## RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DENSITY AND COMPOSITION IN MOL PER CENT FOR SOME SOLID SOLUTION SERIES

F. DONALD BLOSS,\* University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois.

#### Abstract

A general equation relating density to composition in mol per cent is derived for all two component solid solution series wherein volume is an additive property. Dependent upon K, which is defined as the ratio of the molar volume of the end member of higher molecular weight to the molar volume of the remaining component, i.e.  $K = V_a/V_b$ , the general equation may be graphically represented (density along ordinate) by a concave upward quadratic (K < 1), a straight line (K = 1), or a convex upward quadratic (K > 1). For convenience these three types are labelled type I, II, and III, respectively. Examples of all three types are presented. All ideal solutions should exactly follow one of these types of ideal curves.

Deviation of the empirical data from the theoretical relationship between density and composition is presented as proof for the non-ideality of a solution, since additivity of volume is a necessary property of thermodynamically ideal solutions.

The statistically-fitted curve for the forsterite-fayalite series, previously determined as ideal by the heat of solution measurements of Sahama and Torgeson, proved practically identical to the theoretical curve. The straight line generally used to relate density to composition in mol per cent for this series is definitely erroneous.

#### INTRODUCTION

A linear relationship between density and mol per cent composition has frequently been assumed in published articles on solid solution series, especially when few data are available. The situation is commonly not so simple; rather a quadratic relationship generally lies closer to the truth. This is particularly true when the molar volumes of the end members differ considerably.

### Theoretical Relationship Between Density and Composition In Mol Per Cent

If molar volume can be considered as an additive<sup>†</sup> property in a solid solution series between two components A and B (as it is for an ideal solution: Lewis and Randall, 1923, p. 222) then

$$V = \frac{N_a}{100} V_a + \left(1 - \frac{N_a}{100}\right) V_b$$
(1)

where  $N_a$  represents the mol per cent of end member A in the mixed crystal, and where V,  $V_a$ , and  $V_b$  represent the molar volume of the mixed crystal, of pure A, and of pure B, respectively. Gram molecular weight

\* Present address: Department of Geology, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee.

<sup>†</sup> In effect any property which varies linearly with mol per cent composition. For further discussion see any physical chemistry text, for example, that by MacDougall (1943, p. 194).

for this series is undoubtedly an additive property (MacDougall, 1943, p. 194) and therefore

$$M = \frac{N_a}{100} M_a + \left(1 - \frac{N_a}{100}\right) M_b$$
 (2)

where M,  $M_a$  and  $M_b$  represent the gram molecular weights of the mixed crystal, of pure A, and of pure B, respectively.

The general relationship between density,  $\rho$ , and mol per cent composition,  $N_a$ , is theoretically given by division of eq. (2) by eq. (1) thus

$$\rho = \frac{(M_a - M_b)N_a + 100M_b}{(V_a - V_b)N_a + 100V_b}.$$
(3)

This relationship, in agreement with that developed by Retgers (1889, p. 509), becomes increasingly rigorous as volume approaches additivity for the series.

Where composition is to be calculated from a density measurement, the following form of eq. (3) will be found more convenient:

$$N_{a} = \frac{100}{1 + K \frac{(\rho_{a} - \rho)}{(\rho - \rho_{b})}}$$
(3a)

where

$$K = \frac{V_a}{V_b} = \frac{M_a \rho_b}{\rho_a M_b}$$

and  $V_a$  represents the molar volume of the end member with the larger molecular weight. Dependent upon the value of K, eq. (3a) may be graphically represented (density along ordinate) by three types of curves (Table 1).

| TABLE | 1. The Relationship Between the Value of $K$ |
|-------|--|
|       | AND THE TYPE OF THEORETICAL CURVE            |
|       |  |

| Value of K | Туре | Description of curve     |
|------------|------|--------------------------|
| <1         | I    | Concave-upward quadratic |
| 1          | II   | Straight line            |
| >1         | III  | Convex-upward quadratic  |

