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ABSTRACT

Unit-cell parameters for synthetic members of the diopside-hedenbergite series have
been determined by least-squares refinement for 26 samples consisting of 11 compositions.
Within the experimental error, the cell volume and cell edges $a$ and $b$ appear to change
linearly over the entire compositional interval, although $c$ does not. The $c$ dimension is not
sensitive to the Mg/Fe ratio. No significant difference was observed for samples synthesized
on different oxygen buffers.

Four reflections were used to test the validity of a spacing curve for estimating the
composition of clinopyroxenes from calc-silicate skarns. Eight samples from Willsboro,
New York indicate that the composition of clinopyroxenes coexisting with wollastonite and
garnet can be estimated to within $\pm 5$ mole percent if elements other than Ca, Fe, Mg, Si,
and O are known to be present in only very low concentrations.

INTRODUCTION

The relation between the chemical composition and the unit cell for
the diopside-hedenbergite (CaMgSi$_2$O$_6$-CaFeSi$_2$O$_6$) series has been the
subject of several investigations, many of which are reviewed by Vis-
wanathan (1966). Since most of the published data are for natural sam-
ple which do not adequately cover the entire compositional interval, do
not have a constant Ca/(Mg+Fe) atomic ratio, and contain varying
amounts of impurities, we have prepared synthetic samples in order to
define this relation more accurately.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Starting materials were reagent grade MgO, Fe$_2$O$_3$, CaCO$_3$, and floated silica powder or
silicic acid which were carefully dehydrated before weighing. These materials in the desired
proportions were mixed for three hours in a mechanical shaker, fired in air at approximately
950°C for fifteen minutes in a silver-foil lined silica-glass boat, and hand ground in an agate
mortar for approximately one hour. Eleven compositions were prepared in this manner and
samples of the mixes were used for synthesis. Replicate mixes of the 41.1 mole percent
hedenbergite were prepared to check the reproducibility of the above method.

