Borocookeite, a new member of the chlorite group from the Malkhan gem tourmaline deposit, Central Transbaikalia, Russia

VICTOR Y. ZAGORSKY,^{1,*} IGOR S. PERETYAZHKO,¹ ANATOLIY N. SAPOZHNIKOV,¹ ANATOLIY P. ZHUKHLISTOV,² AND BORIS B. ZVYAGIN^{2,}[†]

¹Vinogradov Institute of Geochemistry, Siberian Branch, Russian Academy of Science, Irkutsk, Russia ²Institute of Geology of Ore Deposits, Petrography, Mineralogy, and Geochemistry, Russian Academy of Science, Moscow

ABSTRACT

Borocookeite, ideally $Li_{1+3x}Al_{4-x}(BSi_3)O_{10}(OH,F)_8$ (where "x" varies in the range 0.00–0.33 apfu), in which ^[4]Al is replaced by B relative to cookeite, occurs as a late-stage pocket mineral in the Sosedka and Mokhovaya pegmatite veins, Malkhan gem tourmaline deposit, Chikoy district, Chita oblast, Russia. Borocookeite proper, as well as boron-rich cookeite, is light grey with a pinkish or yellow hue and occurs as a dense, massive crypto-flaky aggregate or thin crusts and snow-like coatings on crystals of quartz, tourmaline, and feldspars from miarolitic cavities. Fragments of elbaite, danburite, and albite are included in the borocookeite mass. In some pockets, the coating is composed of borocookeite and boron-rich muscovite (or boromuscovite) which are not distinguishable visually. Chemical analysis yields (wt%): SiO₂ 34.19, TiO₂ 0.02, Al₂O₃ 41.77, FeO 0.06, MnO 0.07, MgO 0.04, CaO 0.08, Na₂O 0.01, K₂O < 0.01, Li₂O 4.65, Rb₂O 0.004, Cs₂O 0.005, B₂O₃ 4.06, BeO 0.05, H₂O⁺ 14.17, H₂O⁻ 0.11, F 1.22, -O = F 0.51, total 100.00. The empirical formula calculated on the basis of 28 positive charges is: $Li_{1,61}Al_{3,80}(Al_{0,44}B_{0,60}Be_{0,01}Si_{2,95})_{54,00}O_{10}[F_{0,33}(OH)_{7,81}]_{58,14}$. The unitcell parameters, calculated from X-ray powder diffraction data, are a = 5.110(4), b = 8.856(3), c =14.080(6) Å, $\beta = 96.93^{\circ}(4)$ these values are smaller than those for cookeite. $D_{calc} = 2.69(1)$ g/cm³. The mineral has a Mohs hardness of 3, light pinkish-grey streak, greasy luster, perfect (001) cleavage, and no parting or fracture. Optical properties (for white light): $\alpha = 1.574$, $\beta = 1.580$, $\gamma = 1.591$ (all ± 0.002), $2V_{\text{calc}} = 72^\circ$, dispersion not determined. The optical sign and the angle of the optical axis were not measured because of the small size and strong curvature of the mineral flakes.

Borocookeite, as well as other boron-rich phyllosilicate minerals, crystallized from evolved residual solutions in miarolitic cavities at temperatures not less 265-240 °C. The ratio of activities of K, Li, B, F, and H₂O in the mineral-forming fluids of isolated evolving pockets determined whether borocookeite or boromuscovite formed separately or together.

INTRODUCTION

Boron-rich layer silicates are very scarce in nature. Early in the past century A. Lacroix described the only known occurrence of manandonite (commonly erroneously referred to as belonging to the chlorite group) in pegmatites in Madagascar (Lacroix 1912, 1922). Boron-containing cookeites occur in pegmatites at the Muiane deposit in Mozambique (Sahama et al. 1968) at and Radkovice in the Czech Republic (Cernv et al. 1971). Boromuscovite was discovered in the Little Three pegmatite, California (Foord et al. 1989, 1991) and at Rečice in the Czech Republic (Liang et al. 1995). During an investigation of the miarolitic pegmatites of Transbaikalia and Pamirs, the authors collected numerous samples of boron-bearing mica and chlorite (Zagorsky et al. 1998). The boron-rich chlorites from pegmatites of the Malkhan deposit were later found to contain the new mineral species borocookeite. The name and the status of this mineral (00-013) were approved by the IMA Commission on New Minerals and Mineral Names (CNMMN). The type material (no. 2522/1) was subsequently deposited at the Fersman Mineralogical Museum of the Russian Academy of Science, Moscow.

