Structure and elasticity of MgO at high pressure
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Abstract

The structural and elastic properties of MgO periclase were studied up to 150 GPa with the first-principles pseudopotential method within the local density approximation. The calculated lattice constant of the B1 phase over the pressure range studied is within 1% of experimental values. The observed B1 phase of MgO was found to be stable up to 450 GPa, precluding the B1-B2 phase transition within the lower mantle. The calculated transition pressure is less than one-half of the previous pseudopotential prediction but is very close to the linearized augmented plane-wave result. All three independent elastic constants, $c_{11}$, $c_{12}$, and $c_{44}$, for the B1 phase are calculated from direct computation of stresses generated by small strains. The calculated zero-pressure values of the elastic moduli and wave velocities and their initial pressure dependence are in excellent agreement with experiments. MgO was found to be highly anisotropic in its elastic properties, with the magnitude of the anisotropy first decreasing between 0 and 15 GPa and then increasing from 15 to 150 GPa. Longitudinal and shear-wave velocities were found to vary by 23 and 59%, respectively, with propagation direction at 150 GPa. The character of the anisotropy changes qualitatively with pressure. At zero pressure longitudinal and shear-wave propagations are fastest along [111] and [100], respectively, whereas above 15 GPa, the corresponding fast directions are [100] and [110]. The Cauchy condition was found to be strongly violated in MgO, reflecting the importance of noncentral many-body forces.

Introduction

The elasticity of minerals at high pressure is of substantial physical and geological interest for several reasons. First, our most precise and informative observations of the bulk of the Earth are from its elastic properties. Comparisons between seismological observations and the elastic properties of candidate mantle minerals and assemblages are the only way to extract information regarding the composition and mineralogy of the mantle from the rich seismological database. Second, the geometry of mantle flow can be illuminated by comparing seismological observations of mantle anisotropy with the measured or predicted anisotropy of hypothesized mantle phases. Finally, the elasticity of minerals yields substantial insight into the nature of bonding. For example, deviations from the Cauchy relations are a direct measure of the importance of noncentral forces in crystals. As the end-member of a hypothesized major lower mantle phase, (Mg,Fe)O magnesiowüstite, the elasticity of periclase is particularly relevant in this context. The exceptionally wide stability field of this mineral (>$200$ GPa) makes studies of its elasticity an ideal testing ground for our understanding of the effect of pressure on elasticity. Moreover, our predictions of the elasticity of periclase over a wide range of pressure provide a test of the predictive ability of first-principles theoretical methods.
the basis of the PIB model, and Inbar and Cohen (1995) studied its thermoelastic properties according to PIB. Here we present a first-principles study of the structure and elasticity of MgO at lower mantle pressures (zero temperature) with use of the total-energy pseudopotential method. We obtained the equation of state for the B1 structure of MgO up to 150 GPa, which exceeds the highest value reached in the lower mantle. We also calculated the B1-B2 phase-transition pressure by comparing the enthalpy of the two phases of MgO at different pressures. By computing the stresses generated by small deformations of the equilibrium primitive cell, we determined all three elastic constants, and which were then used to calculate the bulk and shear moduli and hence the isotropic longitudinal and shear velocities as a function of pressure. We also calculated the wave velocities in different crystallographic directions to study the elastic anisotropy of MgO. Finally, we studied the violation of the Cauchy condition in MgO.

**METHODS**

**Structural optimization**

The computations are based on density functional theory, which is, in principle, an exact theory of the ground state (Kohn and Sham 1965). Two approximations were made. First, we used the local density approximation (LDA) to the exchange-correlation energy functional. The LDA has been widely studied in applications involving essentially all classes of materials, including oxides (Mehl and Cohen 1988). Second, the underlying idea of the pseudopotential approximation is to recognize that the core electrons are essentially static (frozen) and do not vary their charge density significantly under perturbations to the lattice. The pseudopotential mimics the potential seen by the valence electrons because of the nucleus and frozen core electrons. This approximation has been studied in a wide variety of insulating and semiconducting systems (Wentzcovitch et al. 1995; Cohen and Chelikowsky 1988).

