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ABSTRACT

Platinum-group minerals (PGM) were discovered in the Aikora River area of Papua New Guinea. This area is situated in the Papuan Ultramafic Belt, in the eastern part of the island. The PGM concentrates consist almost exclusively of PGE alloys, predominantly of Os–Ir–Ru alloys (88%) and subordinately of Pt–Fe alloy (12%). Osmium (70%) is the main mineral of the Os–Ir–Ru alloys, followed by ruthenium (13%), rutheniridosmine (13%) and iridium (4%). A few grains of Os–Ir–Ru alloy are characterized by an unusually high Pt content (up to 18 wt.%), and their compositions plot in the miscibility gap of the system Os–Ir–Ru. We infer that these grains crystallized at higher temperatures. Composite grains of the various types of alloys and oriented intergrowth are very common. Laurite is the only sulfide found, as inclusions in alloys, but more commonly as a product of alteration. Sulfarsenides, undefined arsenides and probable PGE oxides are of secondary origin. The compositions of the alloys and their textures suggest a high-temperature crystallization from a sulfur-depleted Os–Ir–Ru–Rh–Pt–Fe solid solution. The PGM in the placers of the Aikora River area seem to have been derived from ophiolites of the Papuan Ultramafic Belt.
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INTRODUCTION

Occurrences of platinum-group elements (PGE) in Papua New Guinea are mainly known from their association with alluvial gold operations. The Aikora River area in the Papuan Ultramafic Belt and the Milne Bay Goldfield in the southeast, as well as some localities in the April Ultramafic Belt in northwestern Papua New Guinea, are reported to have yielded about 40 kg of PGE, in addition to gold, from the end of the last century to the 1930s (Grainger & Grainger 1914). Exploration by Kennecott Co. in 1984 detected significant concentrations of gold and minor PGE values in the alluvial sediments of the Papuan Ultramafic Belt and the April Ultramafic Belt. These indications prompted renewed exploration activities by a joint venture between Degussa AG (Germany) and Pancontinental Mining Limited (Australia) from 1986 to 1988. Our aim in this paper is to provide a detailed characterization of the platinum-group minerals (PGM) from the Aikora River area, discovered during this exploration activity.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

Papua New Guinea is composed, tectonically, of three units, the so-called platform, the central mobile belt and the oceanic crust and island arcs, which are the result of the collision and accretion between the Australian and Pacific plates in the Mesozoic and Tertiary (Dow 1977). During collision, ultramafic and mafic rocks, presumably ophiolitic material, were emplaced along major fault-zones in the mobile belt and in the oceanic crust and island arcs. The April Ultramafic Belt, the Marum Ophiolite Complex and the Papuan Ultramafic Belt are the most important ophiolite zones (Fig. 1).

The Papuan Ultramafic Belt, the largest ultramafic-mafic complex on Papuan island, strikes over 400 km in a NW–SE direction, attaining a maximum width of 40 km on the northeastern side of the Owen Stanley Range in the eastern part of the island. The complex consists of a basal ultramafic zone 4–8 km thick, overlain by a gabbro zone 4 km thick and a basalt zone 4–6 km thick (Davies 1971). The ultramafic zone was subdivided by Davies (1971) into non-cumulus ultramafic rocks at the base (harzburgite, dunite and orthopyroxenite) overlain by ultramafic cumulates that contain olivine, orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene, chromite, but without plagioclase. The gabbro zone consists of cumulus gabbro formed on the transition zone to the ultramafic rocks, as well as granular gabbro and high-level gabbro. The basalt zone consists predominantly of
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FIG. 1. Tectonic sketch-map of Papua New Guinea showing the location of ultramafic belts and PGM occurrences (modified after Power-Fardy et al. 1990).
massive basalt and spilitic lavas (Fig. 2). According to Davies (1971), the ultramafic zone forms part of the oceanic mantle, whereas the gabbro and basalt zones are oceanic crust.