Synthesis was carried out in “cold-seal” pressure vessels using the oxygen buffer tech-
nique of Eugster and Wones (1962). Most of the runs were made in platinum tubes with silver
foil around the charge to minimize iron loss. Some runs were made using Ag$_{70}$ Pd$_{30}$ tubing
(Muan, 1963). All of the runs were made between 725°C and 750°C and 1 Kb water pres-
sure at the oxygen fugacity of the buffer indicated in Table 1. The only exception to this is
the one run on the hematite-magnetite buffer which was done at 600°C. Run duration was
from seven to fourteen days. Only runs that showed negligible weight change, maintenance
of the buffer at the end of the run, and at least 98 weight percent clinopyroxene yield (traces
of quartz and wollastonite were observed) were considered successful.
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**Table 1. Cell Parameters and Optical Data for Diopside-Hedenbergite Series**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WD 145</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.866±0.004</td>
<td>9.025±0.002</td>
<td>5.225±0.006</td>
<td>104 41.6±1.8</td>
<td>50.04±0.35</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 217</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.852±0.003</td>
<td>9.025±0.002</td>
<td>5.247±0.003</td>
<td>104 46.3±1.4</td>
<td>45.16±0.19</td>
<td>1.733±0.003</td>
<td>1.758±0.003</td>
<td>QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 187</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.857±0.005</td>
<td>9.026±0.003</td>
<td>5.277±0.007</td>
<td>104 42.2±2.4</td>
<td>44.81±0.44</td>
<td>1.733±0.003</td>
<td>1.758±0.003</td>
<td>QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 240</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.847±0.003</td>
<td>9.024±0.002</td>
<td>5.242±0.002</td>
<td>104 46.2±1.2</td>
<td>45.0±0.15</td>
<td>1.733±0.003</td>
<td>1.758±0.003</td>
<td>QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 169</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.859±0.004</td>
<td>9.028±0.003</td>
<td>5.230±0.006</td>
<td>104 45.1±1.8</td>
<td>44.69±0.36</td>
<td>1.735±0.005</td>
<td>1.758±0.003</td>
<td>MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 238</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.834±0.003</td>
<td>9.010±0.002</td>
<td>5.247±0.003</td>
<td>104 57.3±1.4</td>
<td>44.915±0.17</td>
<td>1.725±0.005</td>
<td>1.745±0.005</td>
<td>QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 126</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.816±0.004</td>
<td>8.987±0.003</td>
<td>5.252±0.003</td>
<td>105 4.4±3.6</td>
<td>44.71±0.28</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 166A</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9.816±0.003</td>
<td>8.991±0.002</td>
<td>5.253±0.002</td>
<td>105 5.7±1.9</td>
<td>44.71±0.19</td>
<td>1.719±0.005</td>
<td>1.740±0.005</td>
<td>QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 186</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.802±0.002</td>
<td>8.976±0.001</td>
<td>5.254±0.001</td>
<td>105 24.0±1.3</td>
<td>44.59±0.10</td>
<td>1.698±0.004</td>
<td>1.725±0.005</td>
<td>QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 167</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9.801±0.003</td>
<td>8.974±0.002</td>
<td>5.248±0.003</td>
<td>105 27.8±1.4</td>
<td>44.92±0.16</td>
<td>1.698±0.002</td>
<td>1.730±0.005</td>
<td>QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 162</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.794±0.003</td>
<td>8.963±0.003</td>
<td>5.249±0.006</td>
<td>105 28.9±2.2</td>
<td>44.60±0.45</td>
<td>1.696±0.002</td>
<td>1.725±0.005</td>
<td>QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 163</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9.789±0.002</td>
<td>8.960±0.001</td>
<td>5.251±0.002</td>
<td>105 29.1±1.3</td>
<td>44.38±0.17</td>
<td>1.692±0.002</td>
<td>1.720±0.005</td>
<td>QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 232</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.794±0.002</td>
<td>8.966±0.002</td>
<td>5.257±0.003</td>
<td>105 28.1±1.7</td>
<td>44.94±0.21</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 161</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9.782±0.004</td>
<td>8.952±0.003</td>
<td>5.255±0.005</td>
<td>105 35.9±2.6</td>
<td>44.23±0.35</td>
<td>1.680±0.002</td>
<td>1.713±0.005</td>
<td>IW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 123</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.782±0.003</td>
<td>8.960±0.003</td>
<td>5.243±0.005</td>
<td>105 35.3±1.9</td>
<td>44.66±0.31</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 150</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9.771±0.003</td>
<td>8.946±0.002</td>
<td>5.253±0.003</td>
<td>105 39.8±1.6</td>
<td>44.08±0.21</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 144A</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.772±0.005</td>
<td>8.945±0.004</td>
<td>5.253±0.005</td>
<td>105 38.9±3.0</td>
<td>44.15±0.36</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 130</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.774±0.003</td>
<td>8.944±0.002</td>
<td>5.249±0.003</td>
<td>105 38.4±1.4</td>
<td>44.88±0.22</td>
<td>1.676±0.002</td>
<td>1.715±0.005</td>
<td>QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 190</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9.770±0.004</td>
<td>8.943±0.003</td>
<td>5.252±0.004</td>
<td>105 41.3±1.8</td>
<td>44.71±0.24</td>
<td>1.668±0.002</td>
<td>1.705±0.005</td>
<td>QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 159</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9.772±0.006</td>
<td>8.943±0.006</td>
<td>5.253±0.007</td>
<td>105 45.8±3.7</td>
<td>44.32±0.47</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 129A</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.767±0.004</td>
<td>8.935±0.003</td>
<td>5.246±0.006</td>
<td>105 40.8±2.2</td>
<td>44.84±0.35</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 137</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.775±0.003</td>
<td>8.936±0.002</td>
<td>5.244±0.003</td>
<td>105 44.3±2.3</td>
<td>44.91±0.23</td>
<td>1.664±0.002</td>
<td>1.705±0.005</td>
<td>HM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 191</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9.757±0.002</td>
<td>8.937±0.002</td>
<td>5.245±0.001</td>
<td>105 49.1±1.3</td>
<td>44.05±0.13</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 170</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9.750±0.005</td>
<td>8.927±0.004</td>
<td>5.254±0.006</td>
<td>105 47.6±3.0</td>
<td>44.99±0.40</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 98C</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.755±0.005</td>
<td>8.926±0.003</td>
<td>5.241±0.009</td>
<td>105 50.5±4.0</td>
<td>44.94±0.64</td>
<td>1.660±0.002</td>
<td>1.698±0.002</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD 222</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9.754±0.003</td>
<td>8.933±0.002</td>
<td>5.252±0.002</td>
<td>105 50.5±2.1</td>
<td>44.02±0.28</td>
<td>1.658±0.004</td>
<td>1.690±0.002</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Smear mounts were prepared using reagent grade KCl, which had previously been standardized with Lake Toxaway quartz \((a=4.9131\,\text{Å} \text{ and } c=5.4046\,\text{Å})\) and silicon \((a=5.4306\,\text{Å})\), as an internal standard. Two or four oscillations were made over the ranges 26--36°, 38--45°, and 49--52.5°2\(\theta\) using a goniometer speed of 1/4°2\(\theta\) per minute and chart speed of 1/2 in. per minute. The goniometer was equipped with a curved crystal monochromator which gave an excellent peak to background ratio with copper radiation \((\text{CuK}\alpha=1.5418\,\text{Å})\). The average deviation of four or eight individual measurements from the mean was between 0.01° and 0.02°2\(\theta\).

