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## The ionic radius of nickel

Compilations of ionic radii in common use are due to Ahrens (1952) and Pauling (1960). According to both the $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ ion is larger than the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ (Ahrens, $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+4} 0.69 \AA$, $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+} 0.66 \AA$; Pauling, $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+} 0.72 \AA, \mathrm{Mg}^{2} \cdot 0.65 \AA$ ), but a comparison of the unit cell volumes of many isomorphous nickel and magnesium compounds reveals that the nickel compound normally has a smaller cell volume. This provides sufficient evidence for a revision of the estimated relative ionic radii of nickel and magnesium.
The unit cell volumes of nineteen pairs of nickel and magnesium compounds that were believed to be isostructural were calculated from the data of the ASTM card index (1967). The ratio of the cell volume of the nickel compound to that of the magnesium compound, $V_{\mathrm{Xi}}: V_{\mathrm{Mg}}$, was then calculated (table I). In only two of the structures was the ratio greater than 1.00 and it is tentatively suggested that these two cases result from faulty data.

Table I. Unit-cell-volume ratios of isostructural nickel and magnesium compounds

| Formula $V_{\mathrm{Ni}}: V_{\mathrm{Mq}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M F_{2} \\ & 1.060 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \\ & 0.97 \mathrm{I} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{MBr}_{2}$ <br> 0.919 | $\begin{gathered} M I_{2} \\ 0.836 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MOHCl} \\ & \mathrm{I} \cdot \mathrm{I} 5 \mathrm{I} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \\ & 0.952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M \mathrm{O} \\ & 0.974 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MCO}_{3} \\ & 0.97 \mathrm{I} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{4} \\ & 0.97 \mathrm{I} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula $V_{\mathrm{Ni}}: V_{\mathrm{Mz}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M \mathrm{WO}_{4} \\ & 0.993 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M \mathrm{Mod} \\ & 0.990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M \mathrm{SO} \\ & 0.962 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} M \mathrm{SeO} \\ 0.936 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} M \mathrm{Sb}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \\ 0.98 \mathrm{I} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M \mathrm{Nb}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \\ & 0.995 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} M \mathrm{LO}_{4} \\ 0.947 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cys.1.* } \\ & 0.845 \end{aligned}$ | forb. 0.975 |


|  |  | Spinels |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Formula | $\mathrm{Hyd} . \ddagger$ | $\mathrm{MAl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} M \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | $M \mathrm{Mr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} M \mathrm{Ga}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | $M_{2} \mathrm{GcO}_{4}$ | $M_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{4}$ |  |
| $V_{\mathrm{N}:}: V_{\mathrm{ML}}$ | 1.000 | 0.979 | 0.979 | 0.995 | 0.993 | 0.990 | 0.937 |

* Oxalate, $M(\mathrm{COOH})_{2} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} . \quad \dagger$ Perchlorate, $M\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} .6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
$\ddagger$ Sulphate, $\mathrm{MSO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
A further series of six spinel structures are listed in table I along with the calculated values of $V_{\mathrm{Ni}}: V_{\mathrm{M}}$, which are all less than $\mathrm{I} \cdot 00$. The spinel structure has lattice sites of four and six co-ordination and the compounds of nickel and magnesium will not be strictly isostructural since the magnesium ion will accept a greater degree of four co-ordination than the nickel ion, which is strongly favoured in sites with six coordination.

The diffraction patterns of other compounds are listed in the ASTM index without cell dimensions but an examination of the $d$-spacing of equivalent peaks indicates that the nickel compounds have smaller cell volumes.

The present data demonstrate within the concept of an ion as a rigid sphere with a fixed radius that the $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ ion is smaller than the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ion in halide and oxide lattices. An assessment of the size difference may be made by calculating the mean of
the $V_{\mathrm{Ni}}: V_{\mathrm{Mg}}$ values of the compounds listed in table I, excluding the spinels. The range of values is considerable and the very high and the very low probably derive from faulty data. The mean is 0.970 and this may be employed to estimate a $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ onic radius of $0.64 \AA$ relative to Ahrens value of $0.66 \AA$ for $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$.
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# A Fortran IV computer programme for the rapid computation of indexed X -ray $d$-spacings, Q -values, and Bragg angles 

This programme is a general routine that, given a set of cell parameters and the wavelength of X-rays, produces an ordered list of indexed $d$-spacings, Q -values $\left(\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{I} / d^{2}\right), 2 \theta$, and $4 \theta$ for any prescribed crystal lattice.

All crystal lattices, including both monoclinic settings, and the rhombohedral system, are handled. Input cell parameters may be direct or reciprocal, and optional features include the generation of systematic absences for any space group, which is initiated by punching the international space group symbol (or lattice symbol) on to a data card. Provision is made for users to add a routine to produce additional absences due to special positioning in any particular space group. Systematic absences may be removed from the output list to provide a condensed list of observable reflections.

Operation of the programme is extremely simple, data for each job being punched on to three cards. Attention has been paid to printed format and the programme may be used for batchwise production of extensive reference catalogues as easily as for single jobs. The user has complete control over such variables as output lines per page and per job. Useful data such as the direct and reciprocal cell parameters and cell volumes are also printed out.