**Methodology**

The Papuan Ultramafic Belt is a rough mountain area covered with dense jungle. The exploration work in the Aikora River area was extremely difficult and expensive because continuous service by helicopter was necessary. In addition to conventional methods of exploration, a special helicopter-borne sampling program was developed. The first step was the identification of a suitable airstrip for the helicopter. Rock exposures are totally absent in the area. Stream sediments were collected over a five-km-long distance along the Aikora River and its tributaries, along a section through the whole sequence of mafic and ultramafic rocks of the Papuan Ultramafic Belt (Fig. 3). The standard procedure for sampling was (i) to collect from each locality a 6-liter sample (one standard gold pan) of five mesh sand-sized sediment by sieving, (ii) reduction of the sample to approximately 100 g of heavy-mineral concentrate by panning, and (iii) visual assessment of content, size and habit of the PGM.

The heavy-mineral concentrates collected systematically along selected sections of river banks in the Aikora area were first scanned by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) to obtain an overview of the element spectrum. Subsequently, the samples were inspected with a scanning electron microscope equipped with an energy-dispersion
RuZa, RhZcr, OsMa, hLrl, CuKa, NiKcr, Se^Lcr, Te,Lct,

The analytical conditions were: accelerating voltage using a CAMEBAX Microbeam electron microprobe.

The larger crystals of the Os–Ir–Ru alloys are mostly rounded or flattened with a lobed outline (Fig. 4a). Grains of osmium, iridium and ruthenium, but not of rutheniridosmine, smaller than 0.5 mm, show in some cases idiomorphic crystal faces (Figs. 4b, c). Crystals of Os–Ir–Ru alloy covered with Pt–Fe alloy (Figs. 4b, 6e, f) are rare. In reflected light, the grains are white with a light bluish tinge and mostly variably anisotropic depending on the orientation of the crystal. Interference colors in oil immersion change from orange to dark brown. Cubic crystals are subordinate. The majority of the grains of Os–Ir–Ru alloy are optically homogeneous under reflected light. Common inclusions comprise other PGM, composite grains of different phases in the system Os–Ir–Ru (Fig. 5a), and intergrowths with Pt–Fe alloy (Figs. 5f, 6a, b).

Electron-microprobe analyses of the homogeneous grains show the presence of all known phases of the ternary system Os–Ir–Ru. Osmium, the hexagonal alloy, with variable amounts of ruthenium, is the dominant phase (70%), followed by rutheniridosmine (13%) and ruthenium (13%). Only 4% of the analyzed crystals consist of cubic iridium and Pt-bearing iridium (Fig. 7). The compositions of the grains show a well-developed trend parallel to the miscibility gap in the system Os–Ir–Ru and confirm similar observations by Harris & Cabri (1973) on material from Papua New Guinea of uncertain origin. This trend also is in good agreement with compositions of material from Burma (Hagen et al. 1990) and from the Urals, Russia and Alberta, western Canada (Cabri et al. 1996). Figure 7 shows also that the majority of the compositions cluster on the boundary between osmium and rutheniridosmine, close to the triple point. It is typical of all analyzed grains of Os–Ir–Ru alloys that the content of Pd is nearly always below the detection limit. Only a few grains carry up to 0.4 wt. % of Pd.

The grains of osmium are mostly homogeneous and contain up to 1.0 wt. % Pt and 3.0 wt. % Rh. Compositions vary between 34.8 and 80.1 at. % Os, 8.3 and 41.0 at. % Ru, and 5.0 and 39.3 at. % Ir. The most abundant inclusions are idiomorphic or drop-like grains of Pt–Fe alloy up to 15 µm across. Also common are oval, roundish inclusions of ruthenium, iridium, and rutheniridosmine. An unusual polygonal intergrowth of osmium and Pt–Fe alloy was observed in one grain (Fig. 5c). Some grains also contain small euhedral inclusions of chromite.
Ruthenium also is mostly homogeneous and carries up to 5.5 wt.% Pt and 2.0% Rh. Compositions range from 33.8 to 63.2 at.% Ru, 9.5 to 42.3 at.% Os, and 11.9 to 33.6 at.% Ir. The only inclusions found are tabular euhedral and parallel oriented needle- or schlieren-like grains of Pt-Fe alloy 10 μm across (Fig. 5d). Like osmium, ruthenium may be intergrown with Pt-Fe alloy at the margin of grains.