We simulated a two-ion primitive cell of MgO by using the first-principles pseudopotential method (Payne et al. 1992). The optimized, norm-conserving, nonlocal pseudopotentials generated by the Q\textsubscript{c} tuning method (Lee 1995; Lin et al. 1993) were used in the Kleinman-Bylander form (Kleinman and Bylander 1982). The reference atomic configurations used to construct the O pseudopotential (p local) were 2s2p\textsuperscript{4} for the s and p components and 2s2p\textsuperscript{4}3d\textsuperscript{10} for the d component, with real-space core radius \( r_c(s,p,d) = 1.4 \) a.u. and \( Q/q_s(s,p,d) = (0.40,1.11,1.0325) \). Here, \( Q \) is the control parameter connected with the kinetic energy of the pseudo wave function to tune the pseudopotential, and \( q_s \) is the largest wave vector in the Fourier expansion (in terms of spherical Bessel functions) of the pseudo wave function. For the magnesium pseudopotential, the s component (local) was constructed with reference atomic configuration of 3s\textsuperscript{2}, \( r_c(s) = 2.0 \) a.u. and \( Q/q_s(s) = 1.0 \). Both pseudopotentials have been shown to be highly transferable by using them in structural and elasticity calculations of MgSiO\textsubscript{4}, perovskite and SiO\textsubscript{2} (Karki et al., in preparation). The parameterization of Perdew and Zunger (1981) was used for the exchange-correlation potential in LDA. The valence electronic wave functions were expanded in a plane-wave basis set truncated at a maximum plane-wave energy (the cutoff energy) of 900 eV. This cutoff energy yielded 1200 basis functions per band. The Brillouin zone was sampled on a \( 4 \times 4 \times 4 \) Monkhorst-Pack (Monkhorst and Pack 1976) special k mesh yielding ten k points in the irreducible wedge. Careful convergence tests showed that increasing the cutoff energy to 1500 eV lowered the total energy by only 0.047 eV, whereas increasing the number of the special k points from two \((2 \times 2 \times 2 k\text{-point mesh})\) to ten raised the total energy by only 0.012 eV. Thus, the estimated numerical precision in our calculated total energies is within 0.06 eV at all volumes.

The self-consistent total energies and Hellman-Feynman forces were found by solving the Kohn-Sham equations with use of a preconditioned conjugate-gradient method. The periclase structure was optimized for a series of applied pressures by minimizing the self-consistent Hellman-Feynman forces and stresses. Efficient minimization was achieved by employing a generalized dynamics that is governed by the Lagrangian (Wentzcovitch 1991; Wentzcovitch et al. 1993; Hsueh et al. 1996; Warren and Ackland 1996)

\[
L = \sum \frac{m_i}{2} \dot{s}_i^2 + \frac{W}{2} \text{Tr}(\textbf{Hf},\textbf{h}) - E(r_i) - PV \tag{1}
\]

where W is the fictitious boxmass, \( g = h^T h \) is the metric tensor, with h defined from the unit-cell vectors such that rescaled ionic coordinates are \( r_i = h s_i \), and similarly \( f_i \) is the tensor formed from initial cell faces. In the Lagrangian, the Kohn-Sham energy \( E(r_i) \) and the applied pressure together correspond to the enthalpy, which plays the role of a generalized potential energy. Because the atomic positions in periclase are fixed by symmetry, the first term, which gives the kinetic energy associated with the ionic coordinates, is not required. The cubic structure of periclase further simplifies the Lagrangian: Only a single structural parameter, the cell parameter, needs to be determined for each pressure. For a given applied isotropic pressure, \( P \), the lattice parameter converged when the total stress

\[
\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{ij} + \sigma_p - P \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3) \tag{2}
\]