All members of the series were indexed using the space group \(C2/c\). A least-squares refinement of the cell data was performed with the computer program written by Evans, Appleman, and Handwerker (1963).

Ten to seventeen reflections were used for each refinement and the indices of the following reflections were fixed: \((220)\), \((221)\), \((310)\), \((131)\), \((311)\), \((330)\), \((331)\), \((421)\), and \((150)\). The errors reported here are the standard errors calculated by this program and give some indication of internal consistency of the measured 2\(\theta\) values.

**RESULTS**

The cell parameters for a total of 26 samples and \(\alpha\) and \(\gamma\) refractive indices for most of these are listed in Table 1. Cell parameters and cell volumes for all samples synthesized on the QFM buffer are shown in Figure 1. The volume and unit cell edges \(a\) and \(b\) appear to be linear over the entire interval, although \(\beta\) is not. The \(c\) dimension is not sensitive to the \(\text{Mg}/\text{Fe}\) ratio. An equation for the volume dependence on composition was calculated using York’s (1966) “least-squares cubic” computer program. The assigned errors in this program were the standard errors calculated from the least squares program for the cell refinement and a compositional error estimated as \(+0.2\) mole percent for all samples. The equation is:

\[
V = 439.68 \pm 0.15 + (0.1110 \pm 0.0028)x
\]

where \(V\) is in \(\text{Å}^3\) and \(x\) is mole percent hedenbergite. An \(F\) test (Snedecor, 1946, p. 382) indicates that the deviation from linearity is not significant. The probability is 0.3 that the deviation is due to random sampling error. Thus the solid solution appears to be ideal within the accuracy of these data. Kretz (1963) has also concluded that the calcium-rich clinopyroxenes are approximately ideal on the basis of element partitioning data for natural samples.

Within experimental error there is no difference in the cell parameters for identical compositions synthesized from different starting materials and on the oxygen buffers used in this study. However, bulk compositions more iron-rich than approximately 10 mole percent hedenbergite yield
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\(1\) To obtain a copy of the table listing measured \(d\) values, order NAPS Document No. 00180 from ASIS National Auxiliary Publications Service, c/o CCM Information Sciences, Inc., 22 West 34th Street, New York, New York 10001; remitting $1.00 for microfiche or $3.00 for photocopies.
andraditic garnet and other phases in addition to a clinopyroxene on the hematite-magnetite buffer at 600°C and 1 kbar.

The cell parameters for the end members are compared with published values in Table 2. The absolute error in $c$ is probably larger than the error in either $a$ or $b$ because of the sparsity of unambiguous indices with $l$ greater than one. The values for $a$, $b$, and $c$ in Table 2 are calculated from the least-square equations shown on Figure 1. The difference between these values and the average of the three determinations for each end
Table 2. Comparison of Cell Parameters for Diopside and Hedenbergite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>$a$ Å</th>
<th>$b$ Å</th>
<th>$c$ Å</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Synth. Diopside$^a$</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>9.752</td>
<td>8.926</td>
<td>5.248</td>
<td>105°50'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synth. Diopside$^a$</td>
<td>Sakata (1957)</td>
<td>9.743</td>
<td>8.923</td>
<td>5.251</td>
<td>105°56'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synth. Diopside$^a$</td>
<td>Nolan and Edgar (1963)</td>
<td>9.749</td>
<td>8.924</td>
<td>5.251</td>
<td>105°47'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diopside$^b$</td>
<td>Viswanathan (1966)</td>
<td>9.754</td>
<td>8.916</td>
<td>5.249</td>
<td>105°49'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synth. hedenbergite$^a$</td>
<td>This Study</td>
<td>9.851</td>
<td>9.021</td>
<td>5.252</td>
<td>104°44'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedenbergite$^b$</td>
<td>Viswanathan (1966)</td>
<td>9.821</td>
<td>9.036</td>
<td>5.249</td>
<td>104°48'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herault hedenbergite</td>
<td>Kuno and Hess (1953)</td>
<td>9.854</td>
<td>9.024</td>
<td>5.263</td>
<td>104°20'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Calculated from least-squares equations for the QFM data in Table 1.