Rutheniridosmine has compositions between 16.7 and 31.8 at.% Ru, 26.4 and 41.2 at.% Os, and 35.5 and 42.1 at.% Ir, and contains up to 2.0 wt.% Pt and 1.0% Rh. Long tabular grains or large parallel laths of Pt-Fe

Fig. 4. SEM photomicrographs of PGM from Papua New Guinea. a. Concentrate of PGM and gold (knobby). b. Euhedral crystal of ruthenium, anhedral grain of osmium covered with Pt-Fe alloy, and knobby grains of gold. c. Euhedral grains of iridium and osmium. d. Osmium with partly preserved crystal faces. e. Rounded grain of Pt-Fe alloy intergrown with osmium. f. Pt-Fe alloy with partly preserved crystal faces.
FIG. 5. Back-scattered electron images (BEI) of PGE alloys from Papua New Guinea. a. Composite grain of osmium (Os), iridium (Ir), and rutheniridosmine (Ru-Ir-Os) with lamellar inclusions of Pt-Fe alloy (Pt-Fe). b. Tabular grain of rutheniridosmine (Ru-Ir-Os) with parallel laths of Pt-Fe alloy (Pt-Fe) near the margin. c. Polygonal intergrowth of osmium (Os) and Pt-Fe alloy (Pt-Fe). d. Ruthenium (Ru) with euhedral inclusions and schlieren-like exsolution-induced domains of Pt-Fe alloy (Pt-Fe). e. Intergrowth of iridium (Ir) with drop-like inclusions of Pt-Fe alloy (Pt-Fe) and Pt-Fe alloy (Pt-Fe) with euhedral to anhedral inclusions of iridium (Ir). f. Composite grain of osmium (Os), Pt-Fe alloy (Pt-Fe) and iridium (Ir), with drop-like oriented exsolution-induced domains of iridium (Ir) in Pt-Fe alloy at the boundary with osmium (Os).
Fig. 6. Back-scattered electron images of PGE alloys from Papua New Guinea. a. Composite grain of rutheniridosmine (Ru–Ir–Os), Pt–Fe alloy (Pt–Fe), and an Os–Ir–Ru alloy with a composition that falls in the miscibility gap (misc) in the ternary system. b. Composite grain of osmium (Os) with inclusions of Pt–Fe alloy (Pt–Fe), and Os–Ir–Ru alloy with a composition in the miscibility gap (misc) and with oriented exsolution-lamellae of osmium (Os). c. Pt–Fe alloy (Pt–Fe) with oriented exsolution-lamellae of ruthenium (Ru). d. Close-up of the crystallographically oriented exsolution-lamellae of ruthenium (Ru) in top-left portion of (c). e. Osmium (Os) covered with Pt–Fe alloy (Pt–Fe) with oriented exsolution-lamellae. f. Close-up of the top-left portion of (e) showing crystallographically oriented exsolution-lamellae of tetraferroplatinum? (tet Pt) in Pt–Fe alloy matrix (Pt–Fe).
alloy occur in some grains or near grain boundaries (Figs. 5a, b). Composite grains with iridium or Pt–Fe alloy are very common. Chromite and chalcopyrite occur as rare inclusions.

Grains of iridium are invariably intergrown with the Os–Ir–Ru alloys (Fig. 5a) or Pt–Fe alloy (Figs. 5e, f). They show little variation in composition (58.6 to 61.3 at. % Ir, 16.4 to 21.3 at. % Os, 19.0 to 24.6 at. % Ru) and normally carry up to 9.0 wt.% Pt and 1.8 wt.% Rh. In some grains, the Pt content is higher than the amount of Os. Therefore, the compositions plot in the ternary system Ir–Ru–Pt (Table I, no. 3/13). Iridium occasionally contains small blocky or elongate inclusions of Pt–Fe alloy, which mostly show a preferred orientation in the host mineral (Fig. 5e).

