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ABSTRACT

Isochemical pressure–temperature phase-diagram sections portray the theoretical equilibrium distribution of mineral assemblages and mineral compositions for a given bulk-composition. To utilize such isochemical sections to derive the P–T conditions of garnet growth requires knowledge of the effective bulk-composition, defined as the rock composition available to the reacting assemblage of phases. Isochemical sections for a Canadian Cordillera garnet – muscovite – biotite – kyanite – plagioclase – quartz – rutile – ilmenite – graphite pelite from the kyanite zone at Mica Dam, British Columbia, were calculated in the system MnO–Na2O–CaO–K2O–FeO–MgO–Al2O3–SiO2–H2O–TiO2 using bulk compositions derived by X-ray-fluorescence (XRF) analysis and quantitative X-ray mapping with an electron-probe micro-analyzer (EPMA). Isopleths of measured compositions of the core of the garnet are plotted on isochemical sections to estimate P–T conditions of core growth. Comparison of measured compositions of the core with those predicted from the phase-diagram sections for the XRF-derived composition indicates that initial growth of garnet in this sample occurred at approximately 7.7 kilobars and 555°C, and multi-equilibrium garnet-rim thermobarometry yields 7.2 kilobars, 680°C. Therefore, there is no discernable difference in pressure of formation between core and rim. Recent literature suggests that XRF-derived compositions are not suitable for estimating effective bulk-compositions, but we stress that they may be appropriate in certain situations. Coarse-grained samples require X-ray mapping over prohibitively large areas to obtain effective bulk-compositions. In this study, we dealt with a medium- to coarse-grained pelite. XRF-derived compositions are likely to be suitable in this situation. The benefits of EPMA compositions include the ability to exclude specific phases from the composition, or even exclude portions of compositionally zoned phases to model the evolution of the effective bulk-composition during growth of zoned phases. Garnet is the only phase in this sample that exhibits significant chemical zonation. Quantitative X-ray maps were used to calculate an integrated composition of garnet that was subsequently subtracted from the XRF-derived bulk-composition to model the effect of bulk-rock fractionation during garnet growth. An isochemical section calculated with this fractionated composition predicts that garnet will react into the assemblage at P–T conditions similar to that calculated by garnet-rim thermobarometry, suggesting that the method used to model fractionation is appropriate.
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compositions dérivées d’une analyse XRF sont plus aptes à être appropriées dans ce cas. Parmi les bénéfices des compositions globales calculées à partir d’analyses ponctuelles des minéraux, il y a l’abilité d’exclure certaines phases de la composition globale calculée, ou bien des portions zonées de phases, afin d’évaluer l’évolution de la composition globale effective pendant la croissance des phases zonées. Seul le grenat montre une zonation importante dans cet échantillon. Des cartes quantitatives de répartition des rayons X ont été utilisées pour calculer la composition intégrée du grenat, laquelle a ensuite été soustraite de la composition XRF afin d’évaluer le fractionnement de la roche globale au cours de la croissance du grenat. Une section isochimique calculée avec cette composition fractionnée prédit que le grenat paraitra dans cet assemblage à des conditions P–T semblables à celles préconisées par thermobarométrie effectuée avec la bordure du grenat, ce qui fait penser que la méthode utilisée pour simuler le fractionnement est appropriée.

(Traduit par la Rédaction)

Mots-clés: équilibre de phases, composition globale, grenat, section isochimique, diagramme de phases, Mica Creek, Colombie-Britannique.

INTRODUCTION

Understanding the processes that occur in cores of orogenic belts requires knowledge of the changes in pressure and temperature that a rock experiences during orogeny. Documenting such changes in pressure and temperature is possible by combining an understanding of the P–T stability field of observed assemblages of minerals with the application of thermobarometric methods to coexisting phases presumed to have been in equilibrium during some portion of the metamorphic recrystallization history. However, the determination of changes in pressure and temperature during metamorphism in a quantitative manner is a daunting task (Ghent et al. 1989). The process usually involves interpretation of reaction textures and an inference concerning the changes in pressure and temperature needed to produce such reaction textures. This approach reduces the estimated change in pressure and temperature to a qualitative determination only. Furthermore, the use of reaction textures to infer P–T paths should be done with extreme care, as different P–T paths can lead to the same observed texture, and hence could result in inaccurate conclusions regarding the nature of the P–T path (see Kelsey et al. 2003). Direct quantitative calculation of garnet-growth P–T paths using inclusion-suite thermobarometry (St-Onge 1987) and the Gibbs Method (Spear & Selverstone 1983) is possible, but samples suitable for application of these techniques are quite rare in our experience.

