The atomic structure of deuterated boyleite ZnSO₄·4D₂O, ilesite MnSO₄·4D₂O, and bianchite ZnSO₄·6D₂O

JENNIFER L. ANDERSON, 1 RONALD C. PETERSON, 1,* AND IAN SWAINSON²,†

¹Department of Geological Sciences and Geological Engineering, Queen's University Kingston, Ontario K7L 3N6, Canada ²Canadian Neutron Beam Center, National Research Council, Chalk River Laboratories, Chalk River, Ontario, K0J 1J0, Canada

ABSTRACT

Deuterated boyleite $ZnSO_4 \cdot 4D_2O$, was synthesized and the atomic structure, including D positions, was successfully refined in a combined histogram neutron diffraction refinement. The cell dimensions for boyleite are a = 5.9144(2), b = 13.5665(4), c = 7.8924(2) Å, and $\beta = 90.668(2)^\circ$ with space group $P2_1/n$ and Z = 4. The atomic structure including D positions of the isostructural mineral ilesite, MnSO₄·4D₂O, was refined and the cell dimensions are a = 5.9753(1), b = 13.8186(3), c = 8.0461(1) Å, and $\beta = 90.826(2)^\circ$. Deuterated bianchite $ZnSO_4 \cdot 6D_2O$ was synthesized and the atomic structure, including D positions, was successfully refined with a unit cell of a = 9.969(1), b = 7.2441(7), c = 24.249(3) Å, and $\beta = 98.488(5)^\circ$ in space group C2/c and Z = 8. A comparison of the hydrogen bonding in $M^{2+}SO_4 \cdot 4D_2O$ with that in $M^{2+}SO_4 \cdot 6D_2O$ shows that bifurcated hydrogen bonds are common in the tetrahydrate sulfates but nonexistent in the hexahydrate structures. This is a result of the packing constraints of the rings of the sulfate and metal-containing octahedra in the tetrahydrates. In the hexahydrate sulfates there is no direct linkage between the sulfate and metal-containing octahedra and hydrogen bonds are optimized without packing constraints, and no bifurcated hydrogen bonds are observed.

Keywords: Bianchite, boyleite, ilesite, hexahydrite, moorhouseite, Ni-hexahydrite, rozenite, crystal-structure refinement, neutron diffraction, hydrogen bonding

INTRODUCTION

The minerals boyleite, $ZnSO_4\cdot 4H_2O$, and ilesite, $MnSO_4\cdot 4H_2O$, are members of the rozenite group ($M^{2+}SO_4\cdot 4H_2O$; $M^{2+}=Fe$, Mg, Mn, Co, Zn) $P2_1/n$ (Table 1) (Gaines et al. 1997). All rozenite group minerals structures are composed of isolated [$M^{2+}(SO_4)]_2\cdot 8H_2O$ ring-shaped structural units (Fig. 1), linked to each other by a three-dimensional network of H-bonds.

Boyleite was first described by Walenta (1978) as a product of sphalerite decomposition in the presence of gypsum. The type specimen of boyleite has a chemical composition of (Zn_{0.84}Mg_{0.16}) SO₄·4H₂O and was found as white, earthy, reniform masses at the Kropback quarry, southern Black Forest, Germany (Walenta 1978). More recently described occurrences of boyleite include the Mole River mine, northern New South Wales (Ashley and Lottermoser 1999), and Valais, Switzerland (Perroud et al. 1987). The presence of boyleite is dependent on the dehydration rate; therefore, boyleite may be present in many localities where goslarite ZnSO₄·7H₂O, bianchite ZnSO₄·6H₂O, and gunningite ZnSO₄·H₂O are found. Notable hydrous Zn-sulfate mineral localities include the type locality of gunningite, Keno Hill-Galena Hill area, Yukon, (Jambor and Boyle 1962) and the Geul Valley goslarite locality near Moresnet, Belgium (Schuiling

1992). Ilesite was first described in 1881 by Iles as an alteration product of sulfide veins at the head of the Hall Valley, Park County, Colorado. The optical properties of synthetic material were described by Larsen and Glenn (1920).

The atomic structure of boyleite was determined by X-ray diffraction analysis of a single crystal produced as an unexpected by-product of another experiment (Blake et al. 2001, annotated by Baur 2002). The use of X-rays to determine H-positions, whose bond lengths were then restrained, and the hydration/dehydration of the sample during data collection, which was partially corrected with a linear isotropic crystal decay correction factor, contributed to the compromised quality of the boyleite data set (Blake et al. 2001). Baur (2002) predicted that the structure of ilesite is very similar to that of rozenite and the atomic structure and approximate hydrogen positions of ilesite were confirmed by Held and Bohaty (2002) through the least-squares refinement of single-crystal X-ray diffraction data.

Bianchite is a member of the hexahydrite group group $(M^{2+}SO_4\cdot 4H_2O; M^{2+} = Fe, Mg, Mn, Co, Zn, Ni) C2/c$ (Table 1) (Gaines et al. 1997). All hexahydrite group minerals structures are composed of isolated $[M^{2+}(H_2O)_6]$ octahedra and sulfate tetrahedra held together only by hydrogen bonding. Minerals of the hexahydrite group are commonly associated with metal sulfide minerals that have been exposed to hydration and oxidation processes. Natural occurrences of the hexahydrite group of minerals indicate that these minerals are often intermixed with

^{*} E-mail: peterson@geol.queensu.ca

[†] Present address: Canadian Centre for Nuclear Innovation, 111-105 North Road (Peterson Building), Saskatoon S7N 4L5, Canada.

				, ,	•		
M ²⁺	Mineral	a (Å)	b (Å)	c (Å)	β (°)	V (ų)	Reference
Mg	starkeyite	5.922(6)	13.604(4)	7.905(5)	90.85(17)	636.8	Baur (1962)
Mg	starkeyite	5.922(6)	13.604(4)	7.905(5)	90.85(17)	636.8	Baur (1964a)
Co	aplowite	5.952(1)	13.576(2)	7.908(1)	90.53(1)	638.9	Kellersohn (1992)
Co	aplowite	5.94	13.56	7.90	90.5	634.3	Jambor and Boyle (1965)
Fe	rozenite	5.979(4)	13.648(4)	7.977(3)	90.4(2)	650.1	Baur (1962)
Fe	rozenite	5.94	13.59	7.94	90.5	641.5	Jambor and Traill (1963)
Zn	boyleite	5.904(3)	13.519(6)	7.883(6)	90.26(6)	629.2	Blake et al. (2001)
Zn	boyleite	5.9144(2)	13.5665(4)	7.8924(2)	90.668(2)	633.2	boyleite, this study
Mn	ilesite	5.9783(6)	13.809(1)	8.0481(7)	90.80(1)	664.3	Held and Bohaty(2002)
Mn	ilesite	5.9753(1)	13.8186(3)	8.0461(1)	90.826(2)	664.3	ilesite, this study
Mg	hexahydrite	10.110(5)	7.212(4)	24.410(1)	98.30(5)	1761.2	Zalkin et al. 1964
Zn	bianchite	9.969(1)	7.2441(7)	24.249(3)	98.488(5)	1732.0	bianchite, this study
Fe	ferrohexahydrite	10.08	7.28	24.59	98.37	1785.3	Vlasov and Kuznetsov (1962)
Ni	nickel-hexahydrite	9.878(2)	7.214(2)	24.065(6)	98.37(2)	1696.6	Gerkin and Reppart (1988)
Co	moorehouseite	10.022(3)	7.217(2)	24.224(3)	98.42(2)	1733.2	Elerman (1988)
Mn	chvaleticeite	10.05(2)	7.24(2)	24.3(1)	98.0(2)	1750.9	Pasava et al. (1986)

TABLE 1. Unit-cell dimensions for rozenite group minerals $P2_1/n$ and hexahydrite group minerals C2/c




FIGURE 1. Three four-membered $[Zn^{2+}(SO_4)]_2 \cdot 8H_2O$ rings in boyleite consisting of two sulfate tetrahedra and two zinc-containing octahedra sharing corners. The four D_2O molecules form part of the coordination of zinc. Deuterium atoms are shown as small spheres (Dowty 2011). Hydrogen bonding links these groups together.

minerals of the epsomite and/or kieserite group minerals. The hydration and dehydration behavior of these minerals is complex and varies with temperature, relative humidity, acidity, chemical composition as well as the rate of change of these parameters. Bianchite has been observed by the authors at the Baccu Locci Mine, Sardinia (Bakos et al. 1990), and the Brunswick Mine, Bathurst, New Brunswick, Canada, where it is closely associated with goslarite, boyleite, and gunningite.

The atomic structures and hydrogen positions have been determined for some of the iso-structural members of the hexahydrite group minerals or their synthetic equivalents (Zalkin et al. 1964; Kellersohn et al. 1993a; Bargouth and Will 1981; Batsanov 2000) but not for bianchite, chvaleticeite MnSO₄·6H₂O and ferrohexahydrite FeSO₄·6H₂O. Chvaleticeite and ferro-hexahydrite are not commonly observed in nature.

