ABSTRACT

We describe here the first known occurrence of platinum-group minerals (PGM) from the Labrador Trough, Quebec: sudburyite PdSb, temagamite Pd$_3$HgTe$_3$ associated with bismuthian kotulskite Pd(Te, Bi), kotulskite PdTe, and michenerite PdBiTe intergrown with altaite PbTe and an unnamed mineral Pd(Sb,Te,Bi) close in chemical composition to UNl976-4 from Sudbury. The PGM have been found in the Center and Pogo Lake zones of the Blue Lake Cu–Ni–PGE massive sulfide deposit. The massive sulfides are hosted by a peridotitic and gabbroic sill. The PGM are closely associated with Cu-rich sheared margins of massive sulfide lenses and their adjacent chloritized host-rock. Occurrences and textures of the PGM suggest that the PGE and Cu were remobilized from the primary magmatic sulfides by hydrothermal solutions and preferentially precipitated in shear zones at the margins of massive sulfide lenses. This episode of alteration and deformation is most likely related to the Hudsonian Orogeny (1.8 Ga).
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INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we present the first account of platinum-group minerals (PGM) from the Labrador Trough, Quebec. Our report is preliminary, as it covers only certain zones of the Blue Lake deposit, the main occurrence of platinum-group elements (PGE) known in the Labrador Trough (Fig. 1). The PGM were found by petrographic examination of polished thin sections of the various facies of massive sulfide lenses. This episode of alteration and deformation is most likely related to the Hudsonian Orogeny (1.8 Ga).
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GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Blue Lake deposit consists of three zones of outcropping Cu–Ni–PGE massive sulfides, named Pogo Lake, Center, and Blue Lake, hosted in a composite sill. Several buried zones have been discovered by drilling. The sill was emplaced at the contact between pelites of the Thompson Lake Formation, which form the floor of the sill, and basalts of the Willbob Formation, which occur at its roof. In the...
Blue Lake area there are three sills, but only the middle one is mineralized (Fig. 1; Rohon et al. 1988, Beaudoin & Laurent 1989, Rohon 1989). The sills are composed of a lower unit of wehrlitic dunite, wehrlite, and olivine websterite in transitional contact with an upper unit of gabbro-norite with a granophytic zone and a chilled margin (Fig. 2). Massive sulfides form lenses of variable thickness (up to 6.7 m) located at the base of (Blue Lake and Pogo Lake zones) or within (Blue Lake and Center zones) the middle sill (Fig. 2). Margins of massive sulfide lenses are commonly sheared, with a foliated chalcopyrite-rich band in contact with the chloritized host-rock. The chloritized zone extends up to 50 cm from the massive sulfides (Clark et al. 1989).

Sulfide minerals in the massive sulfide lenses are coarse to fine grained and locally foliated parallel to lens contacts. They are composed mainly of pyrrhotite (over 80%), with up to 10% chalcopyrite, up to 3% pentlandite and up to 6% magnetite. Pyrrhotite is fine to coarse grained (up to 1 cm), locally elongate parallel to the plane of foliation, and shows lenticular and lamellar twins and kink bands. Chalcopyrite is irregularly distributed in rounded aggregates, in elongate lenses in the foliation plane, or occurs as irregular grains interstitial to pyrrhotite. Pentlandite forms euhedral to anhedral grains (up to 1 mm) commonly located at the boundary of pyrrhotite or chalcopyrite grains. It also occurs as ubiquitous "flames" and irregular inclusions in pyrrhotite. Pentlandite is almost completely replaced by violarite in the Center zone, but only in part in the Pogo Lake zone. Magnetite occurs as subhedral to roundish anhedral grains, up to 1.5 mm, irregularly disseminated in massive sulfides.