FORMS AND CONDITIONS OF BOROCOOKEITE OCCURRENCE

The Malkhan pegmatite field represents the largest source of gem- and specimen-quality tourmaline in Russia. The deposit is located in the Krasny Chikoy area of the Chita region in Russia. The geology, mineralogy, and geochemistry of the pegmatite field are described in detail by Zagorsky and Peretyazhko (1992) and Zagorsky et al. (1999). The boron-rich micas and chlorites occur in many gem tourmaline-bearing pegmatite bodies in the district. However, true borocookeite occurs only in the Sosedka and Mokhovaya pegmatite veins. The type sample was collected from the Sosedka pegmatite. This vein is a steeply dipping lens-like pegmatite body, about 100 m long and up to 60 m thick, in metamorphosed diorite. Its external zones, 4–17 m thick, consist of schorl-bearing quartzoligoclase pegmatite with coarse graphic texture. The internal

^{*} E-mail: victzag@igc.irk.ru

[†] Deceased.

zone has a complex structure consisting predominantly of coarse graphic to pegmatitic K-feldspar alternating with blocky Kfeldspar and, in places, quartz. The K-feldspar is often albitized in fractures. In some places, the quartz-albite (and associated spessartine) rocks have a granitic texture. The albite is sometimes sugar-like in texture. Blocky K-feldspar encloses the majority of the visible miarolitic cavities, which are lined with lepidolite-albite assemblages containing differently colored tourmaline. There are also sites of a loose porous aggregate composed of thick-tabular albite crystals with small crystals of dark brown elbaite and rare danburite in numerous small vugs (so-called "steam leaching zones").

Borocookeite was found in pockets where it is associated with elbaite, lepidolite, danburite, boron-rich muscovite, laumontite, quartz, and albite. The mineral forms massive, nearly monomineralic aggregates, or thin (0.5-2 mm) crusts and snow-like coatings on the other minerals. Figures 1 and 2 show samples of borocookeite and B-rich cookeite (0.60 and 0.48 apfu tetrahedral B³⁺, respectively) recovered from loose pocket-filling material. The first sample is a dense, somewhat greasy, crypto- to fine-grained chlorite aggregate containing numerous crystals of pink elbaite, multicolored tourmaline up to 5 cm long, and segregations of pale violet flaky lepidolite, 1 cm in diameter (Fig. 1). The tourmaline crystals, as a rule, have smooth surfaces with vertical striations on the prism edges. The smaller crystals have good terminations. In addition, most of the chlorite contains small (up to 1.5 mm) segregations of semi-transparent, colorless, corroded albite and short columnar (up to 1 mm long) crystals of danburite. The size of the borocookeite flakes ranges from <0.01 mm to 0.15 mm. The flakes are bent, elongated, or, in places, wedge-like in shape with rough (unfaceted) edges.

The second sample is from a 2 mm thick crust on a 2 cm long crystal of elbaite. The crust has a zonal structure (Fig. 2). The external zone of the crust represents a dense fine-flaky aggregate of boron-rich cookeite with a minor amount of quartz. The internal zone is porous and consists of a weakly consolidated chlorite aggregate containing an admixture of well-formed crystals of transparent colorless quartz, white laumontite, and fragile, plate-like separations of an unidentified dark brown amorphous substance. X-ray diffraction patterns of the amorphous material, annealed at 800 °C in air, show no recrystallization. Microprobe analysis indicates that this substance contains the following components (average of three analyses in wt%): SiO₂ 45.74, TiO₂ 0.25, Al₂O₃ 34.25, FeO 5.33, MgO 0.85, MnO 0.02, CaO 0.28, K₂O 1.04, Na₂O 0.14, Cr₂O₃ 0.04, NiO 0.04, total 87.98.

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

Borocookeite is light-grey with a pinkish or yellowish hue. The mineral has a greasy luster, a light pinkish-grey streak, and Mohs hardness of 3. It is not transparent or elastic, but has perfect (001) cleavage. Parting and fracture were not observed. The density measured by suspension in a water-Klerichi liquid mixture is 2.62(1) g/cm³, $D_{calc} = 2.69(1)$ g/cm³. The 0.07–0.08 g/cm³ difference between the calculated and measured values probably results from air trapped in the fine-grained masses of borocookeite. Using a Ur-2.0 device the following bands are distinguished in infrared absorption spectra of the mineral for the range 200–4000 cm⁻¹: 485, 535, 665, 770, 795, 950, 1100, 1550, 3580, and 3700 cm⁻¹.

OPTICAL PROPERTIES

The optical properties of borocookeite were determined for the white variety. The mineral is colorless, without pleochroism. Dispersion was not established. The principal indices of refraction are as follows: $\alpha = 1.574(2)$, $\beta = 1.580 (1.576-1.582)$, $\gamma = 1.591(2)$. The latter parameter coincides with the elongation of the mineral flakes. The optical sign and the angle of the optical axis were not measured because of the very small size and curvature of the mineral flakes. The 2V, calculated by analogy with optically positive cookeite, is 57–86° (72° average).



FIGURE 1. Multicolored tourmaline crystals (1) in fine-flaky aggregate of borocookeite (2). Sample MLX-2705, Sosedka mine. The sample size is 5×5 cm.



FIGURE 2. Zoned coating of boron-rich chlorite on an elbaite crystal. Sample MP-175-3, Mokhovaya mine. The sample length is 2 cm. 1 = Elbaite; 2 and 3 = inner porous (2) and outer dense (3) coating zones.

