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ABSTRACT

Mineral assemblages in metapelitic rocks of the Ramah Group from the externides of the Torngat Orogen near Sagleq Fiord, northern Labrador have been analyzed in detail. A progression from the upper greenschist to the middle amphibolite facies is preserved along the metamorphic field-gradient, and six metamorphic zones have been defined based on the following mineral assemblages: Zone 1: Chl–Bt; Zone 2: Cld–Chl–And; Zone 3: Cld–Chl–Ky; Zone 4: St–Chl; Zone 5: Ky–Bt; and Zone 6: Sil–St–Bt, Grt–Bt, and Grt–St–Bt. Reaction isograds separating the zones are as follows: And = Ky between zones 2 and 3, Cld + Ky = St + Chl between zones 3 and 4, St + Chl = Ky + Bt between zones 4 and 5, and Ky = Sil between zones 5 and 6. Qualitative and quantitative P–T estimates suggest variation along the metamorphic field-gradient from 3 kbar and 450°C to 57 kbar and 650°C. The pelites have low concentrations of minor (i.e., non-KFMASH) components and intermediate XMs, resulting in the stability of chlorite up to middle-amphibolite-facies conditions and restriction of garnet to the highest-grade assemblages. Variations in both bulk-rock Al content and tie-line topologies controlled Al-saturation in the mineral assemblages. At low grades, only the Al-rich bulk compositions were Al-saturated, with reactions involving the Tschermak exchange vector affecting the position of the Al-saturation surface. At higher grades, after the Cld–Chl and St–Chl tie-lines became unstable, Al-saturation was possible in Al-poorer rocks containing biotite. There are systematic variations in XMs distribution between coexisting Ms, Bt, Chl and possibly Cld that do not seem attributable to the presence of ferric iron in these minerals. This is consistent with the presence of non-ideal mixing in the Ms–Cd and possibly Chl solid solutions.
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SOMMAIRE

Les assemblages de minéraux des roches métapotlitiques du Groupe de Ramah, du secteur externe de l'orogène de Torngat, près du fjord de Sagleq, dans le nord du Labrador ont été étudiés en détail. Une progression à partir des faciès schisteux verti supérieur aux faciès amphibolitique moyen est préservée le long d'un gradient métamorphique sur le terrain. Six zones métamorphiques ont été définies selon les assemblages suivants: Chl–Bt (zone 1), Cld–Chl–And (zone 2), Cld–Chl–Ky (zone 3), St–Chl (zone 4), Ky–Bt (zone 5), et Sil–St–Bt, Grt–Bt et Grt–St–Bt (zone 6). Les réactions isograds suivantes séparent les zones: And = Ky entre les zones 2 et 3, Cld + Ky = St + Chl entre les zones 3 et 4, St + Chl = Ky + Bt entre les zones 4 et 5, et Ky = Sil entre les zones 5 et 6. Un estimé qualitatif et quantitatif de la pression et de la température indique un gradient de ≤3 kbar et 450°C jusqu'à ≤7 kbar et 650°C. Les pelites possèdent une faible teneur en composants mineurs (c'est-à-dire, ne faisant pas partie du système KFMASH), et une valeur intermédiaire de XMs, ce qui rend compte de la stabilité de la chlorite jusqu'au faciès amphibolitique moyen, et de la restriction du grenat aux assemblages des niveaux métamorphiques les plus élevés. Les teneurs en Al des roches et la topologie des lignes de coexistence ont régi les assemblages de minéraux. Dans les zones de métamorphisme de faible intensité, seules les compositions fortement alumineuses étaient saturées en Al; les réactions impliquant le vecteur d'échange Tschermak ont influencé la position de la surface de saturation en Al. Aux niveaux plus élevés, une fois les lignes de coexistence Cld–Chl et St–Chl rompues, la saturation en Al est devenue possible dans les roches à plus faible teneur en Al, contenant la biotite. Nous décelons des variations systématiques dans la distribution de XMs parmi Ms, Bt, Chl et peut-être même Cld coexistants qui ne semblent pas attribuables à la présence du Fe³⁺ dans ces minéraux. Ceci concorde avec la possibilité de mélange non-idéal dans les solutions solides Ms–Cd et peut-être Chl.

Mots-clés: muscovite, kyanite, andalusite, sillimanite, biotite, chloritoid, staurolite, chlorite, orogène de Torngat, Groupe de Ramah, Sagleq, Labrador.

(Traduit par la Rédaction)
Petrographic observations of reaction relationships and of the relative chronology of mineral growth, if combined with the chemical compositions of coexisting minerals, can provide important information about the metamorphic evolution at specific locations along a metamorphic field-gradient. In favorable circumstances, the sequence of metamorphic reactions can be determined from the compositional evolution of coexisting minerals, and the role of variations in bulk composition in controlling the mineral assemblages can be assessed. Through qualitative or quantitative thermobarometry, the P-T-X conditions under which these reactions took place may then be estimated or approximated.

This communication comprises a detailed description and interpretation of reaction microstructures, assemblages of stable minerals and estimated P-T conditions of pelites along a metamorphic field-gradient through the Paleoproterozoic Ramah Group in the vicinity of Saglek Fiord, northern Labrador (Fig. 1). This area lies near the eastern margin of Torngat Orogen (Hoffman 1988, Mengel 1988) and was deformed and metamorphosed about 1850-1800 Ma ago.

The Ramah Group was deposited unconformably on stabilized Archean crust after intrusion of a regional swarm of basic dykes, but before any Proterozoic thermotectonic effects. Its present area of outcrop straddles the boundary between the Archean Nain Province to the east and the transcurrent Abloviak shear zone in the core of Torngat Orogen to the west. Deformation and metamorphism in the Ramah Group are thus representative of the Torngat Front (i.e., the eastern limit of deformation associated with the Torngat Orogen: Mengel et al. 1991).

The Torngat Orogen contains evidence of several phases and styles of deformation interpreted to have formed in a zone of oblique continental collision between the Archean Nain and Rae provinces (Mengel 1988, Hoffman 1988, Ermanovics et al. 1989, Van Kranendonk 1990, 1992, Van Kranendonk & Ermanovics 1990, Mengel et al. 1991). In the eastern part of the orogen, early east-directed crustal thickening in a thrust regime was followed by penetrative, sinistral transcurrent shearing in the Abloviak shear zone, which in turn was followed by a second stage of east-directed thrusting and reverse faulting that resulted in telescoping of the metamorphic field-gradient across strike and exhumation of the high-grade internides over the lower-grade externides of the orogen.