was reduced to the order of 0.0001 eV/Å\textsuperscript{3}. In Equation 2, \( \sigma_{ij} \) is the self-consistent Hellman-Feynman stress tensor (Nielsen and Martin 1985), and \( \sigma_p \) is the isotropic Pulay stress (Francis and Payne 1990). The Pulay stress originates from the variation of the plane-wave basis set with lattice parameter. This correction is given by

\[
\sigma_p = \frac{2}{3V} \frac{dE}{dV} \ln \epsilon_c \tag{3}
\]

where \( \epsilon_c \) is the cutoff energy that limits the size of the
basis set to be used. As the unit cell changes size, the
cutoff energy also changes because the $k$ vector of the
plane wave remains commensurate with the unit cell, and
this, in turn, leads to a change in total energy ($E$). The
Pulay stress was determined by calculating the total en-
ergy at several cutoffs and evaluating $dE/d\ln \alpha$, which
is $-0.7332$ eV, so that the Pulay correction to the stress
at zero pressure is approximately $-4$ GPa. The total en-
ergies and stresses converged much faster when the finite
basis-set corrections were included.

Elasticity

According to Hooke's law (Nye 1985), the stress ($\sigma$)
and strain ($\varepsilon$) for small deformations to a crystal are lin-
early related by

$$\sigma_{ij} = c_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl}$$

(4)

where the fourth-rank tensor $c_{ijkl}$ is the elastic constant
tensor. Thus, the elastic constants can be determined di-
rectly from the computation of the stress generated by
small strains (Wentzcovitch et al. 1995). The cubic crystal
has three independent elastic constants, $c_{11}$, $c_{12}$, and $c_{44}$
in the Voigt notation). The strained lattice (lattice vectors
$a'$) used to determine the elastic constants is related to
the unstrained lattice ($a$) by $a' = (I + G)a$, where $I$ is the
identity matrix. The strain tensor is

$$\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{11} & \varepsilon_{12} & 0 \\ \varepsilon_{12} & \varepsilon_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(5)

so that Hooke's law gives

$$\sigma_{xx} = c_{11} \varepsilon_{11}, \quad \sigma_{yy} = c_{11} \varepsilon_{22}, \quad \sigma_{zz} = c_{44} \varepsilon_{11},$$

and

$$\sigma_{xx} = \sigma_{yy} = \sigma_{zz} = 0.$$  

(6)

For the lower symmetry of the strained lattice, the $4 \times 4 \times 4$ k-point mesh yielded 20 special $k$ points. The ion
positions are still fixed by the symmetry, so in the
strained lattice only electrons should be relaxed. Thus, at
a given pressure, we first obtained the equilibrium prim-
itive cell of MgO by relaxing the cell parameter and then
deformed the cell with the strain in Equation 5. We cal-
culated the strain-induced stresses as discussed in the pre-
vious section and used Equation 6 to determine the values
of $c_{11}$, $c_{12}$, and $c_{44}$ for MgO.

The elastic constants completely specify the elastic
properties and acoustic velocities of a single crystal. For
the purpose of comparing with seismological data, it is
interesting to compute the elastic properties of an isotro-
pic polycrystalline aggregate. The bulk modulus of such
an aggregate is well defined, whereas the shear modulus
is inherently uncertain, depending in detail on the ar-
angement and shape of the constituent crystals (Watt et
al. 1976). The bulk modulus is related to the elastic con-
stants by

$$K = \frac{1}{2}(c_{11} + 2c_{12}).$$

(7)

In our static (athermal) calculations, the adiabatic and iso-
thermal bulk moduli are identical and are both given by
Equation 7. The isotropic shear modulus in the Hashin-
Shtrikman averaging scheme (Hashin and Shtrikman
1962) is given by

$$G = \frac{1}{2}(G_u + G_s),$$

(8)

where the upper and lower bounds are, respectively,

$$G_u = c_{44} + \frac{2}{5} \left( \frac{5}{c_{44} - c_{11}} + \frac{18(K + 2c_{44})}{5c_{44}(3K + 4c_{44})} \right)$$

