Melting experiments on a SiO₂-poor, CaO-rich aphanitic kimberlite from 5-10 GPa and their bearing on sources of kimberlite magmas
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ABSTRACT

Phase relations in a SiO₂-poor, CaO-rich aphanitic kimberlite from the Wesselton mine, Kimberley, South Africa, were determined in a multianvil apparatus at 5.0-10.0 GPa and 1300-1670 °C. The experiments were done with the 6.20 wt% H₂O and 4.77 wt% CO₂ (X₂CO₂ ≈ 0.24) present in the rock. Olivine is the primary liquidus phase up to the maximum pressure investigated. An olivine + garnet + liquid assemblage is present at about 70 °C below the liquidus at low pressures to near-liquidus conditions at >100 GPa. No other primary phase is observed in these experiments, but a metastable (quench) phase resembling a high aluminum clinopyroxene occurs. Orthopyroxene is absent in all experiments, and the only carbonate phase is calcite, possibly a quench phase. In lower pressure experiments at 1.0-4.0 GPa, orthopyroxene was also absent, but primary clinopyroxene and calcite occurred, suggesting that their absence in this study may be the result of well-documented experimental difficulties with these phases in a multianvil apparatus.

The absence of orthopyroxene is not probably a result of decarbonation reactions such as those that occur in the peridotite-H₂O-CO₂ system, but it could possibly be the result of degassing during ascent of the Wesselton kimberlite, causing variation in the H₂O/CO₂ in this rock. The most likely reason for the absence of both orthopyroxene and a Mg-rich carbonate is that the bulk composition of this kimberlite has insufficient SiO₂ combined with high CaO relative to most kimberlites.

This study shows that the aphanitic kimberlite magma is unlikely to have equilibrated with a carbonated (magnesite or dolomite) garnet lherzolite or harzburgite in which orthopyroxene is an essential phase. Near-liquidus assemblages suggest that an olivine-bearing or olivine-free garnetite (garnet + clinopyroxene ± olivine), partially melted, is the most likely source to form this kimberlite. Furthermore, the depth of partial melting may have been considerably greater than the 150-250 km commonly accepted for kimberlite magma formation from a lherzolitic source.

Many kimberlites have the characteristic low SiO₂ and high CaO compositions found in the aphanitic kimberlite. If these kimberlites originate at the deep mantle levels suggested by this study, and if they have not been extensively changed by fractionation or loss of CO₂ on degassing, then they may be more likely to be diamondiferous, as they pass through a larger volume of potentially diamond-bearing mantle than kimberlites formed at shallower levels.

INTRODUCTION

Suprasolidus experiments were done at 5-10 GPa on a low SiO₂- and MgO-poor and CaO-rich aphanitic kimberlite from the Wesselton mine, South Africa. This study is an extension of earlier experiments up to 4.0 GPa performed on the same composition, in which the results indicated that a kimberlite magma of this composition may not have equilibrated with an assemblage containing orthopyroxene, i.e., a lherzolite or a harzburgite (Edgar et al., 1988). The objectives of the present study were to determine whether results of the lower pressure experiments could be extended to higher pressures and to assess the depth of origin and ascent path of such kimberlites.

Experimental data for kimberlites are scarce because of the difficulty in recognizing primitive kimberlite compositions: the rarity of kimberlites without xenocrysts and xenoliths, and, until recently, the absence of apparatus capable of attaining pressures equivalent to the depths of origin of kimberlite magmas. The nature and chemistry of the sources and the melting process to form kimberlite magma is very poorly constrained in models of kimberlite genesis (see Mitchell, 1986, p. 395).

ARE LHERZOLITES AND HARZBURGITES THE ONLY SOURCES OF KIMBERLITE MAGMA?