The intensity of deformation and metamorphism also increases from east to west, perpendicular to the strike of the Torngat Orogen. Immediately south of Nachvak Fiord (Fig. 1), the eastern exposures of the Ramah Group lie to the east of the Torngat Front, and...
were little affected by Torngat Orogeny. West of the Torngat Front in the fold–thrust belt, the grade of metamorphism in the Ramah Group increases toward the west in the structurally higher thrust-slices. There is also an increase in structural complexity and metamorphic grade southward, accompanying the narrowing of the outcrop of the Ramah Group. The western margin of the Ramah Group is limited by steep thrust-faults throughout its length.

The Ramah Group is predominantly of sedimentary origin. This paper is concerned with metamorphism of rocks of the Rowsell Harbour, Reddick Bight and Nullatatrlok formations, which consist of sandstone, mudstone, greywacke and black shale and their metamorphic equivalents (Knight 1973, Morgan 1975, Knight & Morgan 1977, 1981).

Penetrative structures in the Ramah Group at the latitude of Saglek Fiord are interpreted to be of three generations, \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \), which account for the main structures in the fold–thrust belt, appear to be kinematically related and may not be much separated in time. Bedding \( (S_0) \) is commonly preserved, and \( S_1 \) is defined as a slaty cleavage or schistosity (see, for example, Fig. 3a). \( S_1 \) is generally parallel to \( S_0 \), but in thin section it can commonly be seen that the two are separated by a small angle, indicating the widespread occurrence of associated isoclinal \( F_1 \) folds. However, \( F_1 \) fold hinges are observed only locally as intrafolial rootless folds of quartzite layers in slate. The \( D_1 \) structures are interpreted to have formed in a thrusting event that resulted in east-directed tectonic transport and thickening of the Ramah Group over the Nain craton (Mengel et al. 1991, Calon & Jamison 1993).

\( S_2 \) is a variably developed, steeply west-dipping crenulation cleavage (e.g., Fig. 3a). North of Saglek Fiord, the intensity of \( S_2 \) varies from weak in the east to moderate in the west. Farther south, especially southwest of Pangertok Inlet (Fig. 4), transposition of \( S_1 \) into \( S_2 \) is widespread, and \( S_2 \) becomes the main foliation (e.g., Figs. 3c–f). Throughout much of the study area, open to tight \( F_2 \) folds with north-trending, subhorizontal to gently plunging axes and vertical to steeply west-dipping axial surfaces (\( S_2 \)) dominate the map pattern. Microstructural relationships indicate that the highest-grade metamorphic assemblages formed syn-\( D_2 \) [and also late-\( D_1 \) in the Lake Kiki region according to Calon & Jamison (1993)].

Weakly developed \( F_3 \) folds occur locally south of Saglek Fiord. These deform \( S_2 \) into open asymmetrical southwest-verging cross-folds with northwest-trending subhorizontal axes. An axial-planar cleavage is not developed.

In the study area, metamorphic grade in the Ramah Group varies from greenschist to lower amphibolite facies. In the eastern half of the Ramah Group, chloritoid is present in Al-rich units north and immediately south of Saglek Fiord, whereas higher metamorphic grades farther west are recorded by andalusite-, staurolite- and kyanite-bearing assemblages in mica schists. Further south, increasing grade is recorded by garnet-, staurolite- and sillimanite-bearing assemblages in rocks of suitable composition.

The metamorphic zonation in the Torngat Orogen, including that of the Ramah Group, is telescoped by east-directed movement on west-dipping thrusts and reverse faults, which has accentuated the range in metamorphic parageneses across the outcrop width of the Ramah Group (further described below). A more gradual southerly increase in metamorphic grade, coincident with the narrowing of the outcrop width of Ramah Group in that direction, also is apparent.
Fig. 3. Photomicrographs showing various microstructural and paragenetic features in the Ramah Group metapelites. Longest dimension of photos: 4.5 mm (a), 1 mm (b, c, e), 2.1 mm (d, f). (a): Compositional layering ($S_2$) in mica schist. Bt–Ms predominate mineralogy in left side of image, whereas Chl–Ms predominate in right side. $S_1$ is broadly parallel to $S_0$, and both are affected by $D_2$ crenulation ($S_1$ and $S_2$ vertical, $S_2$ horizontal). Sample F84–28, ppl (plane-polarized light). (b): The Zone-3 assemblage Ky–Cld–Ms–Qtz. Muscovite and quartz are present throughout the matrix. The dominant fabric is $S_2$. Sample F83–62, ppl. (c): The assemblage Cld–Ky–St–Chl–Ms–Qtz, marking the reaction isograd separating Zones 3 and 4. Muscovite and quartz present throughout the matrix. The dominant fabric is $S_2$. Sample F84–377, ppl. (d): The assemblage St–Chl–Ky–Bt–Ms–Qtz, marking the reaction isograd separating Zones 4 and 5. Muscovite, chlorite and quartz present throughout the matrix. Sample F83–79, ppl. (e): The Zone-5 assemblage Ky–Bt–Chl–Ms–Qtz. The dominant fabric is $S_2$. Muscovite and quartz present throughout the matrix. Sample F83–76, ppl. (f): The “Zone 6” assemblage Grt–Bt–Ms–Qtz. The dominant fabric is $S_2$. Biotite, muscovite (note intergrowths) and quartz present throughout the matrix. Sample F83–131, ppl.
Fig. 4. Schematic map of the Ramah Group and adjacent lithotectonic units in the Saglek Fiord region, showing the location of the samples investigated (see also Tables 1–3) and the regional distribution of metamorphic zones and assemblages. For clarity, the boundaries between metamorphic zones have not been drawn. The location of the Lake Kiki transect (Fig. 4) is also indicated (A–A'). Abbreviations: Tas: Tasiuyak gneiss, Gfb: granulite-facies block, Afb: amphibolite-facies block, NP: Nain Province, WR: whole rock. Mineral abbreviations from Kretz (1983).
BULK CHEMISTRY OF THE PETILITES

It is useful to consider the variations in bulk composition among pelitic rocks of the Ramah Group as a prelude to the discussion and interpretation of paragenetic and mineral chemical data. To this end, bulk-chemical analyses of twenty samples of pelitic schists were performed (Table 1). The analyzed rocks come from east of Lake Kiki \((n = 14)\), immediately north and south of Sagelk Fiord \((n = 3)\), and southwest of Pangertok Inlet \((n = 3)\). In the remainder of this text, these three areas will be referred to as the Lake Kiki, Sagelk Fiord and Pangertok SW areas, respectively. Figure 4 shows the location of analyzed samples, and the Appendix contains analytical details.