Table 2 presents solutions of eq. (3a) at five selected mixed crystal densities

$$\left(\frac{\rho_a+3\rho_b}{4}, \frac{\rho_a+2\rho_b}{3}, \text{ etc.}\right)$$

for 31 solid solution series in which K ranges from 0.85 to 1.15 in steps of 0.01. The utility of Table 2 may be illustrated by considering a hypo-

|                      |                    | Densit             | y, $\rho$ , of mixed of | crystals           |        |
|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------|
| $K^*$ for the series | $\rho_a + 3\rho_b$ | $\rho_a + 2\rho_b$ | $\rho_a + \rho_h$       | $2\rho_a + \rho_b$ | 3pa+pb |
| the series           | 4                  | 3                  | 2                       | 3                  | 4      |
| .85                  | 28.17              | 37.04              | 54.05                   | 70.18              | 77.92  |
| .86                  | 27.93              | 36.76              | 53.76                   | 69.93              | 77.72  |
| .87                  | 27.70              | 36.50              | 53.48                   | 69.69              | 77.52  |
| .88                  | 27.47              | 36.23              | 53.19                   | 69.44              | 77.32  |
| .89                  | 27.25              | 35.97              | 52.91                   | 69.20              | 77.12  |
| .90                  | 27.03              | 35.71              | 52.63                   | 68.97              | 76.92  |
| .91                  | 26.81              | 35.46              | 52.36                   | 68.73              | 76.73  |
| .92                  | 26.60              | 35.21              | 52.08                   | 68.49              | 76.53  |
| .93                  | 26.39              | 34.97              | 51.81                   | 68.26              | 76.34  |
| .94                  | 26.18              | 34.72              | 51.55                   | 68.03              | 76.14  |
| .95                  | 25.97              | 34.48              | 51.28                   | 67.80              | 75.95  |
| .96                  | 25.77              | 34.25              | 51.02                   | 67.57              | 75.76  |
| .97                  | 25.58              | 34.01              | 50.76                   | 67.34              | 75.57  |
| .98                  | 25.38              | 33.78              | 50.51                   | 67.11              | 75.38  |
| .99                  | 25.19              | 33.56              | 50.25                   | 66.89              | 75.19  |
| 1.00                 | 25.00              | 33.33              | 50.00                   | 66.67              | 75.00  |
| 1.01                 | 24.81              | 33.11              | 49.75                   | 66.45              | 74.81  |
| 1.02                 | 24.63              | 32.89              | 49.50                   | 66.23              | 74.62  |
| 1.03                 | 24.45              | 32.68              | 49.26                   | 66.01              | 74.44  |
| 1.04                 | 24.27              | 32.47              | 49.02                   | 65.79              | 74.26  |
| 1.05                 | 24.10              | 32.26              | 48.78                   | 65.67              | 74.07  |
| 1.06                 | 23.92              | 32.05              | 48.54                   | 65.36              | 73.89  |
| 1.07                 | 23.75              | 31.85              | 48.31                   | 65.15              | 73.71  |
| 1.08                 | 23.58              | 31.65              | 48.08                   | 64.94              | 73.53  |
| 1.09                 | 23.42              | 31.45              | 47.85                   | 64.73              | 73.35  |
| 1.10                 | 23.26              | 31.25              | 47.62                   | 64.52              | 73.17  |
| 1.11                 | 23.09              | 31.06              | 47.39                   | 64.31              | 72.99  |
| 1.12                 | 22.94              | 30.86              | 47.17                   | 64,10              | 72.82  |
| 1.13                 | 22.78              | 30.67              | 46.95                   | 63.90              | 72.64  |
| 1.14                 | 22.62              | 30.49              | 46.73                   | 63.69              | 72.46  |
| 1.15                 | 22.47              | 30.30              | 46.51                   | 63.49              | 72.30  |

# Table 2. Composition in Mol. Per Cent, $N_a$ , for Crystals of Five Intermediate Densities Belonging to Solution Series with K Values Ranging from 0.85 to 1.15

\* K is defined as the ratio of the molar volume of the denser end member, A, to that of the lighter end member, B, i.e.  $V_a/V_b$  or  $M_a\rho_b/\rho_a M_b$ .

thetical solid solution series for which  $\rho_a = 2.000$ ,  $\rho_b = 1.000$ , and K = 0.895. By interpolation from Table 2, five intermediate points (1.250, 27.14%; 1.333, 35.84%; 1.500, 52.77%; 1.667, 69.09%; and 1.750, 77.02%) on the theoretical curve for this series may be quickly secured. These plus the two end points permit an accurate graphical presentation of eq. (3a) for this particular series. The five points also show the deviation of the theoretical curve from linearity (by comparison with the corresponding values for the series where K=1); for example, in this series the deviation from linearity in the  $\rho_a + \rho_b/2$  region is +2.77%. The accuracy of the interpolation is high; substituting 2.000, 1.000, 1.500 and 0.895 into eq. (3a) for  $\rho_a$ ,  $\rho_b$ ,  $\rho$ , and K, respectively, yields 52.77% when the function is solved for  $N_a$ .