The present study presents the refined atomic structures, including D positions, of boyleite, ilesite, and bianchite as determined by the refinement of neutron diffraction data collected from synthetic, deuterated powder specimens.

PHASE RELATIONSHIPS

Figure 2 presents the phase diagram for the $ZnSO_4 \cdot H_2O$ system showing the fields of stability for goslarite and bianchite as determined by the humidity-buffer method (Chou and Seal 2005) and the position of the bianchite-gunningite boundary based on

thermodynamic data (Wagman et al. 1982). A field of stability for boyleite is not represented in the diagram. Similarly the tetrahydrate, starkeyite, of the MgSO₄·H₂O system, is absent from the relevant equilibrium phase equilibria diagram of Chou et al. (2005) and has been found to be metastable based on thermodynamic data (Grevel and Majzlan 2009). The derived standard Gibbs free energy of reaction for the hexahydrite-starkeyite transformation has been determined by the humidity buffer method (Chou et al. 2005). In thermo-gravimetric experiments in the ZnSO₄·H₂O system boyleite may or may not be observed and this behavior is likely due to differences in the heating rate and sample history (Guenot et al. 1969; Pannetier et al. 1966; Uvaliev and Motornaya 1989). The appearance of aplowite CoSO₄·4H₂O during the dehydration of synthetic bieberite CoSO₄·7H₂O (Sinha and Deshpande 1989) and the appearance of boyleite during the dehydration of bianchite is suggested to be dependent on the history of the sample although the difficulty in detecting these phases in thermo-gravimetric dehydration experiments may be due to the narrow field of stability of these minerals (Guenot et al. 1969). Rhomer (1939) reports that in the MnSO₄·H₂O system, ilesite MnSO₄·4H₂O does not occur as a stable phase during the dehydration of synthetic mallardite MnSO₄·7H₂O. Rozenite FeSO₄·4H₂O is the only rozenite group mineral observed to have a well-defined field of stability (Chou et al. 2002). The differing dehydration paths observed for these materials may be the result




FIGURE 2. The equilibrium phase diagram for the $ZnSO_4$ - H_2O system. The dashed lines are reproduced from Chou and Seal (2005) and references therein. Symbols A through E indicate the conditions of the glove-box relative-humidity chamber dehydration experiments. At A (33% RH) goslarite dehydrated to boyleite and remained a single phase for 11 days before dehydrating to gunningite. At B (43% RH) goslarite dehydrated to boyleite and remained a single phase for 70 days before dehydrating to gunningite. At C (54% RH) goslarite dehydrated to bianchite; at D (59% RH) a mixture of goslarite and bianchite remained in the sample after one year; and at E (69% RH) goslarite remained after one year. The arrow represents conditions of the in situ diffraction experiment (57–26% RH, 37 °C) where goslarite dehydrated rapidly to a mixture of bianchite, boyleite, and gunningite and shown in Figure 3.

of kinetic limitations on the various dehydration reactions. The dehydration of goslarite to boyleite appears to be more favored than dehydration to bianchite under conditions sufficiently far from equilibrium conditions. Figure 2 presents the results of five static experiments where goslarite powder was left to equilibrate for up to a year under controlled relative humidity conditions. The results are consistent with the phase boundary of Chou and Seal (2005). Also shown in Figure 2 is the range of humidity at 37 °C under which in situ measurements were made of the stability of goslarite using a chamber described by Peterson and Grant (2005). A small amount of finely ground goslarite was dusted onto a glass plate. The chamber was closed and the temperature of the sample reached 37 °C within 2 min. The humidity was 39% when the chamber was closed and increased to 57% after 25 min. An X-ray diffraction scan at this time showed that the amount of goslarite had decreased and bianchite had begun to form but there was no evidence of boyleite or gunningite. After 85 min the humidity was 42% and bianchite had continued to grow at the expense of goslarite and boyleite and gunningite had yet to appear. At 130 min with a relative humidity of 26%, bianchite reached the maximum amount that was generated and some boyleite and a significant amount of gunningite had formed. At 150 min with a relative humidity of 26%, a small amount of goslarite and bianchite remained with a slight increase in boyleite but the sample was mostly gunningite based on relative intensities of the X-ray diffraction peaks. Figure 3 shows the results of these in situ experiments. This non-equilibrium experiment was conducted under the temperature and relative humidity conditions where gunningite is the predicted stable phase (Fig. 2). The order of appearance of the bianchite, boyleite, and gunningite is consistent with a process where the dehydration reaction is

controlled by diffusion of H_2O . The initial sample of goslarite was finely ground but the formation of the lower hydrates is controlled by the diffusion of H_2O from these fine grains. Over time the grains become zoned with the lower hydrates forming on the outside and limiting further diffusion of H_2O from the higher hydrate phases remaining in the center of the grains.

SYNTHESIS

Boyleite

Deuterated crystals of goslarite were synthesized from solution using a mixture of reagent grade ZnSO₄·7H₂O (Fisher Z68) and 0.1 M D₂SO₄ [prepared from D₂O (AECL ZX098) and D₂SO₄ (C/D/N Isotopes Inc. D-39)] (Anderson et al. 2005). The deuterated goslarite was synthesized, dissolved in 0.1 M D₂SO₄ and the synthesis was repeated until the infrared spectrum of goslarite showed a maximum D₂O:H₂O peak height ratio. The well-deuterated goslarite was powdered and placed in a chamber of 43% relative humidity at 23 °C, buffered by a saturated salt solution of MgNO₃-D₂O (Greenspan 1977) and left for 10 days to produce the deuterated boyleite used in the neutron diffraction experiment. This synthesized boyleite was powdered within the buffered-humidity environment to prevent any hydration, dehydration, or H-D exchange of the sample. Prior to removal from the humidity-buffered chamber the sample was sealed inside the vanadium sample canister used for the neutron diffraction experiment to prevent H-D exchange or dehydration.

The infrared spectrum of boyleite (Fig. 4) was obtained with a Nicolet Avatar 320 Fourier-transform infrared spectrometer and a Golden Gate diamond ATR. Comparison of the boyleite spectra with that of liquid water shows there to be very little hydrogen in the sample studied.




FIGURE 3. Results of the in situ diffraction experiment in a relative humidity and temperature controlled chamber (Peterson and Grant 2005). A sample of finely ground goslarite (indicated as 7) was dusted onto a low-background sample holder and placed into the chamber at 37 °C. (a) The initial scan (t = 0.00) shows a single phase of goslarite and subsequent histograms show the dehydration of goslarite and the growth of bianchite (6). (b) Bianchite growth continues as boyleite (4) and gunningite (1) peaks emerge. (c) Gunningite grows at the expense of goslarite and bianchite. The relative humidity in the chamber was lowered from 57 to 26% relative humidity at 37 °C during the course of the 2.5 h long experiment.




FIGURE 4. Infrared reflectance spectra of deuterated samples of boyleite, bianchite, and goslarite.

Ilesite

Ilesite was synthesized through precipitation directly from a solution of MnSO₄ (AC42391-5000) and 0.1 M D_2SO_4 at 23 °C. This was accomplished within a glove box in a 70% relative humidity- D_2O buffered environment at 23 °C. The sample used in the diffraction experiment was ground and packed under these conditions. The infrared spectrum of ilesite (Fig. 5) was obtained with a Nicolet Avatar 320 Fourier-transform infrared

spectrometer and a Golden Gate diamond ATR. Comparison of the ilesite spectra with that of H₂O show there to be very little hydrogen in the sample studied.

Bianchite

Colorless goslarite crystals were crystallized from solution at room temperature with 10 g reagent grade ZnSO₄ (Fisher Z234Z) and 15 mL of 0.1 M D₂SO₄ prepared from D₂O (AECL ZX098)




FIGURE 5. Infrared reflectance spectra of deuterated ilesite.

for ilesite

and D₂SO₄ (C/D/N Isotopes Inc. D-39). The deuterated goslarite was ground with a mortar and pestle within a chamber of 59% relative humidity (Anderson and Peterson 2005), buffered by a NaBr-D₂O saturated solution (Fisher S255 and AECL ZX098, respectively). The sample was ground periodically within the controlled-humidity environment to encourage complete dehydration to bianchite. The sample was confirmed as a single-phase bianchite by X-ray powder diffraction analysis prior to the neutron experiment. The infrared spectra for bianchite (Fig. 4) was obtained with a Nicolet Avatar 320 Fourier-transform infrared spectrometer and a Golden Gate diamond ATR.

NEUTRON DIFFRACTON EXPERIMENTS

The powdered samples were packed in a vanadium sample canister and sealed with soft malleable indium wire to prevent hydration or dehydration during the neutron experiment. Neutron diffraction data from powders of bianchite, boyleite, and ilesite were collected using wavelengths of 1.3308(1) and 2.3734(1) Å at room temperature using the Dualspec C2 high-resolution constant-wavelength powder diffractometer at the NRU reactor at Chalk River Laboratories [Canadian Neutron Beam Centre (CNBC), Chalk River, Ontario]. The neutron wavelengths were calibrated with the external standard NIST Si 640b. Neutron powder diffraction data were collected over a scattering range of 10 to 90 °2θ using a pyrolitic graphite filter and a silicon (311) monochromator at 92.7 °2θ.