The Lake Kiki area was considered especially amenable to such a study because the Rowsell Harbour, Reddick Bight, and Nullataktok formations are all represented in the cross-section. Owing to advanced recrystallization and structural complexity, the few samples from the two other areas have not been assigned to any particular formation, except for F83-44 and -124 in the Saglek Fiord area, which were collected from recognizable exposures of the Nullataktok Formation.

Figure 5 shows that in the western part of the Lake Kiki section, there is substantial chemical variation among samples of the Rowsell Harbour and Reddick Bight formations (most oxides). In the eastern part of the section, the Nullataktok Formation displays somewhat higher homogeneity (apart from a rather erratic single sample, F83-66, richer in Mg and lower in Al, samples from the Sagelk Fiord and Pangertok SW areas are similar to those from the Lake Kiki section and are not plotted. F83-66 is the only sample from the upper part of the Nullataktok Formation, which may account for its different chemistry.

Variations in MgO, FeO, K2O and Al2O3 are especially important in metapelites, and these can conveniently be illustrated in the AFM diagram (Thompson 1957). The data are plotted in Figure 6. Since Fe\(^{3+}\) (and hence Fe\(^{2+}/Fe^{3+}\)) was not determined on rocks from the Sagelk Fiord and Pangertok SW sections, the following observations apply only to samples from the Lake Kiki section. Compositionally, the rocks range from "Al-rich" to "Fe-rich" pelites (nomenclature of Powell 1978, p. 217). The distribution clearly reflects the differences between the Reddick Bight and Rowsell Harbour formations and the Nullataktok Formation. Similar differences are shown by the oxidation ratio (Table 1, Fig. 5). Reddick Bight and Rowsell Harbour formations show a wide range of oxidation ratio \((24 < OR < 85)\), in contrast to a more restricted, lower range in the Nullataktok Formation \((10 < OR < 47)\).

The AKF diagram also serves to distinguish the composition of the Nullataktok Formation from those of the Reddick Bight and Rowsell Harbour formations (Fig. 6). Samples of the Reddick Bight and Rowsell Harbour formations display a wider range in A/F ratio than those from the Nullataktok Formation. The wide range of compositions has resulted in an exceptional range of mineral assemblages in the Ramah Group, as is discussed below.

### Table 1. Major Element Chemistry of Selected Ramah Group MetaPelites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>F83-46</th>
<th>F83-48</th>
<th>F84-140</th>
<th>F84-150</th>
<th>F84-151</th>
<th>F84-153</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-145</th>
<th>F84-146</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Kiki E</td>
<td>Kiki E</td>
<td>Kiki E</td>
<td>Kiki E</td>
<td>Kiki E</td>
<td>Kiki E</td>
<td>Kiki E</td>
<td>Kiki E</td>
<td>Kiki E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lith.</td>
<td>RBRH</td>
<td>RBRH</td>
<td>RBRH</td>
<td>RBRH</td>
<td>RBRH</td>
<td>RBRH</td>
<td>RBRH</td>
<td>RBRH</td>
<td>RBRH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>5.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>98.10</td>
<td>98.32</td>
<td>98.10</td>
<td>98.32</td>
<td>98.10</td>
<td>98.32</td>
<td>98.10</td>
<td>98.32</td>
<td>98.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*) Total iron expressed as FeO; **) F83-48 = FeO = 0.5Fe2O3 and F83-145 = FeO + 0.5Fe2O3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Kiki E</th>
<th>Kiki E</th>
<th>Kiki E</th>
<th>Kiki E</th>
<th>Kiki E</th>
<th>Kiki E</th>
<th>Kiki E</th>
<th>Kiki E</th>
<th>Kiki E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lith.</td>
<td>RBRH</td>
<td>RBRH</td>
<td>RBRH</td>
<td>RBRH</td>
<td>RBRH</td>
<td>RBRH</td>
<td>RBRH</td>
<td>RBRH</td>
<td>RBRH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>5.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>98.10</td>
<td>98.32</td>
<td>98.10</td>
<td>98.32</td>
<td>98.10</td>
<td>98.32</td>
<td>98.10</td>
<td>98.32</td>
<td>98.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*) Total iron expressed as FeO; **) F83-48 = FeO = 0.5Fe2O3 and F83-145 = FeO + 0.5Fe2O3

Lithologies: RBRH = Reddick Bight or Rowsell Harbour formations; NULL = Nullataktok formations; ? = formation not known with certainty

OR = oxidation ratio, calculated as \((100 \times [FeO*]/[Fe2O3] + [FeO])\) (Chinner, 1960), where \([FeO]\) indicates mole proportions of oxides.
MINERAL ASSEMBLAGES

Pelitic rocks of the Ramah Group have been examined in three traverses (near Lake Kiki, along Saglek Fiord, and southwest of Pangertok Inlet). The locations of traverses and of all samples utilized in this study are shown in Figure 4. The mineral assemblages in pelitic rocks in the Ramah Group have been subdivided into six metamorphic zones separated by four reaction isograds on the basis of diagnostic mineral assemblages. Representative mineral compositions are given in Tables 2 and 3. Values of $X_{Mg} = Mg/(Mg+Fe)$, where Fe is total iron calculated as Fe$^{2+}$ of coexisting minerals, are given in Figure 7.

All assemblages contain muscovite and quartz in addition to the phases discussed, and an aqueous vapor phase with unit activity is presumed to have been present during metamorphism, unless otherwise noted. The terms "divariant" and "unvariant" are used with reference to the "ideal" KFMASH system of Thompson (1957). Mineral abbreviations are after Kretz (1983).
### TABLE 3. REPRESENTATIVE COMPOSITIONS OF MINERALS FROM ZONES 4-6 IN RAMAH GROUP METAPELITES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zone</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2. REPRESENTATIVE COMPOSITIONS OF MINERALS FROM ZONES 1-4 IN RAMAH GROUP METAPELITES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zone</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral</td>
<td>St</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2. REPRESENTATIVE COMPOSITIONS OF MINERALS FROM ZONES 1-4 IN RAMAH GROUP METAPELITES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
<th>F84-211</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zone</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Chl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Mineral name abbreviations after Kretz (1983). $\text{Xmg} = \text{Mg}/(\text{Mg} + \text{Fe})$. All iron assumed to be ferrous.

**Oxygen basis for calculating cations:** Bt- 11, Chl- 28, Ms- 11, Cld- 12, St- 48, Cr- 12.

**Mineral name abbreviations after Kretz (1983).** $\text{Xmg} = \text{Mg}/(\text{Mg} + \text{Fe})$. All iron assumed to be ferrous.