#### EXAMPLES

#### A Series with K < 1

Complete solid solution exists between Ni(NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>· $6H_2O$  and Mg(NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>· $6H_2O$ . From their respective gram-molecular weights, 394.99 and 360.62, and from the writer's density measurements of 1.9225 and 1.7209, respectively, K can be calculated to be 0.980 for this series. Thus, consulting Tables 1 and 2, one sees that the theoretical curve is concave-upward (Type I) and that it deviates from linearity about 0.51 mol per cent in the 50 mol per cent region.

Crystals of this series were grown from aqueous solutions, their densities measured, then carefully analyzed. Full details of growth, chemical analysis, and density measurements are given by Bloss (1951); results are summarized in Table 3. Densities are believed accurate to  $\pm 0.0005$ , composition to within  $\pm 0.5$  mol per cent; the empirical values were not rounded off, however, for statistical reasons. The writer's end point values, 1.7209 and 1.9225, which were the results of several determina-

| Mol Per Cent<br>Nickel Member | 0.00   | 21.03  | 21,20  | 30.45  | 42,40  | \$5.46 | 56.34  | 65.97  | 66.18  | 81.09  | 81.22  | 100.00 |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Density                       | 1.7209 | 1.7611 | 1.7626 | 1.7810 | 1.8065 | 1.8304 | 1.8336 | 1.8525 | 1,8543 | 1.8831 | 1.8855 | 1.9225 |

TABLE 3. EMPIRICAL DENSITY-COMPOSITION DATA FOR THE (Ni, Mg) (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> · 6H<sub>2</sub>O series

tions on each of several specimens, agree closely with those in the literature which are for the magnesium member 1.721, 1.7225 (Tutton, 1905, p. 1136), and 1.721 (Perrot's value cited by Tutton, 1905, p. 1136) and for the nickel member 1.9227 (Tutton, 1916, p. 6). From Hofmann's (1931, p. 288) x-ray data, density is calculated to be 1.722 for the

magnesium end member; from Mukherjee's (1935, p. 504) x-ray data, density is calculated to be 2.038 for the nickel end member.

The data of Table 3 were next analyzed statistically. All regressions<sup>1</sup> were carried out in terms of composition upon density (i.e., the lines were calculated which minimized the deviations in composition of the data from them), since the calculated density-composition curves were intended for use in predicting composition from measured density values. Both linear and quadratic equations were fitted to the data by the standard method of least squares (described in Rider, 1939, pp. 27–32); their relative goodness of fit was then compared by means of calculating their t value.<sup>2</sup> This process was performed twice, once double weighting<sup>3</sup> the end points and once giving them infinite weight.<sup>4</sup> Extra weighting of the end points was deemed justifiable since for them composition was known absolutely and, in addition, their density values could be compared with those in the literature. For the assumption of infinitely weighted end points the quadratic

$$g(\rho) = (\rho - 1.7209)(602.76264 - 55.51659\rho)$$
(4)

and the straight line

$$f(\rho) = 496.032(\rho - 1.7209) \tag{5}$$

represent the equations of best fit. If the end points are only double weighted, the quadratic

$$h(\rho) = -1028.0653 + 689.6492\rho - 53.5518\rho^2 \tag{6}$$

and the straight line

$$k(\rho) = 494.6053\rho - 850.6854 \tag{7}$$

are the equations of best fit. The functions  $g(\rho)$ ,  $f(\rho)$ ,  $h(\rho)$ , and  $k(\rho)$  represent composition in mol per cent of nickel ammonium sulfate. The proper values for nickel ammonium sulfate and magnesium ammonium sulfate may be substituted into eq. (3a) to get the theoretical relation-

<sup>1</sup> In statistical terminology regression signifies the process of fitting a line to the data which accurately follows their trend yet, at least in part, lacks their chance errors.