**MICROPROBE ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES**

Analyses were made with Cameca Camebax automated wavelength-dispersion electron-microprobes at McGill University and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS, Orléans, France). Calibration for the analyses performed at McGill was done using synthetic PGM standards provided by J.H.G. Laflamme (CNMET), with the exception of Ni, for which a synthetic nickeliferous pyrrhotite was used. Analyses done at the CNRS were calibrated using pure metals, with the exception of Fe and S, Sb, and Hg, for which pyrite, stibnite, and cinnabar were used, respectively. Precision for analyses performed at McGill University is better than 2% for major elements and 12% for Ni. Comparable precision was obtained at the CNRS, although some discrepancy exists for Ni, due probably to the Ni content (0.2 wt.% of the pyrrhotite used as a standard at McGill compared to a pure metal at CNRS).

**OCCURRENCES OF PLATINUM-GROUP MINERALS**

Despite detailed examination of numerous polished thin sections, we found only two occur-
rences of PGM in the massive sulfides. One is a triangular composite grain (25 μm) located beside a fracture, interstitial to pyrrhotite grains; it consists of temagamite Pd₄HgTe₃ with bismuthian kotulskite Pd(Te,Bi) at one of the apices of the grain (Figs. 3A, 4A). The second occurrence is a half-moon-shaped inclusion (25 μm) in pyrrhotite (Figs. 3B, 4B). The inclusion comprises a complex intergrowth of tellurides: michenerite, altaite, and an unnamed Pd(Sb, Te, Bi) mineral labeled PGM-I in this paper. Kotulskite with a lower Bi content and associated with a grain of galena was described from a massive pyrrhotite occurrence at Retty Lake (Rohon et al., in prep.).

Within a laminated chalcopyrite-rich band, at the margin of a massive sulfide lens, a rounded grain of sudburyite PdSb was found accompanied by pyrrhotite and partly surrounded by a porous Ag telluride (Fig. 3C). The grain is at the contact between pyrrhotite and laminated lenses of chalcopyrite. The Ag telluride (hessite?) was identified by SEM, but microprobe analyses proved to be unreliable, probably because of the porous state of this mineral.

The contact between massive sulfide lenses and the
host rock is sheared and was recovered in only one drill core in the Center zone. It consists of a seam of euhedral grains (0.5 mm) of magnetite molded by an undulating band of prismatic amphibole (Fig. 3D). Numerous grains of sudburyite, up to 0.1 mm across, were found within this interval; they occur interstitially to and molding the amphibole, pyrrhotite and chalcopyrite (Fig. 3E). The peridotite at the contact with the massive sulfides is chloritized and contains up to 30% chalcopyrite. Sudburyite occurs...
as anhedral grains (up to 0.04 mm) that mold prismatic amphibole grains and chlorite mats, enclosed within disseminated chalcopyrite (Fig. 3F).

**MINERALOGY AND CHEMICAL COMPOSITION**

**Sudburyite**

Sudburyite PdSb occurs as anhedral grains (25–100 μm) that may contain inclusions of prismatic amphibole. Sudburyite is whitish cream in air, with weak but distinct anisotropism in brownish grey to dark greenish to bluish grey tints; no reflection pleochroism was observed. The sudburyite (Table 1) is comparable chemically to other occurrences elsewhere. Major-element concentrations of Pd and Sb are within the range of values reported by Cabri & Laflamme (1974, 1981). The average Ni content is lower than the average sudburyite from Sudbury, but within the range of variation reported by Cabri &
Table 2. Chemical Composition of Temagamite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pd wt.%</td>
<td>33.93</td>
<td>33.77</td>
<td>34.02</td>
<td>33.93</td>
<td>33.28</td>
<td>33.03</td>
<td>33.92</td>
<td>33.19</td>
<td>32.75</td>
<td>32.94</td>
<td>32.42</td>
<td>32.32</td>
<td>33.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te</td>
<td>41.80</td>
<td>41.80</td>
<td>41.73</td>
<td>41.80</td>
<td>42.89</td>
<td>42.55</td>
<td>41.49</td>
<td>41.45</td>
<td>42.81</td>
<td>43.36</td>
<td>43.47</td>
<td>42.68</td>
<td>42.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 100.08 99.97 99.67 100.54 99.93 100.37 100.69 100.18 100.40 100.43 100.39

Laflamme (1981). Values for Bi, Hg and Te are variable. The Bi concentration is higher than the Sudbury average (1.06 versus 0.77 wt.%); Hg and Te concentrations were not reported by Cabri & Laflamme (1981).

