The crystal structure of, and the bismuth-copper distribution in synthetic cuprobismuthite

By T. OZAWA* and W. NOWACKI

Abteilung für Kristallographie und Strukturlehre, Universität Bern**

(Received 9 April 1975)

Auszug

Die Kristallstruktur von synthetischem Cuprobismuthit wurde mittels dreidimensionaler Röntgendaten bestimmt. Die Raumgruppe ist C2/m mit a = 17,520(1), b = 3,926(3), c = 15,261(1) Å und $\beta = 100,18(1)^{\circ}$. Die sich aus der Kristallstrukturanalyse ergebende chemische Formel kann als (Cu_x, Bi_{1/2}(1-x)) (Cu_y, Bi_{1-y}) Cu_{xy}, Cu₂Bi₂S₆ [x = 0,32, y = 0,20], Z = 4 dargestellt werden. Least-squares-Verfeinerungen mit anisotropen Temperaturfaktoren ergaben einen *R*-Wert von 0,049 für die 997 beobachteten Reflexe (0,054 für alle 1109 Reflexe).

Alle Atome liegen in den Spiegelebenen der Raumgruppe bei y = 0 und $\frac{1}{2}$. Die Struktur entspricht wesentlich einer Schicht von Hodrushit, (Pb?, Ag?, Fe,Bi) Cu₄Bi₅S₁₁. Zwei Bi-Lagen mit oktaedrischer Koordination gegenüber Schwefel sind teilweise durch Kupfer besetzt. Diese Substitutionen können durch die Valenzregel befriedigend erklärt werden. Die vorliegenden Ergebnisse scheinen nicht darauf hinzuweisen, daß Cuprobismuthit und Emplektit dimorphe Formen sind.

Abstract

The crystal structure of synthetic cuprobismuthite has been determined using three-dimensional x-ray diffraction data. The space group is C2/mwith a = 17.520(1), b = 3.926(3), c = 15.261(1) Å and $\beta = 100.18(1)^{\circ}$. The chemical formula derived from the crystal structure analysis is represented as $(Cu_x, Bi_{\frac{1}{2}(1-x)})(Cu_y, Bi_{1-y})Cu_{xy}$, $Cu_2Bi_2S_6$ (x = 0.32, y = 0.20), Z = 4. Leastsquares refinement with anisotropic temperature factors resulted in a conven-

Contribution no. 269b. - Part 81b on sulfides and sulfosalts.

^{*} Present address: Mineralogisch-Kristallographisches Institut der Universität, D-34 Göttingen-Weende, V.-M.-Goldschmidtstraße 1.

^{**} Bern, Sahlistraße 6, Schweiz.

tional R index of 0.049 for the observed 997 reflections (0.054 for all the 1109 reflections).

All atoms he on mirror planes of the space group at y = 0 and $\frac{1}{2}$. The structure corresponds essentially to one layer in hodrushite, (Pb?, Ag?, Fe, Bi) Cu₄Bi₅S₁₁. Two bismuth sites in octahedral coordination with sulfur are partially substituted by copper atoms. These substitutions can be reasonably explained by the valence rule. The present results do not seem to indicate that cuprobismuthite is dimorphous with emplectite.

Introduction and brief summary of previous studies

The minerals of the system copper—bismuth—sulfur, which have been structurally investigated are wittichenite, $3 \text{Cu}_2\text{S} \cdot \text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$ (MATZAT, 1972; KOCMAN and NUFFIELD, 1973) and emplectite, $\text{Cu}_2\text{S} \cdot \text{Bi}_2\text{S}_3$ (HOFMANN, 1933; KUPČÍK, 1965; PORTHEINE and NOWACKI, 1975). Moreover, the validity of cuprobismuthite as a distinct species has been established by some workers.

HILLEBRAND (1884) reported cuprobismuthite from the Missouri mine, Halls Valley, Park County, Colorado as a probable new mineral and proposed the formula 3 (Cu, Ag)₂S · 4 Bi₂S₃. His data were listed in DANA's System of mineralogy (DANA, 1892). Later two mineralogists, SHORT (1931) and PALACHE (1940), much questioned the validity of this mineral based on studies of the specimen from the type locality. NUFFIELD (1952), however, reexamined the type specimen of cuprobismuthite which had been studied by PALACHE, by x-ray diffraction techniques. He found a crystal which gave a new diffraction pattern and confirmed that the crystal had a monoclinic cell with space group C2/m. Although his attempts to determine the exact composition of cuprobismuthite were not successful, he could synthesize a nearly homogeneous phase with proportion of Cu/Bi ~ 1 whose x-ray powder pattern was identical with that of the natural cuprobismuthite specimen. In addition, he could measure the density of his product. The value was almost identical with that of emplectite. After all, he mentioned that it was not unreasonable to suggest that the chemical formula was $Cu_2S \cdot Bi_2S_3$ and that cuprobismuthite was therefore dimorphous with emplectite, from the intimate relations of the unit cell dimensions, cell volumes, densities and chemical composition between them. The final proof of the composition of cuprobismuthite was, however, left as a subject for future studies.

Recently some experimental investigations on the phase relations of Cu_2S —Bi₂S₃ system have been made. GODOVIKOV and FEDOROVA (1969) analysed emplective thermographically and obtained the result

that the chemical formula for cuprobismuthite was represented approximately as $3Cu_2S \cdot 4Bi_2S_3$ or $Cu_6Bi_8S_{15}$ which agreed with the formula proposed by HILLEBRAND (1884). GODOVIKOV and PTITSIN (1969), on the other hand, suggested on the basis of their synthetic studies that the formula for cuprobismuthite was close to $Cu_{10}Bi_{12}S_{23}$. Both formulae proposed by SUGAKI and SHIMA (1970, 1972), Cu₂₄Bi₂₆S₅₁, and by BUHLMANN (1971) were also deficient in copper content as compared with emplectite. TAYLOR, RADTKE and CHRIST (1973) reported similar data on the composition of cuprobismuthite from Tunnel Extension Number Two Mine, Ohio mining district, Utah. From the results of their electron microprobe analyses they concluded that the chemical formula for unsubstituted cuprobismuthite is $5\,\mathrm{Cu}_2\mathrm{S}$ \cdot $6\,\mathrm{Bi}_2\mathrm{S}_3$ or $Cu_{10}Bi_{12}S_{23}$ which agreed closely with the experimental results of GODOVIKOV and PTITSIN (1969). In spite of the excellent agreement between the calculated density and measured one of their specimens, a unit-cell content of 23 sulfur atoms was not compatible with the requirements of the possible space groups, C2/m, Cm and C2, as indicated by them. On the other hand, CHEN and CHANG (1974) described that cuprobismuthite had a solid solution ranging from 52 to 47 mol $^{0}/_{0}$ Cu₂S and 51 to 48 mol $^{0}/_{0}$ Cu₂S along the join Cu_2S — Bi_2S_3 at 454° and 300°C, respectively. Their results suggest that cuprobismuthite is stable at higher temperatures and dimorphous with emplectite.