Some composite grains of Os–Ir–Ru alloys and Pt–Fe alloy show areas of different brownish colors under reflected light after carbon-coating, as well as different brightness in back-scattered electron images (Figs. 6a, b). Electron-microprobe analyses of these areas gave compositions in the miscibility gap of the system Os–Ir–Ru (Fig. 7), in a narrow field between 52.5 and 56.9 at. % Ir, 22.0 and 30.6 at. % Ru, and 16.4 and 21.1 at. % Os. All compositions contain between 8 and 18 wt.% Pt, but only traces of Fe (Table I, no. 7/33, 7/37, 7/44). Also, some grains of osmium contain drop- or schlieren-like areas of the same composition. This was earlier observed by one of us (TWW) on material from the Urals and was also recently described by Krstic & Tarkian (1997) from Yugoslavia. These mineral grains could have been formed at higher temperatures. On the other hand, we contend that the miscibility gap discovered by Raub (1964) in the system Ru–Ir and by Rudman (1967) in the system Os–Ir is only applicable to Pt-free systems, and that the presence of Pt may stabilize the phases in that part of the system Os–Ir–Ru.

**FIG. 7.** Triangular plot showing electron-microprobe compositions of Os–Ir–Ru alloys. The shaded area represents the miscibility gap proposed by Harris & Cabri (1991) and its modification by Cabri et al. (1996).
Individual grains of Pt–Fe alloy are generally smaller than the Os–Ir–Ru alloy grains, ranging between 0.5 m and 1 mm in size; they are mostly well rounded, and show, in a few cases only, partly preserved crystal faces (Fig. 4f). Very common also is an intergrowth with grains of Os–Ir–Ru alloy (Fig. 4e). Grains of the Pt–Fe alloy are homogeneous, but variation is observed among grains. They invariably carry traces of Ru (<0.8 wt.%) and Os (<0.6 wt.%), but in isolated cases, low amounts of Pd (<0.4 wt.%). The content of Rh reaches up to 4.0 wt.%, whereas the Ir content varies between 0.3 and 9.0 wt.%. Besides Fe (6.8–10.8 wt.%), Cu (up to 2.2%) and Ni (up to 3.7%) are invariably present. Total (Fe,Cu,Ni) contents of the Pt–Fe alloy range from 25 to 35 at.%, with a peak at 30 at.% (Fig. 8); (Fe,Cu,Ni) contents are therefore much higher than the maxima at 16 or at 25 at.% observed at other localities worldwide (Cabri et al. 1996).

One 120 × 50 μm homogeneous tabular grain of Pt–Fe alloy with lamellae of osmium (Fig. 9a) contains 11.3 wt.% Fe, 5.8 wt.% Cu, and 4.3 wt.% Ni (Table 2, no. 15/23). This composition corresponds to 47.5 at.% (Fe,Cu,Ni) and could be tetraferroplatinum. According to the nomenclature of platinum–iron alloys (Cabri & Feather 1975), this identification is uncertain because the crystal structure would have to be determined by X-ray-diffraction (XRD). The grain also contains 2.4 wt.% Ir, 0.4% Rh, 0.3% Os, but no Ru and Pd. The contents of Ru, Rh, Pd, Os and Ir match well the composition of all other measured grains of Pt–Fe alloy.

Grains of Pt–Fe alloy are also often combined with the different variants of Os–Ir–Ru alloys. In some cases, drop-like inclusions of Ir occur in the Pt–Fe alloy near the boundary with osmium (Fig. 5f). Ir is generally the most abundant inclusion and commonly shows a crystallographic orientation relative to the host mineral (Fig. 5e). Osmium forms broad laths in grains of Pt–Fe alloy (Fig. 9a), whereas Ru occurs as fine parallel lamellae that show, in some cases, a clear crystallographic orientation (Figs. 6c, d).

Figure 6e is a back-scattered electron image of a rounded grain of osmium with a cap of Pt–Fe alloy. At higher magnification (Fig. 6f), fine lamellae aligned along crystallographic axes in the Pt–Fe alloy are observed. Electron-microprobe analyses gave the typical composition of Pt–Fe alloy, with 10.7 wt.% Fe, 0.2% Cu and 3.7% Ni, corresponding to an (Fe,Cu,Ni) content of 36.6 at.% for the matrix (Table 2, no. 3/120). The lamellae, however, contain 12.9 wt.% Fe, 0.9% Cu and 6.2% Ni, corresponding to 45.6 at.% (Fe,Cu,Ni).