It is commonly accepted that all kimberlite magmas can be derived by partial melting of a carbonate-bearing (dolomite or magnesite) garnet lherzolite or harzburgite
on the basis of very extensive experimentation in model peridotite-H$_2$O-CO$_2$ systems (e.g., Egger, 1975, 1978; Wyllie, 1977, 1978, 1979a, 1979b, 1980; Boettcher et al., 1980; Brey et al., 1984; Huang and Wyllie, 1984; Wyllie and Huang, 1976; Canil and Scarfe, 1990) that demonstrated the importance of CO$_2$ in forming dolomite and magnesite by carbonation reactions involving orthopyroxene. For example,

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{olivine + clinopyroxene + CO}_2 & = \text{orthopyroxene + dolomite} \\
\text{olivine + dolomite + CO}_2 & = \text{orthopyroxene + magnesite} \\
\text{orthopyroxene + dolomite + CO}_2 & = \text{clinopyroxene + magnesite}.
\end{align*}
\]

Loss of CO$_2$ from kimberlite melts favors olivine + clinopyroxene (Eq. 1). Hence, carbonated garnet lherzolite need not be the only source for kimberlite magmas, and, indeed, unequivocally carbonated (dolomite-magnesite) mantle samples are virtually unknown, the only possible exception being inclusions of calcite and possibly dolomite in garnet (McGetchin and Besancon, 1973). 

H$_2$O is also essential for partial melting of likely sources of kimberlite magma. Phlogopite and amphibole are likely H$_2$O reservoirs, and both are relatively common in metamorphosed mantle material (Lloyd, 1987). The compositions of partial melts of such mantle material is unknown because they cannot be quenched to a glass (Wendlandt and Egger, 1980a) and, although they are probably K$_2$O, MgO, and carbonate-enriched, the extent depends on the degree of phlogopite and carbonate in the source.

Melting of model carbonated garnet lherzolite at high pressure (Wendlandt and Egger, 1980b) and of a Lesotho kimberlite in which Co substituted for Fe in experiments carried out up to 5.0 GPa (Egger and Wendlandt, 1979) suggested that kimberlite melts may be formed at about 5.0 GPa (Eggler and Wendlandt, 1979b) and of a kimberlite from the Wesselton mine, Kimberley, South Africa, may represent a composition close to that of a primitive kimberlite magma. Mitchell (1986) and Shee (1986) considered aphanitic kimberlites to be primitive.

Based on the absence of xenoliths and xenocrysts, and the kimberlite's fine-grained nature, Edgar et al. (1988) have argued that the hypabyssal facies aphanitic kimberlite (Clement et al., 1984) from the Wesselton mine, Kimberley, South Africa, may represent a composition close to that of a primitive kimberlite magma. Mitchell (1986) and Shee (1986) considered aphanitic kimberlites to be formed by near-surface flow differentiation or by crystal fractionation at depth. For the purposes of the present study, the actual origin of the Wesselton aphanitic kimberlite is not as important as the similarity in its composition to that of other kimberlites, some of which may be primitive.

The aphanitic Wesselton kimberlite is characterized by low SiO$_2$ and high CaO (Edgar et al., 1988, their Table 1), relative to many kimberlites (Mitchell, 1986). This composition and those of similar kimberlites (Mitchell, 1986, his Table 2) are plotted in the CMS system (Fig. 1) and fall within a narrow compositional range that is removed from the range of uncontaminated kimberlite compositions. None of the kimberlite compositions similar to the aphanitic variety is compatible with enstatite
tionation is possible on the basis of the data in Figure 1. The aphanitic kimberlite has not undergone appreciable fractionation, although some olivine or pyroxene fractionation (Fig. 1) is much lower than for other Group I kimberlites. Values of Ni (80 ppm) and Cr (240 ppm), and its similarity to the average of 44 other Group I kimberlites suggests that the aphanitic Wesselton kimberlite composition is reasonably representative of SiO₂-poor and CaO-rich kimberlites. The major difference between the aphanitic Wesselton kimberlite and the other kimberlites (Fig. 1) is the center of the sample may be \( \pm 10 \, ^\circ\text{C} \) hotter than the ends of the capsule, where the temperature is measured.

Pressure was calibrated at room temperature at the phase transitions BiI-BiII at 2.55 GPa (Hall, 1971) and BiII-BiIV at 7.7 GPa (Holman, 1975). At 1000 °C, the calibration was based on the transitions: quartz-coesite at 2.95 GPa (Bohlen and Boettcher, 1982), fayalite-spinel at 5.27 GPa (Yagi et al., 1987), garnet-perovskite at 6.1 GPa for the composition CaGeO₃ (Susaki et al., 1985), and coesite stishovite at 9.1 GPa (Yagi and Akimoto, 1976). The estimated pressure uncertainty is \( \pm 0.5 \) GPa.

The experiments were quenched by shutting off the power while the pressure was maintained by simultaneous pumping by the automatic pressure control system, thus approximating an isobaric quench. With this procedure, the temperature dropped to below 200 °C in under 2 s. Pressure was then decreased at 1–1.5 GPa/h.