The initial atom positions used in the boyleite refinement were taken from Blake et al. (2001) and those for ilesite were taken from Held and Bohaty (2002). Atomic structures were refined in the same unit-cell orientation as other rozenite group minerals to enable a convenient comparison with the isostructural phases (Table 1). The atomic structures were refined with the program GSAS (Larson and Von Dreele 2000) to $R_{\rm wp}({\rm tot})$ 3.52% and $R_{\rm p}({\rm tot})$ 2.48% for boyleite and $R_{\rm wp}({\rm tot})$ 3.54% and $R_{\rm p}({\rm tot})$ 2.51% for ilesite (Table 2). Neutron scattering lengths were taken from Sears (1992). No bond lengths or angles were restrained during the final least-squares cycles of the refinement. Atom coordinates and selected bond lengths and angles for boyleite and ilesite are presented in Tables 3 and 4, and hydrogen bonds for boyleite are represented schematically in Figure 6. CIFs available on deposit¹.

TABLE 2. Crystallographic data and refinement results for boyleite and ilesite, by combined histogram neutron powder diffraction refinement

	Boyleite	llesite
a (Å)	5.9144(2)	5.9753(1)
b (Å)	13.5665(4)	13.8186(3)
c (Å)	7.8924(2)	8.0461(1)
β°	90.688(2)	90.826(2)
V (ų)	633.22(3)	664.31(2)
	Refinements	
Neutron λ (Å)	2.3734	2.3734
No. obs.	648	134
R_{wp}	3.78%	4.19%
$R_{\rm p}$	2.26%	2.67%
Neutron λ (Å)	1.3308	1.3308
No. obs.	2035	1119
R_{wp}	3.36%	3.15%
R _p	2.6%	2.4%
Total R _{wp}	3.5%	3.5%
Total R _p	2.5%	2.5%

Note: Space group $P2_1/n$, Z = 4.

TABLE 3. Atom coordinates and equivalent isotropic-displacement parameters for boyleite (ZnSO₄·4D₂O) and ilesite (MnSO₄·4D₂O)

		Boyleite(Zn	ı)		llesite(Mn))		
	Х	у	Z	$U_{\rm iso}$	Х	у	Z	$U_{\rm iso}$
M^{2+}	0.068(1)	0.1014(6)	0.219(1)	0.5(2)	0.066(2)	0.106(1)	0.210(2)	1.4(4)
S	0.191(3)	0.106(1)	0.828(2)	0.2(4)	0.195(3)	0.106(1)	0.823(2)	0.2(5)
01	0.001(2)	0.0473(6)	0.756(1)	2.4(3)	0.008(2)	0.0512(7)	0.753(1)	2.5(3)
02	0.250(2)	0.0761(7)	0.999(1)	2.0(3)	0.254(1)	0.0746(9)	0.992(1)	2.8(4)
03	0.394(2)	0.0918(8)	0.718(1)	2.4(3)	0.392(2)	0.0967(9)	0.715(1)	2.5(3)
04	0.130(2)	0.2116(7)	0.821(1)	2.3(3)	0.144(2)	0.2121(8)	0.820(1)	2.6(3)
Ow1	0.368(2)	0.0762(8)	0.357(2)	3.0(3)	0.375(2)	0.0739(9)	0.357(2)	3.3(4)
Ow2	0.778(2)	0.1406(8)	0.084(2)	2.2(3)	0.760(2)	0.1397(9)	0.088(2)	2.7(4)
Ow3	0.881(2)	0.1244(8)	0.444(1)	1.9(3)	0.884(2)	0.1281(9)	0.444(2)	2.7(3)
Ow4	0.180(2)	0.2484(7)	0.217(1)	1.3(2)	0.180(2)	0.2521(8)	0.221(1)	1.8(3)
D1a	0.364(2)	0.0850(9)	0.473(2)	3.9(4)	0.376(2)	0.086(1)	0.475(2)	6.1(5)
D1b	0.457(2)	0.0212(9)	0.326(2)	3.8(4)	0.466(2)	0.0189(9)	0.334(1)	3.0(3)
D2a	0.673(2)	0.1817(9)	0.144(2)	5.9(5)	0.665(2)	0.1906(9)	0.147(2)	6.1(4)
D2b	0.680(2)	0.091(1)	0.045(2)	6.5(5)	0.655(2)	0.096(1)	0.044(2)	7.0(5)
D3a	0.804(2)	0.185(1)	0.454(2)	4.7(5)	0.801(2)	0.1870(9)	0.461(2)	3.8(4)
D3b	0.920(2)	0.096(1)	0.547(2)	4.9(4)	0.912(2)	0.101(1)	0.550(2)	4.3(4)
D4a	0.310(2)	0.2658(8)	0.285(1)	2.9(4)	0.317(2)	0.267(8)	0.286(1)	3.8(4)
D4b	0.577(2)	0.1936(9)	0.728(2)	3.7(4)	0.589(2)	0.1910(8)	0.719(2)	3.8(4)
Note	s: Neutro	n scattering	from D	oosition	ns was mo	deled usin	g the sca	ttering
		mmon site						
		Results of						

The initial atomic positions for bianchite, including D positions, were taken from the structure of moorhouseite (Kellersohn et al. 1993a). The atomic structure was refined in a combination-histogram refinement using the two different wavelength measurements with the program GSAS (Larson and Von Dreele 2000). Neutron scattering lengths were taken from Sears (1992). The bonds of the sulfate tetrahedra were restrained to 1.44 ± 0.04 Å and the D-O bond lengths were restrained to 0.95 ± 0.07 Å. and the final restraint contribution was calculated using $[X_{tres}^2]$ no. $obs_{(res)}]/[X_{(tot)}^2/no. obs_{(tot)}] = 1.35\%$. The final least-squares cycle yielded $R_{wp(tot)} = 2.76\%$ and $R_{p(tot)} = 2.13\%$. Details of the refinement are listed in Table 5. Atomic coordinates, as determined by the combined histogram refinement, are listed in Table 6. All D sites were required to have the same occupancy and the final refined D site occupancy is x = 0.72(8). The scattering from this site is modeled as D_x and \square_{1-x} (where \square represents a vacancy in the scattering model). Assuming the sites are fully occupied with a D/H mixture and given the coherent neutron scattering lengths of D (6.67 fm) and H (-3.74 fm), the fractional occupancy may be recalculated to give $D_{0.86}H_{0.14}$ for all proton positions. Selected bond lengths and angles are presented in Table 7, and the hydrogen bonding is schematically represented in Figure 7.

HYDROGEN BONDING IN ROZENITE GROUP MINERALS

The hydrogen bonds observed in boyleite and ilesite specimens have been plotted in Figure 8 as well as hydrogen bond lengths taken from the literature for M²⁺SO₄·nH₂O sulfates (Chiari and Ferraris 1982). The bond lengths reported here for boyleite and ilesite are a significant improvement from the H-positions determined by previous X-ray diffraction studies (Blake

¹ Deposit item AM-12-076, CIFs. Deposit items are available two ways: For a paper copy contact the Business Office of the Mineralogical Society of America (see inside front cover of recent issue) for price information. For an electronic copy visit the MSA web site at http://www.minsocam.org, go to the *American Mineralogist* Contents, find the table of contents for the specific volume/issue wanted, and then click on the deposit link there.

TABLE 4a. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for boyleite and ilesite as determine by the combined neutron diffraction refinements of their atomic structures

	M-octahedra							Sulfate tetrahedra					
	Zn	Mn		Zn	Mn		Zn	Mn		Zn	Mn		
M-O1	2.07(1)	2.24(2)	O1-M-O2	91.4(5)	91.1(7)	S-O1	1.48(2)	1.45(2)	O1-S-O2	110(1)	112(1)		
M-Ow1	2.10(1)	2.22(2)	O1-M-Ow1	87.6(5)	84.2(7)	S-O2	1.46(2)	1.46(2)	O1-S-O3	110(1)	110(1)		
M-Ow2	2.08(1)	2.12(2)	O1-M-Ow2	97.8(5)	96.0(7)	S-O3	1.50(2)	1.48(2)	O1-S-O4	109(1)	110(1)		
M-Ow3	2.12(1)	2.21(2)	O1-M-Ow3	87.5(5)	85.4(6)	S-O4	1.48(2)	1.50(2)	O2-S-O3	110(1)	110(1)		
M-Ow4	2.10(1)	2.13(2)	O2-M-Ow1	87.8(5)	87.4(7)	<s-o></s-o>	1.48(2)	1.47(2)	O2-S-O4	107(1)	111(2)		
M-O2	2.08(1)	2.14(2)	O2-M-Ow2	92.8(6)	97.1(8)				O3-S-O4	111(1)	104(1)		
<m-o></m-o>	2.09(1)	2.18(2)	O2-M-Ow4	89.0(5)	93.1(7)				<o-s-o></o-s-o>	109(1)	110(1)		
			Ow1-M-Ow3	92.1(6)	89.4(7)								
			Ow1-M-Ow4	84.0(6)	84.5(7)								
			Ow2-M-Ow3	87.5(6)	86.1(7)								
			Ow2-M-Ow4	90.6(5)	94.6(8)								
			Ow3-M-Ow4	92.0(6)	89.7(7)								
			<ow-m-ow></ow-m-ow>	90.0(6)	89.9(7)								

Note: Mean values are reported in parentheses.