**TABLE 2. SELECTED ELECTRON-MICROPROBE DATA ON Pt–Fe ALLOY AND TULAMEENITE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis no.</th>
<th>6/89</th>
<th>15/43</th>
<th>3/117</th>
<th>3/120</th>
<th>3/117</th>
<th>15/23</th>
<th>4/130</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>8.26</td>
<td>10.60</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>98.47</td>
<td>77.01</td>
<td>76.21</td>
<td>76.25</td>
<td>75.49</td>
<td>75.17</td>
<td>75.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>5.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>4.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.95</td>
<td>100.02</td>
<td>100.14</td>
<td>99.93</td>
<td>99.83</td>
<td>100.68</td>
<td>100.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8. Frequency plot showing the variation of (Fe,Cu,Ni) content of Pt–Fe alloy.
(Table 2, no. 3/117). This grain of alloy could be tetraferroplatinum. PGE concentrations differ only slightly, except for the Ir contents, which are 10.6 wt.% in the groundmass and 7.6 wt.% in the lamellae.

The lamellar inclusions of Pt–Fe alloy in rutheniridosmine (Fig. 5b), the euhedral or schlieren-like inclusions in ruthenium (Fig. 5d), as well as the blocky or drop-like inclusions in iridium (Fig. 5e) have the same composition as the single grains of Pt–Fe alloy or the grains that are intergrown with the Os–Ir–Ru alloys.

**Tulameenite**

Two irregular single grains of tulameenite 50 μm in diameter and narrow rims replacing Pt–Fe alloy were identified. Figure 9b shows an intergrowth between laths of osmium and Pt–Fe alloy where the latter is nearly completely replaced by tulameenite. Tulameenite always carries Ir (up to 2.4 wt.%), and traces (<0.5 wt.% of Os and Rh (Table 2, no. 4/130). The compositions differ slightly among individual grains, the rims around the Pt–Fe alloy, and the grain of tulameenite.

![Back-scattered electron images of various PGM](image-url)
intergrown with osmium. The total PGE content (2.05 at.%) is constant in the three different types of occurrences. The Fe + Ni content, however, increases from 0.85 at.% in the single grains to over 1.05 at.% in the marginal zones and up to 1.17 at.% in the tulameenite grain, whereas the content of Cu decreases in the same direction from 1.11 over 0.90 to 0.76 at.%.

**Laurite**

Laurite is the only PGE sulfide in the samples from the Aikora River area. It mainly occurs as irregular or drop-like inclusions near the margin of grains of the Os–Ir–Ru alloys, in some cases intergrown with or replacing irarsite; also, it fills cracks in the alloy grains or forms a narrow rim along them. In rarer instances, it forms euhedral inclusions up to 30 μm across in Pt–Fe alloy but not in the Os–Ir–Ru alloys. Electron-microprobe analyses show that in most grains, the content of Os varies between 1.4 and 39.3 at.%, and the Ir content, between 4.4 and 14.8 at.% (Fig. 10, Table 3). This composition corresponds to laurite and confirms the observation concerning the Ir content made on worldwide occurrences by Cabri et al. (1996). It is conspicuous, however, that no erlichmanite is present in the samples. Laurite with a nearly stoichiometric composition in which Os is replaced by 1.5–6.8 at.% Rh occurs in a few grains of ruthenium (Table 3, no. 4/150). Similar observations on material from Yugoslavia were published by Krstić & Tarkian (1997).