(9)

and

$$G_s = c_{44} + \frac{3}{5} \left( \frac{5}{c_{44} - c_s} + \frac{12(K + 2c_s)}{5c_s(3K + 4c_s)} \right)$$

(10)

where $c_s = (c_{11} - c_{12})/2$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Equation of state

We obtained equilibrium lattice parameters for MgO at
different pressures up to 150 GPa. The pressure-volume
results were fitted to the fourth-order Birch-Murnaghan
equation of state (Birch 1986)

$$P = 3K_0(f(1 + 2f)^{5/2} - 1)$$

(11)

where

$$f = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{V_0}{V} - 1 \right),$$

$$a_1 = \frac{3}{2} (K_0 - 4),$$

$$a_2 = \frac{3}{2} (K_0K_o + 8(K_o - 7) + \frac{143}{9}).$$

yielding the zero-pressure bulk modulus and its first and
second pressure derivatives as follows: $K_0 = 159.7$ GPa,
$K_0' = 4.26$, and $K_0'' = -0.026$ GPa$^{-1}$, which differ insig-
nificantly from the parameters obtained from a third-order
fit: $K_0 = 159.4$ GPa, $K_0' = 4.28$, and $K_0'' = -0.027$ GPa$^{-1}$.
This implies that the fourth order term (coefficient $a_3$ in
Eq. 11) is negligibly small. Our calculated values of the
shear modulus were fitted to the appropriate fourth-order
finite-strain expansion (Duffy and Ahrens 1992), yield-
ing, for the shear modulus and its first and second pres-
sure derivatives at zero pressure, $G_0 = 121.5$ GPa, $G_0' = 2.18$, and $G_0'' = -0.034$ GPa$^{-1}$. These values differ ap-
preciably from those obtained from a third-order fit:
$G_0 = 126.8$ GPa, $G_0' = 1.80$, and $G_0'' = -0.022$ GPa$^{-1}$, in-
dicating that the finite-strain expansion converges much
more slowly for the shear modulus than it does for the
pressure. Our calculated values of zero-pressure bulk and
shear moduli and their pressure derivatives compare fa-
vorably with experiments (Carter et al. 1971; Jackson and
Niesler 1982; Duffy and Ahrens 1995; Chopelas 1996),
as shown in Table 1. Older static compression exper-
iments, which yielded relatively high values of the bulk
modulus of periclase, about 180 GPa (Perez-Albuerner
and Drickamer 1965; Weaver et al. 1971; Mao and Bell 1979), are now understood to have been significantly biased by the presence of nonhydrostatic stresses (Duffy et al. 1995). We reproduced both the zero-pressure lattice constant and bulk modulus very well in comparison with previous pseudopotential (Chang and Cohen 1984) and LAPW (Mehl and Cohen 1988) calculations (Table 1). The calculated lattice constant over the pressure range studied is within 1% of the experiments. We slightly overestimated the lattice constant, which we attributed to the pseudopotential approximation because the LDA is expected to underestimate slightly the lattice constant, as confirmed by the LAPW method (Mehl and Cohen 1988). The equation of state of MgO periclase is shown along with experimental results (Perez-Albuerne and Drickamer 1965; Weaver et al. 1971; Mao and Bell 1979; Duffy et al. 1995) in Figure 1.

