Edward S. C. Smith and William H. Parsons, Union College, Schenectady, N. Y.

Abstract

Availability of an unusual instrument giving monochromatic radiant energy of a higher order of magnitude than hitherto possible has permitted the pursuit of this research. Specimens of forty-three minerals representing twenty-one distinct species have been examined in ultraviolet radiation and a tentative relationship between the frequency of the incident radiation and the frequency of the reradiated light has been determined.

Fluorescence in minerals has been a commonly known phenomenon for many years, and during the last five years mineralogists have had access to various sources of ultraviolet radiation, as for example the argon tube. This has resulted in the demonstration of fluorescence in an increasingly large number of the mineral species and in the awakening of wide-spread interest in this property.

To obtain a range of frequencies in the ultraviolet the practice of other workers has followed three methods. The first has been to remove the plate holder from a prism, or diffraction grating spectrograph, and to allow monochromatic radiation to fall upon the specimen. This process is subject to great calibration difficulties and with interference from scattered light of other frequencies present nearby, especially the visible lines. While this method is suitable for chemical compounds which may be spread out in a thin layer on a glass plate, it is unsatisfactory for examination of the rather rough surfaces of minerals, particularly those species which lose the characteristic fluorescent response when pulverized.

A second way to secure monochromatic radiation has been through the use of specially made glass filters which allow only certain ranges of frequencies to pass. Here one is subject to the obvious difficulty of getting a sufficiently wide assortment of frequencies having sharp cutoff limitations, although the radiation intensity is comparatively strong.

Monochromators using prisms made from natural crystals of quartz seriously limit the transmitted radiant energy because of the necessary size restriction of the cut prisms.

The type of paper usually found in the literature makes it evident that investigators have been limited in facilities for measuring fluorescent responses under a suitable range of frequencies.

The reason for the study, the results of which appear in this paper, has been the availability, through the courtesy of its designer, Mr. Frank A. Benford of the Research Laboratory of the General Electric Company, at Schenectady, N.Y., of an unique monochromator of the following construction.

Fused quartz prisms weighing approximately seven and ten pounds each, which have optical surfaces of the order of ten and fifteen centimeters on a side respectively, turn on their axes by means of revolving tables, being actuated simultaneously. A revolving drum scale is attached permitting the setting of the instrument at the desired frequency. There are two sets of quartz collimating lenses. The light source is a mercury vapor arc in quartz operating at 150 volts and 3.6 amperes, being kept at constant temperature by a stream of air directed upon it, thus maintaining a constant energy output.

It is important to note the special design of the fused quartz prisms. They are not entirely free from bubbles and striae and therefore scattered light is present in small amounts. But by an ingenious design of their angles it has been possible to divert from the optical system much energy from those wavelengths longer than the one in use at any given time. These are naturally the wavelengths of greater energy and would be especially undesirable lest they mask the fluorescent effect when tests are made near the visible range.

The monochromator is unique primarily in that it gives radiant energy of a much greater order of magnitude than that of instruments heretofore in use, enabling the easy examination of large irregular surfaces at a given wavelength.

It was decided to make the selection of minerals as complete as possible, hence a collection of several thousand specimens was tested for fluorescence with both mercury lamp and disruptive iron spark radiations. Forty-three specimens representing twenty-one distinct species were finally chosen for study. Each mineral was examined through the range of ultraviolet frequencies available from the monochromator and the following table prepared (Table 1).

Wavelength	Relative energy (% of lamp input)	Sphalerite ZnS Tsumeb, Africa	Fluorite CaF ₂ St. Lawrence, Co., N.Y.	Fluorite (brown) CaF ₂ Ohio	Fluorite (yellow) CaF ₂ Ohio	Fluorite CaF ₂ Montana	Fluorite (blue) CaF ₂ England	Fluorite (green) CaF ₂ England	Semi-opal SiO ₂ nH ₂ O Nevada
2652Å	.0005	3 orange	0	3 brown	1 brown-	2 blue	2 blue- violet	2 violet	6 green
2700Å	,0008	4 orange	0	3 brown	green 1 brown- green	2 blue	2 blue- violet	2 violet	7 green
2752Å	.0010	5 orange	0	3 brown	1 brown- green	2 blue	2 blue- violet	2 violet	7 green
2804Å	.0016	5 orange	0	3 brown	2 brown- green	2 blue	3 blue- violet	2 violet	8 green
2894Â	.0010	5 orange	0	3 brown	2 brown- green	1 blue	3 blue	3 blue	6 green
2925Å	.0005	5 orange	0	3 brown	2 brown- green	2 blue	4 biue	4 blue	6 green
2967Å	.0025	5* orange	0	4 brown	3 brown- green	3 blue	5 blue	4 blue	6 green
3024Å	.0063	5* orange	3 blue	4 brown-	3 brown-	4 blue	6 blue	5 blue	7 green
3128Å	.0130	6* orange	4 blue	green 5 brown-	green 4 brown-	4 blue	7 blue	6 blue	7 green
3341Å	.0030	6* orange	4 blue	green 3 brown-	green 2 brown-	5 blue	8 blue	8 blue	5 green
3650Å	.0235	8* orange	5 blue	green 5 brown-	green 4 brown-	7 blue	9 blue	9 blue	6 green
4047Å	.0100	6* orange	5 blue	green 5 brown- green	green 2 brown- green	?	8 blue	8 blue	5 green
4358Å	.0140	6* orange	3	?	?	?	5	3	3