TABLE 4b. Hydrogen bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for boyleite and ilesite

Water molecule	00	OD-O	OD	D-Ow	Ow-D	DO	00	OD-O	D-D	D-O-D
				Boyle	ite					
O3···D1a-Ow1-D1b···O3		171(2)	1.95(1)	0.92(2)	0.95(1)	1.81(1)	174(2)		1.55(2)	112(2)
O4···D2a-Ow2-D2b···O2		146(2)	2.03(2)	0.96(2)	0.94(2)	2.33(2)	3.02(2)	129(2)	1.46(2)	100(2)
Ow2-D2bO2					0.94(2)	2.57(2)	3.31(2)	135(2)		
O4···D3a-Ow3-D3b···O1	2.84(2)	143(2)	2.03(2)	0.95(2)	0.93(1)	1.84(2)	176(2)		1.56(2)	113(2)
Ow4···D3a-Ow3	3.02(2)	123(2)	2.39(2)	0.95(2)						
O4D4a-Ow4-D4bO3		154(2)	1.94(1)	0.96(1)	1.00(1)	1.94(1)	172(2)		1.54(2)	103(1)
<0D-Ow-DO>					0.95	2.01			1.53	107
				llesit	te					
O3···D1a-Ow1-D1b···O3		174(2)	1.94(2)	0.96(2)	0.96(2)	1.86(1)		173(2)	1.56(2)	109(2)
O4···D2a-Ow2-D2b···O2		148(2)	1.94(2)	1.02(2)	0.94(2)	2.44(2)	3.03(2)	121(2)	1.56(2)	104(2)
Ow2-D2bO2					0.94(2)	2.43(2)	3.24(2)	142(2)		
O4···D3a-Ow3-D3b···O1	2.81(2)	138(2)	2.02(2)	0.96(2)	0.94(2)	1.86(1)	2.79(2)	167(2)	1.53(1)	105(2)
Ow4···D3a-Ow3	3.05(2)	126(2)	2.38(2)	0.96(2)	, ,	, ,	, ,		. ,	, ,
O4D4a-Ow4-D4bO3	, ,	155(2)	1.99(1)	0.98(1)	0.96(1)	1.76(1)		169(2)	1.54(2)	105(2)
<0D-Ow-DO>					0.97	2.02			1.55	106

Notes: Mean values are reported in parentheses. The values in bold and italics are the lengths of the bifurcated hydrogen.




FIGURE 6. Schematic diagram of the bond network in boyleite, as determined by the combined histogram powder neutron diffraction refinement of the structure of deuterated boyleite ZnSO₄·4D₂O. D···O distances (Å) are presented as dashed lines with arrows indicating the O-acceptor atom. Atoms D3a and D2b are considered to be involved in bifurcated hydrogen bonding.

et al. 2001; Held and Bohaty 2002) and now plot within the range of expected H-bonds from neutron diffraction studies of similar crystalline hydrates (Chiari and Ferraris 1982).

Previous interpretations of H-bonding in rozenite group minerals have concluded that one H-atom does not participate in H-bonding (H2b) (Baur 1964a; Blake et al. 2001). More recent interpretations have considered the hydrogen bond in question

TABLE 5. Crystallographic data and refinement results for bianchite by a combined histogram neutron powder diffraction least-squares refinement

a (Å)	9.969(1)
b (Å)	7.2441(7)
c (Å)	24.249(3)
β (°)	98.488(5)
V (ų)	1732.0(4)
neutron λ	2.3734Å
No. of obs. refl.	599
$R_{\rm wp}$	2.73%
R_{p}	2.09%
neutron λ	1.3308Å
No. of obs. refl.	1157
R_{wp}	2.78%
$R_{\rm p}$	2.16%
Total R _{wp}	2.76%
Total R _p	2.13%

Note: Space group C2/c and Z = 8.

is bifurcated between two acceptor O-atoms, resulting in long, weak hydrogen bonds (Kellersohn 1992; Held and Bohaty 2002). Based on a survey of water molecules (Chiari and Ferraris 1982) the longest acceptable H-bond between a donor H-atom and an acceptor O-atom is 2.258 Å, although the authors accept H···O distances associated with bifurcated bonds, as long as 2.948 Å. The "energy" of H-bonds (Brow, 1976) in crystalline hydrates studied by neutron diffraction is plotted as a curved line with H···O distances and O-H···O angles in Figure 9. The "energy" of an H···O bond is directly related to the density of O-acceptors. The hydrogen bonds in boyleite and ilesite, determined by neutron diffraction, are plotted against the energy curve and the

TABLE 6. Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic displacement parameters for bianchite

	parameters for t	Jian Chile		
	Х	Υ	Z	$U_{\rm iso}$
Zn1	0	0	0	1.4(4)
Zn2	0	0.948(4)	0.25	1.4(4)
S	0.867(2)	0.455(2)	0.1258(7)	2.4(1)
01	0.766(2)	0.594(2)	0.1325(7)	2.4(1)
02	0.990(2)	0.447(3)	0.167(7)	2.4(7)
O3	0.910(2)	0.496(2)	0.0727(6)	2.4(1)
04	0.802(2)	0.276(2)	0.1191(7)	2.4(1)
O5	0.594(2)	0.728(2)	0.0422(7)	2.4(1)
06	0.543(2)	0.324(3)	0.0639(7)	2.4(1)
07	0.316(2)	0.550(3)	0.0190(7)	2.4(1)
08	0.878(2)	0.156(2)	0.2846(8)	2.4(1)
09	0.894(2)	0.756(2)	0.2840(8)	2.4(1)
O10	0.861(2)	0.945(3)	0.1823(7)	2.4(1)
D51	0.156(2)	0.202(3)	0.0759(7)	5.5(2)
D52	0.043(2)	0.326(3)	0.0541(9)	5.5(2)
D61	0.011(3)	0.285(3)	0.930(1)	5.5(2)
D62	0.895(2)	0.173(3)	0.9038(8)	5.5(2)
D71	0.208(2)	0.874(2)	0.9530(7)	5.5(2)
D72	0.273(2)	0.973(3)	0.0042(9)	5.5(2)
D81	0.319(2)	0.609(3)	0.3103(9)	5.5(2)
D82	0.433(2)	0.758(3)	0.2959(9)	5.5(2)
D91	0.432(2)	0.141(3)	0.2988(9)	5.5(2)
D92	0.305(2)	0.226(3)	0.2696(8)	5.5(2)
D101	0.659(2)	0.344(3)	0.3433(9)	5.5(2)
D102	0.656(2)	0.553(3)	0.3407(8)	5.5(2)

Notes: All non-D sites are modeled as fully occupied. The site occupancy of all the D positions were constrained to be equal and refined to a value of 0.78(2) + 0.22 vacancy, which approximates the same scattering as $D_{0.86}H_{0.14}$ ($U_{150} \times 10^2$).

data compiled by Brown (1976). H···O bonds longer than 2.5 Å and with corresponding O-H···O angles <120° are too weak to be considered as a bonding interaction. However the bond length data for boyleite and ilesite in Figure 9 show a significant number of the hydrogen bonds that have acceptor bond lengths <2.5 Å and O-H···O angles of <150°. Bifurcated bonds identified by Brown (1976) and indicated as small triangles in Figure 9 also show a similar distribution of longer acceptor bond lengths and lower angles. The hydrogen bonding in boyleite and ilesite has a significant number of distorted hydrogen bonds because of the requirement that the groups of four-membered rings, shown in Figure 1, must be held together and packing consideration requires that some of these inter-cluster hydrogen linkages may not be optimal.




FIGURE 7. Schematic illustration of hydrogen bonding in bianchite. O8 is distinguished as it also acts as an acceptor from D92 and the M2-O8 bond is the longest Zn-O bond in the bianchite structure.