The B1-B2 phase transition

To investigate the B1-B2 phase transition in MgO, we calculated the enthalpy \((E + PV)\) of the two-ion primitive cell of MgO in both the B1(NaCl) and B2(CsCl) structures as a function of pressure from 0 to 800 GPa (Fig. 2). The intersection of the two curves implies that the B1-B2 phase transition in MgO occurs at 451 GPa. By lowering the enthalpy of the B1 phase by 0.06 eV (the estimated uncertainty in the total energy), we obtained a transition pressure of 470 GPa. We expected the precision of the estimated phase transition to be much better than 20 GPa because the relative energies converge much faster than 0.06 eV. In agreement with previous calculations (Table 2), MgO is expected to transform from the B1 to the B2 phase at pressures much higher than other alkaline-earth oxides because of the small ratio of cation-to-anion radii. Our predicted value is much smaller than the previous pseudopotential value of 1050 GPa (Chang and Cohen 1984) and much larger than that predicted by some previous calculations (Cohen and Gordon 1976; Causà et al. 1986; Bukowinski 1985); however, it agrees closely with LAPW and PIB results (Mehl and Cohen 1988; Isaak et al. 1990; Zhang and Bukowinski 1991). No experimental evidence for the existence of the B1-B2 phase transition for MgO has been found up to 227 GPa from diamond-cell measurement (Duffy et al. 1995) and up to 200 GPa from shock-wave studies (Vassiliou and Ahrens 1981), demonstrating the remarkable stability range of MgO in the B1 phase. Thus, from both experimental and theoretical studies, the observed B1 structure for MgO seems to be stable throughout the Earth's mantle.

It is interesting to note the large discrepancy between the present result and the previous pseudopotential pre-
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FiguRe 2. The B1-B2 phase transition in MgO. The solid line (solid circles) and dashed line (open circles) show the calculated zero-temperature free energies as a function of pressure for the NaCl and CsCl structures of MgO, respectively. The inset illustrates intersection of the curves, giving 451 GPa as the transition pressure.


diction (Chang and Cohen 1984) for the transition pressure. We tested the transferability of our optimized pseudopotentials for both Mg and O. The size of the computational error (0.06 eV in energy) in our calculation is significantly smaller than that (0.5 eV) in the previous pseudopotential calculation. Furthermore, our prediction agrees very closely with the LAPW value, as mentioned above. Thus, we are confident in the reliability of our calculations. However, we are unable to use the pseudopotentials used by Chang and Cohen (1984) and are therefore unable to comment further on the observed disagreement between their results and ours.

Elastic moduli

We deformed the equilibrium primitive cell of MgO by using the strain defined in Equation 5 with different magnitudes: \( e = 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, \) and \( 0.04 \) (Mehl et al. 1990). We plotted the ratio of stress to strain vs. strain and determined \( c_{11} \), for example, from the intercept of the best-fit line \( \sigma / e = c_{11} + O(e) \); and similarly for \( c_{12} \) and \( c_{44} \).

As shown in Figure 3, this procedure yielded \( c_{11} = 291 \), \( c_{12} = 91 \), and \( c_{44} = 139 \) GPa at zero pressure. We repeated this procedure at different pressures up to 150 GPa; the calculated values of \( c_{11}, c_{12}, \) and \( c_{44} \) are shown as a function of pressure in Table 3 and Figure 4. We used Equations 7–10 to calculate the isotropic aggregate bulk and shear moduli as a function of pressure as shown in Figure 4. The average of the Hashin-Shtrikman bounds agrees to within 1% of the Hill (1952) average, although the bounds on aggregate properties obtained by the Hashin-Shtrikman method are significantly narrower. The calculated zero-pressure values of all elastic moduli are slightly underestimated in comparison with the experimental values obtained from ultrasonic (Jackson and Niesler 1982) and resonance (Isaak et al. 1989) experiments (Table 4), consistent with slight overestimation of the calculated lattice constant (Table 1). Figure 4 shows the first- and second-order extrapolations of the low-pressure ultrasonic data of Jackson and Niesler (1982) to high pressure (up to 60 GPa) for all elastic moduli. The initial pressure dependence of the calculated elastic moduli agrees well with experiment, but at high pressures (beyond approximately 10 GPa) the calculated values differ substantially from the extrapolated data. Also, the second-order extrapolation deviates much more from the theoretical results at high pressure than does the corresponding linear extrapolation, as also noted by Isaak et al. (1990). This suggests that Taylor-series expansions of the pressure dependence of the elastic constants that use pres-