CHEMISTRY

Borocookeite was analyzed by a variety of methods, and in some instances, in duplicate. The analytical results by wet chemistry (B₂O₃, BeO, H₂O, F), flame photometry (Li₂O, Rb₂O, Cs₂O) and electron microprobe (SiO₂, TiO₂, Al₂O₃, FeO, MnO, MgO, CaO, K₂O, Na₂O) are shown in Table 1. As determined from the X-ray data, the material studied by wet chemistry and flame photometry contains insignificant (about 2 wt%) quartz admixture. The presence of quartz explains the 1.91 wt% difference in SiO₂ content between wet chemical and electron microprobe analyses. Therefore, the data on the composition of borocookeite were recalculated to 100% after deduction of admixed quartz.

The composition of borocookeite is close to the theoretical composition of boron lithium chlorite LiAl₄(BSi₃)O₁₀(OH)₈, but differs in increased lithium and decreased boron contents (Table 1). Borocookeite contains up to 1.22 wt% F and 4.06 wt% B₂O₃, The associated lepidolite contains only 0.13 wt% B₂O₃, which is 3 times lower than in lepidolite from the pegmatite at Řečice, Czech Republic, which contains tourmaline, hambergite, and

boromuscovite in pockets (Novak et al. 1999). The boron-rich cookeites contain elevated BeO (0.05–1.06 wt%), which exceeds by 50 to 1000 times the content of this element in boromuscovite (Foord et al. 1991). However, the concentrations of Rb and Cs in boron-rich chlorite is 1–2 times lower than in boron-bearing mica, in which Rb predominates over Cs, whereas the chlorite samples show opposite ratios (Zagorsky et al. 1998). The sum of the oxides of K, Na, Ca, Mg, Fe, and Mn in borocookeite and other boron-rich chlorites does not exceed 0.25 wt%. Ba, Pb, Cu, Zn (20–30 ppm), Ge, Bi, Nb (3–6 ppm) and Ag (0.1ppm) were measured in borocookeite by optical spectral analysis.

X-RAY AND ELECTRON DIFFRACTION AND UNIT-CELL DATA

The borocookeite material was not suitable for single-crystal study because of its small size and the curvature of the mineral flakes. The powder diffraction data for borocookeite (Table 2) were obtained with a DRON-3 diffractometer using CuK α radiation. The patterns correspond closely to the 14 Å chlorite structure. According to the distribution of the 20*l* and $\overline{2}0l$ re-

TABLE 1. Chemical composition (wt%) and unit-cell data for borocookeite, boron-bearing cookeite, manandonite, and lepidolite

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0:0	1	2	04.70	4	5	0	7
SIO ₂	34.19	35.09	34.72	34.15	35.62	23.02	54.69
TIO ₂	0.02	0.04	0.02	n.d.			0.01
AI_2O_3	41.77	39.98	43.56	46.35	40.31	47.85	20.38
Fe ₂ O ₃			0.20	0.09		0.36	
FeO	0.06	0.04					0.10
MnO	0.07	0.03	0.10			0.02	0.21
MgO	0.04	0.02	0.83	tr.		0.03	0.02
CaO	0.08	0.05	0.58	0.16		0.07	0.05
Na₂O	0.01	n.d.	0.07	0.10		0.13	0.19
K₂O	n.d	0.06		tr.			10.87
Li₂O	4.65	5.64	2.28	3.18	2.95	5.38	6.60
Rb₂O	0.004	0.0015					0.62
Cs ₂ O	0.005	0.0035					0.67
B ₂ O ₃	4.06	3.19	1.66	0.38	6.88	7.71	0.13
BeO	0.05	0.05		1.06			
H₂O⁺	14.17	15,28	14.21	14.06	14.24	15.40*	0.80*
H₂O-	0.11	0.31	1.70	0.42			
F	1.22	0.83		0.35			7.80
–O=F₂	0.51	0.35		0.15			3.28
Total	100.00	100.27	99.93	100.15	100.00	99.97	99.86
^[4] B ³⁺	0.60	0.48	0.26	0.06	1.00	1.15	
<i>a</i> ., Å	5.110(4)	5.120(4)	5.137(5)	5.16(2)		5.057(4)	
<i>b</i> ₂ . Å	8.859(3)	8.856(3)	8.890(6)	8.92(2)		8.765(7)	
c. Å	14.069(6)	14.080(6)	14,145(13)	14.21(6)		13,767(9)	
в, <i>г</i> .	96 93(4)°	97 05(4)°	96 78(7)°	$97^{\circ}15c(10c)$			
νÅ ³	632.2	633.6	000(1)	648.8		610.3	

Notes: Empirical structural formulas for chlorites calculated on the basis of 28 positive charges, and for lepidolite on the basis of 22 positive charges:

 $1 = Li_{1.61}Ca_{0.01}AI_{3.80} (AI_{0.44}B_{0.60}Be_{0.01}Si_{2.95})_{\Sigma 4.00}O_{10}(F_{0.33}OH_{7.67})_{\Sigma 8.00}; (OH)_{exp} = 8.14; borocookeite, sample MLX-2705, Sosedka mine, Malkhan deposit.$

 $2 = Li_{1.98}K_{0.01}AI_{3.65}(AI_{0.45}B_{0.48}Be_{0.01}Si_{3.06})_{\Sigma4.00}O_{10}(F_{0.23}OH_{7.77})_{\Sigma8.00}; (OH)_{exp} = 8.87; \text{ boron-rich cookeite, sample MP-175-3}, Mokhovaya mine, Malkhan deposit (Zagorsky et al. 1998).$