TABLE 1

EDWARD S. C. SMITH AND WILLIAM H. PARSONS

-	-			TABLE I (Continuea)			
Wavelength	Hyalite SiO ₂ • <i>n</i> H ₂ O Mexico	Hyalite SiO ₂ · <i>n</i> H ₂ O North Carolina	Ruby Al ₂ O ₃ North Carolina	Brucite Mg(OH)2 Texas, Pa.	Brucite Mg(OH) ² Hoboken, N.J.	Calcite CaCO ₃ Montana	Calcite CaCO3 Chisos Mtns., Texas	Calcite CaCO ₃ Imperial Co., Calif.	Calcite (green) CaCO ₃ California
2652Å	8	8	0	0	1	0	5*	0	0
	green	blue-			light		blue		
2700Å	7	green 8	0	0	blue 1	0	2*	0	0
	green	blue-		, v	light	v	blue		
2		green			blue				
2752Å	7	7	0	1	1	0	2*	0	0
	green	blue-		light	light		blue		
2804Å	8	green 8	0	blue 1	blue 1	0	4*	0	1
200 111	green	blue-	-	light	light	0	blue	0	pink
	The second	green		blue	blue		Side		Pini
2894Å	6	7	0	1	1	0	4*	0	1
	green	blue-		light	light		blue		pink
2925Å	5	green 7	0	blue 2	blue 2	0	3*	0	1
272011	green	green	0	2 light	2 light	0	blue	0	1 pink
	8	8.001		blue	blue		biue		pmx
2º67Å	6	7	0	2	2	0	5*	0	1
	green	green		light	light		blue		pink
3024Å	7	0	0	blue	blue	ä		4	0
3024A	green	8 green	0	3 light	3 light	0	5* blue	1 red	2 red
	0	Breen		blue	blue		Diuc	Icu	icu
3128Å	7	8	0	3	4	0	4*	2	3
	green	green		light	light		blue	red	red
3341Å	5	7	0	blue	blue				
5541A	э green	/ green	0	3 light	4 light	0	0	2 red	3 red
	8.cou	81001		blue	blue			Icu	Icu
3650Å	6	7	4	6	7	1?	7	4	5
	green	green	red	light	light	red	pink	red	red
4047Å	2	6	_	blue	blue			-	
4U†/A	2 green	6 green	5 red	3? light	3? light	5	4 pink	5	5 red
	Scen	green	teu	blue	blue		ршк	red	rea
4358Å	?	2	?	?	?	?	5	?	?