<p>| Table 2. Transition pressure, transition volume, and total-energy difference for the B1-B2 phase transition in MgO |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure (GPa)</th>
<th>Volume (B1) A(^3)</th>
<th>Volume (B2) A(^3)</th>
<th>Energy Difference (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>9.887</td>
<td>9.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohen and Gordon (1976)</td>
<td>256</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang and Cohen (1984)</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>6.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bukowinski (1985)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Causé et al. (1986)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehli and Cohen (1988)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isaak et al. (1990)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td>8.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang and Bukowinski (1991)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Stress/strain ratio vs. strain. The solid lines represent linear fits to the calculated values (solid circles) of stress/strain vs. strain for three elastic stiffness constants.
TABLE 3. Pressure variation of the lattice constant (Å), density (g/cm³), elastic moduli (GPa), and wave velocities (km/s) for MgO from 0 to 150 GPa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>ρ</th>
<th>c₁₁</th>
<th>c₁₂</th>
<th>c₁₄</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>G₄</th>
<th>G₃</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>Vₚ</th>
<th>Vₛ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.251</td>
<td>3.486</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>121.94</td>
<td>121.73</td>
<td>121.8</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>5.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.209</td>
<td>3.590</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>131.22</td>
<td>131.17</td>
<td>131.2</td>
<td>9.92</td>
<td>6.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.173</td>
<td>3.685</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>141.71</td>
<td>141.70</td>
<td>141.7</td>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.110</td>
<td>3.856</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>160.38</td>
<td>160.41</td>
<td>160.4</td>
<td>10.81</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>4.012</td>
<td>4.144</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>192.1</td>
<td>192.5</td>
<td>192.3</td>
<td>11.74</td>
<td>6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.937</td>
<td>4.386</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>217.6</td>
<td>219.4</td>
<td>218.5</td>
<td>12.44</td>
<td>7.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.877</td>
<td>4.596</td>
<td>951</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>239.7</td>
<td>243.6</td>
<td>241.6</td>
<td>13.05</td>
<td>7.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.825</td>
<td>4.785</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>258.2</td>
<td>265.5</td>
<td>261.9</td>
<td>13.59</td>
<td>7.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>3.780</td>
<td>4.998</td>
<td>1302</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>278.0</td>
<td>291.0</td>
<td>284.5</td>
<td>14.13</td>
<td>7.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>3.723</td>
<td>5.189</td>
<td>1497</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>297.3</td>
<td>317.4</td>
<td>307.3</td>
<td>14.61</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Pressure dependence of (a) c₁₁, K, and G, and (b) c₁₄, and c₁₄ of MgO. The symbols represent the calculated values, and the solid lines are the best fits. The first- and second-order extrapolations of low-pressure ultrasonic data (Jackson and Niesler 1982) to high pressure (up to 60 GPa) are shown by dashed and dotted lines, respectively.

sure as the expansion variable (rather than, for instance, finite strain) converge very slowly.

Isotropic wave velocities

We calculated the longitudinal and shear-wave velocities, Vₚ and Vₛ, respectively, of an isotropic aggregate using

\[ V_p = \sqrt{\frac{K + \frac{4}{3}G}{\rho}} \quad \text{and} \quad V_s = \sqrt{\frac{G}{\rho}} \quad (12) \]

where \( \rho \) is the density of the mineral. Like elastic moduli, the calculated density and wave velocities at zero pressure are also slightly underestimated with respect to experiments (Jackson and Niesler 1982; Isaak et al. 1989), as shown in Table 4. Figure 5 is a plot of Vₚ and Vₛ vs. pressure along with available experimental data (Jackson and Niesler 1982) and the seismically derived wave velocities in the lower mantle (Dziewonski and Anderson 1981). The high-pressure longitudinal and shear-wave velocities for MgO differ by <5% from the lower mantle seismic velocities. This small difference is somewhat coincidental for several reasons. First, the lower mantle is expected to be primarily composed of Mg-rich silicate perovskite with secondary magnesiowüstite. Second, magnesiowüstite is expected to contain several tens of percent FeO and to be subject to temperatures of 2000–3000 K. The effects of composition and temperature are expected to reduce the P- and S-wave velocities of magnesiowüstite relative to zero-temperature periclase by a few tens of percent.