$^b$ Extrapolated from intermediate compositions of natural samples.

The data for diopside is in good agreement with the published data, except our value for $a$ is larger. We are not aware of any published cell data for synthetic hedenbergite and intermediate compositions. Viswanathan's (1966) values for pure hedenbergite extrapolated from natural samples are only in fair agreement with the values determined in this study (Table 2). The cell parameters for natural hedenbergite from Herault, California (Kuno and Hess, 1953) are included in Table 2 for comparison.

Indices of refraction of some of the samples were measured in white light. Only maximum and minimum indices could be determined for compositions because of the small grain size. Within the limits of measurement, $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ are both linear over the entire compositional interval. The best straight line for these data using York’s (1966) program are given by

$$\alpha = 1.660 \pm 0.001 + (0.000746 \pm 0.00002)x$$

and

$$\gamma = 1.695 \pm 0.001 + (0.00630 \pm 0.00003)x$$
where $x$ is mole percent hedenbergite. These curves are in good agreement with the data summarized by Deer, Howie, and Zussman (1963).

**Applications**

While it is realized that the great variability in composition of natural clinopyroxenes precludes a unique determination of their compositions from $d$-values, it is possible to estimate the composition of clinopyroxenes which are known to lie close to the diopside-hedenbergite join. Clinopyroxenes from calc-silicate skarns often fulfill this requirement. To test the validity of an X-ray spacing curve for determining the composition of such clinopyroxenes, samples consisting of essentially clinopyroxene, grossularite-andradite garnet, and wollastonite from Willsboro, New York were selected for analysis. The clinopyroxenes from these samples had previously been analyzed by X-ray fluorescence for total iron as part of a study to examine element partitioning between coexisting phases. The methods and results of this study will be published elsewhere.

The measured values for $d(220)$, $d(310)$, $d(131)$, and $d(150)$ from the samples synthesized on the QFM buffer (Table 1) were used to establish spacing curves. The variation of these $d$ values with composition is linear, or very nearly so, and the “least-squares cubic” equations, where $x$ is mole percent hedenbergite, are given below:

\[
\begin{align*}
    d(220) & = 3.2329 \pm 0.0003 + (0.000416 \pm 0.000012)x \\
    d(310) & = 2.9505 \pm 0.0002 + (0.000439 \pm 0.000018)x \\
    d(131) & = 2.5640 \pm 0.0002 + (0.000206 \pm 0.000014)x \\
    d(150) & = 1.7538 \pm 0.0002 + (0.000194 \pm 0.000003)x
\end{align*}
\]

The relation for $d(310)$ is based on data from 0 to 68.2 mole percent hedenbergite because for more iron-rich compositions this reflection merges with the (221) reflection and cannot be measured accurately. The data for $d(13\bar{1})$ are only linear from 0 to 52.3 mole percent hedenbergite and equation (6) should not be used for more iron-rich composition. Equations (4) and (7) are valid over the entire compositional interval.

The compositions of eight clinopyroxenes from Willsboro, New York were determined from the above relations by measuring two oscillations for the (310), (13\bar{1}), and (220) reflections and three for the (150) reflections. The clinopyroxene compositions as determined from these reflections are listed in Table 3 along with the compositions determined by X-ray fluorescence analysis for total iron.

The average composition determined from the $d$ values agrees with the X-ray fluorescence analysis to within $\pm 3.4$ mole percent hedenbergite except for sample MSR-12 which differs by 7.0 mole percent. This sample,
Unlike the others, is from a sphene and apatite-rich layer. The larger difference is probably due to titanium in the clinopyroxene.

These data indicate that the composition of clinopyroxenes coexisting with wollastonite can be approximately determined from equations (4) through (7) and probably to within ± 5 mole percent if other elements are known to be present in only very low concentrations.
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