The stability range of cuprobismuthite varies according to authors. For example, the lower temperature limit of stability is $383 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ according the GODOVIKOV and FEDOROVA (1969), but $290 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ according to BUHLMANN (1971).

The crystal structur eof cuprobismuthite was presumed from that of hodrushite, (Pb?, Ag?, Fe, Bi)Cu₄Bi₅S₁₁ (KUPČÍK and MAKOVICKÝ, 1968; KODĚRA, KUPČÍK and MAKOVICKÝ, 1970; MAKOVICKÝ and MACLEAN, 1972). The structure of hodrushite consists of two distinct layers, one of which has the unit-cell dimensions of cuprobismuthite. KODĚRA *et al.* (1970) suggested that the layer of hodrushite must correspond to the structure of cuprobismuthite. Present study confirmed the suggestion to be correct in principle. The proposed structure using powder data (MARIOLACOS, KUPČÍK, OHMASA and MIEHE, 1975) was also essentially correct.

The present structure determination was undertaken in order to elucidate the chemical composition and the relationship with emplectite and hodrushite.

11*

T. OZAWA and W. NOWACKI

Experimental

Synthetic crystals of cuprobismuthite were kindly supplied by Dr. A. A. GODOVIKOV for the present investigation. A zero-level back-reflection Weissenberg photograph about [010] (camera radius 57.3mm) with copper radiation, taken for precise determination of the unit-cell dimensions, was calibrated by the superposition of a powder pattern of silicon. Least-squares methods (T. ITO, unpublished) using 21 measured h0l reflections with θ between 63° and 79° gave the following monoclinic cell dimensions (a, c and β). The b axis was determined with the aid of a Weissenberg diffractometer:

 $a = 17.520(1), b = 3.926(3), c = 15.261(1) Å, \beta = 101.18(1)^{\circ}.$ Systematic absences of *hkl* for h + k odd and 00*l* for *l* odd indicate that the possible space groups are C2/m, Cm and C2. Crystals are usually of a slender thin prismatic shape. The longest dimension is along [010], and (001) is the most developed face as described by NUFFIELD (1952). One crystal with dimensions $0.056 \times 0.18 \times 0.020$ mm was selected and mounted for rotation about the [010] axis. A total of 1109 independent reflections were collected on a Supper-Pace single-crystal automatic diffractometer by ω -scan methods using Ni-filtered CuK α radiation. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz, polarization and absorption effects using the programme of ACACA (WUENSCH and PREWITT, 1965), but not for extinction effect. Based on the counting statistics, a weight was assigned to each reflection.

Table 1. Crystal data for cuprobismuthite

(Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses)

composition Cu_{2.58}Bi_{3.14}S₆

space group C2/m, Z = 4, F(000) = 1657

 μ (CuK α) = 1240 cm⁻¹

	Missouri mine (Nuffield, 1952)	Tunnel Extension Number Two mine (TAYLOR <i>et al.</i> , 1973)	present work
a	17.65 Å	17.628 ± 0.01 Å	17.520(1) Å
b	3.93	3.911 ± 0.002	3.926(3)
c	15.24	15.190 ± 0.01	15.261(1)
β	100.5°	100°16'	100.18(1)°
Qo		$6.36~{ m g}\cdot{ m cm^{-3}}$	
Qc	•	-	$6.53~\mathrm{g\cdot cm^{-3}}$
V	1039 Å ³	1027 Å ³	1031 Å ³

Reflections with I less than $2.33\sigma(I)$ were considered to be unobserved. The final data list contained 102 unobserved reflections. Some crystal data are given in Table 1.

Structure determination and refinement

The statistics of the normalized structure amplitudes, Table 2, suggests the presence of a center of symmetry. Therefore the centric space group C2/m was adopted as the correct one. The intensity distribution of h0l reflections is similar to those of h2l and h4l reflections. This characteristic applies also to the relation between h1l and h3l reflections. These phenomena suggest that almost all atoms should be on planes perpendicular to the b axis with y = 0 and $y = \frac{1}{2}$.

The Patterson map was calculated and solved. The assumption that cuprobismuthite might have a part of the structure of hodrushite was very usuful for the solution. The approximate coordinates of copper and bismuth atoms except Cu(3), Cu(4) and Cu(5) were thus located. A trial of symbolic addition procedures provided us the same result. A structure-factor and a three-dimensional Fourier synthesis were then calculated with the coordinates of the metal atoms, assuming that all these sites were fully occupied. A blockdiagonal least-squares programme and a Fourier programme written by Dr. T. ITO were used. The sulfur atoms were found from the Fourier map. A few cycles of three-dimentional least-squares refinement for positional parameters and isotropic temperature factors reduced the *R* index to $13^{\circ}/_{0}$. At this stage, the deficiencies of Bi(1) and Bi(2) sites and an additional peak near Bi(1) site were found on a Fourier map. This peak was assigned to Cu(3), judging from the coordination

	oursehige with it o	theoretical*							
	cuprobismutnite	centric	acentric						
$<\!E^2>$	1.000	1.000	1.000						
$<\! E^2-\!1 >$	0.941	0.968	0.736						
$\langle E \rangle$	0.816	0.798	0.886						
E > 1.0	32.0 %	32.0 º/o	36.8 º/o						
E >2.0	4.2 º/o	5.0 º/o	1.8 %/0						
E > 3.0	0.1 %	0.3 %	0.01 %/0						

Table 2. Distribution of E values

* G. H. STOUT and L. H. JENSEN (1968): X-ray structure determination, p. 321. Macmillan, New York.

(Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses in terms of the last digit)

Atom	x	y		Site occupancy*
Cu(1)	0.1598(4)	0	0.1432(3)	
Cu(2)	0.0868(2)	0	0.4772(3)	
Cu(3)	0.0460(9)	0.5	0.0857(10)	0.34(2)
Cu(4)	0.2962(14)	0.5	0.1532(14)	0.20(2)
Cu(5)	0.378(6)	0	0.070(4)	0.07(2)
Bi(1)	0	0.5	0	0.679(8)
Bi(2)	0.33342(7)	0.5	0.09025(7)	0.803(6)
Bi(3)	0.49227(5)	0	0.28075(5)	
Bi(4)	0.24447(5)	0.5	0.37089(5)	
S(1)	0.1639(3)	0.5	0.0610(3)	
$\mathbf{S}(2)$	0.4873(4)	0.5	0.1245(3)	
$\mathbf{S}(3)$	0.3404(3)	0	0.2175(3)	
S(4)	0.1528(3)	Ō	0.2887(3)	
S(5)	0.4649(3)	0.5	0.3867(3)	
$\mathbf{S}(6)$	0.1612(3)	0.5	0.4931(3)	

* All the occupancies were refined independently. Therefore the sum of Cu(3) and Bi(1), and that of Cu(4) and Bi(2) showed a slight deviation from 1.

Table 4. Thermal parameters in the expression

Atom	β11	β_{22}	β33	β_{13}
Cu(1)	0.0055(3)	0.038(3)	0.0024(1)	0.0014(2)
Cu(2)	17(1)	33(3)	32(1)	4(1)
Cu(3)	26(6)	44(11)	45(7)	6(5)
Cu(4)	24(8)	30(16)	35(9)	-4(7)
Cu(5)	0.007(5)	-0.01(3)	0.005(3)	0.002(3)
Bi(1)	0.0017(1)	0.038(2)	0.0017(1)	0.0000(1
Bi(2)	12(1)	33(1)	21(1)	1(1
Bi(3)	14(1)	20(1)	17(1)	3(1
Bi(4)	11(1)	19(1)	15(1)	3(1
S(1)	19(2)	15(3)	20(2)	5(2
S(2)	20(2)	22(4)	19(2)	5(1
S(3)	12(2)	19(3)	20(2)	3(1
S(4)	12(2)	14(3)	15(2)	3(1
S(5)	14(2)	25(3)	13(1)	2(1
S(6)	12(2)	27(4)	15(2)	2(1

 $\exp\left[-(h^2\beta_{11}+k^2\beta_{22}+l^2\beta_{33}+2hk\beta_{12}+2hl\beta_{13}+2kl\beta_{23})\right]; \ \beta_{12}=0, \ \beta_{23}=0$ (Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses in terms of the last digit)

					_
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Cu(1)}{}{\rm S(1)} \\ -{\rm S(4)} \\ -{\rm S(2'')} \\ -{\rm S(3)} \end{array}$	2.338(4) Å 2.246(6) 2.981(9) 3.147(8)	2 imes	$egin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	2.649(6) Å 2.645(3) 3.077(4) 3.413(4)	$2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2 \times$
Cu(2)S(5'') S(5''') S(6)	2.308(7) Å 2.422(6) 2.342(4)	2 imes	${f Bi}(4) - S(4) \ -S(6) \ -S(6'') \ -S(3)$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.688(4) \text{ \AA} \\ 2.581(5) \\ 3.092(4) \\ 3.699(4) \end{array}$	$2 \times$ $2 \times$ $2 \times$
Cu(3)—S(1) —S(2'')	2.17(2) Å 2.35(1)	2 imes	Cu(1)-Cu(3) Cu(4)	2.82(1) Å 3.07(2)	$2 \times 2 \times$
Cu(4)—S(1) —S(3)	2.47(2) Å 2.26(1)	2 imes	${f Cu(3)-Cu(3')}\ Cu(4)-Cu(5)\ Cu(5)-Cu(3'')$	2.79(3) 2.87(6) 2.91(10)	2 imes
${f Cu(5)-S(1'')}\ -S(2)\ -S(3)$	2.00(7) A 2.75(7) 2.46(7)	2 imes	—Cu(3''')	2.95(7)	
Bi(1)—S(1) —S(2'')	2.842(6) Å 2.771(4)	2 imes 4 imes			
Bi(2)-S(2) S(3) S(1) S(1'')	2.644(6) Å 2.747(4) 2.916(6) 3.037(4)	$2 \times$			
D(1)	0.001(#)	-~	Į		

 Table 5. Interatomic distances in cuprobismuthite

 (Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses in terms of the last digit)

and the interatomic distances. The site occupancies of Bi(1) and Bi(2), which were calculated with a programme written by Dr. T. ITO (unpublished), converged to 0.68 and 0.80 respectively. The occupancy of Cu(3) site was assigned to [1 minus occupancy of Bi(1)], *i.e.* 0.32, because the Cu(3) and Bi(1) atoms were presumed to be statistically distributed as observed in the structure of $Cu_{2+x}Bi_{6-x}S_9(x = 1.21)$ (OHMASA, 1973). A few additional cycles of least-squares refinement reduced R to $9^{0}/_{0}$ (OZAWA and NOWACKI, 1975). The subsequent refinement with anisotropic temperature factors using the programme ORFLS (BUSING, MARTIN and LEVY, 1962) and the associated difference Fourier synthesis using the programme GSFFR written by Dr. M. OHMASA revealed the existence of Cu(4) and Cu(5) sites. At the final stage, all the site occupancies of Cu(3), Cu(4), Cu(5), Bi(1) and Bi(2) atoms were independently refined, but they converged to very