The experiments took place with only the volatiles present in the kimberlite (6.20 wt% H₂O; 4.77 wt% CO₂), equivalent to \( X_{CO_2} = 0.24 \) [where \( X_{CO_2} = CO_2/(CO_2 + H_2O) \)] mol, the same value as that used in some of the lower pressure experiments (Edgar et al., 1988). Both prior to and after the experiment, the capsule was weighed to assess any likely loss of volatiles. In two instances of weight loss, the capsule was discarded. The experiments are assumed to have been done under volatile-absent conditions, based on the reasons described by Edgar et al. (1988).

Short experiment durations and the presoaking of the Pt capsules with Fe (Ford, 1978) are believed to minimize Fe loss from the kimberlite caused by alloying with the Pt capsule. No quantitative assessment can be made of the amount of Fe lost by alloying, but Mg' \( [100 \text{Mg}/(\text{Mg} + \text{Fe}_{\text{equ}})] \) of minerals crystallized under similar temperature conditions as those in the lower pressure experiments (Edgar et al., 1988) suggest that some Fe loss occurred, on the basis of the high Mg' of olivine (3.5 GPa, 1350 °C, Mg' 94 (Edgar et al., 1988); olivine 5.0 GPa, 1300 °C, Mg' 95). The values are similar to those of olivines crystallized at the liquidus at 1550 °C and at the same pressure from a dry peridotite by Takahashi (1986). In these experiments there was no Fe loss, and the \( f_{O_2} \) was likely lower. This comparison suggests that some Fe loss occurred in the present study and that the \( f_{O_2} \) was higher in Takahashi's (1986) study. Part of the discrepancy, however, is accounted for by the lower Mg' of the peridotite (Mg' 79) relative to that of the aphanitic kimberlite (Mg' 84).

No direct control of \( f_{O_2} \) can be made in these experiments nor can estimates of \( f_{O_2} \) and \( f_{O_2} \) be determined. The presence of carbonates in both the present and the lower pressure experiments suggests an \( f_{O_2} \) above that of the EMOC-EMOD buffer (Eggler and Baker, 1982) in the absence of graphite, which was not detected.

Mantle \( f_{O_2} \) values at \( P-T \) conditions are comparable with those of the present study. Bulanova (1986) sug-
gested that $f_{O_2}$ in diamond- and carbonate-bearing kimberlites ranged from that of the WM to the QFM buffer. More recently, Luth et al. (1990) have proposed a more oxidized mantle corresponding to an $f_{O_2}$ between the QFM and WM buffers based on $Fe^{3+}$ in mantle-derived garnets. The $f_{O_2}$ values of both Bulanova (1986) and Luth et al. (1990) fall within the minimum possible $f_{O_2}$ calculated by O'Neill and Wall (1987), on the basis of calculations involving the Ni content of olivine in equilibrium with orthopyroxene in a typical mantle peridotite. These studies suggest that the present experiments were done under higher $f_{O_2}$ than those generally accepted for a peridotite mantle composition.

At the end of the experiment, the capsule was removed, embedded in epoxy, and sliced with a diamond saw. The surface was ground, and a polished thin section made for electron microprobe analysis. The products of each experiment were identified by EDS analysis and quantitatively by WDS analysis. Textures were observed by backscattered electron imagery.

In most experiments, the products consisted of quench material, composed of elongated crystals and blades of acicular olivine with interstitial garnet and a quench phase that resembled a very Al$_2$O$_3$-rich clinopyroxene (13–16 wt% Al$_2$O$_3$) (Fig. 2a), and of primary mineral assemblages with characteristic granuloblastic texture (Fig. 2b). The latter assemblage occurs close to the tip of the recording thermocouple, whereas the quench assemblage with spinifex-type texture (Fig. 2a) is observed farther away and indicates large thermal gradients along the capsule. No glass is detected in these experiments, as the melts have very low viscosities relative to those from the same compositions at lower pressures (<4.0 GPa). From these experiments, however, an estimate of the proportion of quenched melt to primary minerals may be made on the basis of the estimation of the proportions of granuloblastic aggregates near the thermocouple. In addition, the liquidus phase can be determined readily, as it is the first phase to appear at the boundary of the quench and primary parts of the charge (Fig. 3). Further distinction between primary and quench grains is based on the compositions of the minerals.