3 = $L_{0.82}Na_{0.01}Ca_{0.06}Mn_{0.01}Mg_{0.11}Fe_{0.10}^{*}Al_{3.90}$ ($Al_{0.66}B_{0.26}Si_{3.08})_{24.00}O_{10}$ (OH)₈; (OH)_{exp} = 8.42; boron-rich cookeites: Radkovice, Czech Republic. Recalculated analysis after deduction of lepidolite admixture. Mineral contains (wt%) 0.051 GeO₂, 0.011 Ga₂O₃, 0.016 Cr₂O₃, and 0.036 NiO (Černý et al. 1971)

 $4 = Li_{1.12} Na_{0.02} Ca_{0.02} Al_{4.02} (Al_{0.74} B_{0.06} Be_{0.22} Si_{2.98})_{\Sigma 4.00} O_{10} (F_{0.10} OH_{7.90})_{\Sigma 8.00}; \ (OH)_{exp} = 8.18; \ boron-bearing \ cookeites \ Muiane, \ Mozambique \ (Sahama \ et \ al. 1968).$

 $5 = \text{LiAl}_4 (\text{BSi}_3)O_{10}(\text{OH})_8$; theoretical composition of boron-lithium chlorite (Fleischer 1987).

 $6 = Li_{1.87} Na_{0.02} Ca_{0.01} Fa_{3^{+}0.2}^{3} AI_{4.01} (AI_{0.86} B_{1.15} Si_{1.39})_{\Sigma 4.00} O_{10} (OH)_{8}; (OH)_{exp} = 8,87; manandonite, Sahatany valley, Madagascar (Ranorosoa et al. 1989).$

7 = (K_{0.92}Na_{0.02}Rb_{0.03}Cs_{0.02})_{20.99} (Li_{1.75}Fe⁵_{0.01}Mn_{0.01}Al_{1.21})_{22.88} (Al_{0.37}B_{0.02}Si_{3.61})_{24.00}O₁₀(F_{1.63}OH_{0.37})_{22.05}; (OH)_{exp} = 0.35; lepidolite in association with borocookeite, sample MLX-2705, Sosedka mine, Malkhan deposit.

 ${}^{[4]}B^{3+}$ = boron unit-cell content at the tetrahedral site. n.d. = not detected. tr. = traces. (OH)_{exp} = experimental concentration of OH.

* H₂O_{total}.

	borocookeite MLX-2705				boron-bearing cookeite (Sahama et al. 1968)			manandonite (Ranorosoa et al. 1989)		
hkl	∅′ _{obs}	<i>d</i> _{calc}	/	hkl	d	/	hkl	d	/	
001	14.1	13.96	10	001	14.03	17	-	-	-	
002	7.05	6.99	50	002	7.03	29	002	6.92	100	
003	4.71	4.66	70	003	4.70	100	_	-	-	
-	-		-	020,110	4.44	6	110	4.362	25	
_	-		_	-	_	_	111	4.158	10	
004	3.512	3.493	100	004	3.52	87	004	3.447	80	
005	2.807	2.794	20	005	2.816	47	_	-	-	
<u>2</u> 00	2.545	2.542	5	200	2.555	7	_	-	-	
202	2.491	2.487	8	202	2.503	10	131,201	2.489	15	
006	2.332	2.328	14	006	2.347	11	132,202	2.376	35	
202	2.304	2.300	16	202	2.315	9	_	-	-	
_	-		_	-	_	_	133,203	2.214	5	
007	2.001	1.996	7	007	2.013	18	134,204	2.039	10	
_	-		_	205	1.990	2	_	-	-	
204	1.946	1.946	17	204	1.959	4	_	-	-	
-	-		-	-	-	-	135,205	1.863	15	
800	1.746	1.746	5	008	1.761	7	008	1.720	<5	
206	1.620	1.622	10	206	1.634	3	136,206	1.698	5	
=	-		-	009	1.566	2	-	-	-	
208	1.527	1.528	5	-	_	_	_	-	-	
_	-		_	-	_	_	137,207	1.552	3	
060	1.476	1.476	7	060	1.486	3	330,060	1.461	10	
00.10	1.396	1.397	5	00.10	1.410	6	138,208	1.424	10	

TABLE 2. X-ray powder diffraction data for borocookeite, boron-bearing cookeite, and manandonite

flections, the strongest reflections 202, 204, and 206 being especially indicative, the structure is composed of units Ia (Bailey 1975) or 0330(3) (Zvyagin et al. 1979), which are characteristic of cookeite (Vrublevskaya et al. 1975). The 20*l* reflections coincide with $\overline{1.3.l} + 1$ and the $\overline{20l}$ reflections coincide with 1.3.*l*-1. These reflections were resolved in electron diffraction patterns of cookeite with perfect structure studied previously by Vrublevskaya et al. (1975). The unit-cell parameters of borocookeite, calculated from powder diffraction data, are as follows: a = 5.110(4), b = 8.856(3), c = 14.080(6) Å, $\beta = 96.93(4)^{\circ}$.