HYDROGEN BONDING IN BIANCHITE

The structure of the hexahydrite group of minerals $(M^{2+}SO_4 \cdot 6H_2O; M^{2+} = Fe, Mg, Ni, Mn, Zn)$ varies very little between the different mineral species. The structure consists of two crystallographically unique M^{2+} sites (M1 and M2) that are coordinated by six water molecules. There is one crystallographically unique sulfate tetrahedron. The polyhedra of bianchite are linked via a three-dimensional network of hydrogen bonds formed between the hydrogen of the water molecules coordinating zinc and the oxygen atoms of the sulfate tetrahedra. The only exception is the hydrogen bond between D92 and O8 of the M2

Table 7. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for bianchite as determined by the combined histogram neutron powder diffraction least-squares refinement

Zn1 octahedron	Zn2 oc	tahedron		Sulfate tetrahe	edron					
Zn1-O5 ×2	2.09(2)	Zn2-O8 ×2	2.18(2)	S-O1	1.451(8)					
Zn1-O6 ×2	2.00(2)	Zn2-O9 ×2	2.00(2)	S-O2	1.456(8)					
Zn1-O7 ×2	1.99(2)	Zn2-O10 ×2	1.99(2)	S-O3	1.446(8)					
<zn-o></zn-o>	2.03		2.06	S-O4	1.447(8)					
				<s-o></s-o>	1.450					
O5-Zn1-O6	95.5(7)	O8-Zn2-O9	87.9(7)	O1-S-O2	118.6(2)					
O5-Zn1-O6	84.5(7)	O8-Zn2-O10	87.9(9)	O1-S-O3	104.9(1)					
O5-Zn1-O7	96.1(8)	O8-Zn2-O10	92.9(8)	O1-S-O4	109.1(2)					
O5-Zn1-O7	83.9(8)	O9-Zn2-O9	91.6(1)	O2-S-O3	106.4(2)					
O6-Zn1-O7	91.9(7)	O9-Zn2-O10	89.2(1)	O2-S-O4	111.1(2)					
O6-Zn1-O7	88.1(7)	O9-Zn2-O10	90.0(1)	O3-S-O4	105.8(2)					
mean	90.0	mean	90.2	mean	109.3					
			Hydro	gen bonding						
Water molecule	00	OD-O	OD	D-Ow	Ow-D	DO	OD-O	00	D-D	D-O-D
O1D51-O5-D52O3	2.75	165	1.80(2)	0.97(1)	0.94(1)	1.92(2)	169	2.85	1.47(3)	101.1(3)
O3D61-O6-D62O4	2.74	169	1.78(2)	0.98(1)	0.93(1)	1.99(2)	138	2.75	1.48(3)	101.6(3)
O4D71-O7-D72O3	2.49	161	2.05(2)	0.94(1)	0.99(1)	2.00(2)	159	2.94	1.49(2)	101.9(2)
O1D81-O8-D82O2	2.67	160	1.73(2)	0.98(1)	0.94(1)	1.76(2)	163	2.67	1.64(3)	118.1(3)
O2D91-O9-D92O8	2.72	172	1.76(2)	0.96(1)	0.93(1)	2.15(3)	164	3.05	1.49(3)	103.7(3)
O1D101 O10 D102O4	2.92	155	2.02(2)	0.96(1)	0.96(1)	1.90(3)	174	2.86	1.51(2)	103.4(2)
mean	2.72	164	1.86	0.97	0.95	1.95	161	2.85	1.51	105




FIGURE 8. Water molecule H-O and H-bond distances. Small gray circles represent data bonds lengths for M2+SO4·nH2O minerals from the following papers: Angel and Finger (1988), Bacon and Titterton (1975), Bargouth and Will (1981), Baur and Rolin (1972), Baur (1964a, 1964b), Calleri et al. (1984), Elerman (1988), Gerkin et al. (1988), Hawthorne et al. (1987), Iskhakova et al. (1991), Kellersohn (1992), Kellersohn et al. (1993a, 1993b), Ptasiewicz-Bak et al. (1993), Wildner and Giester (1991), Zahrobsky and Baur (1965), Zalkin et al. (1964). Small black circles represent the bond lengths of crystalline hydrates studied by neutron diffraction [cited in Chiari and Ferraris (1982)]. The regression lines for these neutron data sets are presented as a solid line. The H-bonds for boyleite and ilesite determined by this study fall close to the line determined by Ferraris based on structures studied using neutron diffraction. The positions of the hydrogen atoms determined by neutron diffraction in the present study are a better estimate than those previously determined for the same minerals by X-ray diffraction analysis (Blake et al. 2001; Held and Bohaty 2002).

octahedron. The result of this hydrogen bonding is a lengthening of the M2-O8 bond resulting in a greater distortion of the M2 octahedron than the M1 octahedron (Kellersohn et al. 1993a; Angel and Finger 1988). The M2-O8 bond is the longest M-O bond in each of the hexahydrite group minerals. Figure 10 shows the linkage of hydrogen bonds that link the ZnO_6 together. The




FIGURE 9. Hydrogen bond geometry after Brown (1976). The coordinates are H···O length and O-H···O angle. All of the hydrogen acceptor bonds in bianchite are <2.1 and make O-H···O angles close to 180° because the octahedra and tetrahedra within the structure are only held together by hydrogen bonding and are arranged to maximize hydrogen bond interactions. Ilesite and bianchite show a much larger range of acceptor bond length and O-H···O angle because the octahedra and tetrahedra are linked to form four-membered rings and the efficient packing of these rings requires some compromise in the hydrogen bonding and some hydrogen bonds to be bifurcated. H-bonds closest to the curve of predicted H-bond values are most reasonable and H-bonds longer than 2.5 Å with O-H···O angles <120° are not considered significant bonding interactions within a structure.

water molecule D81-O8-D82 has each D forming a bond with a sulfate oxygen but O8 also forms a hydrogen bond with D92 that is part of the adjacent $\rm ZnO_6$ octahedron. Similar octahedral distortions, due to the lengthening of an M-O bond involved with addition hydrogen bond interaction, have been observed in minerals of the epsomite and melanterite groups (Anderson et




FIGURE 10. Hydrogen bonding in bianchite. The Zn2-O8 bond is the longest Zn-O bond in the structure (Table 7). Ow8 is bonded to two D atoms at 0.98 and 0.94 Å and these are each bonded to an oxygen of a sulfate tetrahedron. O8 also acts as an acceptor for a hydrogen bond from O9 through D92 (2.15 Å). No other oxygen atom in the structure has this extra hydrogen bond and this explains the longer length of the Zn-O8 bond.




FIGURE 11. Plot of water molecule H-O distances and H-bond distances for hexahydrite group minerals. Bianchite bond lengths of this study are represented by solid black circles. Solid gray circles represent data from the moorhouseite single-crystal neutron diffraction electron density study (Kellersohn et al. 1993a). Open squares and solid triangles represent bond lengths as determined by X-ray diffraction studies for hexahydrite (Batsanov 2000) and Ni-hexahydrite (Angel and Finger 1988). These bonds are shorter that than those determined by neutron experiments because of the inaccurate biased hydrogen positions determined by X-ray diffraction analysis. The solid line is the linear regression of neutron determined data (small dots) compiled by the survey of H-bonds by Ferraris and Marchini-Angelo (1972).

al. 2005; Anderson and Peterson 2007). The hydrogen positions in Ni-hexahydrite (Angel and Finger 1988; Gerkin and Reppart 1988) and hexahydrite (Batsanov 2000; Zalkin et al. 1964) were determined by X-ray diffraction studies and report H-O distances shorter than those of deuterated moorhouseite (Kellersohn et al. 1993a) and the results for bianchite that are presented here. Acceptor hydrogen bonds in hexahydrite group minerals range from 1.7 to 2.1 Å (Fig. 11) and fall within the range of hydrogen bonds observed by Ferraris and Marchini-Angelo (1972).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thank you to A. Murray of the Department of Arts Conservation at Queen's University for the use of the Nicolet ATR-IR spectrometer. Lachlan Cranswick (NPMR/NRC) provided generous support of this project. An NSERC discovery grant to R.C.P. funded the research.

REFERENCES CITED

Anderson, J.L. and Peterson, R.C. (2005) Determination of sulfate mineral phase equilibria as a function of relative humidity. Intermediate compositions in the (Mg,Fe,Zn)SO₄·H₂O system at 22 °C. Geological Association of Canada–Mineralogical Association of Canada, Program Abstracts, 30, 4.

———(2007) The atomic structure and hydrogen bonding of deuterated melanterite FeSO₄·7D₂O. Canadian Mineralogist, 45, 457–469.

Anderson, J.L., Peterson, R.C., and Swainson, I.P. (2005) Combined neutron powder and X-ray single-crystal diffraction refinement of the atomic structure and hydrogen bonding of goslarite (ZnSO₄·7H₂O). Mineralogical Magazine, 69, 259–271.

Angel, R.J. and Finger, L.W. (1988) Polymorphism of nickel sulfate hexahydrate. Acta Crystallographica, C, 44, 1869–1873.

Ashley, P.M. and Lottermoser, B.G. (1999) Arsenic contamination at the Mole River mine, northern New South Wales. Australian Journal of Earth Sciences, 46, 861–874.

Bacon, G.E. and Titterton, D.H. (1975) Neutron diffraction studies of CuSO₄·5H₂O und CuSO₄·5D₂O. Zeitschrift fürKristallographie, 141, 330–341.

Bakos, F., Carcanhiu, G., Fadda, S., Mazzella, A., and Valera, R. (1990) The

gold mineralization of Baccu Locci (Sardinia, Italy); Origin, evolution and concentration processes in the geological diversity of gold. Terra Nova, 2, 234–239.