An alternative method for constraining P–T paths of garnet growth was outlined by Vance & Mahar (1998). They utilized isochemical sections and compositions of garnet crystal cores to constrain the P–T conditions of growth of the garnet cores. [The phrase isochemical sections used here is shorthand for isochemical P–T phase-diagram sections. These types of diagrams have traditionally been called pseudosections. The term pseudosection implies “false section”. However, these diagrams are true sections through the phase diagram at constant chemical composition, and we therefore prefer not to use the term pseudosection in this context.] This technique has yielded geologically sensible results in several studies (e.g., Stowell & Tinkham 2003, Tinkham 2002). The technique involves measuring the composition of the initial growth core (chemical core) of garnet, casting that composition in terms of the compositional variables used in constructing the phase-diagram section, and plotting the corresponding compositional isopleths on the section. The P–T point where all the isopleths come the closest to intersecting is taken as the best estimate of the P–T conditions of growth of the core. In practice, the uncertainty in the P–T location of each isopleth is plotted, and the region where the uncertainties all overlap is taken as the P–T estimate.

In this paper, we constrain the P–T conditions of garnet growth in a metapelite from the Mica Creek area of British Columbia by combining isochemical P–T phase diagram sections and techniques of multi-equilibrium thermobarometry (Powell & Holland 1994). The P–T conditions of growth of a garnet core were estimated using a phase-diagram section constructed for a bulk-rock composition obtained from results of an XRF analysis of the rock. A second phase-diagram section was constructed to calculate the P–T stability of the observed (peak) assemblage from the same sample, and to illustrate the effect of growth-induced fractionation on assemblage stability. The rock composition used in the construction of this section was obtained by removing an integrated composition of the garnet, calculated from quantitative X-ray maps, from the XRF-derived bulk-rock composition. The phase equilibria of pelitic rocks in the MnNCKFMAHT system (MnO–Na2O–CaO–K2O–FeO–MgO–Al2O3–SiO2–H2O–TiO2) are illustrated with these isochemical sections, and problems associated with the method of determining effective bulk-composition are discussed.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Mica Creek area has been the subject of several papers on the petrology, structure, stratigraphy, stable isotope geochemistry, geochronology, and geothermobarometry of the metamorphic rocks. For references, see Crowley et al. (2000), Ghent & Gordon (2000), Ghent & Valley (1998), and Digel et al. (1998). The area is
underlain by pelite, semipelite, amphibolite and marble of the Late Precambrian Mica Creek assemblage, the lower division of the Horsethief Creek Group (Raeside & Simony 1983). The metamorphic grade ranges from the upper garnet zone to K-feldspar – quartz – sillimanite zone in metapelites (Fig. 1). The coexistence of staurolite + kyanite, the presence of a broad staurolite-absent kyanite zone, and the occurrence of clinopyroxene + garnet + quartz metabasic rocks in upper amphibolite facies units suggest pressures higher than those typical of Barrovian metamorphism (e.g., Spear 1993).

PETROGRAPHY AND MINERAL COMPOSITIONS

Rock and mineral descriptions

Sample MC–01–97 is a medium- to coarse-grained Grt – Ms – Bt – Ky – Pl – Qtz schist with minor apatite, rutile, graphite, and rare ilmenite and titanite (phase abbreviations follow those of Kretz 1983). Quartz + plagioclase leucosomes up to 1 cm in width are locally present. Schistosity is defined by the alignment of biotite and muscovite. Both muscovite and biotite are locally oblique to the predominant schistosity. Anhedral to euhedral porphyroblasts of garnet range from 1.5 to 8 mm in diameter, and the schistosity is typically deflected around the larger porphyroblasts. The garnet contains abundant inclusions of quartz, minor biotite, muscovite, and rutile, and rare inclusions of titanite and apatite. The pattern of inclusions locally appears to define an internal foliation oblique to matrix schistosity. Kyanite porphyroblasts are elongate and are very weakly aligned within the schistosity.