The borocookeite sample was studied by electron diffraction as well. The device used was an electronograph EMR-102, operating at 100 kV, and the tilt angle was 60 degrees. The texture patterns, where the three-dimensional set of hkl reflections is distributed two-dimensionally over a sequence of hk ellipses, displayed a semi-random chlorite structure (reflections with k = 3n being sharp and distinct, the others forming continuous ellipses of scattering). The intensities of reflections with k = 3n (especially 20*l* and $\overline{2}0l$; 13*l* and $\overline{1}3l$ of the second ellipse) are the same as for the perfect cookeite described in Vrublevskaya et al. (1975). Weak reflections indicated layer stacking type Ia, as reported by Bailey (1975), or t0s6s6t06, according to Zvyagin et al. (1979). The reflection positions define a pseudo-monoclinic unit cell with a = 5.114(2), b = 8.858(3), c = 14.14(2) Å, $\beta = 96.92(5)^{\circ}$, which is in good agreement with the X-ray powder data. The texture electron diffraction and X-ray powder data are therefore consistent with the idea that the borocookeite belongs to the pseudo C2/m space group as a degeneration of C1. In this case, B^{3+} and Al^{3+} may be allocated independently of Si4+ in the tetrahedral site, where B³⁺ dominates over Al³⁺.

DISCUSSION

The boron-rich aluminosilicates are considered to be rare minerals. Occurrences of layered boro-aluminosilicates, i.e., manandonite and boromuscovite, are very rare (Lacroix 1912, 1922; Ranorosoa et al. 1989; Foord et al. 1991; Liang et al. 1995; Zagorsky et al. 1998; Novak et al. 1999). Therefore, the material described here is of interest with respect to the role of isomorphism of boron in aluminosilicates and phyllosilicates in particular.

Previously, it was believed that boron and aluminum, while differing in their effective radius and ionization potential, do not make up a true isomorphous couple. Their joint presence in aluminosilicates is due to the so-called endocrypty phenomena alone (Fersman 1933; Gorbov 1976). The term "endocrypty" describes the situation where one of the elements cannot form its own mineral but is dissipated in the crystal structure of a mineral containing another element with similar crystallochemical properties (i.e., one of the endmember components is hypothetical). Recently, the experimental and theoretical aspects of the difference between proper isomorphism and endocrypty phenomena have been discussed in detail (Tauson 1999). An important argument in favor of endocrypty for the couple boron-aluminum is the absence of natural isomorphous mixtures intermediate in composition between borosilicates and their aluminosilicate analogues, e.g., mineral pairs like anorthite-danburite (CaAl₂Si₂O₈-CaB₂Si₂O₈), albitereedmergnerite (NaAlSi₃O₈-NaBSi₃O₈), and K-feldspar and its synthetic boron analogue (KAlSi₃O₈-KBSi₃O₈). However, we recently determined intermediate compositions in the mineral pair muscovite-boromuscovite [(KAl₂(AlSi₃)O₁₀(OH,F)₂-(KAl₂(BSi₃)O₁₀(OH,F)₂] (Zagorsky et al. 1998).

In lithium chlorites, as in the majority of natural and synthetic boron-rich silicates, e.g., reedmergnerite (Milton et al. 1960), boromuscovite (Foord et al. 1991), synthetic phlogopite and biotite (Noda et al. 1944; Eugster and Wright 1960; Stubican and Roy 1962), B³⁺ enters the tetrahedral site in place of Al³⁺, rather than Si⁴⁺, in spite of a closer proximity of the ionic radii of boron to silicon than to aluminum. In chlorites of the Malkhan field, the amount of B³⁺ replacing tetrahedral Al³⁺



FIGURE 3. Unit-cell dimensions vs. boron contents in tetrahedra of borocookeite, boron-bearing cookeites, and manandonite. The numbers correspond to the sample numbers in Table 1.

reaches 0.48–0.60 apfu which is at least twice that reported for lithium chlorites from the Muiane and Radkovice pegmatites (Sahama et al. 1968; Černý et al. 1971) where the amount of B^{3+} replacing tetrahedral Al^{3+} is 0.06–0.26 apfu. The available set of boron-bearing chlorite samples indicates the presence of a natural isomorphous series in cookeite -borocookeite, at least within the range of contents 0.00–0.60 apfu ^[4] B^{3+} . The entry of boron into the tetrahedral position of lithium chlorites results in a regular change of parameters *a*, *b*, and *c* (Fig. 3). Thus, the entry of boron into layered aluminosilicates is not limited to endocrypty phenomena only.

