parameters derived by
Ganguly and Saxena (1984) through optimization of this
same comparison.

A much more sensitive test than placing a given sample
within one stability field can be applied to rocks that
contain two or more Al-silicate polymorphs (Fig. 13). Al-
though discrepancies are noted for individual Mount
Moosilauke samples, these are consistent with the ex-
pected shifts in Al-silicate phase boundaries caused by
the partitioning of Mn into andalusite relative to sillimanite and sillimanite relative to kyanite. Low Mn pelites from Azure Lake containing kyanite and sillimanite fall within 0.5 kbar of this transition. Excellent agreement is also found with andalusite, andalusite-sillimanite, and sillimanite-bearing pelites from Wopmay orogen (St. Onge, 1984), with the andalusite-sillimanite sample plotting within 0.2 kbar of this phase transition.

The above comparisons of computed pressures for Al-silicate assemblages and garnet-biotite temperatures for Mn-rich samples suggest that the solution properties in Table 4 can be used reliably for geological applications with standard-state data from Table 3 and from Berman (1988). Nevertheless much additional work is required to refine these properties, especially excess entropies of mixing. Carefully reversed phase-equilibrium experiments refining these properties, especially excess entropies of mixing within 0.2 kbar of this phase transition.
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The equations below can be used for the calculation of activities in the grossular-pyrope-almandine-spessartine system using Margules parameters from Table 4.

**Expansion of Equation 1 yields** \( (1 = \text{grossular}, 2 = \text{pyrope}, 3 = \text{almandine}, 4 = \text{spessartine}) 

\[
3RT \ln \gamma_G = W_{112}(2X_2X_3 - 2X_2X_3X_4 + W_{123}(X_2X_2 - 2X_2X_4) + W_{133}(X_3X_3 - 2X_3X_4)) + W_{144}(2X_4X_4 - 2X_4X_4X_5 + W_{1444}(X_4X_4 - 2X_4X_5))
\]

**Expansion of Equation 2 yields** \( \gamma_P 

\[
3RT \ln \gamma_P = W_{112}(X_2X_2 - 2X_2X_2X_4) + W_{144}(2X_4X_4 - 2X_4X_4X_5) + W_{133}(X_3X_3 - 2X_3X_3X_4) + W_{144}(2X_4X_4 - 2X_4X_4X_5)
\]

**Expansion of Equation 3 yields** \( \gamma_A 

\[
3RT \ln \gamma_A = W_{112}(X_2X_2 - 2X_2X_2X_4) + W_{144}(2X_4X_4 - 2X_4X_4X_5) + W_{144}(2X_4X_4 - 2X_4X_4X_5) + W_{144}(2X_4X_4 - 2X_4X_4X_5)
\]

**Expansion of Equation 4 yields** \( \gamma_S 

\[
3RT \ln \gamma_S = W_{112}(X_2X_2 - 2X_2X_2X_4) + W_{144}(2X_4X_4 - 2X_4X_4X_5) + W_{144}(2X_4X_4 - 2X_4X_4X_5) + W_{144}(2X_4X_4 - 2X_4X_4X_5)
\]

**Appendix I**

The equations below can be used for the calculation of activities in the grossular-pyrope-almandine-spessartine system using Margules parameters from Table 4.
\[3RT \ln \gamma_{\text{AIm}} = W_{123}(-2X_1X_3) + W_{122}(-2X_2X_3) + W_{113}(X_1X_3 - 2X_1X_2) + W_{133}(2X_2X_3 - 2X_1X_2) + W_{233}(2X_3X_1 - 2X_2X_2) + W_{124}(X_1X_4 - 2X_1X_2X_3) + W_{144}(X_1X_4 - 2X_1X_2X_3) + W_{233}(2X_3X_1 - 2X_2X_2X_3) + W_{244}(2X_3X_1 - 2X_2X_2X_3) + W_{333}(2X_3X_1 - 2X_2X_2X_3) + W_{344}(2X_3X_1 - 2X_2X_2X_3) + W_{433}(2X_3X_1 - 2X_2X_2X_3) + W_{444}(2X_3X_1 - 2X_2X_2X_3) \]

with ternary parameters given by Equation 2. Activities are computed by:

- \( a_{\text{Gr}} = (X_{\text{Gr}} - \gamma_{\text{Gr}})^3 \)
- \( a_{\text{Py}} = (X_{\text{Py}} - \gamma_{\text{Py}})^3 \)
- \( a_{\text{AIm}} = (X_{\text{AIm}} - \gamma_{\text{AIm}})^3 \)
- \( a_{\text{Sm}} = (X_{\text{Sm}} - \gamma_{\text{Sm}})^3 \)