**PGE sulfarsenides**

PGE sulfarsenides occur only as irregular inclusions near the margin of grains of the Os–Ir–Ru alloys or Pt–Fe alloy, filling fractures or as a replacement-induced rim
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**TABLE 3. SELECTED ELECTRON-MICROPROBE DATA ON LAURITE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis no.</th>
<th>3/156</th>
<th>4/150</th>
<th>5/52</th>
<th>5/95</th>
<th>9/55</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td>51.89</td>
<td>52.11</td>
<td>56.47</td>
<td>32.58</td>
<td>21.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>18.10</td>
<td>33.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>13.97</td>
<td>10.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>34.44</td>
<td>34.11</td>
<td>37.19</td>
<td>29.14</td>
<td>27.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>97.39</td>
<td>97.15</td>
<td>97.90</td>
<td>97.98</td>
<td>95.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 4. SELECTED ELECTRON-MICROPROBE DATA ON PGE SULFARSENIDES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>10.88</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>14.01</td>
<td>34.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>11.69</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>36.96</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>14.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>22.73</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>57.76</td>
<td>32.83</td>
<td>48.60</td>
<td>28.87</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>24.88</td>
<td>13.64</td>
<td>8.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>30.06</td>
<td>27.04</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>24.69</td>
<td>27.37</td>
<td>25.44</td>
<td>15.19</td>
<td>33.72</td>
<td>34.16</td>
<td>26.93</td>
<td>13.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.57</td>
<td>98.99</td>
<td>99.11</td>
<td>98.47</td>
<td>100.30</td>
<td>98.96</td>
<td>98.63</td>
<td>95.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 10.** The electron-microprobe-derived compositions of laurite in terms of the components RuS₂, OsS₂, IrS₂ and RhS₂.
The electron-microprobe compositions of PGE sulfarsenides in terms of the components IrAsS–PtAsS–RuAsS–RhAsS (a) and IrAsS–RhAsS–PtAsS–OsAsS (b).

Fig. 11. The electron-microprobe compositions of PGE sulfarsenides in terms of the components IrAsS–PtAsS–RuAsS–RhAsS (a) and IrAsS–RhAsS–PtAsS–OsAsS (b).

on them. Zoned crystals are very common. All PGE except Pd were found in the sulfarsenides, so that minerals in the systems IrAsS–PtAsS–RuAsS, IrAsS–RhAsS–RuAsS, IrAsS–RhAsS–PtAsS, IrAsS–OsAsS–PtAsS and IrAsS–RuAsS–OsAsS exist. Irarsite is by far the most common sulfarsenide (88%). It carries variable amounts, mainly of Pt and Rh and some Ru or Os (Table 4). Therefore, most compositions fall in the quaternary diagram IrAsS–PtAsS–RhAsS–RuAsS (Fig. 11a). The Pt content of irarsite varies between 5.7 and 39.5 at.%, the Rh content, between 2.3 and 32.0 at.%, and that of Ru, between 2.4 and 22.9 at.% in the system IrAsS–PtAsS–RuAsS and, between 3.8 and 8.3 at.% in the system IrAsS–RhAsS–RuAsS, respectively. Owing to the high Pt and Rh contents of irarsite, many compositions plot in the system IrAsS–RhAsS–PtAsS, and only few in the system IrAsS–OsAsS–PtAsS (Fig. 11b). The irarsite grains in these two plots contain between 1.7 and 21.8 at.% Pt, 7.0 and 33.8 at.% Rh, and 2.0 and 14.9 at.% Os. Only a few grains occur in which the content of Ru (8.7 to 28.5 at.%) and of Os (13.6 to 33.9 at.%) is higher than the content of Pt and Rh, whose compositions fall in the system IrAsS–RuAsS–OsAsS (Table 4, no. 5/99).

The remaining PGE sulfarsenides are hollingworthite (Table 4, no. 5/57), platarsite (Table 4, no. 6/125) and ruarsite (Table 4, no. 4/151). Analysis no. 3/151 gave a composition that falls right at the boundary between platarsite and ruarsite (Table 4). All these mineral grains are mostly intergrown with irarsite in rhythmically zoned crystals.

Sperrylite

Sperrylite occurs in two samples only. The irregular grains are up to 50 μm in size and surround grains of Os–Ir–Ru alloys or are intergrown with irarsite. Sperrylite carries up to 1 wt.% Ir, 2.9 wt.% Sb and traces of Ru, Rh, Os and S. The general formula is (Pt0.99In0.02Rh0.01Ru0.01)2.1.00(As1.66Sb0.06S0.04)2.2.00.

