Synthesis and study of diaboleïte

By R. E. WINCHELL¹ and H. E. WENDEN

Department of Mineralogy, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio

[Taken as read 7 November 1968]

Summary. Diaboleïte has been synthesized between 25 and 100° C at atmospheric pressure and approximate water vapour partial pressures of 14·7 lb/in². Under similar conditions at 170° C cumengéite appears to be the stable phase produced from a diaboleïte composition. Synthetic diaboleïte is much simpler morphologically than the natural mineral but the hemimorphic symmetry is more clearly demonstrated morphologically in the artificial specimens. A comparison of X-ray powder data for natural and synthetic diaboleïte shows almost exact detailed correspondence in line position and intensity between 0 and 180° 29. The cell dimensions obtained from X-ray powder data are a 5·869 \pm 0·002 Å and c 5·495 \pm 0·003 Å.

D IABOLEÏTE, $Pb_2CuCl_2(OH)_4$, originally described incompletely by Spencer and Mountain (1923), has been described in detail by Palache (1941) who has given crystallographic, optical, X-ray single crystal, and chemical data for the species. Byström and Wilhelmi (1950) have proposed a structure for the species and have given data from which a calculated powder pattern has been compiled (A.S.T.M. 5-0220). No complete powder pattern obtained from powder diffraction methods is to be found in the literature. No synthesis, and very little information on the stability of diaboleïte, have been reported in the literature.

Synthesis. Diaboleïte has been synthesized at two different temperatures from solutions of $CuCl_2.2H_2O$ and $Pb(OH)_2$ in stoichiometric proportions according to the formula given by Palache (1941). Slow diffusion, evaporation, and hydrothermal techniques were used in the synthesis experiments.

The slow diffusion technique employed was that described by Friedel (1892). In the present study, a solution of $CuCl_2.2H_2O$ was allowed to diffuse through the wall of a cracked test tube into a hydrous bath of Pb(OH)₂ until reaction appeared complete. In the evaporation

¹ Present address: Department of Geology, California State College at Long Beach, Long Beach, California.

934 R. E. WINCHELL AND H. E. WENDEN ON

experiment the components were mixed with excess distilled water and placed in a drying oven at 100° C until evaporation was complete. Sealed Pyrex tubes were used for hydrothermal synthesis at 100 and 170° C. A sealed pot bomb with a Teflon liner was used for the hydrothermal experiment above 170° C. Table I summarizes the experimental conditions and the results obtained from the synthesis experiments.

TABLE I.	Summary	of synthesis	experiments	for diaboleïte.
----------	---------	--------------	-------------	-----------------

Method	Temp.	Time (days)	Phases obtained
Slow diffusion	$25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$	339	Diaboleïte
Evaporation	$100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$	2	Diaboleïte, chloroxiphite (?), unidentified phase
- D 4 h	(100° C	55	Diaboleïte, unidentified phase
Fyrex tube	{170° C	16	Cumengéite, cotunnite, unidentified phase
Pot bomb	$270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$	9	Murdochite, unidentified phase

Although the evaporation method yielded only microcrystalline to cryptocrystalline powder, the slow diffusion experiment and the hydrothermal experiment at 100° C produced small diaboleïte single crystals, the largest of which were 0.07 mm parallel to the *c*-axis and 0.18 mm parallel to the *a*-axis.

The synthesis experiments indicate that diaboleïte is a low-temperature phase whose upper stability limit under hydrothermal conditions is between 100 and 170° C. Further, cumengéite appears to be the stable Pb–Cu phase formed immediately above the stability limit of diaboleïte under hydrothermal conditions approximating those used in this study. A study of the association and occurrence of diaboleïte at Mammoth mine, Tiger, Arizona, by one of the authors (H. E. W.) led him to the conclusion that diaboleïte and the associated species were formed at low temperatures consistent with those established during this study.