Rutile predominantly occurs as inclusions within garnet, and rare ilmenite is present in the matrix. Apatite predominantly occurs as a matrix phase, but very rare apatite occurs as inclusions within garnet.

Mineral compositions

Electron-probe micro-analysis studies were performed on the JEOL–8200 instrument at the University
of Calgary. Operating conditions were 15 kV accelerating voltage, 15–20 nA beam current, 1–10 μm beam diameter, and peak-count times of 20–30 seconds. For corrections to the X-ray count data, we utilized a ZAF algorithm provided with the JEOL software. Quantitative X-ray maps were collected at an accelerating voltage of 15 kV, a beam current of 80 nA, a beam diameter of 2 μm, and peak-count times of 180 ms per pixel. X-ray maps were processed with the program XRMapAnal (Tinkham & Ghent, in press) to calculate mineral modes and compositions from the maps.

Garnet crystals with a diameter less than approximately 3 mm are typically homogeneous in the interior, with Mn enrichment along the edge. Larger crystals are chemically zoned (Figs. 2, 3), with a spessartine component decreasing from core to rim, and pyrope and almandine content increasing from core to rim. Zoning in the grossular component is weak, with a slight decrease from core to rim. Garnet zoning is interpreted to result from primary growth. Most crystals show a slight increase in the spessartine component and decrease in the pyrope component at their margin, which is interpreted to represent late-stage diffusional modification of the growth zoning and possibly minor resorption.

Muscovite and biotite lack chemical zoning. Representative compositions are given in Table 1. Plagioclase is relatively homogeneous, but locally exhibits a minor (up to 2%) increase in the albite component and decrease in the anorthite component at the rim of crystals (Fig. 4). An average composition of the plagioclase is given in Table 1.

**BULK-ROCK COMPOSITIONS**

Isochemical sections can be used to constrain the P–T conditions of metamorphism and P–T trajectories by

---

**TABLE 1. MINERAL COMPOSITIONS DERIVED FROM ELECTRON-PROBE MICRO-ANALYTICAL DATA FOR SAMPLE MC–01–97**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bt</th>
<th>Ms</th>
<th>Pl</th>
<th>Grt rim</th>
<th>Grt core</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>35.81</td>
<td>46.31</td>
<td>62.95</td>
<td>37.70</td>
<td>37.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>20.32</td>
<td>20.90</td>
<td>21.70</td>
<td>21.23</td>
<td>21.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>3.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>16.96</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>11.54</td>
<td>11.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>8.62</td>
<td>9.49</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaO</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**End-member proportions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pth</th>
<th>0.073</th>
<th>ab</th>
<th>0.089</th>
<th>alm</th>
<th>0.070</th>
<th>0.070</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>alm</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>pt</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>ars</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ksp</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>ksp</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>grt</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cpx</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>cpx</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>sps</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ol</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>ol</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>ol</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.127</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 2.** Garnet from sample MC–01–97. (a) Photomicrograph of garnet porphyroblast. (b) MnKα X-ray map. A–A’ shows position of garnet linescan in Figure 3.
FIG. 3. Electron-probe micro-analyzer linescan across garnet shown in Figure 2. Symbols of components: Alm: almandine, Prp: pyrope, Grs: grossular, Sps: spessartine.