Minerals were analyzed on a Jeol 8600 electron microprobe on a four-spectrometer wavelength-dispersive system at an accelerating voltage of 15 kV and beam current of 10 nA. Several analyses (6–10) were made of each mineral considered to be primary.

Fig. 2. Backscattered electron images of quench (a) and primary (b) products of experiments showing typical textures. Dark grains are olivine, gray grains are garnet, and lighter grains are the quench "clinopyroxene" (see text). Part a is from experiment no. 10 (Table 1) and Part b from experiment no. 17 (Table 1).

Fig. 3. Backscattered electron image of boundary between liquid (quench) material at top of photograph and solid (primary) material at bottom of photograph, along which near-liquidus phases are present. Dark grains are olivine, lighter grains are garnet and quench "clinopyroxene." A few grains close to the boundary show garnet surrounded by olivine. Photograph from experiment no. 2 (Table 1).
**RESULTS**

**Phase relations**

Table 1 lists the results of experiments between 5.0 and 10.0 GPa and 1300 and 1675 °C. Figure 4 shows the stable phase assemblages. Only garnet and olivine are considered to be stable, on the basis of their textural relationships and their Mg' values, which decrease with decreasing temperature and which are based on the average of 4–20 analyses (normally >10). Wherever possible, grains were analyzed at the liquid-solid interface (Fig. 3) considered to represent near-liquidus phases (cf. Herzberg et al., 1990). Throughout the P-T conditions of these experiments, quench clinopyroxene was abundant, particularly in the P-T range of field C (Fig. 4). This mineral had consistently high Al₂O₃ contents and did not always exhibit textures that could distinguish it as primary or quench. However, the values of Mg', when compared with those of primary olivine and garnet, were too low to indicate that the clinopyroxene was in equilibrium with either phase. For these reasons, the clinopyroxene formed in these experiments is considered to be quench (Table 1). No glass or orthopyroxene was detected in any of the experiments. The all-liquid field (Fig. 4, field A) was determined on the basis of quench textures (Fig. 2a) and on the Mg' of olivine and garnet (Table 1) being lower than the Mg' of the primary varieties of these minerals. For example, the olivine and garnet in the experiment at 10.0 GPa, 1600 °C, have Mg' values of 94.6 and 86.8, respectively, whereas the experiment at 10.0 GPa, 1560 °C, has olivine and garnet with Mg' values of 94.4 and 90.4, respectively (Table 1). On the basis of this criterion, the olivine is considered to be primary, but the garnet is considered a quench phase at the higher temperature. Both olivine and garnet are primary at 1560 °C. This conclusion appears to contradict the textural relations (Fig. 3) in the products of this experiment that show garnet surrounded by olivine and therefore suggests that garnet formed earlier than olivine. These lines of evidence clearly indicate that both phases are very close to the liquidus at 10 GPa (Fig. 4). Minor amounts of various spinels (chromite, magnetite, magnesiowassite), calcite, rutile, apatite, and perovskite occur unsystematically in the experiments (Table 1). For this reason they are considered to represent metastable quench material.

The boundaries in Figure 4 have been drawn based on

---

**Table 1.** Results of experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp.</th>
<th>P (GPa)</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>t (min)</th>
<th>Products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>1675</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>q-ol(93.0), q-g(79.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>ol(94.6), q-g(86.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>1560</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>ol(94.4), q-&quot;cpx&quot;, ap, sp(?),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>q-ol(91.0), q-g(86.8), Mg-ferrite, Mg-Ti-mt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ol(94.1), q-g(79.6), q-&quot;cpx&quot;, ap, ct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>ol(94.8), q-g(86.1) Mg-chr, ru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>ol(93.1), q-g(81.0), Mg-chr, ap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ol(94.0), q-g(83.1), pv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1540</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ol(93.5), q-g(81.0), q-&quot;cpx&quot;, Mg-Ti-mt, ap, ct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>ol(94.2), q-g(78.8), q-&quot;cpx&quot;, Mg-Ti-mt, Mg-chr, ap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>ol(93.5), q-g(78.8), q-&quot;cpx&quot;, ap, ct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>ol(92.5), q-g(77.6), q-&quot;cpx&quot;, Mg-Ti-mt, pv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1425</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>ol(92.5), q-g(76.4), q-&quot;cpx&quot;, sp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>ol(92.5), q-g(75.6), q-&quot;cpx&quot;, sp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Abbreviations: q = quench, ol = olivine, g = garnet, "cpx" = quench clinopyroxene-like phase (see text), ct = calcite, ap = apatite, pv = perovskite, Mg-ferrite = magnesiowassite, mt = magnetite, sp = spinel, Mg-chr = magnesiowassite chrome. Numbers in parentheses represent average Mg' from several grains. Only olivine and garnet are considered to be primary phases.*
Mineral compositions