S(1)—Cu(1	1)—S(1')	114.2(3)°	S(3)—Bi(3)—S(5)	86.3(1)°
S(1)	S(4)	122.9(1)	S(5)	S(5')	95.8(2)
S(1)	$S(2^{\prime\prime})$	94.8(2)	S(3)	S(2)	80.9(1)
S(1)	S(3)	93.4(2)	S(5)	S(4'')	78.3(1)
S(4)	S(2'')	81.2(2)	S(5)	S(4''')	130.6(1)
S(4)	S(3)	83.6(2)	S(5)	S(2)	91.1(1)
			S(2)	S(2')	79.3(1)
S(5'')—Cu	(2) - S(5''')	$93.2(2)^{\circ}$	S(2)	S(4'')	63.9(1)
${ m S}(5^{\prime\prime})$	S(6)	119.6(1)	S(2)	S(4''')	107.1(1)
${ m S}(5^{\prime\prime\prime})$	S(6)	101.8(2)	$S(4^{\prime\prime})$	S(4''')	70.2(1)
S(6)	S(6')	113.9(3)			
			S(4)—Bi(4)—S(4')	$93.8(2)^{\circ}$
S(1)-Cu(3	3)—S(2'')	$121.5(3)^{\circ}$	S(4)	S(6)	88.1(1)
$S(2^{\prime\prime})$	S(2''')	113.7(7)	S(4)	S(3)	67.7(1)
			S(4)	S(3')	113.3(1)
S(1)-Cu(4	4)—S(3)	116.8(6)°	S(4)	$S(6^{\prime\prime})$	92.5(1)
S(3)	S(3')	120.4(9)	S(6)	S(6'')	79.5(1)
			S(3)	S(3')	64.1(1)
S(1'')—Cu	(5) - S(3)	$144(5)^{\circ}$	S(3)	${f S}(6^{\prime\prime})$	80.7(1)
S(1'')	S(2)	114(2)	S(3)	S(6''')	120.8(1)
S(3)	S(2)	91(2)	S(6'')	S(6''')	78.8(1)
S(2)	S(2')	91(3)			
S(1)-Bi(1)S(2'')	$89.2(1)^{\circ}$			
S(1)	S(2'''')	90.8(1)			
${ m S}(2^{\prime\prime})$	$\mathrm{S}(2^{\prime\prime\prime})$	90.2(2)			
$\mathrm{S}(2^{\prime\prime})$	$\mathrm{S}(2^{\prime\prime\prime\prime\prime})$	89.8(2)			
S(2)-Bi(2)	2)S(3)	87.5(1)°			
S(3)	S(3')	91.2(2)			
S(2)	S(1'')	89.1(1)			
S(3)	S(1)	90.7(1)			
S(3)	S(1'')	94.0(1)			
S(1)	S(1'')	92.8(1)			
S(1'')	S(1''')	80.5(1)			

Table 6. Interatomic angles in cuprobismuthite(Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses in terms of the last digit)

reasonable values. The final R index was 0.049 for the observed 997 reflections (0.054 for all the 1109 reflections). Neutral-atom form factors given in *International tables*, Vol. 3, p. 202, 210 and 212 (1968) were used. The effect of anomalous dispersion was considered (CROMER, 1965).

The final atomic coordinates and the anisotropic temperature factors are listed in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. Interatomic distances

The crystal structure of synthetic cuprobismuthite

Table 7. Observed and calculated structure amplitude

					Laple 7.	ooservea	una	cuic	uiatea	str	uciure	e an	npiuuaes						
h k	1	P _o P _c	hk	1	F. F.	h k 1	F.	F.	h k	1	P	r _e	hk 1	Fo	F _c	hk	1	F.	F.
20	0	14* 10	-6 0	5	458 478	-2 0 10	146	147	-4 0	17	28	21	-19 1 5	55	50	-5 1	10	52	54
6		510 502	-2		233 238	2	161	163	-2		65	63	-17	149 1	53 54	-1		587 183	195
8		383 366 137 132	Ű		333 323	4	34	28	2		55	56 56	-13	20*	15	1		312	323
12		619 645			67 73	8	105	110	-10 0	18	44	47	-9	349 3	32	5		78	83
14		56 34 76 71	6 8		384 392	10	20*	37	-8 -6		137	146	-7	197 1	96 04	7		18*	9 252
18		119 119	10		56 46	14	64	58	-4		47	49	-3	219 2	32	- 11		186	176
-20 0	1	225 236	12		23* 6	-18 0 11	44 138	134	-2 0		204 55	218	-	104 1	09 36	13		102	100
-18		162 163	16 18		49 52	-14	23*	44	31	0	477	463	3	444 4	60	-17 1	11	143	134
-14		20* 13	-20 0	6	107 99	-10	46	45	7		170	167	7	215 2	15	-13		118	104
-12		181 183 52 50	-18 -16		112 108 68 51	~8 -6	117	114	9		414	406	9	23* 23*	11 23	-11 -9		23*	9 435
-8		383 372	-14		209 186	-4	300	308	13		171	176	13	241 2	39	-2		23*	8
-4		255 247	-10		254 237	0	374	392	17		181	195	17	182 1	22 88	-3		232	241
-2		52 49 86* 42	-8 -6		86 76 86 85	2	17*	5 311	19 -19 1	1	16* 76	24 86	-19 1 6 -17	51 223 2	44	-1		173	177
2		141 141	-4		22* 32	6	121	122	-17		122	127	-15	134 1	31	3		423	440
6		579 611	-2		110 111	10	- 44	39	-13		19*	20	-13	93	54 85	7		122	116
8 10		19* 24	2		105 79	12	315	308 18	-11		157	162	-9	130 1	27	9		232 68	228 63
12		215 211	6		332 332	-18 0 12	102	98	-7		257	245	-5	347 3	67	13		56	58