Table 1. Rock Compositions for Sample Mc-01-97 Used in the Construction of Isochemical Sections of the P–T Phase Diagram

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>XRF-derived bulk rock</th>
<th>Modified, bulk rock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>66.02</td>
<td>67.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>15.05</td>
<td>15.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>6.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Mn/Fe + Mg</th>
<th>Mn/Fe + Mg + Mn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Oxides are given in molecular proportions, and are the values input into the program PHAAS-1.3. The modified bulk-rock composition was calculated by removing an integrated composition of garnet obtained from quantitative X-ray mapping from the bulk-rock XRF-derived composition. The component P₂O₅ was not used for phase-diagram constructions.
were calculated with program XRMMapAnal and are shown in Figure 5. Removal of garnet from the XRF-derived composition required an estimate of the representative mode of garnet in the sample, and an estimate of an integrated composition of the garnet. The mode of garnet was estimated from the entire thin section used for X-ray mapping and the surfaces of the rock chips crushed for the XRF analysis, resulting in an estimate of 9.8%. An integrated composition of the garnet was obtained from the quantitative X-ray maps by first removing selective portions of the garnet, taking into account the geometry of Mn zoning in the garnet, to yield a region of garnet extending from the chemical core to the rim of the garnet, shown in Figure 5f. The compositions of the remaining garnet pixels shown in Figure 5f were integrated to obtain a composition that approximates the entire integrated composition of the garnet. This composition, combined with the total mode of garnet, was subtracted from the XRF composition. The resulting composition (Table 2) was used to construct the isochemical section used to constrain P–T conditions at the garnet rim.

### Isochemical Pressure–Temperature Sections of the Phase Diagram

Isochemical sections were constructed in the 10-component system MnO–Na₂O–CaO–K₂O–FeO–MgO–Al₂O₃–SiO₂–H₂O–TiO₂ using the program THERMOCALC (Powell & Holland 1988) and an updated version of the Holland & Powell (1998) thermodynamic dataset (th.pd datafile created Feb. 13, 2002). Activity models used in the construction of isochemical sections follow those of Tinkham et al. (2001), except where noted in the Appendix. A pure H₂O fluid and quartz are considered in excess in all calculations. The pure H₂O fluid used in calculations is likely only an approximation to the actual composition of fluid. The presence of graphite in the sample indicates that in reality the fluid is a C–O–H fluid, and likely buffered to a high activity of H₂O (Connolly & Cesare 1993). The activity of H₂O in a graphite-saturated C–O–H fluid at 7 kilobars and 650°C (conditions appropriate for this sample) is 0.95 (calculated with program Perple_X; Connolly & Petrini 2002). At these conditions, assemblage boundaries generally shift by less than 3–8°C. Isochemical sections were constructed in the interval 3–12 kilobars, 400–700°C to cover the P–T conditions of interest in the Mica Creek region. As discussed in Tinkham et al. (2001), the system MnO–Na₂O–CaO–K₂O–FeO–MgO–Al₂O₃–SiO₂–H₂O is considered the minimal one required to adequately model the composition of natural garnet in typical metapelitic rocks. White et al. (2000) presented isochemical and T–X sections and activity models for use with the Holland & Powell (1998) thermodynamic dataset with the components TiO₂ and Fe₂O₃ added to the base chemical system K₂O–FeO–MgO–Al₂O₃–SiO₂–H₂O. However, without an acceptable method for determining the oxidation state of Fe in Mica Creek pelites at the time of garnet growth, we decided not to include the Fe₂O₃ component, but did include TiO₂ in an attempt to model rutile and ilmenite stability. Titanium is a common component of biotite in metapelites, and Ti was therefore introduced into the structure of biotite using the site-occupancy scheme and interaction parameters of White et al. (2000).