Tables 2 and 3 list compositions of olivine and garnet, where some of these minerals coexist (Fig. 4). The average Mg’ values for primary and quench phases used to construct the phase diagram (Fig. 4) are in Table 1.

In backscattered imagery (Fig. 2) olivine appears as dark angular grains that can be distinguished from frequently rounded garnet grains by a lighter color (Fig. 2b). Quench clinopyroxene has a color in backscatter imagery that is intermediate between that of olivine and garnet (Fig. 2b).

Representative olivine analyses are in Table 2. The Mg’ values of primary olivine are comparable with those in the lower pressure experiments (Edgar et al., 1988), with those of ultramafic assemblages, and with olivine megacrysts in the Wesselton aphanitic kimberlites (Shee, 1986). The Mg’ values of olivine at the liquidus (Fig. 4) are slightly lower than predicted values for their high temperatures, suggesting that some Fe may have been lost.

Representative compositions of garnet are given in Table 3. The Mg’ of primary garnet is consistently lower than that of olivine (Table 1). The garnets are subaluminous, Ti-, Ca-, and Cr-rich members of the grossularite-pyrope-almandine series (Table 3).

The compositions of the garnets produced in the present experiments differ from those found in kimberlites and related rocks. Garnet is absent from the Wesselton aphanitic kimberlite (Shee, 1986) and in the lower pressure experiments (Edgar et al., 1988). The garnet compositions (Table 3) most closely resemble the Group 6 garnet, pyrope grossular almandine garnet, in the statistical classification of Dawson and Stephens (1975). These garnets are common in eclogites. The TiO₂ in the experimentally produced garnets is commonly greater (Table 3) than that of the megacrysts of Cr-poor garnets listed by Mitchell (1986). Megacryst garnets have lower CaO and higher FeO than those formed in this study.

**Discussion**

Nature of the source region for the Wesselton aphanitic kimberlite and compositionally similar kimberlites

The absence of orthopyroxene and primary clinopyroxene as suprasolidus phases in the experiments implies that, under the conditions used, liquid is not in equilibrium with a garnet lherzolite assemblage (garnet + olivine + orthopyroxene + clinopyroxene), and therefore this rock could not be the source of the kimberlite. Before considering the consequence of the absence of this assemblage, it is necessary to consider whether the absence of orthopyroxene is related to either the relatively low CO₂
in the bulk composition or the possible elimination of orthopyroxene by reaction from a peridotite assemblage at pressures in the range of 5.0-8.0 GPa.

**The absence of orthopyroxene**

In their study of the aphanitic kimberlite up to 4.0 GPa, Edgar et al. (1988) attributed the absence of orthopyroxene in experiments at \( X_{\text{CO}_2} \) conditions of 0.24 and 0.51 to be caused by the lower \( \text{SiO}_2 \) composition of the aphanitic kimberlite relative to many other kimberlites (cf. Mitchell, 1986, his Table 7.3 and Fig. 1). They showed that the aphanitic Wesselton kimberlite plotted on the CMS and CMS \( \text{CO}_2 \) projections (Edgar et al., 1988, their Figs. 2, 3) was unlikely to have a composition compatible with an olivine + clinopyroxene + orthopyroxene source assemblage but pointed out that an \( \text{SiO}_2 \)-poor melt might be derived from a partial melt of an olivine + clinopyroxene + orthopyroxene (lherzolite) source from which olivine \pm orthopyroxene \pm clinopyroxene had fractionated. There is little evidence, however, that the aphanitic kimberlite is a particularly evolved rock, rather than a primitive magma (cf. Edgar et al., 1988).