Morphology and optics. Synthetic diaboleïte is much simpler morphologically than the natural crystals described by Palache (1941). Although the dominant forms present on the synthetic crystals gave excellent reflections, the crystals were too small to allow accurate measurement on the large two circle goniometer available, but did show that the dominant forms developed on all the crystals are $\bar{c}\{00\bar{1}\}$, $a\{010\}$ and $e\{011\}$. In addition to the faces of the dominant forms, smaller faces belonging to other forms were observed and the synthetic crystals may be divided into two groups on the basis of the presence or absence of these subordinate forms (fig. 1): The first, to which most of the crystals

DIABOLEÏTE

obtained belong, is characterized by a combination of the dominant forms in approximately equal development. The second is characterized by a combination of the equally developed dominant forms with the forms $\bar{e}\{01\bar{1}\}$ and $\bar{s}\{02\bar{1}\}$. Development of $\{01\bar{1}\}, \{010\}$, and $\{011\}$ on the synthetic crystals is such as to lengthen the crystal in the direction of the *c*-axis and results in a decidedly more hemimorphic appearance than is exhibited by natural crystals and lend added weight to the morphological evidence in support of the hemimorphism of diaboleïte already given by Palache *et al.* (1941).



FIG. 1. Morphology of synthetic diaboleïte.

Synthetic diaboleïte is a transparent pale blue and yields a uniaxial negative interference figure under conoscopic conditions; no evidence of the distortion of the interference figure reported by Palache (1941) was observed in the synthetic material examined.

X-ray investigation. Three specimens of natural material and several specimens of synthetic diaboleïte were subjected to X-ray powder diffraction examination. All the natural specimens were from Mammoth mine, Tiger, Arizona, and were obtained from the Harvard Collection (H.N. 104521), the Royal Ontario Museum (R.O.M. M24959), and the United States National Museum (U.S.N.M. 114577). Both the d-spacings and the line intensities of the natural and synthetic specimens are identical within the limit of error of the measurements. X-ray powder data for one of the natural and one of the synthetic specimens studies are tabulated and compared with the calculated data taken from the work of Byström and Wilhelmi (1950) (A.S.T.M. 5-0220) in table II. These data as well as the powder photographs from which they were obtained (fig. 2) show a remarkably close agreement in line position and intensity over nearly the whole interval from 0 to $180^{\circ} 2\theta$. In addition the weak lines obtained during this study further establish the lack of systematic omissions and confirm the space group P4mm

(4)	4	ľ.M.	
and	5.49	L.S.J	
77),	с С	₹ pt	
145	00.0	60 au	
M.	0±0	195	
S.N.	5.87	lmi,	
Ū.	в В	/ilhe	
cona	usin	μ	
Aria	ings	n ar	uc.
ger,	pac	strör	liati
°, Ti	sed :	(Bys	t rad
min	cula	ata	$1-K_{0}$
oth	, cal	al d	ي. م
uuu	xed.	ryst	mera
Ω Mε	inde	gle c	r ca
fron	E) sing	mete
leïte	/ith:	છ વ	diaı
abo	ed v) an	cm
al di	npar	1950	1-46
atur	con	ni, J	· ()
3) n	uoisi	lhelı	-022
or: (diffu	[M	ιĊ
ata 1	low	and	
er d	om s	röm	
pwo	te fr	Byst	
ay p	oleït	.) m	
I-X	diat	P4m	
E II.	letic	Å.,	
ABL	\mathbf{ynth}	003	
C -1	92	<u> </u>	

	Cu- $K\alpha$ radiation.	
•	camera,	
)	diameter	_
	cm	
•	11.46	
	0220).	