Bargouth, M.O. and Will, G. (1981) A neutron diffraction refinement of the crystal structure of tetragonal nickel sulfate hexahydrate. International Centre for Theoretical Physics: Report. Trieste. Italy.

Batsanov, A.S. (2000) Magnesium sulfate hexahydrate at 120K. Acta Crystallographica C, 56, e230–e231.

Baur, W.H. (1962) Zur Kristallchemie der Salzhydrate. Die Kristallstukturen von MgSO₄·4H₂O (Leonhardtit) und FeSO₄·4H₂O (Rozenit). Acta Crystallographica, 15, 815–826.

———(1964a) On the crystal chemistry of salt hydrates. II. A neutron diffraction study of MgSO₄·4H₂O. Acta Crystallographica, 17, 863–869.

—— (1964b) On the crystal chemistry of salt hydrates. III. The determination of the crystal structure of FeSO₄·7H₂O (melanterite). Acta Crystallographica, 17, 1167–1174.

——— (2002) Zinc(II) sulfate tetrahydrate and magnesium sulfate tetrahydrate. Addendum. Acta Crystallographica E, 58, e9–e10.

Baur, W.H. and Rolin, J.L. (1972) Salt hydrates. IX. The comparison of the crystal structure of magnesium sulfate pentahydrate with copper sulfate pentahydrate and magnesium chromate pentahydrate. Acta Crystallographica B, 28, 1448–1455.

Blake, A., Cooke, P., Hubberstey, P., and Sampson, C. (2001) Zinc(II) sulphate tetrahydrate. Acta Crystallographica E, 57, i109–i111.

Brown, I.D. (1976) On the geometry of O-H···O hydrogen bonds. Acta Crystallographica A, 32, 24–31.

Calleri, M., Gavetti, A., Ivaldi, G., and Rubbo, M. (1984) Synthetic epsomite, MgSO₄·7H₂O: Absolute configuration and surface features of the complementary (111) forms. Acta Crystallographica, B, 40, 218–222.

Chiari, G. and Ferraris, G. (1982) The water molecule in crystalline hydrates studied by neutron diffraction. Acta Crystallographica B, 38, 2331–2341.

Chou, I-M. and Seal, R.R. II (2005) Determination of goslarite-bianchite equilibria by the humidity-buffer technique at 0.1 MPa. Chemical Geology, 215, 517–523.

Chou, I-M., Seal, R.R. II, and Hemingway, B.S. (2002) Determination of melanterite-rozenite and chalcanthite-bonattite equilibria by humidity measurements at 0.1 MPa. American Mineralogist, 87, 108–114.

Chou, I-M., Seal, R.R. II, and Piatack, N. (2005) Determination of hexahydrite-starkeyite equilibria by the humidity-buffer technique at 0.1 MPA: Implications for the Martian H₂O cycle. Salt Lake City annual meeting (Oct. 16–19, 2005) Geological Society of America abstracts with programs, 37, 5.

Dowty, E. (2011) ATOMS version 6.4. Shape Software, 521 Hidden Valley Road, Kingsport, Tennessee 37663, U.S.A. http://www.shapesoftware.com/.

Elerman, Y. (1988) Refinement of the crystal structure of CoSO₄·6H₂O. Acta Crystallographica, C, 44, 599–601.

Ferraris, G. and Marchini-Angelo, M. (1972) Survey of the geometry and environment of water molecules in crystalline hydrates studied by neutron diffraction. Acta Crystallographica, 28, 3572–3583.

Gaines, R.V., Skinner, H.C.W., Foord, E.E., Mason, B., and Rosenzweig, A. (1997) Dana's New Mineralogy (8th ed.). Wiley, New York.

Gerkin, R.E. and Reppart, W.J. (1988) Structure of monoclinic nickel(II) sulphate hexahydrate. Acta Crystallographica C, 44, 1486–1488.

Greenspan, L. (1977) Humidity fixed points of binary saturated aqueous solutions. Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards-A. Physics and Chemistry, 81A, 89–96.

Grevel, K. and Majzlan, J. (2009) Internally consistent thermodynamic data for magnesium sulfate hydrates. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 73, 6805–6815

Guenot, J., Manoli, J.-M., and Bregeault, J.-M. (1969) Thermogravimétrie des systèmes solides-gaz. Déshydratation thermique des sulfates de cobalt et de zinc hydratés. Bulletin de la Société Chimique de France, 8, 2666–2670.

Hawthorne, F.C., Groat, L.A., Raudsepp M., and Ercit T.S. (1987) Kieserite, Mg(SO₄)·(H₂O), a titanite group mineral. Neues Jahrbuch für Mineralogie Abhandlungen, 157, 121-132.

Held, P. and Bohaty, L. (2002) Manganese(II) sulfate tetrahydrate (ilesite). Acta Crystallographica, E, 58, i121–i123.

Iskhakova, L.D., Dubrovinskii, L.S., and Charushnikova, I.A. (1991) Crystal structure, calculation of parameters of atomic interaction potential and thermochemical properties of NiSO₄·nH2O (n=7,6). Zeitschrift für Kristallographie, 36, 650–655.

Jambor, J.L. and Boyle, R.W. (1962) Gunningite, a new zinc sulphate from the Keno Hill-Galena Hill area, Yukon. Canadian Mineralogist, 7, 209–218.

——— (1965) Moorhouseite and aplowite, new cobalt minerals from Walton, Nova Scotia. Canadian Mineralogist, 8, 166–171.

Jambor, J.L. and Traill, R.L. (1963) On rozenite and siderotil. Canadian Mineralogist, 7, 751–763.

Kellersohn, T. (1992) Structure of cobalt sulfate tetrahydrate. Acta Crystallographica, C, 48, 776–779.

Kellersohn, T., Delaplane, R.G., and Olovsson, I. (1993a) The experimental electron density in monoclinic cobalt sulfate hexahydrate, CoSO₄·6D₂O at 25K. Acta

- Crystallographica, B, 49, 179-192.
- (1993b) Disorder of a trigonally planar coordinated water molecule in cobalt sulfate heptahydrate, CoSO₄·7D₂O (bieberite). Zeitschrift für Naturforschung, 46, 1635-1640.9.
- Larsen, E.S. and Glenn, M.L. (1920) Some minerals of the melanterite and chalcanthite groups with optical data on the hydrous sulphates of manganese and cobalt. American Journal of Science, 50, 225–233.
- Larson, A.C. and Von Dreele, R.B. (2000) General Structure Analysis System (GSAS). Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LAUR 86-748.
- Pannetier, G., Bregeault, J.-M., and Tardy, M. (1966) Etude de la dissociation thermique des sulfates et des sulfates basiques. VI. Mise en evidence et étude cristallographique du sulfate de zinc tetrahydrate ZnSO₄·4H₂O. Memoires Présentés à la Société Chimique, 55, 324–326.
- Pasava, J., Breiter, K., Huka, M., and Korecky, J. (1986) Chavleticeite, (Mn,Mg) SO₄·6H₂O, a new mineral. Neues Jahrbuch für Mineralogie Monatshefte, 121–125.
- Perroud, P., Meisser, N., and Sarp, H. (1987) Présence de zincocopiapite en Valais. Schweizerische Mineralogische und Petrographische Mitteilungen, 67, 115–117.
- Peterson, R.C. and Grant, A. (2005) Dehydration and crystallization reactions of secondary sulfate minerals found in mine waste: in situ powder diffraction experiments. Canadian Mineralogist, 43, 1171–1181.
- $Ptasiewicz-Bak, H., Olovsson, I., and McIntyre, G.J. (1993) Bonding deformation and superposition in the electron density of tetragonal NiSO_4-6H_2O at 25K. Acta Crystallographica, B, 49, 192–201. \\$
- Rhomer, R. (1939) Dehydration of manganous sulfate heptahydrate by the aqueous method. Comptes Rendus, 209, 315–317.
- Schuiling, R.D. (1992) Goslarite: threat or promise for the environment of the Geul Valley? Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 42, 383–386.
- Sears, V. (1992) Neutron Scattering lengths and cross sections, Neutron News, 3, 29–37.
- Sinha, S.G. and Deshpande N.D. (1989) Dehydration of crystalline CoSO₄·7H₂O.

- Thermochimica Acta, 156, 1-10.
- Uvaliev, Y.K. and Motornaya, G.A. (1989) Study of equilibrium dehydration pressure of zinc sulfate crystal hydrates. Izvestiya Akademii Nauk Kazakhskoi SSR, Seriya Khimicheskaya, 6, 54–57.
- Vlasov, V.V. and Kuznetsov, A.V. (1962) Melanterite and the product of its alteration. Zapiski Vses Mineralog. Obshch, 91, 490–492.
- Wagman, D.D., Evans, W.H., Parker, V.B., Schumm, R.H., Halow, I., Bailey, S.M., Churney, K.L., and Nuttal, R.L. (1982) The NBS tables of chemical thermodynamic properties. Selected values for inorganic and C1 and C2 organic substances in SI units. Journal of Physical Chemistry Reference Data, 11, 392.
- Walenta, K. (1978) Boyleite, a new sulphate mineral from Kropback, Southern Black Forest. Chemie der Erde, 37, 73–79. (In Fleischer, M., Chao, G., and Pabst, A. (1979) New Mineral Names, American Mineralogist, 64, 241–245.)
- Wildner, M. and Giester, G. (1991) The crystal structures of kieserite-type compounds. I. Crystal structures of Me(II)SO₄·H₂O (Me = Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Zn). Neus Jahrbuch für Mineralogie, 7, 296–306.
- Zahrobsky, R.F. and Baur, W.H. (1965) The crystal structure of copper(II) sulfate trihydrate. Naturwissenschaften, 52, 389–389.
- Zalkin, A., Ruben, H., and Templeton, D.H. (1964) The crystal structure and hydrogen bonding of magnesium sulfate hexahydrate. Acta Crystallographica, 17, 235–240.