**Oxygen basis for calculating cations:** Bt- 11, Chl- 28, Ms- 11, Cld- 12, St- 48, Grt- 12.
**Zone 1: Chl–Bt**

This assemblage occurs exclusively in the Nullataktok Formation in the northeastern part of the Lake Kiki transect, and has been placed in the "lowest grade" zone, although it is stable over a wide range of metamorphic conditions (see below). Compositions of coexisting chlorite, biotite and muscovite from Zone 1 are shown in an AFK diagram (Fig. 8). Variation in the extent of celadonite substitution in muscovite is shown by the range in $A/(A+F)$ (ca. 0.2). In contrast, the $A/(A+F)$ ranges of coexisting biotite and chlorite are quite small (< 0.05), suggesting operation of the divariant reaction, calibrated as a geobarometer by Powell & Evans (1983) and Bucher-Nurminen (1987):

$$\text{Ms}_1 + \text{Chl} = \text{Ms}_2 + \text{Bt} + \text{Qtz} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{(R1)}$$

where $\text{Ms}_1$ is celadonite-rich, and $\text{Ms}_2$ is closer to "ideal" muscovite. The important exchange-vector (Thompson 1982a, b) is thus the Tschermak exchange $[\text{Fe,Mg}]_2\text{Si}_3\text{Al}_4$. Evidence of progress of R1 is indicated by many petrographic observations of biotite replacing chlorite, by the presence of crossing tie-lines in Figure 8 ($\text{Ms}_2$–Bt crossing $\text{Ms}_1$–Chl) and by the
decreasing modal ratio of chlorite to biotite. As the reaction proceeds, progressively Al-richer rocks become biotite-bearing, which is in accord with field observations that biotite occurs at lowest grade in Al-poor rocks (see also Wang et al. 1986). Significant variation in terms of Fe–Mg occurs in coexisting chlorite and biotite (Fig. 8) but, as indicated by the general parallelism of tie-lines, this variation predominantly reflects the wide range in bulk compositions.

The locations of Chl–Bt-bearing assemblages overlap spatially with those in adjacent zones owing to differences in bulk composition between the Reddick Bight – Rowell Harbour and Nullataktoq formations, and the assemblage is thus not diagnostic of Zone 1. Nonetheless, it provides a useful qualitative guide to metamorphic grade in the lower-grade rocks of the Ramah Group.

Zone 2: Cld–Chl–And and
Zone 3: Cld–Chl–Ky

These two zones are defined in Al-rich rocks, and are considered together because of the limited documentation of chlorite and chloritoid with only a single aluminosilicate in the sample suite. In contrast, several occurrences of Cld–Chl–And–Ky were found (Fig. 7), and the subassemblage Cld–Chl, which is common to both zones, is widespread.

The subassemblage Cld–Chl with or without aluminosilicate(s) occurs in the western part of the Lake Kiki section and on the northern and southern shores of Saglek Fiord, in rocks of the Reddick Bight and Rowell Harbour formations. Biotite does not occur in these assemblages. The reason for this is clear in the AKF diagram (Fig. 9), in which it can be seen that the chloritoid-bearing assemblages are located at or above the muscovite–chlorite tie-line and hence contain one or more Al-rich phase rather than biotite.

The influence of bulk-rock $X_{\text{Mg}}$ on the stability of chloritoid is illustrated in the AFM diagram (Fig. 9), which shows that chloritoid occurs only in rocks with intermediate to low $X_{\text{Mg}}$ with Fe-rich chlorite and andalusite and kyanite. At higher $X_{\text{Mg}}$, the stable assemblage is And/Ky–Chl. The two Cld assemblages are related by the divariant reactions

$$\text{And/Ky + Chl + Qtz = Cld + } H_2O$$

(R2)

which takes place as the And/Ky–Chl–Cld subtriangle sweeps to more Fe-rich compositions with increasing temperature (Thompson 1976).

Zone 2 is related to Zone 3 by the reaction isograd
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Fig. 9. (Right): Minerals from samples in Zones 2 and 3 plotted in the AKF diagram. Sample numbers without prefix are “F84-”. Each field encloses the range of 3–10 analyses. The Tschermak exchange [(Fe,Mg)₂Si₂Al₃] between end members celadonite (Cd) and ideal muscovite (Ms) is indicated. (Left): Minerals from samples in Zones 2 and 3 plotted in the AFM diagram. Each field encloses the range of 3–10 analyses. Sample numbers without prefix are “F84-”. The open square represents the bulk composition of F84–150. The arrow represents the compositional change with increasing temperature, as predicted by Thompson (1976).

And = Ky (R3)

and evidence of kyanite overgrowing and replacing andalusite is seen in several samples.

Reaction isograd: Cld + Ky = St + Chl

The full reaction, which is univariant in the FMASH subsystem, is:

Cld + Ky = St + Chl + Qtz + H₂O (R4)

It marks the transition between zones 3 and 4. It was found in only one sample (F84–377, Fig. 3c) on the north shore of Sagleki Fiord, ca. 500 m from the western boundary of the Ramah Group. In thin section, equilibrium microstructures between coexisting staurolite and chlorite are apparent, whereas chloritoid and kyanite rarely touch. Andalusite also is present, but is clearly being replaced and overgrown by kyanite, a relationship that is interpreted to represent the progress of R3.

The compositions of the coexisting phases in AFM space are shown in Figure 10, in which tie lines between both Ky–Cld and St–Chl are shown. The shape of the Ky–St–Cld subtriangle reflects the close similarity of $X_{\text{Ms}}$ for staurolite and chloritoid, as noted by other authors (e.g., Grambling 1983).

Fig. 10. Minerals from the sample containing the reaction isograd Cld + Ky = St + Chl, defining the boundary between zones 3 and 4, plotted in the AFM diagram. St–Chl is replacing Cld–Ky (stippled) as the stable mineral pair.
Zone 4: St-Chl

The assemblages St-Chl-Ky, St-Chl and St-Chl-Bt occur south of Saglek Fiord, predominantly in the central and eastern part of the exposed Ramah Group (Fig. 4). Figure 11 shows the locations of the assemblages in AFM space, and it is clear that they reflect variable whole-rock A/(F+M) ratios. Staurolite displays restricted compositional variation within each assemblage, whereas biotite and chlorite show larger ranges. The crossing tie-lines are due to rotation of the Ky-St-Chl subtriangle about the A apex toward Mg-richer compositions with increasing T. The spread in $X_{Mg}$ of chlorite and biotite in the assemblages indicates that the divariant reactions

\[ \text{Ky} + \text{Chl} = \text{St} + \text{Qtz} + H_2O \]  
\[ \text{Chl} + \text{Ms} = \text{St} + \text{Bt} + \text{Qtz} + H_2O \]

have taken place.