Alternatively a reaction relationship such as

\[
\text{forsterite} + \text{CO}_2 = \text{enstatite} + \text{magnesite}
\]

may occur under higher \( X_{\text{CO}_2} \) conditions than those used in the present experiments. Studies of parts of the peridotite-\( \text{CO}_2 \)-\( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) system (e.g., Eggler and Wendlandt, 1979; Wyllie and Huang, 1976; Olafsson and Eggler, 1983; Brey et al., 1984; Canil and Scarfe, 1990) show that a reaction such as Reaction 4 will occur in the range of 3.0-4.0 GPa. No evidence of such a reaction occurs in either the relatively high \( X_{\text{CO}_2} \) experiments (\( X_{\text{CO}_2} \approx 0.52 \)) of Edgar et al. (1988) up to 4.0 GPa or in the lower \( X_{\text{CO}_2} \) experiments above 5.0 GPa in this study, where no primary carbonate minerals occurred. The high amount of \( \text{CO}_2 \) required for Reaction 4 and other reactions is due to the necessity to saturate liquids in \( \text{CO}_2 \), based on experiments on the peridotite \( \text{H}_2\text{O}-\text{CO}_2 \) system, and hence is probably geologically unreasonable (cf. Mitchell, 1986). For example, more than 5% \( \text{CO}_2 \) is required to complete Reaction 1, and liquids in equilibrium with phases in the CMS-CO\(_2\) system may have 35-40% \( \text{CO}_2 \), values in excess of any believed to be present in the mantle.

The possibility of orthopyroxene being a reaction product during peridotite melting at 5.0-8.0 GPa, and whether this melting can control the mineral relations of primitive melts, such as those of the aphanitic kimberlite, must be considered. Two reactions may take place in the presence of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}-\text{CO}_2 \) fluid:

orthopyroxene + magnesite
+ clinopyroxene + olivine + fluid
= melt

(5)

olivine + magnesite + clinopyroxene + fluid
= orthopyroxene + melt.

(6)

Reaction 5 involves a eutectic-like melting of a magnesite-bearing lherzolite source that could crystallize ortho-
pyroxene if the melt separated. Such a melt would also be expected to crystallize magnesite or dolomite at lower pressures. The absence of this type of carbonate composition in the low-pressure experiments of Edgar et al. (1988) and the total absence of any Mg-bearing carbonate phases, even in the quench experiments of this study, imply that the magma from which the aphanitic kimberlite formed was not derived from such a melt as modeled by Reaction 5.

If Reaction 6 is involved, then orthopyroxene might crystallize only briefly from the melt, after separation from the source, and any evidence of its presence would be difficult to detect. As in the case of the eutectic-like melt, however, the melt in this reaction might be expected to crystallize magnesite or dolomite at lower pressures. The absence of either of these minerals close to the liquidus in the low-pressure experiments (Edgar et al., 1988) suggests that the melt from which the aphanitic kimberlite evolved may not be due to Reaction 6.

Canil and Scarfe (1990) extended experiments on the model peridotite-CO₂ systems up to 12.0 GPa. They showed that partial melts from such a model peridotite at 5–7 GPa would crystallize orthopyroxene, whereas at >7 GPa a Mg-rich kimberlite magma (protokimberlite) might fractionate olivine at lower pressures, producing a primary kimberlite magma also in equilibrium with orthopyroxene (Canil and Scarfe, 1990, their Fig. 7). These authors believe that CaO-rich, SiO₂-poor kimberlites, such as the Wesselton aphanitic kimberlite, are the result of mixing of Si-poor and carbonate-rich melts at low pressure.

The absence of clinopyroxene

The absence of primary clinopyroxene in the products of the experiments is partly caused by experimental problems with such Mg-rich melts as the aphanitic kimberlite used in this study. Herzberg et al. (1990) have discussed this problem in detail and presented methods of obtaining analyses of equilibrium (primary) phases between near-solidus and liquidus conditions. The tendency of mixtures of quench and primary minerals to form as mattes containing both primary and quench material, particularly near the liquidus, was observed in nearly all the products of this study (Fig. 3). In many instances, clinopyroxene appears to be a primary mineral texturally but is in fact a quench phase grown around stable olivine and garnet. In no instance did microprobe analyses of clinopyroxene grains indicate that they were primary, as the Mg' values were too low for the clinopyroxene to be in equilibrium with primary olivine and garnet (Table 1), and the Al₂O₃ contents (12–16 wt%) were much too high. Using these criteria, we do not consider clinopyroxene a stable mineral in these experiments. Nevertheless the possibility of some clinopyroxene being primary, even close to the liquidus, cannot be excluded, and this idea receives some support from the presence of primary clinopyroxene in experiments up to 4 GPa (Edgar et al., 1988), in which problems in forming stable assemblages are not so great. Estimation of the compositions of residual liquids formed after the onset of garnet formation (Fig. 4, field C) certainly does not preclude clinopyroxene and some Al-bearing mineral (spinel?) as stable phases.