MANUSCRIPT RECEIVED FEBRUARY 1, 2012 MANUSCRIPT ACCEPTED JULY 3, 2012 MANUSCRIPT HANDLED BY SIMON REDFERN

```
data
_chemical_name_systematic
                                     'Manganese Sulfate(VI) Tetrahydrate'
_chemical_formula_structural
                                     'Mn (S O4) (H2 O)4'
_chemical_formula sum
                                     'H8 Mn1 O8 S1'
_chemical_name_mineral
                                     Ilesite
_cell_length a
                                     5.9753(1)
_cell_length_b
                                     13.8186(3)
_cell_length c
                                     8.0461(1)
cell angle alpha
                                     90.
cell angle beta
                                     90.826(2)
_cell_angle gamma
                                     90.
_cell_volume
                                     664.31
_cell_formula_units_Z
_symmetry_space_group_name_H-M
                                     'P 1 21/n 1'
 symmetry_Int_Tables_number
                                     14
loop_
_symmetry_equiv_pos_site_id
_symmetry_equiv_pos_as xyz
     -x+1/2, y+1/2, -z+1/2
      '-x, -y, -z'
      x+1/2, -y+1/2, z+1/2
  3
  4
      'x, y, z
loop
_atom_type_symbol
 atom_type_oxidation_number
            1
H1+
Mn2+
            2
            -2
02-
S6+
            6
loop
_atom_site_label
_atom_site_type_symbol
_atom_site_symmetry_multiplicity
_atom_site_Wyckoff_symbol
atom site fract x
_atom_site_fract_y
_atom_site_fract_z
_atom_site_occupancy
atom site attached hydrogens
atom site U iso or equiv
Mn1 Mn2+ 4 e 0.066(2) 0.106(1) 0.210(2) 1. 0 1.4(4))
S1 S6+ 4 e 0.195(3) 0.106(1) 0.823(2) 1. 0 0.2(5)
O1 O2- 4 e 0.008(2) 0.0512(7) 0.753(1) 1. 0 2.5(4)
02 \ 02 - 4 \ e \ 0.254(1) \ 0.0746(9) \ 0.992(1) \ 1. \ 0 \ 2.8(3)
03 \ 02-4 \ e \ 0.392(2) \ 0.0967(9) \ 0.715(1) \ 1. \ 0 \ 2.5(3)
04 \ 02 - 4 \ e \ 0.144(2) \ 0.2121(8) \ 0.820(1) \ 1. \ 0 \ 2.6(3)
Ow1 O2- 4 e 0.375(2) 0.0739(9) 0.357(2) 1. 2 3.3(4)
Ow2 O2- 4 e 0.760(2) 0.1397(9) 0.088(2) 1. 2 2.7(4)
Ow3 O2- 4 e 0.884(2) 0.1281(9) 0.444(2) 1. 2 2.7(3)
Ow4 O2-4 e 0.180(2) 0.2521(8) 0.221(1) 1. 2 1.8(3)
D1a H1+ 4 e 0.376(2) 0.086(1) 0.475(2) 1. 0 6.1(5)
D1b H1+ 4 e 0.466(2) 0.0189(9) 0.334(1) 1. 0 3.0(3)
D2a H1+ 4 e 0.665(2) 0.1906(9) 0.147(2) 1. 0 6.1(4)
D2b H1+ 4 e 0.655(2) 0.096(1) 0.044(2) 1. 0 7.0(5)
D3a H1+ 4 e 0.801(2) 0.1870(9) 0.461(2) 1. 0 3.8(4)
```

```
D3b H1+ 4 e 0.912(2) 0.101(1) 0.550(2) 1. 0 4.3(4) D4a H1+ 4 e 0.317(2) 0.267(8) 0.286(1) 1. 0 3.8(4) D4b H1+ 4 e 0.589(2) 0.1910(8) 0.719(2) 1. 0 3.8(4)
```

#End of data

```
data
_chemical_name_systematic
                                     'Zinc Sulfate(VI) Tetrahydrate'
_chemical_formula_structural
                                    'Zn (S O4) (H2 O)4'
_chemical_formula sum
                                    'H8 O8 S1 Zn1'
_publ_section_title
                                    'Zinc(II) sulfate tetrahydrate'
_cell_length_a
                                    5.9144(2)
_cell_length_b
                                    13.5665(4)
_cell_length c
                                    7.8924(2)
cell angle alpha
                                    90.
                                    90.688(2)
cell angle beta
_cell_angle_gamma
                                    90.
_cell_volume
                                    633.22
_cell_formula_units_Z
_symmetry_space_group_name_H-M
                                    'P 1 21/n 1'
 symmetry_Int_Tables_number
                                    14
loop
_symmetry_equiv_pos_site_id
_symmetry_equiv_pos_as xyz
     -x+1/2, y+1/2, -z+1/2
      '-x, -y, -z'
      'x+1/2, -y+1/2, z+1/2
  3
  4
      'x, y, z
loop
_atom_site label
_atom_site_type_symbol
_atom_site_symmetry_multiplicity
_atom_site_Wyckoff_symbol
_atom_site_fract_x
atom site fract y
atom site fract z
_atom_site_occupancy
_atom_site_U_iso_or_equiv
Zn1 Zn2+ 4 e 0.068(1) 0.1014(6) 0.219(1) 1. 0.5(2)
S1 S6+ 4 e 0.191(3) 0.106(1) 0.828(2) 1. 0.2(4)
01 \ 02-4 \ e \ 0.001(2) \ 0.0473(6) \ 0.756(1) \ 1. \ 2.4(3)
O2 O2- 4 e 0.250(2) 0.0761(7) 0.999(1) 1. 2.03
03 \ 02-4 \ e \ 0.394(2) \ 0.0918(8) \ 0.718(1) \ 1. \ 2.4(3)
04 \ 02 - 4 \ e \ 0.130(2) \ 0.2116(7) \ 0.821(1) \ 1. \ 2.3(3)
Ow1 O2- 4 e 0.368(2) 0.0762(8) 0.357(2) 1. 3.0(3)
D1a H1+ 4 e 0.364(2) 0.0850(9) 0.473(2) 1. 3.9(4)
D1b H1+ 4 e 0.457(2) 0.0212(9) 0.326(2) 1. 3.8(4)
Ow2 O2- 4 e 0.778(2) 0.1406(8) 0.084(2) 1. 2.2(3)
D2a H1+ 4 e 0.673(2) 0.1817(9) 0.144(2) 1. 5.9(5)
D2b H1+ 4 e 0.680(2) 0.091(1) 0.045(2) 1. 6.5(5)
Ow3 O2- 4 e 0.881(2) 0.1244(8) 0.444(1) 1. 1.9(3)
D3a H1+ 4 e 0.804(2) 0.185(1) 0.454(2) 1. 4.7(5)
D3b H1+ 4 e 0.920(2) 0.096(1) 0.547(2) 1. 4.9(4)
Ow4 O2-4 e 0.180(2) 0.2484(7) 0.217(1) 1. 1.3(2)
D4a H1+ 4 e 0.310(2) 0.2658(8) 0.285(1) 1. 2.9(4)
D4b H1+ 4 e 0.577(2) 0.1936(9) 0.728(2) 1. 3.7(4)
```