Reaction Isograd: St + Chl = Ky + Bt

This univariant assemblage, which marks the instability of the St-Chl tie-line with respect to the Ky-Bt tie-line (Fig. 12), was found in two samples (F83-79, BR-133, see Fig. 3d) from the western part of the Ramah Group, south of Saglek Fiord. The model univariant reaction is

\[ \text{St} + \text{Chl} + \text{Ms} = \text{Ky} + \text{Bt} + \text{Qtz} + H_2O \]  
\[ \text{Chl} + \text{Ms} + \text{Qtz} = \text{Ky} + \text{Bt} + H_2O \]  
\[ \text{St} + \text{Ms} + \text{Qtz} = \text{Ky} + \text{Bt} + H_2O \]

Modal proportions of minerals in the two samples indicate either a different extent of reaction progress, perhaps due to slightly different bulk-compositions; in F83-79, only a few grains of kyanite occur, whereas BR-133 is kyanite-rich, but contains only subordinate staurolite. However, in both specimens, equilibrium coexistence of kyanite and biotite is indicated by mutually stable grain-boundaries, whereas staurolite and chlorite were not observed in contact.

Zone 5: Ky-Bt

The assemblages Ky-Bt-Chl, Ky-Bt-St and Ky-Bt are stable at metamorphic grades above the breakdown of the St-Chl tie-line (Fig. 13). The three-phase assemblages record progress of the divariant reactions

\[ \text{Chl} + \text{Ms} + \text{Qtz} = \text{Ky} + \text{Bt} + H_2O \]  
\[ \text{St} + \text{Ms} + \text{Qtz} = \text{Ky} + \text{Bt} + H_2O \]

respectively. Ky-Bt-Chl occurs in samples from the western outcrops of the Ramah Group, south of Saglek Fiord (see Fig. 3e), whereas the remaining assemblages are from Pangertok SW.

---

**Fig. 11.** Minerals from samples in Zone 4 plotted in the AFM diagram. All sample numbers have prefix "F83-". The arrows represent the compositional change with increasing temperature, as predicted by Thompson (1976).
No assemblages recording the terminal reaction for chlorite were seen in the field. Chlorite ($X_{Mg} = 0.75$) occurs in the assemblage Chl–Bt at higher grade than R7 south of Sagleq Fiord, whereas in Pangertok SW, prograde chlorite is absent from all pelitic assemblages. We have not found cordierite in any samples of the Ramah pelites, suggesting that chlorite was eliminated from the prograde mineralogy by the operation of reactions such as R1 or R5–8.

Three significant paragenetic changes take place between the Sagleq and Pangertok SW. In Pangertok SW, (i) chlorite is absent, (ii) sillimanite (generally fibrolite), with or without kyanite, is the stable alumino-silicate, and (iii) garnet appears.

**Reaction isograd: Ky = Sil**

Sillimanite and kyanite are both present in BR–277, thus recording proximity to the reaction isograd

\[ \text{Ky} = \text{Sil} \]  

(R10)

The rock is finely layered with Ky–St–Bt–Ms–Qtz- and Sil–St–Bt–Ms–Qtz-bearing pelitic layers and Sil–Bt–Qtz-bearing quartzitic layers. Sillimanite and kyanite are observed in contact, but display no apparent reaction-relationship.

**“Zone” 6: Assemblages Sil–St–Bt, Grt–Bt and Grt–St–Bt**

The assemblage Sil–St–Bt (Fig. 13) occurs in only one sample (BR–277, see above). The two remaining assemblages, which developed in more "F"-rich bulk compositions, are not diagnostic of particular zones, and are grouped here for convenience only.

The two-phase assemblage Grt–Bt (Fig. 3f), which is common in pelites in the Pangertok SW area, is compatible with a number of zones and thus non-diagnostic.

The stability of the assemblage Grt–St–Bt (BR–169), which is indifferent to reactions affecting the St–Chl tie-line (Fig. 13), spans a temperature range in which assemblages of zones 4 and 5 are stable.

Evidence of garnet-forming reactions is not abundant, but in BR–169, staurolite occurs as rounded relics included within garnet, and muscovite coexists with biotite throughout the thin section, consonant with operation of the divariant reaction

\[ \text{St} + \text{Bt} + \text{Qtz} = \text{Grt} + \text{Ms} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]  

(R11)
it is not clear if the terminal stability of staurolite in the presence of muscovite and quartz was exceeded via the reaction:

\[ \text{St} + \text{Ms} + \text{Qtz} = \text{Sil} + \text{Grt} + \text{Bt} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (R12) \]

The garnet–biotite assemblages (Fig. 13) are not diagnostic of this reaction, as they occur in too Fe-rich a composition to be affected by it.

CONSTRUCTION OF A PETROGENETIC GRID

With the recent availability of internally consistent databases and the TWEEQU software (e.g., Brown et al. 1988, Berman 1991), which includes solution models for several important phases, it has become feasible in some circumstances to construct petrogenetic grids using measured compositions of natural phases. Such grids should give a more precise representation of the P–T conditions undergone by the rocks under study. Unfortunately, however, the present assemblages are not ideally suited to treatment with TWEEQU, as the database lacks essential thermodynamic information for magnesian staurolite and ferrous chlorite end-members, as well as appropriate solution-models for these phases, so that reactions containing intermediate compositions of these two minerals cannot be modeled. In addition, celadonite and chloritoid are not in the database, hence P–T data for Clid- and some Ms-bearing assemblages (R1, R2 and R4) cannot be obtained from TWEEQU.

However, information about the relative orientations in P–T space of some of the reactions described above can be obtained from the KFMASH petro-

![P-T diagram showing the locations of reaction isograds (R3, R4, R7, R12) separating metamorphic zones 2, 3, 4 and 5 (from Spear & Cheney 1989). Inset AFM diagrams show the assemblages and reactions within each zone, as discussed in the text. The line "Kfs+Ky" is the lower limit of stably coexisting K-feldspar and kyanite (Bathograd 6 of Carmichael 1978). The line "Grt–Bt" represents the highest temperature obtained with the Grt–Bt thermometer (using TWEEQU, Berman 1991). The metamorphic field-gradient is schematically indicated by the stippled field. See text for further discussion.](image-url)
MINERAL CHEMISTRY

In this section, the compositions and chemical variations of coexisting minerals along the metamorphic field-gradient in the Ramah Group are considered, together with patterns of zoning in individual minerals. These data provide supporting evidence of the temperature gradient within the Ramah Group, and are also necessary for the geothermobarometric calculations. Partial sets of mineral compositions are given in Tables 2 and 3, Figure 7 and in AFM and AKF diagrams above. All Fe is calculated as Fe²⁺, an assumption that is discussed below. A full set of results of mineral analyses is available from the authors.