Moncheite

Moncheite was identified as a small anhedral inclusion 25 μm across in Pt–Fe alloy. Besides traces of Os and Sb, an Ir content of 2.9 wt.%, and an Rh content of 9.2 wt.% are remarkable and corresponds to the formula (Pt0.57Rh0.37Ir0.06)2.1.00(As1.66Sb0.06S0.04)2.2.00. As far as we know, this is the highest content of Rh in moncheite ever reported.

Undefined Ir–Ni–Fe–Cu sulfide

One grain of osmium contains small triangular inclusions (<15 μm) and is attached to a 40 μm grain of
the same composition. The optical properties are similar to laurite. The mineral is a sulfide; it contains Ir as the main PGE, and traces of Rh and Pt besides Ni, Fe and Cu. The idealized calculated formula corresponds to Ir(Ni,Fe,Cu)$_2$S$_3$ (Table 5, no. 5/74).

**Undefined Rh–Ni arsenides**

The arsenide minerals ruthenarsenite and iridarsenite were first described from Papua New Guinea by Harris (1974), but were not found in our samples. However, two other PGE arsenides occur in the samples from the Aikora River area.

Large lamellae of Pt–Fe alloy intergrown with osmium contain many tabular, partly oriented inclusions up to 25 μm long (Fig. 9c). The small size of the grains did not allow determination of the optical properties. Electron-microprobe analyses show that the grains mainly consist of Rh (55.4 wt.%) and As (31.2 wt.%); they contain up to 2.3 wt% Ir, 4.1% Pt, 1.0% Pd, 0.2% Ru and 0.4% Sb, and also 6.7% Ni and 0.7% Fe (Table 5, no. 5/79). The formula was calculated as (Rh$_{5.24}$Pt$_{10.20}$Ir$_{1.13}$Pd$_{0.09}$Ru$_{0.02}$Ni$_{1.11}$Fe$_{0.12}$)$_{26.90}$ (As$_{0.66}$Sb$_{0.03}$)$_{24.05}$ or (Rh,Ni)$_2$As$_6$.

Other grains of Pt–Fe alloy contain very small (<10 μm) needles, which are dark in back-scattered images (BEI). An exact analysis of these needles was not possible because of their small size. The main elements are Rh (58.6 wt.%) and As (31.0 wt.%). The other elements amount to 0.2% Ru, 1.2% Pd, 0.4% Ir, 4.4% Pt, 0.4% Fe, 4.2% Cu, 4.2% Ni and 0.3% Sb. The Pt, Ir, Fe and Cu reported here could be due to contamination from the host mineral, the Pt–Fe alloy. On the other hand, the contents of Ru and, above all, Pd are significantly higher, and Os is absent in the needles, in contrast to the surrounding mineral phase. The Ni content (4.2 wt.%) of the inclusions also is higher than in the host mineral (1.2 wt.%). The following formula can thus be proposed: (Rh$_{1.54}$ Pd$_{0.06}$Pt$_{0.03}$Ni$_{0.19}$Fe$_{0.02}$Cu$_{0.02}$)$_{21.86}$ (As$_{1.12$} Sb$_{0.01}$)$_{21.13}$ or, ideally, (Rh,Ni)$_2$As$_6$ (Table 5, no. 10/48).

A similar phase but with a higher content of Ni was described by Hagen et al. (1990) from PGM placer deposits in Burma and by Corrivaux & Laflamme (1990) from the Thetford Mines area, Quebec.

In conclusion, rhodium arsenides possibly also occur in the samples from Papua New Guinea besides the Ru and Ir arsenides described by Harris (1974). It is remarkable that both undefined phases contain up to 1.2 wt.% Pd, whereas all other analyzed PGM from the Aikora River area are nearly free of Pd.