A different ratio of tetrahedral cations is observed in manandonite, which had an uncertain chemical formula and mineralogical status for a long time (Bailey 1975). Based on the chemical data of Lacroix (1912, 1922), Strunz (1957) proposed the formula LiAl₂[(OH)₂/AlBSi₂O₁₀]·Al₂(OH)₆ which does not balance electrostatically. Frank-Kamenetsky (1960) and Caillére et al. (1982) classified manandonite as dioctahedral and di-trioctahedral chlorite, respectively. Hawthorne and Cerny (1982), as well as London and Burt (1982), considered manandonite to be boron-rich cookeite. This appears to be the reason why Fleischer (1987) presented the formula LiAl₄(BSi₃)O₁₀(OH)₈ for manandonite which is not in agreement with the chemical composition of the mineral. Finally, Ranorosoa et al. (1989) and Zheng and Bailey (1995) restudied manandonite from the same locality originally described by Lacroix (1912) and showed clearly that it is isostructural with an amesite-like mineral and belongs to the 7 Å serpentine-kaolinite group, but not the 14 Å cookeite group. Additionally, manandonite was shown to differ from cookeite in its thermal behavior and infrared spectrum. From chemical composition and crystallographic properties, Ranorosoa et al. (1989) proposed the ideal formula Li₂Al₄(AlBSi₂)O₁₀(OH)₈ for manandonite, i.e., with B³⁺ predominantly entering the tetrahedral Si⁴⁺ site, instead of replacing Al^{3+,} whereas in cookeiteborocookeite B³⁺substitutes for tetrahedral Al^{3+.} The entry of boron in place of silicon in manandonite causes a change of the elementary cell parameters in the same proportions as in boron-bearing cookeites and borocookeite (Fig. 3). It appears that a necessary condition for manandonite formation may be significant undersaturation of silicon relative to alumina in boron-rich solutions.

In principle, the idealized formula of borocookeite would have been similar to that erroneously given to manandonite previously, LiAl₄(BSi₃)O₁₀(OH)₈ (Fleisher 1987). However, in practically all known boron-rich chlorites, the amount of octahedral Li exceeds 1 apfu, because of the substitution $3Li^+ \rightarrow$ Al³⁺, quite independent of the tetrahedral B³⁺ content. In particular, the above described borocookeite and boron-rich cookeite of the Malkhan deposit contain 1.61 and 1.98 apfu Li⁺, respectively (Table 1). The $3Li^+ \rightarrow Al^{3+}$ isomorphous scheme for lithium chlorites was substantiated previously (Cerny 1970). In this connection, the formula $Li_{1+3x}Al_{4-x}(BSi_3)O_{10}(OH)_8$ (where x = 0.00-0.33 is the amount of octahedral Al³⁺, which is replaced by Li⁺ according to the substitution $3Li^+ \rightarrow Al^{3+}$) is undoubtedly more correct for borocookeite. Thus the ideal formula for borocookeite is Li1+3xAl4-x(BSi3)O10(OH)8. Borocookeite is distinguished from cookeite by high boron (> 0.5 apfu at the tetrahedral site) and smaller unit cell parameters. It differs from manandonite in both composition and structure.

The place of boron-rich chlorites and micas in the genetic scheme of pegmatite formation is such that they crystallize from residual boron-rich solutions in miarolitic cavities during the final stage of the pegmatite-forming process. Thermobarogeochemical data show that the concentrations of boric acid in mineral-forming solutions at the post-magmatic stage of boromuscovite- and borocookeite-bearing pegmatite formation reach 27 wt% (Peretyazhko et al. 1999, 2000; Smirnov et al. 2000). The stability fields of boron-bearing micas and chlorites undoubtedly overlap. This is proved by the similar and quite particular features of their aggregates, association with the same minerals, and above all, their coupled presence in chlorite-muscovite aggregates containing 5.71 wt% B₂O₃ (Zagorsky et al. 1998). However, in most cases they occur separately. Which of the two boron-bearing minerals, chlorite or muscovite, is formed in each case, most probably depends on the ratio of activities of K, Li, B, F, and water in the residual solutions of autonomously evolving miarolitic cavities. Even in neighboring miarolitic cavities in the same pegmatite body, mineral compositions in the drusy complex and loose pocket filling material drastically differ, and ratios of the above-mentioned components do not remain constant (Zagorsky and Peretyazhko 1992; Zagorsky et al. 1999). According to geological and experimental data, as well as thermodynamic calculations, cookeite is stable within the range of temperatures from 250 to 480 °C and pressures from less than 0.5 to over 5



FIGURE 4. Phase relations under quartz- and water-saturated conditions in the $Li_2O-Al_2O_3$ -SiO₂-H₂O system (Vidal and Goffie 1991); and = andaluzite, bik = bikitaite, cook = cookeite, euc = eucriptite, kaol = kaolinite, ky = kyanite, pet = petalite, prl = pyrophyllite, qtz = quartz, spd = spodumene.

kbar (Rijks and van der Veen 1972; Vidal and Goffe 1991). From the P-T phase diagram for the SiO₂- and H₂O-saturated Li₂O-Al₂O₃-SiO₂-H₂O system (Fig. 4), it is evident that increasing pressure from 0.5 to 3 kbar expands the temperature range of cookeite stability from 295-365 °C to 275-435 °C (Vidal and Goffe 1991). In the same system with boric acid, the temperature range will be somewhat different, but it can be inferred that the effect of pressure on the temperature of mineral stability will remain the same. As a result of the "autoclave effect", the pressure in different miarolitic cavities of the same body, and in pockets from different bodies in particular, can be noticeably different. In some instances, pressure values can exceed external pressure, which causes pocket rupture (Foord et al. 1989; Zagorsky and Peretyazhko 1992; Zagorsky et al. 1999). From thermodynamic modeling, the boric acid concentrations in the mineral-forming solutions may affect fluid pressure in miarolitic cavities significantly (Peretyazhko and Zagorsky 2002). The effect of fluid pressure may explain why, in some cases, the boron-bearing cookeites begin crystallizing from solutions jointly with elbaite, whereas in others they develop only as lower temperature, late, snow-like coatings on tourmaline, smoky quartz, and other minerals of the drusy complex within pockets.