Muscovite

Muscovite in the Ramah Group pelites predominantly comprises the Ms (sensu stricto) end member, but also contains significant paragonite (Pg) and celadonite (Cd) components. Xₚg in muscovite generally is less than 0.2, but attains 0.4 in a few samples. There is no systematic trend of Xₚg with grade of metamorphism. Celadonite contents of muscovite are less than 0.1 in most samples, except in Zone 1, where they reach 0.25. Xₘₚ of muscovite is quite variable, from about 0.1 to 0.7 (Fig. 7). All AFM diagrams have been projected from ideal muscovite, but this simplification is not considered to cause a significant disturbance of the phase relations. However, inasmuch as there is a measurable and variable Cd component, muscovite should be treated as a ferromagnesian phase (as also advocated by Thompson 1982a) for the purpose of interpreting realistic reactions. No zoning was detected within individual crystals of muscovite.

Biotite

Biotite compositions are close to the annite–phlogopite series, but contain about 25% of the siderophylite–castonite end members. The variation in Al content of biotite is correlated with bulk composition and Al-saturation. Ti ranges between 0.06 and 0.12 atoms p.f.u. (basis: 11 atoms of oxygen). Variations in Xₘ₉ are shown in Figure 7, which illustrates that biotite in Zones 1 and 6 has lower values (Xₘ₉ < 0.5) than biotite from the other zones (Xₘ₉ > 0.5). This variation is in accord with bulk chemical differences described above. The analyzed grains of biotite display no detectable zoning.

Chlorite

All analyzed grains of chlorite are members of the trioctahedral clinochlore–chamosite series, with low concentrations of Mn. Variability of tetrahedrally coordinated Al is small. There is substantial variation in Xₘ₉; however, from 0.5 to 0.8 in Zones 2–5, and from 0.2 to 0.6 in Zone 1 (Fig. 7). Individual grains are unzoned.

Chloritoid

The chloritoid is Fe-rich (Xₘ₉ = 0.2; Fig. 7) and contains trace Mn. Most grains of chloritoid are too small to obtain proper analyses of core and rim, but in two samples with suitable grains (YM–188 from south of Saglek Fiord and F84–86 from just east of Lake Kiki), chloritoid is unzoned with respect to Mg and Fe, but strongly zoned with respect to Mn which, despite contents of less than 1 wt% MnO, displays a systematic rimward decrease. This pattern is consistent with growth zoning. In the absence of garnet, Mn is strongly partitioned into chloritoid, so that during prograde growth, Mn is progressively depleted from the “reservoir” (= matrix), resulting in a rimward decrease. The preservation of growth zoning suggests that retrograde effects are minor in these chloritoid-bearing assemblages.

Garnet

Garnet occurs only in the Pangertok SW area. Variations in Fe, Mg, and Mn in fourteen grains from six samples are shown in Figure 15. Grains in BR–169 and F83–138 are irregular in shape and do not display consistent patterns of zoning. Staurolite inclusions occur in one grain of garnet (BR–169), suggesting that garnet partially replaced staurolite.

Fe and Mg show no obvious correlation, but closer inspection reveals two distinct groups (I and II,
High-Mn garnet (Group I) in general shows an increase in Mn and a decrease in Fe from core to rim, whereas both elements increase rimward in Group II. Group I is characterized by very small variations in (Fe+Mn), whereas Mn/Fe is nearly constant in Group II. Group-I garnet shows decrease in Fe and Mg from core to rim, whereas in Group II, the garnet increases in Fe and decreases in Mg toward the rim, with (Fe+Mg) remaining approximately constant.

Ca in both groups varies between 1 and 2 wt% CaO (ca. 3 to 7 mol% grossular), but does not show any systematic zonal variation. It is well known that in the presence of plagioclase, Al-silicate and quartz, the Ca-content of garnet is strongly pressure-dependent (e.g., Kretz 1959, Ghent 1975, Martignole & Nantel 1982). However, in the garnet-bearing rocks from the Ramah Group, the lack of Al-silicates (i.e., potentially variable activity of Al₃SiO₇) and the low modal amounts of plagioclase coexisting with all garnet may explain the unsystematic variation in Ca.

The zoning patterns of Fe, Mg, and Mn described above do not resemble the patterns of growth zoning widely discussed in the literature (cf. reviews in Tracy 1982, Cygan & Lasaga 1982, Loomis 1983), suggesting that some redistribution of elements may have occurred during or after the peak of metamorphism. The pattern in Group-I garnet (Fe and Mg decreasing and Mn increasing from core to rim) is similar to diffusion (or retrograde) zoning, particularly with respect to the Fe–Mn relationship (e.g., review by Karabinos 1984). Constant (Fe+Mn) coupled with a core-to-rim increase in Mn/Fe is interpreted to result from diffusion and redistribution of elements during retrograde metamorphism (resorption), thus overprinting earlier prograde patterns. In Group-II garnet, the pattern of increasing (Fe+Mn) and decreasing Mg from core to rim may also have developed as a result of resorption during retrograde conditions.

These variations in patterns of zoning do not seem to be correlated either with mineral assemblages or geographic location. All grains of garnet coexist with biotite, muscovite, quartz, tourmaline and minor Fe–Ti oxides, and samples from both groups occur in adjacent outcrops. Although the number of samples is small (six samples with about ten well-zoned grains), the variety of patterns observed suggests that along with exchange reactions between garnet and matrix minerals, one or more diffusion-enhancing factors (e.g., temperature, variable activity of H₂O and availability of fluids) were important once the peak metamorphic conditions were achieved.

**Staurolite**

The variations in Fe–Mg are very limited in staurolite, and core-to-rim ranges of X_Mg are small and unsystematic. However, the minor elements Mn and Ti generally increase toward the rim. Twenty-three grains in eleven samples were analyzed for Zn, which varies from below the detection limit to 2.21 wt% ZnO. As expected, there is a strong antithetic relationship between modal proportion of staurolite and wt% ZnO in staurolite, confirming that staurolite is the only significant Zn-bearing phase in these rocks. Zn-zonning is variable, both within and among grains; 48% of the grains show rimward increase in Zn, 17% show the opposite trend, and 35% show no measurable variation.
Feldspars

Plagioclase is the only feldspar in pelites from the areas near Saglek Fiord, whereas both K-feldspar and plagioclase occur in some samples in the Pangertok SW area. An-contents (averages or ranges) of analyzed plagioclase are shown in Figure 7. The average An-content of plagioclase in kyanite-bearing assemblages is An_{26} (n = 75 analyses, range An_{18}-An_{30}), and “normal” zoning (i.e., Na-rich rim, Ca-rich core) is developed in many grains. Typical core–rim variations are from An_{25} to An_{30}. Plagioclase from kyanite-free assemblages has a higher An-content (~An_{41}, average of sixty analyses, range An_{35}-An_{46}), probably an effect of bulk composition, and displays minor normal or reverse zoning. Plagioclase and K-feldspar from the Pangertok SW area are not systematically zoned.