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Wavelength	Calcite CaCO ₃ Sterlingbush, N.Y.	Calcite CaCO ³ Saxony	Calcite CaCO ₃ Devonshire, Eng.	Calcite CaCO ₃ Franklin Furnace, N.J.	Calcite CaCO ₈ Franklin Furnace, N.J.	Calcite CaCO3 Franklin Furnace, N.J.	Dolomite (Ca, Mg)CO ₃ Baden, Germany	Gay-Lussite CaCO ₃ · Na ₂ CO ₃ · 5H ₂ O Washington	Kunzite LiAl(SiO ₃)2 California
2652Å	0	2 pink	1 pink	6 pink	3 pink	4 orange- pink	2 green	0	0
2700Å	0	3 pink	2 pink	6 pink	3 pink	4 orange- pink	3 green	0	0
2752Å	1	4	3	6	4	6	3	3	0
2804Å	pink 5 pink	pink 5 pink	pink 4 pink	pink 8 pink	pink 4 pink	pink 6 pink-	green 3 green	pink 3 pink	0
2894Å	5 orange-	5 pink	4 pink	7 pink	4 pink	orange 6 pink-	1 green	2 pink	0
2925Å	pink 4 orange-	6 pink	4 pink	7 pink	5 pink-	orange 7 orange	1 green	3 pink	0
2967Å	pink 6 orange- pink	7 pink	5 pink	7 orange- pink	orange 5 orange	7 orange	1 green	3 pink	0
3024Å	7 orange- pink	7 pink	7 pink	8 orange	7 orange	8 orange	1 green	4 pink	0
3128Å	5 orange- pink	8 pink	8 pink	9 orange	8 orange	9 orange	0	5 pink	0
3341Å	1 orange- pink	3 pink	2 pink	7 orange	4 orange- pink	6 orange	0	4 pink	1 orange
3650Å	2 orange-	3 pink	4 pink	5 orange	6 orange-	7 pink-	0	4 pink	4 orange
4047Å	pink ?	2 pink	8 pink	5 orange	pink 7 orange- pink	orange 7 orange- pink	0	?	4 orange
4358Å	?	2	2	2	2	2 2	3	?	?

TABLE 1 (Continued)

EDWARD S. C. SMITH AND WILLIAM H. PARSONS

-									
Wavelength	Pectolite HNaCa ₂ (SiO ₃) ₃ Paterson, N.J.	Sodalite Na4(Al, Cl)Al2(SiO4)3 Brewig, Norway	Hackmanite Complex Silicate Bancroft, Ontario	Willemite Zn ₂ SiO ₄ Franklin Furnace, N.J.	Willemite (crystals) Zn ₃ SiO ₄ Sterling, N.J.	Scapolite Complex Silicate Quebec	Wavellite 4AlPO4.2Al(OH)3.9H2O Devonshire, Eng.	Autunite Ca(UO ₂) ₂ P ₂ O ₈ · 8H ₂ O Chesterfield, Mass.	Autunite Ca(UO ₂) ₂ P ₂ O ₈ ·8H ₂ O Grafton, N.H.
2652Å-	0	0	0	8 green	4 green	3 yellow-	0	5 green	6 green
2700Å	0	0	0	8 green	4 green	orange 4 yellow-	0	5 green	6 green
2752Å	0	0	0	9 green	4 green	orange 4 yellow-	0	5 green	6 green
2804Å	0	0	0	9	4	orange 5	0	6	7
2894Å	0	0	0	green 9 green	green 3 green	yellow 5 yellow	0	green 6 green	green 7 green
2925Å	0	Ō	0	9 green	2 green	5 yellow	0	6 green	8 green
2967Å	0	0	1 pink	9 green	2 green	6 yellow	0	7 green	8 green
3024Å	0	0	1 pink	9 green	2 green	7 yellow	1 green	7 green	9 green
3128Å	0	0	2 pink	8 green	0	7 yellow	1 green	7 green	9 green
3341Å	0	0	2 pink	7 green	0	7 yellow	1 green	4 green	8 green
3650Å	1 orange	4 pink	5 orange- pink	8 green	0	10 yellow	3* light green	6 green	9 green
4047Å	0	4 pink	6 orange-	0	0	9 yellow	1* light	0	7 green
4358Å	?	?	pink 5 orange- pink	5 green	0	9 yellow- orange	green ?	?	?

TABLE 1 (Continued)