An isochemical section for the XRF-derived bulk-rock composition is shown in Figure 6a. The stability field of garnet, marked by the thick blue line in Figure 6a, indicates that the lower-temperature stability of garnet is 475°C at 12 kilobars, and 530°C at 2.25 kilobars. The lowest-pressure stability in the section is 2.25 kilobars at 530°C. Paragonite stability is predicted at lower temperatures and higher pressures, whereas plagioclase stability is predicted at higher temperatures and lower pressures. There is a zone over which neither paragonite nor plagioclase is stable, and bulk-rock Na and Ca are incorporated into muscovite ≥ garnet at these conditions. This situation is a result of the rock having low Na and Ca contents. Chloritoid is restricted to low temperatures and higher pressures, whereas cordierite is restricted to lower pressures and higher temperatures. Staurolite stability is predicted to extend from approximately 3 kilobars to >12 kilobars, and 515°C to 650°C. Aluminum silicate is not predicted to be stable at the triple point (3.8 kilobars, 505°C). Rutile stability is restricted to pressures above 8 kilobars at temperatures above 530°C, but extends to less than 2 kilobars at temperatures below 460°C. Ilmenite stability is restricted to pressures less than 9 kilobars at temperatures above 530°C, but extends to pressures below 2 kilobars at temperatures greater than approximately 460°C.

An isochemical section for the garnet-subtracted XRF-derived bulk-composition is shown in Figure 7a. This composition was derived, as discussed above, by subtracting an integrated composition of the garnet, obtained from X-ray maps, from the bulk-rock XRF-derived composition. This section was constructed to illustrate the effect of garnet fractionation on predicted stability and composition of the garnet; therefore, only the garnet-stable portion of the section is shown. The garnet-in-line for the XRF-derived composition is indicated to illustrate how far this line shifts up temperature and pressure owing to garnet fractionation.

### P–T Conditions of Garnet Growth

The P–T conditions of growth of the garnet core were derived from the XRF-derived isochemical section by plotting measured compositional isopleths for the garnet core on the section (Fig. 6b). Uncertainties concerning the location of these isopleths (as calculated with the program THERMOCALC) are indicated by yellow shading. The section was constructed with the linearly independent components almandine (Alm), pyro
Ilm + Qtz + H$_2$O. Both chloritoid and ilmenite are not lap occurs in the assemblage Grt + Ctd + Chl + Ms +

It is possible that the section is not modeling the stability of Ti phases accurately. The garnet-subtracted XRF-derived composition section shown in Figure 7a shows the predicted P–T stability of the peak assemblage observed in the sample (Grt + Ms +Bi + Pl + Ky + Qtz + Ilm). Comparison of Figure 6a and 7a indicates that the upper-pressure stability limit of this assemblage is decreased a minor amount owing to changes in effective bulk-rock composition induced by garnet growth. The garnet-subtracted XRF-derived composition section shown in Figure 7a shows the predicted P–T stability of the peak assemblage observed in the sample (Grt + Ms +Bi + Pl + Ky + Qtz + Ilm). Comparison of Figure 6a and 7a indicates that the upper-pressure stability limit of this assemblage is decreased a minor amount owing to changes in effective bulk-rock composition induced by garnet growth. Multi-equilibria garnet-rim thermobarometry (method described in Powell & Holland 1994) was applied to this sample using garnet-edge and matrix-phase compositions (Table 1) and the program THERMOCALC in Average-PT mode. The resulting P–T condition is 7.2 (±0.7) kilobars and 680 (±47)°C, with uncertainty at the 1σ level. We consider the overlap of the uncertainty ellipse generated by garnet-rim thermobarometry with the field of the peak mineral-assemblage to represent the best estimate of garnet-rim (interpreted as peak) metamorphic P–T conditions. This field is shown in red on Figure 7b. There is no statistically significant difference in pressure between growth conditions for the core and rim, suggesting an isobaric heating path during garnet growth. Technically, this represents a garnet-growth vector, and not a P–T path. However, we see no evidence in the chemical zoning of garnet to suggest any significant changes in pressure during garnet growth, and therefore believe that an isobaric heating path is the best interpretation for garnet growth in this case.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