<sup>2</sup> The statistical quantity t refers to "Student's" t distribution (Mood, 1950, p. 206; Rider, 1939, pp. 88–98). It was here used to compare the linear regression function with the quadratic one developed under similar weighting assumptions; in effect the calculated value of t permits a determination of the probability of the data having by chance favored a quadratic distribution even though the relationship investigated was actually linear.

<sup>3</sup> Each end member observation was counted twice in making the least square computations.

<sup>4</sup> Each end member observation was considered to have been made an infinite number of times, mixed crystal observations but once. Under this assumption the statistically derived curves must perforce pass through the end points. ship for this series, namely,

$$N_{ni} = \frac{100}{1 + K \frac{(\rho_{ni} - \rho)}{(\rho - \rho_{mg})}},$$
  
$$K = \frac{M_{ni}\rho_{mg}}{M_{mg}\rho_{ni}} = 0.98044839.$$

where

Table 4 indicates the relative accuracies of the various equations in predicting the composition of a mixed crystal from its measured density. Table 5 summarizes the results of statistical tests of the equations and

TABLE 4. COMPARISON OF CURVES RELATING DENSITY TO MOL PER CENT OF NICKEL AMMONIUM SULFATE IN MIXED CRYSTALS OF THE (Mg, Ni)(NH4)2(SO4)2 · 6H2O Series

| 32             |   | End Po                                    | ints Giver             | n Infinite '                              | Weight                 | End                                       | Points Do              | uble Weig                                 | hted                    | (P)  |                         |  |       |  |
|----------------|---|---|------------------------|---|------------------------|---|------------------------|---|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|-------|--|
| Re             | sults                                     | Quad                                      | Iratic                 | Stra<br>Li                                | ight<br>ne             | Quad                                      | ratic                  | Stra<br>Li                                | ight<br>ne              | Cu   | irve                    |  | Curve |  |
| Density<br>(1) | Composi-<br>tion<br>by<br>Analysis<br>(2) | Pre-<br>dicted<br>Compo-<br>sition<br>(3) | Devia-<br>tion*<br>(4) | Pre-<br>dicted<br>Compo-<br>sition<br>(5) | Devia-<br>tion*<br>(6) | Pre-<br>dicted<br>Compo-<br>sition<br>(7) | Devia-<br>tion*<br>(8) | Pre-<br>dicted<br>Compo-<br>sition<br>(9) | Devia-<br>tion*<br>(10) | Pre-<br>dicted<br>Compo-<br>sition<br>(11) | Devia-<br>tion*<br>(12) |  |       |  |
| 1.7209         | 0.00                                      | 0.00                                      | 0.00                   | 0.00                                      | 0.00                   | 0.16                                      | 0.16                   | 0.48                                      | 0.48                    | 0.00                                       | 0.00                    |  |       |  |
| 1.7611         | 21.03                                     | 20.30                                     | -0.73                  | 19.94                                     | -1.09                  | 20.39                                     | -0.64                  | 20.36                                     | -0.67                   | 20,25                                      | -0.78                   |  |       |  |
| 1.7626         | 21.20                                     | 21.05                                     | -0.15                  | 20.68                                     | -0.52                  | 21.13                                     | -0.07                  | 21.10                                     | -0.10                   | 21.01                                      | -0.19                   |  |       |  |
| 1.7810         | 30.45                                     | 30.28                                     | -0.17                  | 29.81                                     | -0.64                  | 30.33                                     | -0.12                  | 30.21                                     | -0.24                   | 30.22                                      | -0.22                   |  |       |  |
| 1.8065         | 42.40                                     | 43.01                                     | 0.61                   | 42.46                                     | 0.06                   | 43.02                                     | 0.62                   | 42.82                                     | 0.42                    | 42.94                                      | 0.54                    |  |       |  |
| 1.8304         | 55.46                                     | 54.88                                     | -0.58                  | 54.32                                     | -1.14                  | 54.86                                     | -0.60                  | 54.64                                     | -0.82                   | 54.80                                      | -0.66                   |  |       |  |
| 1,8336         | 56.34                                     | 56.46                                     | 0.12                   | 55.90                                     | -0.44                  | 56.43                                     | 0.09                   | 56.22                                     | -0.12                   | 56.39                                      | 0.05                    |  |       |  |
| 1.8525         | 65.97                                     | 65,79                                     | -0.18                  | 65.28                                     | -0.69                  | 65.74                                     | -0.23                  | 65.57                                     | -0.40                   | 65.72                                      | -0.25                   |  |       |  |
| 1.8543         | 66.18                                     | 66.68                                     | 0.50                   | 66.17                                     | -0.01                  | 66.62                                     | 0.44                   | 66.46                                     | 0.28                    | 66.61                                      | 0.43                    |  |       |  |
| 1.8831         | 81.09                                     | 80.80                                     | -0.29                  | 80.46                                     | -0.63                  | 80.72                                     | -0.37                  | 80.71                                     | -0.38                   | 80.76                                      | -0.33                   |  |       |  |
| 1.8855         | 81.22                                     | 81.99                                     | 0.77                   | 81.65                                     | 0.43                   | 81.89                                     | 0.67                   | 81.90                                     | 0.68                    | 81.94                                      | 0.72                    |  |       |  |
| 1,9225         | 100.00                                    | 100.00                                    | 0.00                   | 100,00                                    | 0.00                   | 99.86                                     | -0.14                  | 100.19                                    | 0.19                    | 100.00                                     | 0.00                    |  |       |  |