-	1	1						1
Wavelength	Autunite Ca(UO ₂) ₂ P ₂ O ₈ ·8H ₂ O Autun, France	Barite BaSO4 Przibram, Bohemia	Barite BaSO4 Schemnitz, Hungary	Anglesite PbSO4 Phoenixville, Pa.	Gypsum CaSO4·2H ₂ O Bennett Co., S.D.	Gypsum CaSO4 2H2O Chicago, Ill.	Scheelite CaWO ₄ Bohemia	Scheelite CaWO4 California
2652Â	7	1	0	1	0	0	4	8
	green	blue		green- yellow			blue	blue
2700Å	7	1	1	1	1	0	5	7
	green	blue	light	green-	yellow-		blue	blue
0.00000000			green	yellow	orange			
2752Å	7	1	1	2	1	0	6	7
	green	blue	light	green-	yellow-		blue	blue
20013	0		green	yellow	orange	1	7	8
2804Å	8	1	1	2	1	1		8 blue
	green	blue	light	green-	yellow-	blue	blue	blue
2894Å	8	0	green 1	yellow 2	orange 1	0	3	6
2094A	green	0	light	green-	yellow-	0	blue	blue
	green	_	green	yellow	orange		Ditte	brue
2925Å	8	0	1	2	1	0	1	4
-79.000	green	- *	light	green-	yellow-		blue	blue
	0		green	yellow	orange			
2967Å	8	0	2	3	1	1	1	2
	green		light	green-	yellow-	blue	blue	blue
1. Print 2011			green	yellow	orange			1.57
3024Å	9	1	2	3	2	1	0	0
	green	blue	light	green-	yellow-	blue		
3128Â	0		green	yellow	orange	0	0	0
3128A	9	2	2	4	3	2	0	0
	green	blue-	light	green-	yellow	blue		
3341Å	8	green 1	green 1	yellow 3	2	1	0	0
001111	green	green	light	green-	yellow	blue	v	2.000
	Broom	green	green	yellow	yenow	brac		
3650Å	9	2	1	5	4	2	0	0
	green	yellow-	light	green-	orange-	blue-		
		cream	green	yellow	yellow	green		
4047Å	8	0	0	2	3	5	0	0
	green			yellow	orange- yellow			
4358Å	7?	0	0	5	?	2	2	?
	green		17.52	P)				

TABLE 1 (Continued)

EDWARD S. C. SMITH AND WILLIAM H. PARSONS

With reference to these observations, the subject of the intensity of fluorescent light from the specimen deserves primary consideration. For a given specimen the relationship between incident radiant energy and fluorescent light energy is not a linear one. This fact may be made evident by the simple experiment of doubling the incident energy at a given frequency and observing the increase in fluorescence. This may be appreciable but fluorescence will rarely approximate twice its former value. Therefore, large variations in the strength of a given line produce rather small changes in fluorescent light from the specimen. There is probably some phenomenon of absorption operative here. To establish this relationship is difficult. Variations of incident energy from the monochromator with each successive spectral line make it, therefore, of little avail to measure fluorescent response in absolute units. Thus, instead of using a photocell or thermopile for quantitative measurements, the table is derived from visual estimation.

A zero rating indicates no fluorescence while optimum brightness is recorded as ten units. Thus "1" indicates a barely discernible fluorescence while "5" or "6" is perhaps the average value. Color is indicated on the table for each response. Phosphorescence is shown by an appropriate symbol.

It is extremely important always to interpret the recorded intensities as dependent upon the energy of each ultraviolet wavelength.

Attention is first directed to those specimens which undergo color changes. There are nine minerals in which the change is definitely observable. They are:

> Minerals Fluorite (brown) Ohio Fluorite (blue) England Fluorite (green) England Hyalite North Carolina Calcite Texas Barite Bohemia

Anglesite Phoenixville, Pa. Gypsum Chicago, Ill. (Incident radiation decreases in frequency) brown to brown-green

blue-violet to blue

violet to blue-violet

blue-green to green

blue to pink

blue to blue-green to green to yellow-green green-yellow to yellow

blue to blue-green

We note at once an important fact. In every instance above, the wavelength of the fluorescent color varies directly with the wavelength of the incident ultraviolet radiation. In other words, the higher the frequency of the ultraviolet radiation, the higher the frequency of the reradiated energy.

Opposed to this group is a series which tends to show the opposite effect. This is composed of:

Minerals	(Incident radiation decreases in frequency)
Calcite	pink to orange-pink
Sterlingbush, N.Y.	
Calcite	pink to orange-pink
Franklin Furnace, N.J.	
Hackmanite	pink to orange-pink
Bancroft, Ontario	
Scapolite	yellow-orange to
Ontario	yellow to
	yellow-orange (?)

Here it would seem as if the incident frequency and reradiated frequency varied in inverse proportion. However, the above four specimens are not clearcut examples, due to the difficulty in describing what really amounts to a slight gradation of shading. This evidence, therefore, is not given extensive consideration.

The third and largest group contains the remaining specimens, those which show no appreciable change in color under the entire range of incident frequencies. It will be noted that a specimen may show fluorescence of but one color under a certain incident frequency, yet fail to respond at all to other nearby incident frequencies which one might expect to produce adjacent spectral colors in the visible range.

Two conclusions may be made in reference to the species examined. The frequency of the fluorescent light is a discontinuous function of the frequency of the incident radiation. Further, within limited regions where specimens show that it is a continuous function, the frequency of fluorescent color varies directly as the frequency of the incident ultraviolet radiation. This mutual variation is not a direct octave relationship nor is it a linear one, for any specimen studied.