Patterns of zoning

Patterns of retrograde zoning in garnet from Pangertok SW (Zone 6) indicate that re-equilibration continued after the metamorphic peak in this area. In contrast, staurolite and chloritoid from Lake Kiki and Saglek Fiord areas (Zones 1–5) are unzoned or record prograde growth-related zoning, and show no evidence of post-peak re-equilibration. Normal patterns of zoning in plagioclase in kyanite-bearing assemblages show that for muscovite from Zones 2–5, at least 50% of the Fe would have to be Fe_{3+} to result in a “normal” sequence of X_{Ms} distribution, assuming that 25%, 50, and 75% of the Fe is ferric. These calculations show that for muscovite from Zones 2–5, at least 50% of the Fe would have to be Fe_{3+} to result in a “normal” sequence of X_{Ms} distribution, assuming simultaneously that none of the Fe in coexisting biotite and chlorite is Fe_{3+}. This seems unlikely, although since “partition coefficients” for Fe_{3+} between chlorite, muscovite and biotite are not known, not impossible. For muscovite in Zones 1 and 6, assumptions of variable Fe_{3+} in muscovite do not change the X_{Ms} sequence, though in this case the assumption of some Fe_{3+} in chlorite and biotite has a significant effect. However, the presence of graphite in Zone 1 would imply that Fe_{3+} contents of all phases are likely to be low. Although in the absence of direct determinations of Fe_{3+} in the coexisting minerals, these indications of reversals in X_{Ms} distribution cannot be considered to be definitive, we tentatively interpret them to be a real feature of the mineral assemblages. If so, and if muscovite is the cause, they represent an extremal state in the muscovite solid-solution, corresponding to a maximum or a minimum on binary T–X_{Ms} or P–X_{Ms} diagrams [Korzhinskii (1959) in Albbee (1972)]. Such phenomena are not possible among ideal solutions, but nonideality, caused for instance by significant Fe–Mg ordering in distinct crystallographic sites, could lead to reversals (Thompson 1976). Hence our data may suggest that the Tschermak exchange involves significant cation order in Ms–Cd solid solutions. Additional analytical work, including analyses for ferric iron, is required to substantiate these suggestions.

Fe–Mg distribution between coexisting minerals

Figure 7 shows X_{Ms} of coexisting minerals along with additional paragenetic information. In most respects, these results are in accord with the accepted pattern of X_{Ms} (i.e., Grt < St < Cld < Bt < Chl < Ms). However, there are some exceptions, particularly with respect to muscovite. As noted above, all Fe has been treated as Fe_{2+}; the effect of neglecting the possible presence of Fe_{3+} is assessed below.

In Zone 1, the X_{Ms} sequence is Bt ≤ Chl < Ms (except for biotite in one sample, which has a higher X_{Ms} than coexisting chlorite: Figure 7). This relative sequence occurs despite significant absolute variations due to differences in bulk composition. However, between Zone 1 and Zones 2–5 the pattern changes. In Zones 2–5, although X_{Ms}(Bt) is still slightly smaller than X_{Ms}(Chl), both are now significantly larger than X_{Ms}(Ms). In Zones 2 and 3 (lacking biotite), X_{Ms}(Ms) varies significantly, but is invariably less than X_{Ms}(Chl), and X_{Ms}(Ms) is less than X_{Ms}(Clid) in some samples, but greater in others. In Zones 4 to 5, the relative X_{Ms} distribution is constant, with X_{Ms} in St < Cld < Ms < Bt < Chl. In garnet-bearing assemblages in “Zone 6”, there is a marked decrease in X_{Ms}(Bt) such that it approximates X_{Ms}(Ms).

Thus it appears from Figure 7 that reversals of Fe–Mg distribution between muscovite and coexisting minerals (especially biotite, chlorite and possibly chloritoid) occur between Zones 1 and 2–3 and again between Zones 5 and 6 (stippled lines in Fig. 7). These are consistent both within samples and between groups of samples, and in most cases are too great in magnitude to be attributable to analytical error.

It is conceivable that the variability in X_{Ms} is due to variations in Fe_{2+}/Fe_{3+} ratio. In this context, we note that pelites in Zone 1 contain abundant graphite, whereas graphite has not been detected in Zones 2–6. In order to test the possible effect of variable Fe_{3+} on the X_{Ms} sequence, X_{Ms}(Ms) was recalculated assuming that 25, 50 and 75% of the Fe is ferric. These calculations show that for muscovite from Zones 2–5, at least 50% of the Fe would have to be Fe_{3+} to result in a “normal” sequence of X_{Ms} distribution, assuming simultaneously that none of the Fe in coexisting biotite and chlorite is Fe_{3+}. This seems unlikely, although since “partition coefficients” for Fe_{3+} between chlorite, muscovite and biotite are not known, not impossible. For muscovite in Zones 1 and 6, assumptions of variable Fe_{3+} in muscovite do not change the X_{Ms} sequence, though in this case the assumption of some Fe_{3+} in chlorite and biotite has a significant effect. However, the presence of graphite in Zone 1 would imply that Fe_{3+} contents of all phases are likely to be low. Although in the absence of direct determinations of Fe_{3+} in the coexisting minerals, these indications of reversals in X_{Ms} distribution cannot be considered to be definitive, we tentatively interpret them to be a real feature of the mineral assemblages. If so, and if muscovite is the cause, they represent an extremal state in the muscovite solid-solution, corresponding to a maximum or a minimum on binary T–X_{Ms} or P–X_{Ms} diagrams [Korzhinskii (1959) in Albbee (1972)]. Such phenomena are not possible among ideal solutions, but nonideality, caused for instance by significant Fe–Mg ordering in distinct crystallographic sites, could lead to reversals (Thompson 1976). Hence our data may suggest that the Tschermak exchange involves significant cation order in Ms–Cd solid solutions. Additional analytical work, including analyses for ferric iron, is required to substantiate these suggestions.

Garnet–biotite thermometry

The Ramah Group does not contain many assemblages suitable for quantitative geothermobarometry. Coexisting garnet–biotite have been analyzed in six samples from the Pangertok SW area. Grains of garnet vary in size from <0.1 to 3 mm and in shape from subround to highly irregular, but where possible, both
core and rim were analyzed. Biotite grains from two microstructural settings were analyzed, namely "rim biotite" adjacent to garnet, and "matrix biotite" away (several millimeters) from any visible grain of garnet.