\* From empirical data.

TABLE 5. COMPUTED STATISTICAL VALUES FOR EQUATIONS (4)-(8)

| Number of Equation in Text                                | (4)   | (5)   | (6)   | (7)   | (8)   |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Residual Sum of Squares                                   | 2.250 | 4.423 | 2.169 | 2.744 | 2.297 |
| Degrees of Freedom  | 9     | 9     | 9     | 9     |       |
| Value of <i>t</i>   | 2.    | 948   | 1.    | 707   |       |
| Probability of a Larger Value of $t$<br>Arising by Chance | 0.    | 01    | 0.    | 10    |       |

(8)

indicates that, for the same method of end point weighting, the quadratic function always fitted the data significantly better than did the linear function. Moreover, calculated values of the standard statistical quantity, *t*, indicate small likelihood that this occurred by chance. Interestingly, the theoretical equation, as judged by its residual sum of squares, fits the data almost equally as well as either of the two statistically fitted quadratics. This statistical analysis leads to the following conclusions for this series:

(1) the distribution of the empirical data on density and composition in mol per cent is more likely quadratic than linear.

(2) this distribution coincides almost precisely with that predicted by theoretical eq. (8).

The latter conclusion was further checked. The average density  $(1.8063 \pm 0.0005)$  and, by chemical analysis, the average composition  $(42.71 \pm 0.5 \text{ mol per cent nickel ammonium sulfate})$  was determined for a group of mixed crystals grown from the same solution. Using the theoretical equation the composition was calculated from the density value to be 42.84 mol per cent.

#### Series with $K \cong 1$

When the value of K is very close to one, the density—mol per cent composition relationship may be indistinguishably close to linearity. For example, magnesium ammonium chromate,  $Mg(NH_4)_2(CrO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O_1$ , and magnesium rubidium chromate, MgRb<sub>2</sub> (CrO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, have molar volumes\* of 218.3 and 217.4 cc, respectively. Since the rubidium salt has the larger molecular weight, these volumes correspond to  $V_b$  and  $V_a$ , respectively. Thus for this series K equals 0.996. Tables 1 and 2 indicate that, for this value of K the theoretical curve is an almost linear, very slightly concave-upward quadratic. Table 6 indicates that the empirical data follow the predicted theoretical distribution rather precisely; the densities predicted for the intermediate compositions on the basis of a linear relationship are slightly higher around the 50 mol per cent region than those empirically determined, an indication that the empirical curve is slightly concave upward. Furthermore, Table 2 indicates the deviation from linearity in the 50 mol per cent region to be 0.1 mol per cent or 1 part in 1,000. In terms of density, therefore, this deviation from linearity is -0.0006. As shown by Table 6, the empirical densities in the 50 mol per cent region, also differ from linearity in the same direction and by approximately the same amount.