The temperatures of homogenization of primary fluid inclusions in pink tourmaline, with its crystals either enclosed in the massive aggregate of borocookeite or covered with a coating of borocookeite, range from 305 to 265 °C (Zagorsky et al.

1998). In the Sosedka vein, the homogenization temperature of primary fluid inclusions in smoky quartz with a coating of boron-rich muscovite and boron-rich cookeite is 390 °C, and in a later generation of transparent colorless quartz with hairlike syngenetic separations of fine-flaky mica it is 265-240 °C. The boron-rich micas and chlorites in pockets precede in time only the formation of zeolites, e.g., stilbite and laumontite. The occurrence and textural relationships with other minerals provide evidence for their formation by crystallization from solutions, rather than the result of metasomatic replacement of tourmaline, as was assumed for manandonite (Lacroix 1912). First, the boron-rich micas and chlorites form crusts and coatings not only on tourmaline, from which they could derive boron, but also on feldspar, quartz, and lepidolite, and secondly, crystals of tourmaline covered with such crusts retain their mirror-smooth faces, and normally do not show any signs of replacement.

It is suggested that in tourmaline-rich miarolitic pegmatites the boron-rich micas and chlorites are more widespread than commonly believed. However, boromuscovite proper and borocookeite in particular, represent rare minerals.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank V.A. Grigorieva, T.V. Ten, L.N. Matveeva, L.A. Pavlova, and I.Ye. Vasilieva for the chemical composition study. E.V. Belogub and V.Ye. Yeremyashev performed IR spectroscopy on the borocookeite samples. T. Bounaeva translated the paper from Russian. W.B. Simmons reviewed the manuscript and improved the English. We are grateful to K.L. Webber, S.L. Hanson, and Associate Editor A. Brearley for their reviews and contributions to editing of the manuscript. This work was supported by RFBR grants 01-05-64677 and 03-05-64092.

REFERENCES CITED

- Bailey, S.W. (1975) Chlorites. In J.E. Giesi King, Ed., Soils Components. V.2. Inorganic components, p. 191–263. Springer-Verland, New York.
- Caillére, S., Hénin, S., and Rautureau, M. (1982) Mineralogie des Argiles. vol.2: Classification et nomenclature. 2 ed. edit. 189 p. Masson, Paris.
- Cerný, P. (1970) Compositional variations in cookeites. Canadian Mineralogist, 10, 636–647.
- Černý, P., Povondra, P., and Stanek, J. (1971) Two cookeites from Czechoslovakia: a boron-rich variety and A IIb polytype. Lithos, 4, 7–15.
- Eugster, H.P. and Wright, T.L. (1960) Synthetic hydrous boron micas. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 400-B, 441–442.
- Fersman, A.Y. (1933) Geochemistry, vol. 1, 328 p. Moscow-Leningrad. Goskhimtekhizdat Press, (in Russian).
- Fleisher, M. (1987) Glossary of Mineral Species. The Mineralogical Record Inc., Tucson.
- Foord, E.E., Spaulding, L.B. Jr., Mason, R.A., and Martin, R.F. (1989) Mineralogy and paragenesis of the Little Tree mine pegmatites, Ramona district, San Diego County, California. Mineralogical Record, 20, 101–127.
- Foord, E.E., Martin, R.E., Fitzpatrick, J.J., Taggart Jr. J.E., and Crock, J.J. (1991) Boromuscovite, a new member of mica group, from the Little Tree mine pegmatite, Ramona district, San Diego County, California. American Mineralogist, 76, 1998–2002.
- Frank-Kamenetsky, V.A. (1960) A crystallochemical classification of simple and interstratified clay minerals. Clay Minerals Bulletin, 4, 161–172.
- Gorbov, A.F. (1976) Geochemistry of boron. Leningrad, Nedra Press, 206 p. (in Russ.)
- Hawthorne, F.C. and Černý, P. (1982) The Mica Group. In P. Černý, Ed., Granitic Pegmatites in Science and Industry, 63–98. Mineralogical Association of Canada, Winnipeg.
- Lacroix, A. (1912) Sur une nouvelle espese minerale (manandonite) des pegmatites de Madagascar. Bulletin de la Societe Francaise Mineralogie, 35, 223–226.
 ——(1922) Mineralogie de Madagascar, 392 p. Challamel, Paris.
- London, D. and Burt, D.M. (1982) Lithium minerals in pegmatites. In P. Černý, Ed., Short course in Granitic Pegmatites in Science and Industry, p. 99–133. Mineral Association of Canada, Winnipeg.
- Liang, J.-J., Hawthorne, F.C., Novak, M., Černý, P., and Ottolini, L. (1995) Crystalstructure refinement of boromuscovite polytypes using a coupled Reitveld-staticstructure energy-minimization method. Canadian Mineralogist, 33, 859–865.