**Temagamite**

Temagamite Pd,Hg,Te₃, of medium grey color in air, occurs next to pyrrhotite and bismuthian kotulskite. Neither reflection pleochroism nor anisotropism is observed, but Cabri (1981) reported weak anisotropism in air. Temagamite has a homogeneous chemical composition (Table 2), with major elements Pd and Hg at the lower limit, and Bi and Sb at the upper limit of the range of compositions reported by Cabri & Laflamme (1981), whereas Bi and Sb are more abundant. Ni was not reported by Cabri & Laflamme (1981), but our analyses indicate that Ni can be a minor constituent of temagamite.

**Kotulskite**

At Blue Lake, kotulskite Pd(Te,Bi) is bright creamy white in air next to temagamite and pyrrhotite. Variable reflection pleochroism and anisotropism were reported for kotulskite by Cabri (1981a), but neither was recognized in this specimen. The kotulskite has a chemical composition at the bismuth-rich end of the range of compositions reported by Cabri & Laflamme (1981) and relative to the composition of Retty Lake kotulskite (Table 3). Pt and Ni are at low levels, similar to other occurrences elsewhere. Sb, however, is more abundant than in most localities where it has been measured, with the exception of the New Rambler deposit, Wyoming (Cabri & Laflamme 1981).

**Michenerite and PGM-I**

The complex telluride inclusion in pyrrhotite (Figs. 3B, 4B) consists of pale bluish grey altaite (Table 4), pinkish michenerite and creamy to yellow PGM-I; all three are neither pleochroic nor anisotropic in air. Back-scattered electron imagery suggests that altaite is replaced by michenerite, and both are cross-cut by PGM-I. The crystallization of altaite (924°C, Cabri & Laflamme 1976) and its replacement by michenerite (490-500°C, Cabri & Laflamme 1976) indicate that the system evolves from Te to TeBi and later to Sb (PGM-I) with decreasing temperature.
The unnamed PGM-1 has a chemical composition similar to an unnamed mineral (UN1976-4) described and analyzed by Cabri et al. (1973), Cabri & Laflamme (1976), and Cabri (1981b) (Table 5). As is the case with UN1976-4 from the Vermillion and Creighton deposits, Sudbury area, PGM-1 is associated with michenerite (Cabri & Laflamme 1976). The chemical composition of michenerite (Table 6) is similar to that of other occurrences, except for Bi, which is at the lower limit of the range of compositions reported.

### SOLID SOLUTION IN THE SYSTEM Pd–Te–Bi–Sb SYSTEM

The extent of solid solution and the end members in the system Pd–Te–Bi–Sb are still poorly known. The average chemical composition of the Blue Lake PGMs is shown in Figure 5 in relation to the field of variation of known minerals in the system Pd–Te–Bi–Sb. A complete solid-solution between sobolevskite and kotulskite is indicated by the wide variation in Bi content of kotulskite, coupled with the occurrence of a series of unnamed minerals along the kotulskite–sobolevskite join. A kotulskite–sobolevskite join is favored relative to a polarite–kotulskite join with an immiscibility gap close to the polarite pole, as suggested earlier by Cabri & Laflamme (1976). Polarite is orthorhombic and reported to contain between 20 to 40 wt.% Pb (Cabri 1981a, Cabri & Laflamme 1981). Both sobolevskite and kotulskite are hexagonal (Cabri 1981a), and preliminary data indicate hexagonal symmetry with similar cell-parameters to those of sobolevskite for UN1973–18 (Cabri 1981b).

The chemical compositions of a series of unnamed PGMs, including PGM-1, fall in the space above the sudburyite–sobolevskite–kotulskite plane in the system Pd–Te–Bi–Sb (Fig. 5). These unnamed PGMs display considerable scatter in a projection onto the sudburyite–sobolevskite–kotulskite plane. Present data preclude identification of fields of solid solution or immiscibility and the end members.