\* Calculated from Porter's (1925, p. 92) data.

| Empirical D                                       | ataa    | Intermediate densities calcu                   |
|---|---------|--|
| Mol % Ammonium<br>Magnesium Chromate <sup>b</sup> | Density | lated from end values on<br>basis of linearity |
| 0   | 2.463   |  |
| 4.1273  | 2.437   | 2.437  |
| 14.1170   | 2.374   | 2.374  |
| 23.8121   | 2.313   | 2.313  |
| 35.2616   | 2.241   | 2.242-   |
| 45.9248   | 2.174   | 2.175-   |
| 54.1927   | 2.122   | 2.123-   |
| 60.8866   | 2.080   | 2.081-   |
| 73.9891   | 1.998   | 1.998  |
| 84.4436   | 1.932   | 1.933-   |
| 94.5609   | 1.869   | 1.869  |
| 100.0000  | 1.835   |  |

#### Table 6. Comparison of Empirical Densities of $(NH_4, Rb)_2Mg$ $(CrO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ Mixed Crystals with those Calculated on Basis of a Straight Line Through the End Points

<sup>a</sup> Porter (1925, p. 92).

<sup>b</sup> Recalculated from weight per cent by the writer. The third and fourth decimal places were retained for computational purposes only.

#### A Series Where K > 1

An example of this type is the forsterite-fayalite solid solution series. Unfortunately, reported densities for forsterite range from 3.19 to 3.22, those for fayalite from 4.07 to 4.34, the low determinations being generally derived from artificial crystals. The data of Table 7, collected from the literature, were therefore restricted to fairly recent determinations on natural crystals.

A quadratic equation was fitted to the data by the method of least squares; the curve which best fit the data (minimized the sum of the squares of the deviations of the empirical points from it) was determined to be,

$$q(\rho) = -207.754 + 47.68521\rho + 5.25529\rho^2 \tag{9}$$

where  $q(\rho)$  represents mol per cent fayalite as a function of the density,  $\rho$ , of mixed crystals of the forsterite-fayalite series.

Solution of the equation for  $\rho$ , when  $q(\rho)$  is set equal to 0.00 and 100.00 mol per cent, respectively, yields the density values  $3.217 \pm 0.005$  for forsterite and  $4.36 \pm 0.01$  for fayalite. These values, in effect based upon the work of several investigators on 30 crystals of differing fayalite content, are assumed to be the most reliable available although they

974

F. DONALD BLOSS





RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DENISTY AND COMPOSITION

rom a linear distribution becomes even greater.

| Mol %<br>Fayaliteª | Sp. G. | Source                               |
|--------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|
| 7.4                | 3.309  | Sandell and Goldich (1943, p. 177)   |
| 8.2                | 3.346  | Gossner (1929, p. 177)               |
| 8.7                | 3.30   | Hawkes (1946, p. 281)                |
| 9.3                | 3.347  | Lauro (1940) [M.A. 10, p. 420]       |
| 9.4                | 3.321  | Sandell and Goldich (1943, p. 177)   |
| 9.6                | 3.345  | Sahama and Torgeson (1949, p. 3-5)   |
| 9.9                | 3.353  | Sahama and Torgeson (1949, p. 3-5)   |
| 10.6               | 3.357  | Gossner (1929, p. 177)               |
| 11.1               | 3.343  | Ernst (1925, p. 138–9)               |
| 11.4               | 3.360  | Aurousseau and Merwin (1928, p. 560) |
| 11.7               | 3.409  | Gossner, (1929, p. 177)              |
| 12.1               | 3.338  | Sahama and Torgeson (1949, p. 3-5)   |
| 12.2               | 3.369  | Aurousseau and Merwin (1928, p. 560) |
| 12.6               | 3.38   | Aurousseau and Merwin (1928, p. 560) |
| 12.8               | 3.33   | Mathias (1949, p. 488)               |
| 16.7               | 3.410  | Carobbi (1928) [M.A. 4, p. 138]      |
| 17.5               | 3.41   | Spencer (1932, p. 40)                |
| 17.6               | 3.40   | Harada (1936, p. 294)                |
| 17.7               | 3.43   | Esenwein (1929, p. 126)              |
| 17.9               | 3.42   | Harada (1936, p. 294)                |
| 18.1               | 3.462  | Carobbi (1928) [M.A. 4, p. 138]      |
| 25.2               | 3.53   | Mathias (1949, p. 489)               |
| 37.0               | 3.69   | Deer and Wager (1939, p. 19-20)      |
| 44.4               | 3.72   | Sahama and Torgeson (1943, p. 5-6)   |
| 46.1               | 3.74   | Sahama and Torgeson (1949, p. 5-7)   |
| 60.0               | 3.88   | Deer and Wager (1939, p. 19-20)      |
| 67.4               | 4.038  | Penfield and Forbes (1896, p. 131-2) |
| 79.5               | 4.15   | Deer and Wager (1939, p. 19-20)      |
| 93.5               | 4.32   | Palmgren (1916, p. 117)              |
| 100.0              | 4.318  | Penfield and Forbes (1896, p. 130)   |
|                    |        |                                      |

