layer, it seemed desirable to investigate the stability of the Fe^{3+} complex further.

To 10 ml of a solution containing 2.02 mg Fe³⁺ in N HCl were added 5 ml 20% sodium acetate, bringing the pH to about 5, then 5 ml $\frac{1}{2}$ % *o*-phenanthroline and the mixture bulked to 25 ml and colorimetered at λ 508 nm at a succession of times after mixing. The initially yellow solution soon became orange and the absorption at λ 508 nm increased, owing to the formation of the ferrous complex; the observed absorbances are plotted in Hey, 1982, fig. 5, except for an additional observation after 72 hours, when the absorbance was 0.692, corresponding to the presence of 93 μ g Fe²⁺ (4 $\frac{1}{2}$ % of the initial ferric content).

Since the reaction involves reduction of Fe³⁺, something must be oxidized: if this were the phenanthroline or the acetate ion, the reaction would be monomolecular in Fe³⁺ (since both these species are present in large excess); the observation at times up to 200 min fit reasonably to such a reaction, with a half-life of 5 days for the ferric complex (the straight line in Hey, 1982, fig. 5), but the absorbance after 3 days is far less than this would predict. It seems probable that the ferric complex is capable of oxidizing impurities in the solution; in this case, the reaction must stop when all the impurity is oxidized, and an equation of the form $\varepsilon_t = \varepsilon_{\infty} - (\varepsilon_{\infty} - \varepsilon_0)e^{-kt}$ (where ε_t is the absorbance at time t) should fit the observations. Taking the initial absorbance as 0.162 (corresponding to an absorbance of 0.0021 for the ferric complex at $1 \mu g \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ Fe}^{3+}$ and $\lambda 508 \text{ nm}$), the final absorbance as 0.702 and k as 0.0035, we calculate the curve shown in Hey, 1982, fig. 5, which extrapolates to 0.702 at 72 hours.

It is clear that the absorbance of the Fe³⁺ complex at $\lambda 508$ nm is not negligible, amounting to about 1% of that of the Fe²⁺ complex; moreover, breakdown after mixing is fairly rapid, though evidently dependent on the nature and amount of oxidizable substances present, and in 1½ hours after mixing it may have doubled. Thus determination of Fe²⁺ by o-phenanthroline may be appreciably in error if much Fe³⁺ is present. Fortunately this does not apply to a determination of Fe²⁺ in silicates by the Riley and Williams (1958) technique: a direct test showed that the Fe³⁺ is complexed adequately by the fluoride present even with excess H₃BO₃ also present, and no Fe³⁺ colour develops.

REFERENCES

Hey, M. H. (1982) Mineral. Mag. 46, 111-18. Riley, J. P., and Williams, H. D. (1958) Mikrochim. Acta, 4, 516.

[Manuscript received 31 March 1981]

© Copyright the Mineralogical Society

Dept. of Mineralogy, British Museum (Natural History), Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD. M. H. HEY

MINERALOGICAL MAGAZINE, DECEMBER 1982, VOL. 46, PP. 513-14

International Mineralogical Association: Commission on New Minerals and Mineral Names

A SUMMARY of the principal decisions of the Commission appeared in *Mineral. Mag.* **43**, 1053-5 (1980), and authors are reminded that the names there recommended must be used in papers intended for *Mineral. Mag.* Dr M. Fleischer has drawn attention to a few errors and omissions in this report:

To the list of names recommended by the Commission, add:

Churchite, not weinschenkite Cryptomelane, not ebelmenite Greigite, not melnikovite Matildite, not schapbachite for low-temperature AgBiS₂, with schapbachite for the high-temperature polymorph

Psilomelane, not manganomelane for hard unidentified Mn oxides

Pyrolusite, not polianite

- Romanechite, not psilomelane for the specific Ba-Mn oxide
- Stibarsen, not allemontite for the compound [AsSb]

Titanite, not sphene

To the list of multiple names not considered or

not agreed about by the Commission, add (the first will, in each case, be used in *Mineral. Mag.*):

Blödite, bloedite, astrakhanite

Picromerite, schoenite, schönite

The Commission approved (14 to 1) a statement on the relative importance of the holotype material and its original description:

Given that type material of a species exists, and that the original description is not so defective that, in the opinion of the Commission, it bears no reasonable resemblance to the material, the species is to be defined by reference to the type material rather than to the original description.

This vote implies that errors in the original description can be corrected by reference to the type material, and cannot be held to discredit a species unless the original description was, in the words of J. D. Dana (1868) so grossly inaccurate that 'a recognition of the mineral by means of it is impossible'.

The Commission has approved the recommendations of the AIPEA Nomenclature Committee for the naming of regular interstratifications (*Am. Mineral.* 67, 394-8, 1982) and its application to alliettite, corrensite, kulkeite, rectorite, tarasovite, and tosudite.

The Commission has also approved the discrediting of the following species or names (in addition to those listed in *Mineral. Mag.* **38**, 103 and **43**, 1054):

- Badenite (Poni, 1900), is a mixture; a topotype specimen consists of rammelsbergite, nickeline, and bismuth; no other type material could be traced
- Epigenite (Sandberger, 1869) is also a mixture; three topotype specimens (all that could be traced) were examined

- Kanaekanite (Povarennykh and Dusmatov, 1970); this name was applied to material now shown not to have the ekanite structure and is therefore unsuitable
- Kurgantaite (Yarzhemski, 1952) is a mixture of strontian tyretskite and celestine (von Hodenberg and Kühn, Kali und Steinsalz, 8, 206, 1980)
- Lavrovite (Koksharov, 1867) is a chromian diopside (Neues Jahrb. Mineral., Monatsh. 189, 1979)
- Mossite (Brögger, 1897) is a tantalian ferrocolumbite (*Mineral. Mag.* **43**, 553, 1979)
- Strontiohilgardite (Braitsch, 1959) is a strontian tyretskite (von Hodenberg and Kühn, *loc. cit.*) Taprobanite (Gübelin, 1979) is taaffeite
- Tarasovite (Lazarenko and Korolev, 1970), an interstratification of mica and smectite, has insufficiently regular lavering to be accepted as a species (*Am. Mineral.* 67, 396, 1982)
- Wilkeite (Eakle and Rogers, 1914) is an unnecessary name for material intermediate between fluorellestadite and apatite

Previous reports on the Commission's findings appear in *Mineral. Mag.* **43**, 1053-5 (1980), **38**, 102-5 (1971), **36**, 1143-5 (1968), **36**, 131-6 (1967), and **33**, 260-3 (1962). Fuller reports, including the votes cast on each species, name, or proposal, appear at irregular intervals in *Bull. Soc. fr. Minéral. Cristallogr.* (now *Bull. Minéral.*), the latest (no. 53) in *Bull. Minéral.* **104**, 694-706 (1981).

A summary also appears in Zap. vses. min. obshch. 111, 335, together with a list of cyrillic and English spellings of minerals described in 1980-1 (Шаховит, named for Φ . H. Шахов, is, however, improperly transliterated as shahovite).

M. H. HEY

© Copyright the Mineralogical Society

Note. As from 1 January 1983, Dr J. A. Mandarino will be taking over as Chairman of the Commission, and authors of proposed new mineral names should send details to him at: Dept. of Mineralogy and Geology, Royal Ontario Museum, 100 Queen's Park, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 2C6.