Isochemical P–T phase-diagram sections provide important information on mineral-assemblage stability in P–T space. There are three main factors that lead to uncertainty in the application of these diagrams to natural samples, namely uncertainty in thermodynamic data, uncertainty in activity–composition relationships, and uncertainty in effective bulk-compositions. The uncertainty in effective bulk-composition is quite problematic, and probably the most overlooked in recent studies. It is clear that the effective bulk-composition for a sample changes with growth of zoned phases and with a change in composition of the infiltrating fluid. Perhaps one of the largest changes in effective composition occurs during the process of partial melting, where the melt is effectively removed from the remaining residue (White & Powell 2002). This change in composition during partial melting commonly is neglected because there is no definitive way to determine exactly how much melt has been removed from the sample, and just when, in the reaction history of the residue, this melt was removed. However, changes in bulk-rock composition due to growth of zoned phases can at least be considered in a semiquantitative manner, provided the zoned phases in question are still present, and their chemical zoning is retained. We say that they can be modeled semiquantitatively only, because there is still the uncertainty on how much the rock’s composition changed owing to fluid flux during the reaction history.

A further problem in determining the effective bulk-composition involves the uncertainty on how to deal with samples that are compositionally layered at the scale of a thin section. In such a case, it is clear that the effective bulk-composition is not constant across the section, or there would not be any layering. In such cases, quantitative X-ray mapping will be required to isolate individual layers and model the layers on an individual basis. In addition, for samples containing porphyroblasts that are not chemically zoned (such as kyanite for sample MC–01–97), it is not clear if the interiors of these crystal should contribute to the bulk-rock composition available to the reacting assemblage of phases if diffusion of elements through the crystal structure is slow relative to the growth or consumption rate of matrix phases. This problem is currently being investigated.

Two fundamental methods used for determining effective bulk-compositions in samples with chemically zoned phases include X-ray-fluorescence analysis and quantitative X-ray mapping. As discussed above, the technique used should be determined by the goal of the modeling and the nature of the sample. Application of quantitative X-ray mapping to the calculation of changes in effective bulk-composition due to growth of chemically zoned garnet is ideally suited to samples containing small crystals of garnet, such that a sufficient amount of time can be mapped in a reasonable amount of time to obtain a representative composition (see Marmo et al. 2002). In this study, the size of the crystals precluded the use of quantitative X-ray mapping for determining a bulk-rock composition appropriate for initial nucleation and growth of garnet. In this case, the best method with

---

**FIG. 5.** Maps of oxide (molar proportions) produced from X-ray maps of MC–01–97. (a) Al$_2$O$_3$, (b) CaO, (c) FeO, (d) MgO, (e) MnO, (f) MnO with garnet selectively removed. Only the remaining visible garnet pixels in (f) were used to obtain the integrated composition of garnet to remove from the bulk-rock XRF-derived composition. The large grain of garnet is the same as that shown in Figure 2.
PHASE-DIAGRAM SECTIONS AND P–T CONDITIONS OF GARNET GROWTH

Fig. 6. Isochemical sections constructed for MC–01–97 with the XRF-derived bulk-composition, program THERMOCALC (Powell & Holland 1988, v. 3.1), and the Feb. 13, 2002 update of the Holland & Powell (1998) thermodynamic dataset. (a) Section with stable assemblage fields indicated and bulk composition indicated. Fields colored by assemblage variance. Thick blue line separates the P–T space in which garnet is not stable from that in which garnet is stable. Quartz and H₂O were assumed to be in excess in the calculations. (b) Section with measured isopleths in the garnet core for the independent members almandine (Alm), pyrope (Pp), and grossular (Gr) shown. Uncertainty in location of isopleths (calculated by THERMOCALC) are indicated by yellow bands. The overlap of these uncertainty bands (indicated by red polygon) is taken as the best estimate for P–T conditions of growth of the garnet core (approximately 7.7 kbar, 555 °C).
which to obtain a bulk composition appropriate for the modeling of initial growth of garnet is X-ray-fluorescence analysis. However, obtaining a composition appropriate for modeling peak metamorphism required removal of chemically zoned garnet. Quantitative X-ray mapping provided a means to obtain an integrated composition of garnet to subtract from the bulk-rock composition, in order to model peak metamorphism with isochemical sections. There is a potential problem in determining exactly how much garnet should be removed from the bulk-rock composition to model the peak assemblage. Should all of the garnet be removed, or should some of the garnet rim remain in the bulk-rock composition? If one decides that some of the rim material should remain, there are technical problems in processing the maps that would allow one to select the appropriate analytical points on a map to remain in the rock, and a decision would have to be made as to just how much of the rim should remain (a rim 2, 5, or even 100 μm wide?). However, it should be noted that along an assemblage field-boundary, the mode and compositions of phases are contiguous across the assemblage boundary. Therefore, removing all of the garnet from the bulk-rock composition is equivalent to assuming there is an infinitesimally small amount of garnet in equilibrium with the matrix assemblage, and that is the assumption made in this study.