**Undefined Ru–Os–Ir oxide**

The existence of natural PGE oxides has been a subject of controversy for a long time. The possibility of measuring the concentration of light elements increased with the development of a new type of analyzing crystals for the electron microprobe. Cabri et al. (1981) assumed the occurrence of Pt oxide in samples from Ethiopia, as did Nixon et al. (1990) in material from Tulameen, British Columbia. However, the first quantitative electron-microprobe analyses of PGE oxides were carried out by Weiser (1991) on samples from Burma and Colombia. In the meantime, PGE oxides were reported from numerous different localities around the world (e.g., Augé & Legendre 1994, Jedwab 1995, Cabri et al. 1996, Garuti et al. 1997, Krštić & Tarkian 1997).

One grain of osmium from the Aikora area contains an unknown mineral in fractures and at the grain boundary (Fig. 9d). The phase looks grey with a brownish tinge, is weakly anisotropic, and shows undulatory extinction under reflected light in oil immersion. Electron-microprobe analyses gave the composition of an Ru–Os–Ir oxide with a low sum: 91.73 and 82.75 wt.%. A wavelength-dispersion scan in both grains clearly showed the presence of oxygen. The calculated formula corresponds to (Ru,Os,In)O$_2$ (Table 5, no. 6/149) for the grain within the Os–Ir–Ru alloy, and (Ru,Os,In)O$_2$ (Table 5, no. 6/153) for the grain at the boundary of the alloy. Garuti et al. (1997) described a similar, but much more Fe-rich phase as inclusions in chromitites from Urals, whereas Krštić & Tarkian (1997) found nearly pure Ru oxide in placers from the Veluče ophiolite complex, Yugoslavia.

**Discussion and Conclusions**

The PGM concentrates from the Aikora River area consist almost exclusively of grains of Os–Ir–Ru alloys.
and Pt–Fe alloy as primary phases. The other observed minerals, sulfides, arsenides, sulfarsenides, tellurides and undefined phases of platinum-group elements, are rare and either occur as inclusions or, more commonly, as alteration products in fractures or as a rim on the alloys. This relatively monotonous composition is somewhat in contrast to other PGM placer deposits, e.g., from British Columbia (Raicevic & Cabri 1976, Nixon et al. 1990), Burma (Hagen et al. 1990), Colombia (Cabri et al. 1996) or the Urals, Russia (Cabri et al. 1996), which show a far more varied array of minerals.

Most single grains in the system Os–Ir–Ru show only limited variation in their compositions. They mostly plot close to the “triple point” of the triangular diagram, overlapping the boundary between osmium and rutheniridomine. The coexistence of various minerals of the system Os–Ir–Ru and their crystallographically oriented intergrowth in many composite grains prove a high-temperature origin. The compositions of inclusions of osmium, ruthenium or iridium in the different types of Os–Ir–Ru alloy or in Pt–Fe alloy are similar to the compositions of the single grains. This statement applies both for the major and trace elements. Whereas Pd is absent in both single grains and in inclusions of Os–Ir–Ru alloys, Rh and Pt are invariably present in both types in the same proportions. This finding contrasts with observations of Krstić & Tarkian (1997), who found higher contents of Pt in Os–Ir–Ru alloys coexisting with Pt–Fe alloy than in single grains. All compositions that plot in the miscibility gap of the system Os–Ir–Ru are characterized by high contents of platinum. These grains probably crystallized at higher temperatures.

No significant differences were observed between the compositions of discrete grains and inclusions of Pt–Fe alloy in Os–Ir–Ru alloys. They all carry traces of Rh, Ru and Os and contain significant amounts of Ir. The total (Fe,Cu,Ni) contents lie between 25 and 35 at.% with the peak of the frequency at 30 at.% for both habits of Pt–Fe alloy. The higher maxima compared with other PGM placer deposits worldwide, e.g., Cyprus (Constantinides et al. 1980), Oregon (Stockman & Hlava 1984) or Shetland (Tarkian & Prichard 1987), and from placer deposits related to ophiolites, e.g., in Tasmania (Ford 1981) and Burma (Hagen et al. 1990). The similarity in the mineral assemblages described leads to the inference that the PGM of the placer deposits of the Aikora River area originate from primary deposits in the ophiolites of the Papuan Ultramafic Belt, whose nature and location are still unknown.
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