- Milton, C.C., Chao, E.C.T., Axelrod, J.M., and Grimaldi, F.S. (1960) Reedmerdgnerite, NaBSi₃O₈, the boron analogue of albite, from the Green River formation, Utah. American Mineralogist, 5, 188–199.
- Noda, T., Daimon, N., and Toyoda, H. (1944) Synthesis of boron mica. Journal of the Society of Chemical Industry of Japan, 47, 499–502 (in Japanese).
- Novak, M., Černý P., Cooper, M., Hawthorne, F., Ottolini, L., Xu Zhi, and Liang, J.-J. (1999) Boron-bearing 2M₁ polylithionite and 2M₁+1M boromuscovite from an elbaite pegmatite at Řečice, western Moravia, Czech Republic. European Journal of Mineralogy, 11, 669–678.
- Peretyazhko, I.S. and Zagorsky, V.Y. (2002) The influence of H₃BO₃ on fluid pressure in granitic pegmatite miaroles: a computation of isochors and the density of boric solutions. Doklady Earh Science, Intern. Academic Publishing Company "Nauka/Interperiodica" (Russia), 383 p.
- Peretyazhko, I.S., Zagorsky, V.Y., Prokof'ev V.Y., and Smirnov, S.Z. (1999) Boric acid as the most typical component of fluid inclusions in minerals from tourmaline-bearing and topaz-beryl miarolitic pegmatites. Canadian Mineralogist, 37, 823–825.
- Peretyazhko, I.S., Prokofiev, V.Y., Zagorsky, V.Y., and Smirnov, S.Z. (2000) Role of boric acids in the formation of pegmatite and hydrothermal minerals: Petrologic consequences of sassolite (H₃BO₃) discovery in fluid inclusions. Petrology, 8, 214–237.
- Ranorosoa, N., Fontan, F., and Fransolet, A-M. (1989) Rediscovery of manandonite in the Sahatany valley, Madagascar. European Journal of Mineralogy, 1, 633– 638.
- Rijks, H.R.P. and van der Veen, A.N. (1972) The geology of tin-bearing pegmatites of eastern part of Kamativi district, Rhodesia. Mineral Deposita, 7, 383–395.
- Sahama, T.G., Knorring, O.V., and Lehtinen, M. (1968) Cookeite from the pegmatite, Zambezia, Mozambigue. Lithos, 1, 12–19.
- Smirnov, S.Z., Peretyazhko, I.S., Prokof'ev, V.Y., Zagorsky, V.Y., and Shebanin, A.P. (2000) The first finding of sassolite (H₃BO₃) in fluid inclusions in minerals. Russian Geology and Geophysics, 41, 193–205.

- Strunz, H. (1957) Bor und berillium in phyllosilikaten. Rendiconti Societa di Mineralogia, 3, 372.
- Stubican, V. and Roy, R. (1962) Boron substitution in synthetic micas and clays. American Mineralogist, 7, 1166–1173.
- Tauson, V.L. (1999) Isomorphism and endocrypty: new approaches to study the behavior of trace elements in mineral systems. Russian Geology and Geophysics, 40, 1468–1474.
- Vidal, O. and Goffe, B. (1991) Cookeite LiAl₄(Si₃Al)O₁₀(OH)₈: Experimental study and thermodynamic analysis of its compatibility relations in the Li₂O-Al₂O₃-SiO₂-H₂O system. Contribution to Mineralogy and Petrology, 108, 72–81.
- Vrublevskaya, Z.V., Delitsin, I.S., Zvyagin, B.B., and Soboleva, S.V. (1975) Cookeite with a perfect regular structure, formed by bauxite alteration. American Mineralogist, 60, 1041–1046.
- Zagorsky, V.Y. and Peretyazhko, I.S. (1992) Pegmatites with precious stones of the Central Transbaikalia. Novosibirsk, Nauka Press, 224 p. (in Russian).
- Zagorsky, V.Y., Peretyazhko, I.S., and Sapozhnikov, A.N. (1998) Boron-rich micas and chlorites from miarolitic pegmatites. Zapiski Rossiyskogo Mineralogicheskogo Obschestva (Procedings of the Russian Mineralogical Society), 6, 55–68 (in Russian).
- Zagorsky, V.Y., Peretyazhko, I.S., and Smakin, B.M. (1999) Miarolitic pegmatites. Vol. 3. Granitic Pegmatites. Novosibirsk, Nauka Press, 485 p. (in Russian).
- Zheng, H. and Bailey, S.W. (1995) The crystal structure of manandonite-2H₂. American Mineralogist, 80, 387–393.
- Zvyagin, B.B., Vryblevskaya, Z.V., Zhukhlistov, A.P., Sidorenko, O.V., Soboleva, S.V., and Fedotov, A.F. (1979) Vysokovoltnaya electronografiya v issledovanii sloistykh mineralov. Moscow, Nauka Press, 224 p. (in Russian).

MANUSCRIPT RECEIVED JUNE 11, 2002

- MANUSCRIPT ACCEPTED JANUARY 20, 2003
- MANUSCRIPT HANDLED BY ADRIAN BREARLEY