It should be noted that retrograde zoning in garnet and the slow rates of diffusion for Fe-Mg in garnet compared to biotite in all likelihood cause the results obtained to be upper limits on the equilibrium temperatures at the time of cessation of Fe-Mg exchange.

Temperatures have been calculated with TWEQUE (Berman 1991) employing the activity models of Berman (1990) and McMullin et al. (1991) for garnet and biotite, respectively. Results and compositional parameters are given in Table 4. Temperatures from garnet and biotite grains indicate apparent temperatures down to ca. 500°C, suggesting significant re-equilibration during cooling following the metamorphic peak. The calculated garnet-biotite temperatures show no systematic geographic distribution within their relatively small area of occurrence south of Saglek Fiord, and are thus assumed to reflect variable degrees of post-peak re-equilibration, rather than regional differences in peak metamorphic temperatures (dominal re-equilibration, as described by Mengel & Rivers 1991).

**DISCUSSION**

Although in many respects the mineral assemblages record an unexceptional metamorphic sequence along the metamorphic field-gradient, conform well to model relations in AKFM space, and yield reasonable P-T estimates, there are several points of petrological interest to which we draw attention. Bulk-composition control on the mineral assemblages is demonstrated by several related features.

(1) The persistence of stable chlorite in pelites well into middle amphibolite facies (zone 5), in close proximity to sillimanite-bearing assemblages, is unusual, and is possible only for chlorite of intermediate X\text{Cl}.

In this case, final breakdown of chlorite is attributed to the univariant reaction R7. No samples with coexisting chlorite and biotite from Paugertok SW, suggests maximum pressures of 6-7 kbar (Fig. 1). This is in accordance with the absence of the assemblage K-feldspar – kyanite (Bathozone 6 of Carmichael 1978). Rims of adjacent garnet and biotite grains indicate apparent temperatures.
prograde chlorite and sillimanite have been observed.

(2) The absence of cordierite from all assemblages and the restriction of garnet to the highest metamorphic grade are also compatible with the intermediate range of $X_{Mg}$ in the Ramah Group pelites. In addition, Mn contents are generally low, so that spessartine-rich garnet did not form at low metamorphic grades.

(3) In contrast to their limited range in F/M, the Ramah Group pelites display a wide range of A/(F+M), such that some lithologies are Al-saturated, whereas others are Al-undersaturated. This variation in bulk composition exerts a strong control on the presence or absence of Al-silicates (including chloritoid or staurolite) and biotite at certain grades of metamorphism, and is also responsible for changes in mineralogy between Zones 1 and 2 and between Zones 5 and 6. A critical AFM tie-line in this respect is St-Chl. Once it is breached by the Als-Bt tie-line, the occurrence of Al-silicate and biotite becomes possible over a much wider range of bulk composition.

Another point of interest is the role of the Tschermak exchange in the compositional evolution of several pairs of coexisting minerals, including those involving muscovite. This exchange vector describes the systematic decrease of the celadonite content of muscovite along the metamorphic field-gradient in the Ramah pelites. Biotite and chlorite, in contrast, do not show any similar systematic variations.

**P-T RELATIONSHIPS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR REGIONAL GEOLOGICAL EVOLUTION**

Assemblages of metamorphic minerals in the Ramah Group metapelites crystallized during the later stages of D1 or syn-D2 (Mengel 1988, Calon & Jamison 1993) implying that these episodes of deformation occurred at the metamorphic peak. Evidence from contrasts in mineral assemblages across major faults, e.g., in the Sagleq Fiord area, suggests that some telescoping of the metamorphic gradient occurred after peak metamorphism. Figure 4 shows that samples from zone 3 (e.g., F83-61, -62) and samples from zone 5 (e.g., F83-76, -85) are separated by a few kilometers (measured perpendicular to the general NW orientation of zone boundaries), and from Figure 14 it is apparent that these rocks from zones 3 and 5 may differ by up to 2 kbars and 150°C. This is in excess of what would be expected from a normal geothermal gradient and is in accord with shortening across the metamorphic field-gradient belt by east-directed thrusting, as suggested by Mengel (1984, 1985, 1988) and Mengel et al. (1991), and recently substantiated by the detailed structural work of Calon & Jamison (1993).

Metamorphic temperatures and pressures in the Ramah Group increase from north to south (to a maximum of 650°C and 6–7 kbar in Pangertok SW). This suggests burial to ca. 20 km depth in the externides of the Torngat Orogen, with crustal thickening occurring by tectonic assembly of thrust sheets (Mengel et al. 1991, Calon & Jamison 1993). A similar pattern was also described ca. 100 km south of the study area near Okak Bay (Van Kranendonk 1992).

The north–south variation in metamorphic grade in the Ramah Group in the study area suggests that tectonic thickening of the Ramah Group increased toward the south. However, peak temperature and pressure estimates of 700°C and 5 kbars on Ramah Group rocks from the Okak area obtained by Van Kranendonk (1992) suggest that the increase in metamorphic grade may not have extended much beyond Pangertok SW.
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APPENDIX:
ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES

Major-element analyses of Ramah Group pelites were carried out by atomic absorption spectrometry using a Perkin–Elmer spectrometer housed at the Department of Earth Sciences, Memorial University on Newfoundland, and operated by G. Andrews. Prior to analysis, 0.1000 g of sample was dissolved in a solution of 5 mL HF, 50 mL saturated H3BO3, and 145 mL H2O, and heated on a steam bath for ca. 12 hrs. FeO was determined by titration in ferrous ammonium sulfate, and Fe2O3 was considered equal to Fe;+O3 for - 1.1 FeO. P2O5 was determined by colorimetry, and loss on ignition (LOI) was determined after heating approximately 1.5 g of sample at ca. 1000°C for 2–3 hrs.

Minerals were analyzed with a JEOL JXA-50A electron microprobe in the Department of Earth Sciences, Memorial University of Newfoundland. This instrument was fully automated and equipped with three wavelength-dispersion spectrometers and a Krnel control unit operating through a PDP-11 computer. Counts were collected for 30 seconds or until 60,000 counts were recorded. The beam current was 22 nA, and the accelerating voltage, 15 kV. For all minerals, a beam diameter of 1–2 μm was used. “Alpha”-corrections (Bence & Albee 1968) were used in data reduction, and a variety of calibrations, based on standards appropriate for the mineral being analyzed, were employed. For most elements, the typical lower limit of detection (LLD) is about 0.03 wt% oxide, giving average levels of quantification (ca. 3 × LLD, Keith et al. 1983) of ca. 0.1 wt% oxide (Mengel 1988).