TTO'R NIM	4	I d	<1 1.590	 }	7 1.550	9 1.534	i	6 1.466		5 1.414	<1 1.399	000 1 1	ADD.T T	0261 2	Ne.T I		5 1.338		5 1.312	7 1.302	1 1.291	5 1.275	<1 1.263	5 1·242	5 1.234	1 1.015	017.T T
1000 Tool	e	$I \xrightarrow{d}$	<1 1.590	 	7 1.554	9 1.537		6 1.466	 	5 1.417	<1 1.398	006.1 1	700.1 1	0101	710 T 1		5 1.341		5 1.312	7 1.303	$1 \ 1.293$	5 1.274	<1 1.265	5 1.244	5 1.235	710.1 1	117.1 T
diation.	2	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} p \\ I \end{array} \right\}$			80 1.554	80 1.537		60 1.467		60 1.417	 			646.1 VO	PIP T NO		60 1.341		60 1.315	80 1.303		60 1.276]	60 1.245	60 1.235		
Cu-Ka ra	1	$d_{\mathrm{calc.}}$	1.594	1.561	1.554	1.538	1.502	1.468	1.424	1.416	1.401	1.383)	1.378	I-374 (1.373	1.342	1.337	1·337)	1.313	1.304 1.304	1.294	1.277	1.264	1.244	1.236	1.217)	1-217)
camera,		hkl	302	321	203	312	213	400	410	401	322	330	411	004	223	331	104	303	420	114 313	402	421	412	204	332	214	323
diameter	4	q	5.770	5.496	4.084	3.967	3.282	2.910	2·724	2.609	2.572	2.466	2.351	2.279	2.063	1.996	1.969	1.931	1.892	1.850	1.823	01710	671 T	1·671	1.652	1.623	
16 cm		(1	ŝ	10	7	ന	6	80	Ð	Ĭ	6	က	L	10	œ	5	Ĩ	5	ભ	4	9	0	o	9	4	\ddot{v}	
20). 11-	ŝ	d b	5.799	5.507	$4 \cdot 143$	3.991	3.305	2.929	2.737	2.615	2.580	2.477	2.358	2.283	2.070	2.001	1.970	1.936	1.893	1.853	1.829	14 14 17	nni T	1.674	1.653	1.627	
5-02		(1	ero	10	5	en	6	œ	õ	٦	6	က	\$7	10	œ	r-	$\overline{\mathbb{V}}$	2	¢1	4	9	Ċ	0	9	ᢐ	$\overline{\vee}$	
	67	d p	I	5.507	4.156	4.008	3.314	2.930	2.740	I	2.587	2.487	1	2.292	2.074	2.008			1.897	1.854	1.831	1.740	ont T	1.675	1.662		
omek			I	100	09	40	100	80	40	I	80	40	1	100	80	09		I	40	60	09	100	7007	60	40]	
T) and	- /	alc.	870	494	151	011	312	-935	747	-625	-589	488	369	291	075	900	957	-942	-898	-856) -843	831	(667)	-748)	675	·656	-628	
i i		d_{c}	ý	ò	4	4	က်	2	Ś	ŝ	61	¢1	Ċ1	Ġ	ά	ંગ	÷	÷	÷.		-	٦	-	÷.	-	Γ	

936

R. E. WINCHELL AND H. E. WENDEN ON

4	$I \xrightarrow{d}$			1 1 006 2 1 003	4 0-9899	1		1 0-9776	0.000	2 0.9/41	012000	01/6.0 2]	2 0.9623]		3 0.9483		4 0.9448	1]	2 0.9213	1		4 0.9157
ಣ	$I \xrightarrow{d}$.	1 1-006 2 1-003	4 0.9899	1		1 0.9779	1110000	Z U-9/4/	1120 0 0	TT/6.0 7		2 0.9268	 []	3 0.9487		$4 \ 0.9451$	 		2 0.9214	1]	$4 \ 0.9150$
ભ	$I \xrightarrow{d}$	1																							
I	$d_{\mathrm{calc.}}$	1·013)	1.013 /	1:003	0.9903	0.9885	0.9884	0.9784	0.9748)	0.9746	0-9711	0.9708	0.9651	0.9632	0.9581	0.9505	0.9489	0.9456	0.9453	0.9367	0.9281	0.9216	0.9158	0.9157	0.9152
	hkl	215	522	0.80 404	531	414	$503 \\ 433 \\ 433 \\ $	009	334	513	225	442	610	001	305	611	424	315	532	523	620	602	540	900	621
4	$I \xrightarrow{d}$	5 1.183		2 1.150	1.147		3 1·144		4 1·126		4 1.103	POT T E	!			<1 1.077		5 1.065		1.061	TOD.T D	1 1.049	3 1.037	3 1.028	3 1.019
en -	$I \xrightarrow{d}$	5 1.184]	2 1.150	6 1.147	1117	3 1.145		4 1·126		4 1.103	POT T E				<1 1.079		5 1.067		6 1.069		$1 \ 1.051$	3 1.037	3 1.029	3 1.019
61	$I \xrightarrow{d}$	60 1.182		10 1.151			60 1.145		60 1.126		60 1-103	POT T DO		 				60 1-066		190-1-02				1	
I	$d_{\rm calc.}$	1.184	1.174	1.151	1.148	OLT T	1.145 1.145	1.127	1.124	1.124	1.104	1.104	1.099	1.090	1.080	020.1	I PINT	1.069	1-067 /	1.062	1.062	1.050	1.038	1.029	1.020
	Ŀkl	22	00		01 (31 /	24 03	11	04	13	14	33	65	20	05	02)	32)	21	23	15	12	24	40	05	41

TABLE II (cont.)