This combined technique allows estimation of P–T conditions of growth of both garnet core and garnet rim, and hence a P–T vector for garnet growth. Using the combined technique, garnet-growth metamorphism at Mica Dam was constrained to occur during an isobaric heating event. The lack of significant chemical zoning in the grossular component of garnet indicates there was no significant pressure change during garnet growth, and we therefore take the derived P–T vector as the best
estimate for the P–T path followed during garnet-growth metamorphism at Mica Dam.
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FIG. 7. Isochemical sections constructed for MC–01–97 using the XRF-derived bulk-composition with garnet subtracted, program THERMOCALC (Powell & Holland 1988, v. 3.1), and the Feb. 13, 2002 update of the Holland & Powell (1998) thermodynamic dataset. (a) Section showing only the garnet-stable assemblages and bulk composition. The garnet-in line for the XRF-derived composition used in Figure 6 and the garnet-in line for this bulk composition are shown to indicate the effect of garnet fractionation on garnet stability. The field corresponding to the observed assemblage (interpreted as the peak assemblage) is highlighted in red. (b) Section shown in (a) with the estimated P–T path of garnet growth, shown by large arrow. All garnet-stable fields are shown in a single blue color for simplicity. The result of garnet-rim thermobarometry is indicated, with an uncertainty ellipse (calculated by THERMOCALC) shown in yellow. The overlap of the peak-assemblage field and the uncertainty ellipse is taken as the best estimate for P–T conditions of growth of the garnet rim and is shown in red. The P–T estimate for the garnet core from Figure 6b is indicated by the red polygon centered at 555°C.
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APPENDIX: ACTIVITY–COMPOSITION RELATIONSHIPS

Activity models used for the MnNCKFMASH phase diagram sections follow those of Tinkham et al. (2001), with the following exceptions. The white mica models follow those presented by Coggon & Holland (1998). Muscovite was allowed to mix in the NCKASH system, whereas paragonite and margarite mixing was restricted to the system NCKASH. The ideal activities of the phase components are given in Holland & Powell (1998) thermodynamic dataset for clarity. Phase-component formulas are given in Holland & Powell (1998) and cited references.

**Biotite:**

\[ K^m [Fe,Mg,Mn,Al,Ti] \]
\[ \text{M}^m [Fe,Mg,Mn,Al] \text{T}^m [Si,Al] \text{Si}_2\text{O}_{10} (\text{OH})_2 \]

Biotite is modeled in the system MnKFMASH with the six independent components, phlogopite (Phl), annite (Ann), eastonite (East), Mn-biotite (Mbi), Ti-biotite (Tibi), and “ordered biotite” (Obi), an octahedrally ordered Fe–Mg component (Powell & Holland 1999, White et al. 2000). Mixing of the Tibi component follows the site-occupancy scheme used in White et al. (2000). Biotite mixing is described by the following five variables:

\[ x_1 = [\text{Fe}/(\text{Fe} + \text{Mg})]^{\text{M}^m}, x_2 = [\text{Fe}/(\text{Fe} + \text{Mg})]^{\text{M}^2}, y = x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Al}, mn = x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Mn}, \eta = x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Ti} \]

Site fractions in terms of compositional variables are:

\[ x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Al} = y; x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Fe} = (1 - y - mn - \eta) x_1; x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Mn} = (1 - y - mn - \eta) x_1 - (1 - x_1); x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Mn} = mn; x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Al} = \eta/2; x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Ti} = (1 - y) / 2; x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Si} = (1 - y) / 2. \]