16		39 35.	10		90 90 74 68	-16 -14	106	155 99	-5		45	21 35	-3	253 2	60 90	-17 1	12	159 28	159
18		237 248	12		110 101	-12	168	152	-1		191	208	1	275 2	66	-13		84	70
-20 0	2	48 53	16		56 58	-8	379	362	3		488	512	5	159 1	64	-9		78	49
-18 -16		58 57 47 48	18 -20 0	7	269 264 139 143	-6	169 214	163	57		369 73	363 56	7	231 2	26 35	-7 -5		95 139	87 140
-14		264 265	-18		61 59	-2	107	104	9		67	56	11	106 1	05	-3		44	38
-10		18* 3	-14		466 464	2	143	138	13		158	161	15	72	26 75	-1		139	139
-8 -6		180 167 139 123	-12 -10		22* 21 326 306	4	276	279 127	15		314 80	341 70	17	91 120 1	84 30	3		107	105
-4		31 22	-8		394 364	8	132	133	19		88	96	-17	88	84	7		54	49
-2		49* 7	-6		168 158	12	12*	8	-17	2	165	170	-15	111 1	35 08	9 11		35 85	26 84
2		20* 3 421 447	-2		733 748	-18 0 13 -16	16 159	11 159	-15		183	174	-11	469 4	50	-17 1	13	89 160	90 164
6		136 130	2		328 322	-14	159	150	-11		65	51	-7	209 2	05	-13		17*	14
10		166 158	6		331 323	-10	67	54	-7		332	307	-3	394 4	89 06	-11		39	47
12		82 78 62 55	8 10		26* 5 491 481	-8 -6	79	77	-5		438	425	-1	44 445 4	24	-7		207	215
16		206 217	12		142 127	-4	173	175	-1		294	325	3	316 2	93	-3		172	172
20		61 64	16		92 88	0	135	128	3		95 396	433	7	159 1	22 56	-1		84 65	70
-20 0	3	81 90 79 82	18 -20 0	8	100 103	2	37	33 167	57		239 624	231	9	253 2	44	3		93	92
-16		302 312	-18	-	188 196	6	60	57	ý		104	89	13	208 1	90	7		281	284
-12		154 145	-14		67 55	10	164	159	13		255	255	17	94 66	82 65	11		75 69	67
-10		163 162 288 277	-12		76 70	12	51 118	52 128	15		176	185	-19 1 8	149 1	48	-15 1	14	49	44 278
-6		18* 18	-8		447 446	-14	75	75	19		181	189	-15	62	69	-11		15*	10
-2		21* 12	-4		259 259 259 26* 15	-10	208	203	-17	,	152	148	-11	85	58 72	-9		227	68
0		259 223	-2		105 87	-8 -6	97 245	95 257	-15		70 482	70 505	-9 ~7	66 176 1	73	-5		272	281 72
4		359 373	2		200 201	-4	218	230	-11		19*	10	-5	202 1	92	-1		372	387
8		497 487	6		27* 12	0	279	284	-7		322	545 310	-)	199 2	41 02	3		238	237
10		174 160 149 138	8 10		99 94 142 130	2	176 54	166	-5		242 69	245 76	1	187 1	85 47	57		61 157	60 157
14		22* 52	12		285 261	6	128	128	-1		642	763	5	24*	19	9	• ~	24	16
18		114 116	16		251 241	10	105	109	3		271	291	9	232 2	12	-13	1)	66	69
20 -20 0	4	145 144 60 62	-20 0 -18	9	90 80	-16 0 15 -14	- 8* 51	53	57		194 101	197	11	96 282 2	81 58	-11		68 142	66 143
-18		47 48	-16		189 185	-12	102	104	9		55	38	15	14*	19	-7		131	130
-14		219 215	-12		191 173	-8	64	69	13		105	105	-17 9	18*	35	-3		14*	8
-12		122 117 501 484	-10 -8		95 81	-6	107	107	15		21*	40	-15	85	79 08	-1		14*	12
-8		57 41	-6		26* 9	-2	208	215	19		88	91	-11	107	96	3		42	23
-4		54 49	-2		184 183	2	251	254	-17	"	73	75	-7	360 3	62	7		73	69
-2 0		404 447	0 2		271 277 225 225	4	79 133	75	-15		19* 205	9 194	-5	113	97 76	-13 1	16	28	25
2		549 641	4		52 51	8	40	37	-11		34	30	-1	102 1	13	-9		174	174
6		278 293	8		220 200	-12	28	18	-7		535	530	3	135 1	37	-7 -5		46	53
8 10		112 103 344 338	10 12		121 108 55 48	-10 -8	100 59	108 64	-5 -3		244 107	249 106	5 7	409 4 110	00 90	-3		150 69	149
12		95 93	14		143 143	-6	235	244	-1		577	629	9	262 2	36	1		11*	3
16		21* 5	-20 0	10	136 132	-2	172	179	3		101	108	13	130 1	94 24	5		201	203
18 -20 0	5	185 194 33 34	-18 -16		151 151 19* 38	0 2	41 62	41 61	5 7		498 349	544 356	15 -19 1 10	64 148 1	66 47	-11 1	17	9* 103	10 101
-18	·	295 296	-14		43 38	4	78	82	,9		38	33	-17	104	96	-7		23	31
-14		232 232	- 10		78 66	-12 0 17	23	26	13		44)	35	-13	45	52 51	-> -3		115	114
-12 -10		152 144 193 178	-8 -6		188 170 315 299	-10 -8	162 101	170 101	15 17		117 173	127 176	-11	383 3	62 22	-1		174 40	177
-8		59 47	-4		47 20	-6	48	47	19		168	166	-7	158 1	51	3		69	66