Similar arguments may apply to the absence of carbonate minerals, which texturally appear to be both primary and quench. Unfortunately no chemical criteria can be used to assess this. However, the only observed carbonate mineral is calcite.

The lack of unequivocal stable phases in the experiments does not alter the fact that orthopyroxene is not a likely mineral to be in equilibrium with a melt of the aphanitic Wesselton kimberlite composition under the conditions of this study.

Source for the aphanitic kimberlite magma

The source of the aphanitic kimberlite magma can be inferred from multiphase saturation of mineral phases at the liquidus or from near-liquidus phases. Figure 4 indicates that no multiphase saturation occurs, but garnet, along with olivine, is present near the liquidus at 10.0 GPa. If the lines representing the liquidus (first crystallization of olivine) and that of garnet (Fig. 4) are extrapolated to higher pressures, the assemblage garnet + olivine occurs at about 12–13 GPa. This assemblage is equivalent to a garnet dunite, an unlikely source for kimberlite because it is refractory. The presence of quench material of a composition equivalent to an Al-rich clinopyroxene up to the near-liquidus may modify this source material to that of a garnetite assemblage, a more likely candidate as a source of the aphanitic kimberlite magma. This multisaturation point on the extrapolated liquidus (Fig. 4) may imply that kimberlite magma arose by partial melting at pressures of 12.0–13.0 GPa.

The high pressure at which olivine is on the liquidus (Fig. 4) may be a consequence of the low XCO₂ in the experiments. Such a low value could be the result of loss of CO₂ during degassing of the aphanitic kimberlite. Thus, the relatively high H₂O or slight changes in the experiments increase the size of the olivine + liquid field (Fig. 4, field B), as demonstrated by Kushiro (1969) in the forsterite-diopside-SiO₂ system. If this is the reason for the stability of olivine to high pressures, then under a larger XCO₂, the multisaturation point involving olivine + garnet + clinopyroxene (?) might occur at lower pressures. The possibility that the aphanitic kimberlite is a result of localized large-scale melting of a garnet + clinopyroxene (?) assemblage, representing a garnetite of basaltic composition from a subduction-related process (Ringwood, 1989) such as that proposed for the Roberts Victor eclogites by Hatton and Gurney (1987), might exist. Some support for this suggestion may come from the similarity between the compositions of the garnets found in this study (Table 3) and those present in eclogites (see above). The garnets found in this study do not have the charac-
teristic high SiO$_2$ of very high pressure. Experiments on the eclogite-garnetite transformation (Irifune et al., 1989) indicate that SiO$_2$-poor garnets occur only above 10.0 GPa.

The experiments described here as well as those of Edgar et al. (1988) strongly suggest that the aphanitic Wesselton kimberlite did not evolve by partial melting of a garnet lherzolite but may have been derived by partial melting of a source that did not include orthopyroxene, or from which orthopyroxene was consumed during eutectic-like melting. The present study also suggests that formation of the magma from which this kimberlite formed could have occurred at a depth considerably greater than the range of 150–250 km for kimberlite magmas derived from carbonated garnet-lherzolite sources. If the olivine field in the experiments is decreased by a higher $X_{\text{CO}_2}$ (see above), then the source inferred from the experiments may be at a depth equivalent to a pressure of <10.0 GPa (<300 km) or to a maximum pressure of 12.0–13.0 GPa (Fig. 4), equivalent to a depth of 350–400 km. If the source and depth of generation of the aphanitic kimberlite is due to its composition, as discussed above, then it is possible that kimberlites of comparable composition (see Fig. 1) may also have been evolved at deeper levels, and from similar sources, as the sample studied here.