TABLE 4. Zero-pressure athermal elastic moduli (GPa) and isotropic velocities (km/s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>c₁₁</th>
<th>c₁₂</th>
<th>c₁₄</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>Vₚ</th>
<th>Vₛ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calc.</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>5.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usc.*</td>
<td>296.8</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>155.8</td>
<td>162.5</td>
<td>130.8</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>6.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Res.**</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>157.1</td>
<td>163.9</td>
<td>131.8</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>6.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The PIB values are \( c_{11} - c_{12} = 188.5 \) and \( c_{14} = 187.6 \) GPa (Isaak et al. 1990).

* Ultrasonic data (Jackson and Niesler 1982).
** Resonance data (Isaak et al. 1989).
Elastic anisotropy

The single-crystal elastic wave velocities in different directions are given by the Cristoffel equation

\[ c_{ijkl} n_i n_j - \rho V^2 \delta_{ij} = 0 \]  

where \( c_{ijkl} \) is the single-crystal elastic constant tensor, \( n \) is the propagation direction, \( \rho \) is the density, \( V \) is the velocity, and \( \delta_{ij} \) is the Kroenecker delta function. The eigenvalues of the \( 3 \times 3 \) matrix yield the three unique elastic wave velocities for propagation direction \( n \), whereas the eigenvectors yield the polarization directions (Musgrave 1970). We found that MgO is highly anisotropic in both longitudinal and shear-wave velocities (Fig. 6). Longitudinal wave velocities are extremal for [100] and [111] propagation directions, whereas shear waves polarized in the (110) plane (S1) are extremal along [100] and [110]. Velocities along these directions are shown in Table 5. In addition, shear waves polarized in the (001) plane (S2) show a local extremum in the shear velocity for propagation along \([\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]\).

A measure of the anisotropy of the elastic wave velocity in a cubic crystal is

TABLE 5. Pressure dependence of the longitudinal and two shear-wave velocities (km/s) in three directions from 0 to 150 GPa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( P )</th>
<th>( V_p )</th>
<th>( V_{s1} )</th>
<th>( V_{s2} )</th>
<th>( V_p )</th>
<th>( V_{s1} )</th>
<th>( V_{s2} )</th>
<th>( V_p )</th>
<th>( V_{s1} )</th>
<th>( V_{s2} )</th>
<th>( \Delta v )</th>
<th>( \Delta s )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.14</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>9.92</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.65</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>10.01</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>10.12</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>10.97</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>10.77</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>12.37</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>11.63</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>11.37</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>13.47</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>12.30</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>11.88</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>14.39</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>12.91</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>12.37</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>36.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>15.24</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>13.44</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>9.51</td>
<td>12.79</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>44.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>16.18</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>13.20</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: \( V_{s1} \) and \( V_{s2} \) are shear velocities that are respectively polarized in the (110) and (001) planes along propagation direction [110]. \( \Delta v = (V_{max} - V_{min})/V_{avg} \) and \( \Delta s = (V_{max} - V_{min})/V_{avg} \).
where $n_x$ is the extremal propagation direction other than [100], $X = P, S1, S2,$ and $M_x$ is the modulus corresponding to the acoustic velocity, $M = \rho V_x^2$. One can show from the Cristoffel equation that for cubic crystals, $A_x$ is related to the elastic anisotropy factor

$$A = \frac{2c_{44} + c_{12}}{c_{11}} - 1$$

which is zero for an isotropic material. The anisotropies of $P, S1,$ and $S2$ waves are then given by

$$A_p = \frac{2A}{3}, \quad A_{s1} = -\frac{A}{2}, \quad A_{s2} = -\frac{3A}{8}. \quad (16)$$