T. OZAWA and W. NOWACKI

.

Table 7. (Continued)

b k l	1	F ₀ F ₀	h	k 1	F _o F _c	h k 1	P _o	F.	hk	1	Fo	P.	h	k 1	Fo	Fc	h	k	1	F	r.
-7 1 18	8	201 197	14	24	328 350	829	189	167	33	0	283	269	-11	36	75	69	-5	3	13	211	212
-5		102 99	-18	25	241 254	12	47	46	7		109	108	-9		65	63	-1			74	72
-1		22 23	-16	-	131 135	14	114	119	9		256	261	-5		226	234	1			51	44
220	,	27 1	-14		191 199	-16 2 10	34	34	11		34	132	-3		180	181	-5	τ	1.5	81 200	211
6		370 356	-10		160 156	-12	249	229	15		97	103	1		178	177	-3	'		40	41
8		305 297	-8		37 37	-10	71	55	-15 3	1	64	71	3		22*	* 2	-1			269	274
12		486 525	4		230 241	-8	262	245	-11		118	116	7		152	142	4	4	U	200	184
14		19* 29	-2		191 192	- 4	18,	21	-9		101	92	9		259	241	6			197	193
16		53 63	0		234 231	-2	112	115	-7		163	157	11		75	70	10			176	186
-18 2 1		123 134	4		44 56	2	131	133	-3		13*	8	-15	37	172	176	-10	4	1	31	31
-16 -14		132 143	6		306 313	4	171	12	1		111	119	-13		85	81	-8			180	181
-12		149 155	10		37 42	8	90	88	5		224	218	-9		90	71	-4			128	122
-10		40 44	12		160 154	10	30	29	7		57	54	-7		153	142	-2			13*	22
-6		302 298	16		42 8	-16 2 11	119	114	11		133	132	-5		290	277	4			86	78
-4		192 188	-18	26	92 95	- 14	36	39	13		115	116	-1		17*	• 14	6			244	239
-2		36 34	-16		17 42	-12	177	162	-15 3	2	217	240	1		285	292 189	10			15*	55
4		141 132	~12		59 12	-8	112	99	-13	~	71	74	5		189	177	-10	4	2	14*	18
6		451 448	- 10		194 185	~6	19*	14	-11		18*	30	7		102	98	-8			91	85
10		73 75	-6		68 68	-2	16*	14	-7		189	190	11		92	85	-4			15*	20
12		163 171	-4		57 26	0	301	322	-5		301	294	-13	38	44	40	-2			120	130
16		17* 29	-2		82 91	4	254	262	-1		192	210			61	51	2				16
18		195 207	2		65 49	6	99	98	1		56	55	-7		119	113	4			196	191
-18 2 2		35 40	6		272 269	10	269	2/4	5		200	280	-5 -3		113	+ 19	ь 8			115	117
- 14		216 222	8		68 71	12	252	263	7		292	286	-í	•	125	129	-10	4	3	58	60
-12		82 82	10		79 63	-16 2 12	133	135	9		59 172	182	1		113	116	8			123	130
-8		141 136	14		67 53	-12	146	136	13		49	51	5		18*	• 10	_4			255	261
-6		109 99	16	97	54 47	-10	125	116	-15 3	3	140	146	7		116	109	-2			15*	105
-2		198 212	-16	- 1	95 92	-6	126	128	-13		323	349	11		63	57	2			38	35
0		28* 20	-14		378 385	-4	179	182	-11		19*	16	-13	3.9	77	72	4			161	160
ã		323 336	-10		275 256	0	146	151	-7		195	186	-11		69	62	8			221	217
6		103 100	-8		293 289	2	108	110	-5		158	160	-7		258	248	-10	4	4	198	203
10		134 128	-0		297 302	6	258	236	-3		41	448	-7		187	193	-8			39	142
12		82 75	-2		565 583	8	115	117	1		83	83	- í		69	68	-4			44	45
14		40 41	2		49 34 267 266	10	16	120	3		177	189	1		118	120	-2			187	200
18		49 48	4		195 179	-12	161	151	ź		52	56	5		289	282	2			261	257
-18 2 3		64 69	6 N		268 260	-10	58 55	49	9		21*	27	7		55	56	4			45	39
-14		38 39	10		403 397	-6	37	27	13		79	83	-13	3 10	45	42	8			27	25
- 12		125 125	12		120 108	-4	138	141	-15 3	4	14*	105	-11		298	261	-8	4	5	16*	22
-8		221 219	-18	28	160 172	0	100	101	-11		19*	25	-7		123	111	-4			113	114
-6		17* 19	-16		28 22	2	39	32	-9		70	61	-5		30	25	-2			120	117
-2		16* 11	-12		63 54	6	56	53	-5		141	147	-1		139	141	2			96	88
0		208 190	-10		269 258	8	11*	15	-3		62	71	1		214	218	4			30	33
4		269 276	-8		211 215	-12 2 14	174	169	-1		126	118	5		61	28 62	0 -8	4	6	200	189
6		73 72	- 4		23* 23	-8	82	81	3		85	89	7		12	• <u>ij</u>	-6			37	40
10		386 383	-2.		58 60 273 282	-6	207	214	57		318 236	334	-11	3 11	177	176	-4			40	15
12		115 117	2		164 165	-2	54	55	9		34	27	-9	,	324	305	ō			59	60
14		44 50	4		460 468	0	238	239	11		296	305	-7		151	15 105	2			13*	16
18		103 103	8		98 84	4	45	44	-15 3	5	104	109	-3		162	167	6			179	165
-18 2 4		42 43	10		113 104	-19 9 15	105	107	-13		16*	22	-1		119	120	-8	4	7	177	167
-14		183 186	14		69 69	-10	166	165	-9		251	238	3		297	307	-4			74	68
-12		101 100	-18 :	29	86 86	-8	60	61	-7		116	114	5		21	24	-2			324	340
-8		43 38	-14		165 161	-4	50	44	-3		132	254	-11	3 12	131	131	2			168	170
-6		227 223	-12		158 150	-2	177	181	-1		100	94	-9	-	50	42	4			97	98
-4		314 341	- 10		125 121	2	212	58 216	1		288	85 302	-7		69 93	08 95	-6	4	8	152	140
0		111 106	-6		24* 9	4	67	65	5		178	182	-3		12*	e íí	-2			12*	26
2		403 491 63 65	-4		299 292	-8 2 16 -6	53 206	50 210	7		157 49	148 16	-1		44 97	40	0			160 102	159
6		235 246	ō		220 230	-4	- 99	97	1Í		19*	8	3		ź3	69	-4	4	9	158	174
8 10		80 74 282 278	2		176 176	-2	157	159	13	6	171	167	5	3 13	47 28	45	-2			59	62 152
12		79 77	6		51 2	2	56	57	-13	-	178	176	-7	,	145	148	•			.,,	<u>مر</u> .

and angles, Tables 5 and 6, were calculated with the programme ORFFE (BUSING, MARTIN and LEVY, 1964). A list of the final observed and calculated structure amplitudes is given in Table 7. The atomic arrangement projected along the b axis is shown in Fig. 1.

171



Fig.1. The structure of cuprobismuthite projected along b axis. The broken circles indicate the statistically distributed atoms

Discussion of the structure and composition

There are four independent bismuth atom sites in the structure of cuprobismuthite. Bi(2) has a coordination of three plus three, forming two trigonal pyramids, together a distorted octahedron. Similar coordinations have been also found in the structures of hodrushite (Kupčík and Makovický, 1968), Cu4Bi4S9 (Ozawa and Takéuchi, 1972), CuBi₅S₈ (OHMASA and NOWACKI, 1973), Cu_{2+x}Bi_{6-x}S₉[x = 1.21] (OHMASA, 1973) and cosalite (SRIKRISHNAN and NOWACKI, 1974). On the other hand, three sulfur atoms around Bi(3) form a trigonal pyramid; together with the two next neighbours they complete a square pyramid. The same applies to the coordination of sulfur atoms around Bi(4). The coordination of Bi(1), unusual in bismuthbearing sulfosalts, has been found for Me(1) and Me(2) atoms in hodrushite and for Bi(1) in $CuBi_5S_8$. Each of these bismuth atoms lie on a center of symmetry. Instead of three sulfur neighbours, which approach usually within 2.5₅-2.8 Å to the associated bismuth atom, forming a trigonal pyramid with the bismuth, these abovementioned bismuth atoms are coordinated to six sulfur atoms at fairy uniform distance of about 2.8 Å, so that the sulfur atoms may compose an approximately regular octahedron. Indeed the regularity is fairy strict, the bismuth-sulfur bond distances ranging from 2.77 to



Fig.2. The structure of hodrushite projected along *b* axis. Hodrushite has the following unit-cell dimensions (KODĚRA *et al.*, 1970); a = 27.205, b = 3.927, c = 17.575 Å, $\beta = 92^{\circ}9'$. "Cuprobismuthite layer", *b* and *c* of hodrushite correspond to *c* sin β , *b* and *a* of cuprobismuthite, respectively. Me(1) and Me(2) sites are occupied mostly by bismuth atoms (KUPČÍK and MAKOVICKÝ, 1968; KODĚRA *et al.*, 1970)

2.84 Å and the sulfur-bismuth-sulfur bond angles diviating from the ideal 90 ° value by only $\pm 2^{\circ}$.