#End of data

```
data JR7-F100-S1 publ
_pd_block_id
 2012-01-08T21:45|JR7-F100-S1|ips|
_audit_creation_method "from EXP file using GSAS2CIF"
_audit_creation_date
                                 2012-01-08T21:45
_audit_author name
                                 ips
audit update record
; 2012-01-08T21:45 Initial CIF as created by GSAS2CIF
# this information describes the project, paper etc. for the CIF
# Acta Cryst. Section C papers and editorial correspondence is generated
# from the information in this section
#
   (from)
           CIF submission form for Rietveld refinements (Acta Cryst. C)
#
                                          Version 14 December 1998
# 1. SUBMISSION DETAILS
_publ_contact_author_name
                               ? # Name of author for correspondence
_publ_contact_author_address
                                   # Address of author for correspondence
; ?
_publ_contact_author_email
                               ?
_publ_contact_author_fax
                               ?
publ contact author phone
_publ_contact_letter
; ?
;
publ requested journal
_publ_requested_coeditor name
                               ?
                                  # Acta C: one of CI/CM/CO/FI/FM/FO
publ requested category
                               ?
# 2. PROCESSING SUMMARY (IUCr Office Use Only)
_journal_data_validation_number
                               ?
_journal_date_recd_electronic
                               ?
_journal_date_to_coeditor
                               ?
__journal_date_from_coeditor
                               ?
_journal_date_accepted
                               ?
_journal_date_printers_first
                               ?
_journal_date_printers final
                               ?
_journal_date_proofs_out
                               ?
_journal_date_proofs_in
                               ?
_journal_coeditor_name
                               ?
_journal_coeditor code
_journal_coeditor notes
```

```
; ?
_journal_techeditor_code
                               ?
_journal_techeditor_notes
_journal_coden_ASTM
                               ?
_journal_name_full
                               ?
_journal_year
                               ?
_journal_volume
                               ?
_journal_issue
_journal_page_first
_journal_page_last
                              ?
_journal_paper_category
                              ?
_journal_suppl_publ_number
                               ?
_journal_suppl_publ_pages
# 3. TITLE AND AUTHOR LIST
_publ_section_title
_publ_section_title_footnote
# The loop structure below should contain the names and addresses of all
# authors, in the required order of publication. Repeat as necessary.
loop_
    _publ_author_name
      _publ_author_footnote
    _publ_author_address
?
                               #<--'Last name, first name'
; ?
; ?
# 4. TEXT
_publ_section_synopsis
; ?
_publ_section_abstract
_publ_section_comment
_publ_section_exptl_prep  # Details of the preparation of the sample(s)
```

```
# should be given here.
; ?
_publ_section_exptl_refinement
_publ_section_references
_publ_section_figure_captions
; ?
_publ_section_acknowledgements
;
# 5. OVERALL REFINEMENT & COMPUTING DETAILS
refine special details
; ?
_pd_proc_ls_special_details
;
# The following items are used to identify the programs used.
_computing_molecular_graphics
_computing_publication_material
_refine_ls_weighting_scheme
_refine_ls_weighting_details
                              ?
_refine_ls_hydrogen_treatment
                              ?
_refine_ls_extinction_method
                              ?
refine ls extinction coef
_refine_ls_number_constraints
_refine_ls_restrained_S_all
                              ?
refine ls restrained S obs
# 6. SAMPLE PREPARATION DATA
# (In the unusual case where multiple samples are used in a single
  Rietveld study, this information should be moved into the phase
 blocks)
# The following three fields describe the preparation of the material.
# The cooling rate is in K/min. The pressure at which the sample was
# prepared is in kPa. The temperature of preparation is in K.
_pd_prep_cool_rate
_pd_prep_pressure
                              ?
_pd_prep_temperature
```

```
_pd_char_colour
                                      # use ICDD colour descriptions
_refine_ls_shift/su_max
                                   0.02
_refine_ls_shift/su_mean
                                   0.00
computing structure refinement
                                   GSAS
_refine_ls_number_parameters
                                   90
_refine_ls_goodness_of_fit_all
                                   2.16
_refine_ls_number_restraints
                                   16
refine ls matrix type
                                   full
# 7. CHEMICAL, STRUCTURAL AND CRYSTAL DATA
_pd_char_particle_morphology
_chemical_name_systematic
{\tt \_chemical\_name\_common}
chemical_formula_moiety
                               ?
chemical formula structural
chemical formula analytical
chemical melting point
_chemical_compound source
                                      # for minerals and
                                      # natural products
symmetry space group name Hall
                                ?
_exptl_crystal_F_000
_exptl_crystal_density_diffrn
                                ?
_exptl_crystal_density_meas
                                ?
_exptl_crystal_density method
                                ?
_cell_measurement_temperature
                               ?
_cell_special_details
;
geom special details
# The following item identifies the program(s) used (if appropriate).
_computing_structure_solution
# 8. Phase information from GSAS
_pd_phase_name
                 "from C:/qsas/JenRon/bianchite speiss 1979.cif"
_cell_length_a
                                   9.9692(10)
_cell_length_b
                                   7.2441(7)
_cell_length_c
                                   24.2493(24)
_cell_angle_alpha
                                   90.0
_cell_angle_beta
                                   98.488(5)
_cell_angle_gamma
                                   90.0
_cell_volume
                                   1732.0(4)
_symmetry_cell_setting
                                   monoclinic
```

```
loop_ _symmetry_equiv_pos_site_id _symmetry_equiv_pos_as_xyz
       1 + x, +y, +z
       2 -x, +y, -z+1/2
      -1 - x, -y, -z
      -2 + x, -y, +z+1/2
     101 + x + 1/2, +y + 1/2, +z
     102 -x+1/2, +y+1/2, -z+1/2
    -101 -x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z
    -102 + x + 1/2, -y + 1/2, +z + 1/2
# ATOMIC COORDINATES AND DISPLACEMENT PARAMETERS
loop_
      _atom_site_type_symbol
      _atom_site_label
      _atom_site_fract x
      atom site fract y
      atom site fract z
      _atom_site_occupancy
      _atom_site_thermal_displace type
      _atom_site_U_iso_or_equiv
      atom site symmetry multiplicity
Zn
        0.0
                       0.0
                                     0.0
                                                    1.0
                                                                Uiso
                                                                        0.014(4)
Zn1
                                                                                       4
Zn
                       0.948(4)
                                                                Uiso
Zn2
        0.0
                                     0.25
                                                    1.0
                                                                        0.014(4)
                                                                                       4
S
        0.8667(17)
                       0.4548(24)
                                     0.1258(7)
                                                    1.0
                                                                Uiso
                                                                        0.0239(13)
                                                                                       8
S1
0
                                                                Uiso
01
        0.7655(17)
                       0.5937(24)
                                     0.1325(7)
                                                    1.0
                                                                        0.0239(13)
                                                                                       8
0
02
        0.9896(16)
                       0.4472(26)
                                     0.1665(7)
                                                    1.0
                                                                Uiso
                                                                        0.0239(13)
                                                                                       8
0
03
        0.9095(17)
                       0.4962(22)
                                     0.0727(6)
                                                                Uiso
                                                                        0.0239(13)
                                                                                       8
                                                    1.0
0
                                                                        0.0239(13)
        0.8019(18)
                       0.2757(24)
                                     0.1191(7)
                                                                Uiso
04
                                                    1.0
                                                                                       8
0
05
        0.5939(19)
                       0.7279(24)
                                     0.0422(7)
                                                    1.0
                                                                Uiso
                                                                        0.0239(13)
                                                                                       8
0
                                                                        0.0239(13)
        0.5425(19)
                       0.3241(26)
                                                                Uiso
06
                                     0.0639(7)
                                                    1.0
                                                                                       8
0
07
        0.3159(16)
                       0.5495(25)
                                     0.0190(7)
                                                    1.0
                                                                Uiso
                                                                        0.0239(13)
                                                                                       8
0
08
        0.8779(21)
                       0.1560(24)
                                     0.2846(8)
                                                    1.0
                                                                Uiso
                                                                        0.0239(13)
                                                                                       8
0
09
        0.8941(21)
                       0.7558(24)
                                     0.2840(8)
                                                    1.0
                                                                Uiso
                                                                        0.0239(13)
                                                                                       8
0
        0.8606(19)
010
                       0.9453(26)
                                     0.1823(7)
                                                    1.0
                                                                Uiso
                                                                        0.0239(13)
                                                                                       8
D
                                                                Uiso
D51
        0.1559(20)
                       0.2019(30)
                                     0.0759(7)
                                                    1.0
                                                                        0.0546(19)
                                                                                       8
D
        0.0433(23)
                       0.3261(26)
                                     0.0541(9)
                                                                Uiso
                                                                        0.0546(19)
                                                                                       8
D52
                                                    1.0
```

"C 1 2/c 1"

symmetry space group name H-M

D

D61	0.0109(25)	0.2848(27)	0.9298(10)	1.0	Uiso	0.0546(19)	8
D D62 D	0.8945(20)	0.1731(25)	0.9038(8)	1.0	Uiso	0.0546(19)	8
D71 D	0.2084(22)	0.8739(24)	0.9530(7)	1.0	Uiso	0.0546(19)	8
D72 D	0.2727(18)	0.9730(28)	0.0042(9)	1.0	Uiso	0.0546(19)	8
D81	0.3192(20)	0.6088(26)	0.3103(9)	1.0	Uiso	0.0546(19)	8
D82 D	0.4333(20)	0.7576(29)	0.2959(9)	1.0	Uiso	0.0546(19)	8
D91 D	0.4319(21)	0.1405(26)	0.2988(9)	1.0	Uiso	0.0546(19)	8
D92 D	0.3051(21)	0.2258(28)	0.2696(8)	1.0	Uiso	0.0546(19)	8
D101	0.6593(23)	0.3444(25)	0.3433(9)	1.0	Uiso	0.0546(19)	8
D102	0.6556(22)	0.5525(27)	0.3407(8)	1.0	Uiso	0.0546(19)	8

 $\#--\text{eof}---\text{eof}---\text{eof}---\text{eof}---\text{eof$