The pressure dependence of $A$ is shown in Figure 7. The anisotropy factor drops rapidly with pressure initially, changes sign near 15 GPa, and then decreases more slowly at higher pressures. The nature of the anisotropy changes qualitatively near 15 GPa, as evidenced by the change of sign of $A$. Linear extrapolations of ultrasonic data and the PIB model have shown a similar change at 20 and 45 GPa, respectively (Duffy et al. 1995). The above relations (Eq. 16) explain the corresponding pressure-induced variations in the directions and polarizations of $P$ and $S$ waves with extremum velocities. At zero pressure, $P$ waves are fastest along [111] (A positive) and $S$ waves are slowest along [110], whereas at high pressure, $P$ waves are fastest along [100] (A negative) and $S$ waves are fastest along [110]. The pressure-induced change of sign of $A$ also explains why $S2$ shear waves are fastest at low pressure and $S1$ shear waves are fastest at high pressure. The magnitudes of the $P$- and $S$-wave anisotropies also change substantially with pressure. The compressional and shear-wave velocities vary by 8 and 16%, respectively, at zero pressure and by 23 and 59%, respectively, at 150 GPa (Table 5).

The above results have potentially important implications for the interpretation of seismological observations of the anisotropy in terms of flow in the upper mantle (Tanimoto and Anderson 1984). To interpret the observations in terms of texturing induced by flow requires estimates of the elastic constants of major mantle minerals. These estimates are generally taken from zero-pressure experiments. Our results, however, suggest that elasticity at zero pressure may be a poor approximation of the elasticity even at relatively low pressures (10 GPa). We found that the anisotropy of periclase drops to nearly zero over the pressure range of the upper mantle (0-14 GPa) before increasing again at higher pressure. Periclase itself is not relevant for interpreting observations of upper mantle anisotropy. However, our results clearly show that anisotropy can be strongly pressure dependent, and that the anisotropy of minerals under mantle conditions may differ qualitatively from that at ambient conditions.

**Cauchy relations**

The Cauchy relation $c_{12} - c_{44} = 2P$ is valid only when all interatomic forces are central under static lattice conditions. At zero pressure, we found $c_{12} - c_{44} = -48$ GPa in comparison with the experimental value of $-61$ GPa (Jackson and Niesler 1982), indicating violation of the Cauchy condition in MgO. As pressure increases, the calculated value of $c_{12} - c_{44} - 2P$ decreases (greater Cauchy violation). The decrease is relatively slow up to 100 GPa and then rapid between 100 and 150 GPa, as shown in Figure 8. The relatively faster decrease above 100 GPa is due to the slow increase of $c_{12}$ between 100 and 150 GPa. The initial pressure dependence of the deviation from the Cauchy condition agrees fairly well with low-pressure ultrasonic behavior (Fig. 8). The PIB model, with a zero-pressure value of $-72$ GPa for $c_{12} - c_{44} - 2P$, has shown a very similar pressure dependence of the Cauchy violation (Isaak et al. 1990).

The large violations of the Cauchy relation in MgO require an important contribution from noncentral forces. Periclase cannot be thought of as a material composed of rigid ions. Band-structure calculations indicate that periclase remains a wide-gap insulator to pressures well beyond those in the mantle, and that covalent bonding is not important (Bukowinski 1980), so metallic binding or covalent forces cannot explain the Cauchy violations. The prediction by PIB calculations of a Cauchy violation similar to our results indicates that this simplified model includes the essential physics. The relevant many-body force is a spherically symmetric breathing of the O ion...
extrapolation from ultrasonic data (Jackson and Niesler 1982) is MgO. The circles represent the calculated values. The first-order

in response to strain-induced variations in the Madelung potential at the O site.
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