### DISCUSSION

Although the mineral suite reported here is preliminary and probably not exhaustive, it points to a Pd-dominated mineralogy of the PGM at Blue Lake. An important feature of the distribution of PGM is their scarcity in carefully investigated massive sulfides and, on the other hand, their relatively high abundance in the contact zones of the massive sulfides and their adjacent chloritized rock. The abundance of PGM at the border of the massive sulfides and in adjacent chloritized rock is corroborated by whole-rock assays that reveal a characteristic enrichment in Pd at the base or top of the lenses of massive sulfides (Fig. 6) (Beaudoin & Laurent 1989).

The textural relationship of sudburyite to the metamorphic and hydrothermal minerals in the margins of massive sulfides and in adjacent chloritized rock (Figs. 3D, E, F) indicates that sudburyite post-dates the dynamothermal event that overprinted the massive sulfides. Temporal relations are documented by the development of a tectonic foliation in the massive sulfides, shearing at the contacts of the massive sulfide lenses, and precipitation of amphibole and chlorite replacing the host rock. Concentration of Pd at the margins of massive sulfide lenses and within the chloritized wall-rocks most likely resulted from the remobilization, by hydrothermal solutions, of Pd originally contained in the primary massive sulfides. This event also induced remobilization of Cu and the concentration of massive chalcopyrite at the margins of the massive sulfide lenses. These margins, which were strongly sheared owing to competency contrasts between massive sulfides and enclosing peridotite, served as channelways for hydrothermal fluids.

---

**TABLE 5. CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF PGM-1 AND UN1976-4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pd</th>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>Ir</th>
<th>Rh</th>
<th>Pm</th>
<th>Os</th>
<th>Ir</th>
<th>Ru</th>
<th>Rh</th>
<th>Pd</th>
<th>Pm</th>
<th>Os</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36.10</td>
<td>35.11</td>
<td>32.69</td>
<td>34.22</td>
<td>35.27</td>
<td>36.28</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 6. CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF MICHENERITE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Pd’</th>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>Rh</th>
<th>Ir</th>
<th>Pm</th>
<th>Os</th>
<th>Sn</th>
<th>Bi</th>
<th>Sb</th>
<th>Te</th>
<th>Sn</th>
<th>Bi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23.61</td>
<td>21.73</td>
<td>20.65</td>
<td>24.05</td>
<td>22.51</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Table 1 for analytical conditions and comments. Pb values are probably due to fluorescence from nearby mica. Concentrations are expressed in wt.%.
Fig. 5. Chemical composition of PGMs in the system Pd–Te–Bi–Sb. Average composition of PGM from this study represented by large dots. Minerals with little compositional variation are represented by squares, whereas the field of variation of the others is outlined with a broken line (Cabri & Laflamme 1981). Average composition of unnamed minerals is represented by triangles (Cabri 1981b); only those above the sudburyite – sobolevskite – kotulskite plane are identified and discussed in the text. Unnamed minerals plotting at the Bi or Te poles are not shown for the sake of clarity.
precipitating disseminated sudburyite and chalcopyrite. The occurrence of sudburyite at sulfide-silicate grain boundaries in dynamically metamorphosed rocks at the Donaldson West deposit, Cape Smith fold belt, also has been interpreted to indicate direct precipitation from hydrothermal fluids (Dillon-Leitch et al. 1986). The association of sudburyite and kotulskite with hessite or galena suggests a rather low temperature for the hydrothermal fluids.

We propose that the hydrothermal fluids responsible for the secondary Cu–Pd enrichment were generated during a major dynamothermal event because the mineralization is clearly associated with deformation. Furthermore, no other distinct hydrothermal overprint has been documented. The Hudsonian Orogeny (1.8 Ga) is the major dynamothermal episode known in this area of the Labrador Trough. Our present hypothesis, which needs to be tested further, implies that PGE concentration and PGM precipitation are secondary and tectonically controlled, resulting from the remobilization of primary massive sulfides of magmatic origin.
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