The principal differences between the aphanitic kimberlite and those of comparable composition (Fig. 1 and Mitchell, 1986, his Table 7.3) is in the $X_{\text{CO}_2}$, which is higher for some of the kimberlites in Figure 1. Higher $X_{\text{CO}_2}$ favors a shallower depth of melting (see above). The compositions shown in Figure 1 all fall within a narrow range when plotted on the CMS system and are not compatible with enstatite. The higher $X_{\text{CO}_2}$ in these kimberlites (Fig. 1) may not change the source of SiO$_2$-poor CaO-rich kimberlite magmas, such as the Wesselton aphanitic kimberlite, but may be important in the depth of magma generation.

Canil and Scarfe (1990) suggested that kimberlites of similar compositions to the aphanitic kimberlite might arise by a mixing process involving a protokimberlite, generated as a partial melt from a carbonated lherzolite source at a pressure greater than 7.0 GPa. After fractionation of olivine from this melt during ascent, the melt mixes at shallower depths with carbonate-rich magmas that cause desilication. These authors claim that evidence for such mixing is recorded in the macrocrysts in the kimberlite. In the case of the Wesselton aphanitic kimberlite, however, megacrysts are absent, and there is no indication of any participation of a carbonate-rich magma in the low CO$_2$ contents of this rock (Edgar et al., 1988, their Table 1). The relationship between the Type I macrocrystic Wesselton kimberlite and the aphanitic variety is not clear (Edgar et al., 1988), but there is no evidence from the olivine macrocrysts or from the chemical composition of this rock that a carbonate-rich magma was involved in its genesis.

The results of the present study offer an alternative explanation for these SiO$_2$-poor, CaO-rich kimberlites, namely, that they represent protokimberlite derived from partial melting of a nonlherzolite source, possibly over a range of depths greater than those of more SiO$_2$-rich CaO-poor kimberlites formed as partial melts of a lherzolite source.

If diamonds are xenocrystal phases in kimberlite, and with the assumption that kimberlites do not all originate at the same depth (see Mitchell, 1986), the hypothesis that diamond-bearing kimberlites of compositions similar to the Wesselton aphanitic kimberlite are derived from deeper levels can be useful in diamond exploration. Such kimberlite magmas will ascend and may pass through a much larger volume of potentially diamond-bearing mantle than more SiO$_2$-rich kimberlites from shallower sources. Unfortunately, if any relationship exists between the abundance of diamonds and the chemical composition of their host kimberlite, it has not been revealed to the scientific body public.

**Ascent of the aphanitic kimberlite magma**

Ascent of the aphanitic kimberlite magma may be modeled from the present study and from the one performed at lower pressure (Edgar et al., 1988). The principal differences between the lowest pressure experiments of this study (5.0 GPa), and those of the highest pressure of the latter study (4.0 GPa) is the presence of olivine + spinel at the liquidus at about 1450 °C at 4.0 GPa and of olivine + garnet but no spinel within 25 °C of the liquidus at about 1500 °C and 6.0 GPa (Fig. 4). Extrapolation of the liquidus of the present study to 4.0 GPa indicates a temperature about 50 °C greater than that determined in the previous study. This discrepancy may be the result of several factors, including the use of different apparatus for both studies, variation in the precision and accuracy of the temperature (or pressure) calibration, or transformations involving distribution of Al between Al-poor garnet + Al-rich quench clinopyroxene at high pressure and spinel + Al-poor clinopyroxene at lower pressure.

The data given here suggest that the aphanitic kimberlite ascended from its source region, possibly as deep as 330 + km (13.0 GPa) or as shallow as about 300 km (10.0 GPa) in the mantle. On ascent the magma may have formed at conditions represented by the liquidus (Fig. 4), where olivine ± garnet may have crystallized. (The possibility of clinopyroxene as an additional assemblage based on the quench phase in the experiments cannot be ignored.) At about 1500 °C and a depth of approximately 150 km (equivalent to below 5.0–6.0 GPa but above 4.0 GPa), garnet and Al-rich clinopyroxene (represented as a quench phase in the experiments) transformed to spinel and Al-poor clinopyroxene. On the basis of the lower pressure experiments (Fig. 1a of Edgar et al., 1988) the temperature at this depth may have been 1300 < T < 1400 °C. On further cooling to about 50 km (>1.0 GPa), the magma cooled to below 1300 °C, on the basis of the absence of clinopyroxene and the presence of monticellite in the aphanitic kimberlite. Rapid uprise and cooling pro-
duced a matrix of olivine (now as serpentine), monticellite, spinel, calcite, and other phases such as phlogopite, formed at $P$-$T$ conditions lower than those used by Edgar et al. (1988).
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