Five independent sites for copper atoms were found in the structure. The coordination of Cu(2) is normal, being surrounded tetrahedrally by four sulfur atoms. Two symmetry-related Cu(2) tetrahedra share an edge in such a way that an endless double-chain along the *b* axis is formed. A similar double chain of copper has been reported in the structure of Cu₄Bi₄S₉, but with a different type of sharing. Cu(1), Cu(3) and Cu(4) are in nearly trigonal planar coordination with sulfur atoms. Such a coordination has been also reported for some copper atoms in hodrushite, Cu₄Bi₄S₉, Cu_{2+x}Bi_{6-x}S₉ and wittichenite (MATZAT,

173

1972; KOCMAN and NUFFIELD, 1973). Cu(3) and Cu(4) are substituted for Bi(1) and Bi(2), respectively. These substitutions appear to be very reasonable, judging from the copper-sulfur and copper-copper distances as given in Table 5. On the other hand, the coordination of Cu(5) is strange. Cu(5)—S(1) bond distance is too short. However, the Cu(5)—S, Cu(5) to other copper distances and Cu(5)—Bi(3) distance (3.438 Å) as well appear to indicate that this cavity for Cu(5) would be favourable in general for a copper atom, though it could not be discussed in detail due to the large standard deviations of its positional parameters.

The prediction as to the structure of cuprobismuthite by KODĚRA et al. (1970) was correct in principle as is evident from Fig.2. The comparison of the bond distances between cuprobismuthite and

 Table 8. Comparison of the interatomic distances between cuprobismuthite and

 "cuprobismuthite layer" in hodrushite

cuprobi	smuthite	"cuprobismuthite l	ayer" in hodrushite*
Cu(1)—S	2.338 Å 2× 2.246 2.981 3.147	Cu(4)—S	$\begin{array}{c} 2.34 \text{ \AA } 2 \times \\ 2.26 \\ 2.94 \\ 3.26 \end{array}$
Cu(2)—S	$\begin{array}{c} 2.308 \\ 2.422 \\ 2.342 2 \times \end{array}$	Cu(3)—S	$2.30 \\ 2.35 \\ 2.36 2 imes$
Bi(1)S	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2.771 & 4 imes \\ 2.842 & 2 imes \end{array}$	Me(2)—S	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2.80 & 4 \times \\ 2.82 & 2 \times \end{array}$
Bi(2)—S	$2.644 \\ 2.747 2 imes 2.916 \\ 3.037 2 imes$	Bi(5)—S	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2.65 \\ 2.74 & 2 \times \\ 2.97 \\ 3.08 & 2 \times \end{array}$
Bi(3)—S	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2.649 \\ 2.645 & 2 \times \\ 3.077 & 2 \times \\ 3.413 & 2 \times \end{array}$	Bi(4)—S	2.73 2.67 $2 \times$ 3.08 $2 \times$ 3.34 $2 \times$
Bi(4)—S	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2.688 & 2 \times \\ 2.581 & \\ 3.092 & 2 \times \\ 3.699 & 2 \times \end{array}$	Bi(3)—S	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2.71 & 2 \times \\ 2.55 & \\ 3.05 & 2 \times \\ 3.67 & 2 \times \end{array}$

* The atom number of hodrushite corresponds to the number in Fig.2.

"cuprobismuthite layer" in hodrushite is presented in Table 8. The maximum difference is only about 0.1 Å.

From the present results, we may reasonably draw the following conclusion. The crystal structure of cuprobismuthite corresponds essentially to the cuprobismuthite layer in hodrushite (composition $Cu_4Bi_7S_{12}$), but some substitutions of copper for bismuth arise so that the valence rule may be satisfied. As is described above and evident from Fig.1, Cu(3) is substituted for some part of Bi(1). Although the sum of their calculated occupancies deviates slightly from the ideal value, because they were independently refined, the deviation is only within one σ . Considering the fact that the standard deviation for Bi(1) is much smaller than that for Cu(3), the value for Bi(1) must be more reliable. Then we assign the occupancies of 0.68 and 0.32 for Bi(1) and Cu(3) sites, respectively, in the following discussion. Furthermore, some part of Bi(2) is substituted by Cu(4) and Cu(5). The occupancy of Cu(4) reaches [1 minus occupancy of Bi(2)], while the value for Cu(5) is much smaller, about 1/3 of that for Cu(4). These phenomena can be easily explained. The close approach of Cu(5) to Bi(1), 2.570 Å, does not allow these two sites to be occupied at the same time. The cavity available for Cu(5) fits a copper atom, only when both Bi(1) and Bi(2) sites are vacant. Therefore the occupancy of Cu(5) would be expressed as [1 minus occupancy of Bi(1)] \times [1 minus occupancy of Bi(2)]. The obtained value of about 7% agrees closely with the result expected from this formula.

After all, the chemical formula for cuprobismuthite could be expressed as $(Cu_x, Bi_{\frac{1}{2}(1-x)})$ $(Cu_y, Bi_{1-y})Cu_{xy}$ $Cu_2Bi_2S_6$ [x = 0.32, y = 0.20]. This expression leads to the actual formula, $Cu_{2.58}Bi_{3.14}S_6$, in which the ratio of copper to bismuth is approximately 5 to 6, in good accordance with the results from natural specimens by TAYLOR *et al.* (1973).

The present results provide us with another interesting conclusion. Since the discovery by HILLEBRAND (1884), the chemical composition of cuprobismuthite and its relation to emplectite have been the main subjects for studies of this mineral. However, so far as we assume only one type of substitution described above, the existence of cuprobismuthite with the same composition as emplectite which is expressed stoichiometrically as $Cu_3Bi_3S_6$, is rather difficult to be considered. The chemical composition of $Cu_3Bi_3S_6$ can be realized only when both the values of 1 for x and 0 for y in the above-mentioned expression are simultaneously satisfied, in other words, only when Bi(1) is perfectly substituted by Cu(3), while the Bi(2) site is fully occupied. The range of solid solution for cuprobismuthite by CHEN and CHANG (1974) includes the stoichiometric composition of Cu₃Bi₃S₆, but it explains neither the data for the natural specimens by TAYLOR *et al.* nor the present results (45.1 mol $^{0}/_{0}$ of Cu₂S). We believe that more careful experiments on this point will remove the disagreement between them.

Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to Dr. A. A. GODOVIKOV (Novosibirsk) for suppling his synthetic crystals, and to Drs. P. ENGEL, A. EDENHARTER (Bern), J. C. PORTHEINE (Genève), Prof. V. KUPČÍK and Dr. G. MIEHE (Göttingen), Drs. T. ITO and M. OHMASA and Prof. H. SHIMAZAKI (Tokyo), for helpful discussions and suggestions. Thanks are due to the Schweizerischer Nationalfonds (Project No. 2.516.71) and Stiftung Entwicklungsfonds Seltene Metalle. One of the authors (T. OZAWA) wishes to thank the Alexander-von-Humboldt-Stiftung for financial supports. The computations were performed on the IBM 1620 and IBM 370/155 at the Rechenzentrum der Universität Bern with the programmes written by Drs. P. ENGEL and T. ITO and on the Univac 1108 of the Gesellschaft für Wissenschaftliche Datenverarbeitung in Göttingen.

References

- E. BUHLMANN (1971), Untersuchungen im System Bi₂S₃-Cu₂S und geologische Schlußfolgerungen. N. Jahrb. Mineral., Monatsh., 137-141.
- W. R. BUSING, K. O. MARTIN and H. A. LEVY (1962), ORFLS, a FORTRAN crystallographic least-squares program. ORNL-TM-305, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- W. R. BUSING, K. O. MARTIN and H. A. LEVY (1964), ORFFE, a FORTRAN crystallographic function and error program, ORNL-TM-306, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- T. T. CHEN and LUKE L. Y. CHANG (1974), Investigations in the systems Ag₂S-Cu₂S-Bi₂S₃ and Ag₂S-Cu₂S-Sb₂S₃. Canad. Mineral. 12, 404-410.
- D. T. CROMER (1965), Anomalous dispersions computed from self-consistent field relativistic Dirac-Slater wave functions. Acta Crystallogr. 18, 17-23.
- E. S. DANA (1892), The system of mineralogy, 7th ed. p. 110-111. New York, John Wiley and Sons Inc.
- A. A. GODOVIKOV and ZH. N. FEDOROVA (1969), Thermal analysis of emplectite. Exp. Invest. in Mineralogy 1968—1969. Acad. Sci. USSR., Siber. Div., Inst. Geol. Geophys. Novosibirsk, 23—28 [in Russ.].
- A. A. GODOVIKOV and A. B. PTITSIN (1969), Synthesis of copper-bismuth sulfosalts in hydrothermal conditions. Exp. Invest. in Mineralogy 1968— 1969. Acad. Sci. USSR., Siber. Div., Inst. Geol. Geophys. Novosibirsk, 29-41 [in Russ.].

W. F. HILLEBRAND (1884), A probably new mineral. Amer. J. Sci. 27, 355-357.

- W. HOFMANN (1933), Strukturelle und morphologische Zusammenhänge bei Erzen vom Formeltyp ABC₂. I. Die Struktur von Wolfsbergit CuSbS₂ und Emplektit CuBiS₂ und deren Beziehungen zu der Struktur von Antimonit Sb₂S₃. Z. Kristallogr. 84, 177–203.
- International tables for x-ray crystallography (1968), Vol. 3, 2nd ed. Tables 3.3.1, 3.3.3. Birmingham, Kynoch Press.
- V. KOCMAN and E. W. NUFFIELD (1973), The crystal structure of wittichenite, Cu₃BiS₃. Acta Crystallogr. B 29, 2528-2535.
- M. KODĚRA, V. KUPČÍK and E. MAKOVICKÝ (1970), Hodrushite—a new sulfosalt. Min. Mag. 37, 641—648.
- V. KUPČÍK (1965), Struktur des Emplectit, CuBiS₂. Ref. 7. Diskussionstagung der Sektion für Kristallkunde (D.M.G.) Marburg, 16–17.
- V. KUPČÍK und E. MAKOVICKÝ (1968), Die Kristallstruktur des Minerals (Pb, Ag, Bi)Cu₄Bi₅S₁₁. N. Jahrb. Mineral., Monatsh., 236–237.
- E. MAKOVICKÝ and W. H. MACLEAN (1972), Electron microprobe analysis of hodrushite. Canad. Mineral. 11, 504-513.
- K. MARIOLACOS, V. KUPČÍK, MASAAKI OHMASA and G. MIEHE (1975), The crystal structure of $Cu_4Bi_5S_{10}$ and its relation to those of hodrushite and cuprobismuthite. Acta Crystallogr. B **31**, 703–708.
- E. MATZAT (1972), Die Kristallstruktur des Wittichenits, Cu₃BiS₃. Tschermaks Miner. Petrogr. Mitt. 18, 312-316.
- E. W. NUFFIELD (1952), Studies of mineral sulpho-salts, XVI. Cuprobismuthite. Amer. Mineral. 37, 447-452.
- M. OHMASA (1973), The crystal structure of $Cu_{2+x}Bi_{6-x}S_9$ (x = 1.21). N. Jahrb. Mineral., Monatsh., 227–233.
- M. OHMASA and W. NOWACKI (1973), The crystal structure of synthetic CuBi₅S₈. Z. Kristallogr. 137, 422-432.
- T. OZAWA and W. NOWACKI (1975), Die Kristallstruktur von synthetischem Cuprobismuthit. N. Jahrb. Mineral., Monatsh., 99-100.
- T. OZAWA and Y. TAKÉUCHI (1972), The crystal structure of $Cu_4Bi_4S_9$ and its relation to simple sulfide structures. Acta Crystallogr. A 28, S 70.
- C. PALACHE (1940), Cuprobismuthite-a mixture. Amer. Mineral. 25, 611-613.
- J. C. PORTHEINE and W. NOWACKI (1975), Refinement of the crystal structure
- of emplectite, CuBiS₂. Z. Kristallogr. 141, 387-402. M. N. SHORT (1931), Microscopic determination of the ore minerals. U.S. Geol. Survey, Bull. 825.
- T. SRIKRISHNAN and W. NOWACKI (1974), A redetermination of the crystal structure of cosalite, Pb₂Bi₂S₅. Z. Kristallogr. 140, 114-136.
- A. SUGAKI and H. SHIMA (1970), The phase equilibrium study of the CuBiS system. Proceedings of the IMA-IAGOD Meetings '70, IMA vol., Special Paper No. 1, 270-271.
- A. SUGAKI and H. SHIMA (1972), Phase relations of the Cu₂S-Bi₂S₃ system. Technology Reports Yamaguchi Univ. 1, 45-70.
- C. M. TAYLOR, A. S. RADTKE and C. L. CHRIST (1973), New data on cuprobismuthite. J. Research U.S. Geol. Survey 1, 99-103.
- B. J. WUENSCH and C. T. PREWITT (1965), Corrections for x-ray absorption by a crystal of arbitrary shape. Z. Kristallogr. 122, 24–59.