documentation via tabular material is a forte. Roy's review paper, together with his previous reviews in 1976, 1981 (a book), 1988, and 1992, provide a record of the tremendous progress of the field through twenty years of research. Though IGCP project 318 and its predecessor projects cannot be credited with all the advances, their impact was considerable and widespread. Currently, manganese-deposit researchers are in close contact with developments in chemical oceanography, paleo-oceanography, etc., so the somnolence in the study of manganese deposition in, say, the 1970s will probably not be repeated.

Presentations in this volume provoke some questions for further research in my mind: 1) If Precambrian iron formations were such huge depocenters for manganese but are now mostly

eroded, how important was such erosion in the supply of manganese to Phanerozoic marine sediments? 2) How important was thermal subsidence relative to eustatic change and high-stand depositional regression in producing observed stratigraphic relations in manganese deposits of all ages? 3) What part does manganese play relative to other syngenetic deposits in marine geochemical budgets, and relative to other hydrothermal deposits in the mass balance of hydrothermal systems?

Eric R. Force Center for Mineral Resources United States Geological Survey University of Arizona 520 N. Park Avenue Tucson, Arizona 85719, U.S.A.

ERRATA

In the article entitled "Benyacarite, a new titanium-bearing phosphate mineral species from Cerro Blanco, Argentina", by F. Demartin, H.D. Gay, C.M. Gramaccioli and T. Pilati (*Can. Mineral.* 35, 707-712, 1997), please note that there should be no V in the formula reported on line 4, right-hand column, p. 711. Thus the affected segment of the formula should read (Mn²⁺0.75Fe²⁺0.21Mg0.04)2.

In the article entitled "A note on the crystal structure of marshite" by M.A. Cooper and F.C. Hawthorne (Can. Mineral. 35, 785-786, 1997), in the Abstract and in the Sommaire, the α cell edge should read 6.063(1) Å, Z should be 4, and CuI in the second sentence should be γ -CuI.