The ideal activities of the phase components are expressed as:

\[ a_{\text{ideal}}^{\text{Phl}} = 4 x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Mg} (x^{\text{M}^2}_\text{Mg})^2 x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Al} x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Si} \]
\[ a_{\text{ideal}}^{\text{Ann}} = 4 x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Fe} (x^{\text{M}^2}_\text{Fe})^2 x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Al} x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Si} \]
\[ a_{\text{ideal}}^{\text{East}} = x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Al} (x^{\text{M}^2}_\text{Mg})^2 (x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Al})^2 \]
\[ a_{\text{ideal}}^{\text{Obi}} = 4 x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Fe} (x^{\text{M}^2}_\text{Fe})^2 x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Al} x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Si} \]
\[ a_{\text{ideal}}^{\text{Mbi}} = 4 x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Mn} (x^{\text{M}^2}_\text{Mn})^2 x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Al} x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Si} \]
\[ a_{\text{ideal}}^{\text{Tibi}} = 16 x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Ti} (x^{\text{M}^2}_\text{Ti})^2 x^{\text{M}^2}_\text{Mg} x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Al} x^{\text{M}^1}_\text{Si} \]

The proportions of each component in the biotite phase are defined as:

\[ P_{\text{Phl}} = (1 - x_1) (1 - y - mn - \eta) \]
\[ P_{\text{Ann}} = (x_1) (1 - mn - \eta / 2) \]
\[ P_{\text{East}} = y \]
\[ P_{\text{Obi}} = (1 - y - mn - \eta) x_1 - (1 - mn - \eta / 2) x_2 \]
\[ P_{\text{Mbi}} = mn \]
\[ P_{\text{Tibi}} = \eta \]

Non-ideality is expressed using symmetric formalism (Powell & Holland 1993), with interaction parameters from Powell & Holland (1999) for KFMASH biotite and the Tibi interaction parameters of White et al. (2000). All Mbi parameters are set to zero. The interaction parameters, in kJ/mol end-member, are: \( W_{\text{Phl}} = \text{Ann} = 9; W_{\text{Phl}} = \text{East} = 10; W_{\text{Phl}} = \text{Obi} = 3; W_{\text{Ann}} = \text{East} = -1; W_{\text{Ann}} = \text{Obi} = 6; W_{\text{East}} = \text{Obi} = 10; W_{\text{Phl}} = \text{Tibi} = -10; W_{\text{Ann}} - \text{Tibi} = 12; W_{\text{Obi}} - \text{Tibi} = 0; W_{\text{East}} - \text{Tibi} = 0. \)
Staurolite: \([\text{Fe,Mg,Mn}]_4 \text{Al}_{18} \text{Si}_{7.5} \text{O}_{48} \text{H}_4\)

Staurolite is modeled in MnFMASH with the three independent components, Fe-staurolite (Fst), Mg-Staurolite (Mst), and Mn-Staurolite (Mnst). Activities are expressed as:

\[
a_{\text{Mst}} = X^{4\text{Mg}}; a_{\text{Fst}} = X^{4\text{Fe}}, \text{ and } a_{\text{Mnst}} = X^{4\text{Mn}}.
\]

Non-ideal mixing was considered between the Fe and Mg phase-components, with the interaction parameter \(W_{\text{Fst-Mst}} = -8 \text{ kJ/mol}\).

Chloritoid: \([\text{Fe,Mg,Mn}] \text{Al}_2 \text{SiO}_5 (\text{OH})_2\)

Chloritoid is modeled in MnFMASH with the three independent phase-components Mg-chloritoid (Mctd), Fe-chloritoid (Fctd), and Mn-Chloritoid (Mnctd). Activities are expressed as:

\[
a_{\text{Mctd}} = X^{\text{Mg}}; a_{\text{Fctd}} = X^{\text{Fe}}, \text{ and } a_{\text{Mnctd}} = X^{\text{Mn}}.
\]

Non-ideal mixing was considered between the Fe and Mg components, with the interaction parameter \(W_{\text{Mctd-Fctd}} = 1 \text{ kJ/mol}\) (Holland & Powell 1998).