	4	$I \xrightarrow{d}$			0.0970	0170.0 0	1 	$9 \ 0.8207$			2 0.8138	2 0.8117		5 0.8049				!		1 0.7966		0.1340		1 0.7897	1001 0 T	2 0.7848	4 0.7801	1	3 0.7766
	ಣ	$\begin{bmatrix} I \\ d \end{bmatrix}$		-	0.0977	1170.0 0		8 0.8208)))		2 0.8137	2 0.8116	1	5 0.8050]	1 0.7968	01010 01	10 0-1940		1 0.7803	non n T	$2 \ 0.7849$	4 0.7804		3 0-7768
	63	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ q \end{array} \right\}$																											
	I	$d_{calc.}$	0.8293	0.8290	0.8280	0.8279	0.8212	0.8209	00100	0.5195	0.8141	0.8120	0.8064	0.8052	0.0099	0700.0	0.8021	0.7981	0.7978	0.7969	0.7949	0.7047	112010	0.7896	0.7896	0.7849	0.7805	0.7780	0-7769
I (cont.)		hkl	306	101	444	623	316	711)	551)	043 210	640	534	720	641	505	435	702	326	721	604	515	712)	552	614	633	001	642	107	406
TABLE I	4	$\int_{a}^{b} d$					$3 \ 0.9025$	3 0.8936			6 0.8818	0.000	6 0.0704	0 U-8/94		3 0.8741	[1	1	2 0.8625	$3 \ 0.8602$		1	4 0.8425		3 0.8376		3 0.8298
	က	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} p \\ I \end{array} \right\}$			1]	3 0.9025	3 0.8941	1		6 0.8818	0100 0 0	6 0 0H01	0 U-8/94	 	3 0.8741	1 1		1	! 	2 0.8628	3 0.8604			4 0.8425		3 0·8378		3 0.8299
	ৎগ	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} I \\ q \end{array} \right\}$																											
	I	$d_{\mathrm{calc.}}$	0.9108	0.9105	0.9047	0.9043	0.9028	0.8942	0.8924		0-8823	0.8822	0.8796	0.8793	0.8751	0.8741	0.8699	0.8696	0.8646	0.8642	0.8630	0.8605	0.8538)	0.8538	0.8426	0.8386	0.8377	0.8338	0.8302
		hkl	325	612	106	541	443	116	504	434)	514	533	405	622	630	206	415	542	216	631	603	335	524	613	425	200	226	632	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 710 \\ 550 \end{array} \right\}$

938

DIABOLEÏTE

given for diaboleïte by Palache *et al.* (1941) and Byström and Wilhelmi (1950).

The Cu- $K\alpha$ doublets for six relatively sharp reflections known from the indexing to be single lines and having 2θ values greater than $146^{\circ} 2\theta$



FIG. 2. X-ray powder diffraction photographs for natural diaboleïte (U.S.N.M. 114577) (above) and synthetic diaboleïte (below) prepared the slow diffusion method.

were used to obtain precise values for a and c. The results, $a 5.869 \pm 0.002$ Å, $c 5.495 \pm 0.003$ Å, are in reasonable agreement with Palache et al. (1941; $a 5.83 \pm 0.02$ Å, $c 5.46 \pm 0.02$ Å), and in excellent agreement with Byström and Wilhelmi (1950; $a 5.870 \pm 0.003$ Å, $c 5.494 \pm 0.003$ Å).

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to express their gratitude to Professor C. S. Hurlbut of Harvard University, Dr. J. A. Mandarino of the Royal Ontario Museum and Dr. Paul E. Desautels of the United States National Museum for providing the specimens of natural diaboleïte used in this study.

References

BYSTRÖM (A.) and WILHELMI (K.), 1950. Arkiv Kemi., vol. 2, pp. 397-404 [M.A. 11-237].

FRIEDEL (C.), 1892. Bull. Soc. franç. Min., vol. 15, pp. 96–101.
PALACHE (C.), 1941. Amer. Min., vol. 26, pp. 605–612 [M.A. 8–215].

SPENCER (L.) and MOUNTAIN (E.), 1923. Min. Mag., vol. 20, pp. 67-92.

[Manuscript received 19 February 1968]