 TABLE 7. COLLECTED DENSITY DATA ON NATURAL CRYSTALS

 OF THE FORSTERITE-FAVALITE SERIES

<sup>a</sup> Since the density of tephroite is very close to that of fayalite, mol per cent tephroite was included as mol per cent fayalite.

vary somewhat from those generally cited in the literature (Table 8).

K for this series is calculated from the newly calculated density values to be approximately 1.069. On this basis the theoretical relationship between mol per cent fayalite,  $N_{\rm fa}$ , and density,  $\rho$ , is for the series

| Substance               | Density | Source  |
|-------------------------|---------|---|
| Forsterite (artificial) | 3.223   | Aurousseau and Merwin, (1928, p. 563)         |
| Forsterite (artificial) | 3.216   | Bowen and Anderson, (1914, p. 498)            |
| Forsterite (pure)       | 3.19    | Handbook of Physical Constants, (1942, p. 10) |
| Fayalite (pure)         | 4.14    | Handbook of Physical Constants, (1942, p. 10) |
| Fayalite (artificial)   | 4.068   | Handbook of Physical Constants, (1942, p. 15) |
| Fayalite (natural)      | 4.318   | Penfield and Forbes (1896, p. 130)            |

TABLE 8. DENSITY VALUES OF OLIVINE END MEMBERS CITED IN LITERATURE

$$N_{fa} = \frac{100}{1 + 1.0685366 \left(\frac{4.36 - \rho}{\rho - 3.217}\right)} \tag{10}$$

which is graphically represented by a convex upward curve.

In Table 9 compositions predicted from density by the fitted quadratic eq. (9) are compared with those predicted by theoretical eq. (10). Since the end member densities used in the theoretical equation were derived from the fitted quadratic, the close agreement of the two near the end compositions was anticipated. Their exceedingly close agreement for intermediate compositions, however, strongly suggests that the forsterite-

| TABLE | 9. FORSTERITE-FAYALITE  | SERIES. COMPOSITIONS   | PREDICTED BY SUBSTITUTING |
|-------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
|       | DENSITY VALUES INTO THE | E STATISTICALLY FITTED | Empirical Quadratic       |
|       | FOULATION AND           | INTO THE THEODETICAL   | FOTATION                  |

| Dessite | Predicted<br>(in Mol Per | Predicted Composition<br>(in Mol Per Cent Fayalite) |  |  |  |
|---------|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Density | By Fitted<br>Quadratic   | By Theoretical<br>Equation                          |  |  |  |
| 3.217   | 0                        | 0   |  |  |  |
| 3.300   | 6.84                     | 6.83  |  |  |  |
| 3.400   | 15.13                    | 15.14   |  |  |  |
| 3.500   | 23.52                    | 23.55   |  |  |  |
| 3.600   | 32.02                    | 32.05   |  |  |  |
| 3.700   | 40.63                    | 40.65   |  |  |  |
| 3.800   | 49.34                    | 49.35   |  |  |  |
| 3.900   | 58.15                    | 58.15   |  |  |  |
| 4.000   | 67.07                    | 67.06   |  |  |  |
| 4.100   | 76.10                    | 76.07   |  |  |  |
| 4.200   | 85.23                    | 85.18   |  |  |  |
| 4.300   | 94.46                    | 94.41   |  |  |  |
| 4.360   | 100.00                   | 100.00  |  |  |  |

fayalite data closely adhere to the theoretical curve and are not linearly distributed as commonly assumed. Figure 1 shows a plot of the collected data, of the theoretical curve (for all purposes identical to the fitted quadratic), and of the commonly accepted straight line joining the end points.

Further corroboration of the close adherence of the forsterite-fayalite density-composition data to the theoretical curve may be derived from thermodynamic considerations. Since volume is necessarily an additive property for all ideal solutions (Lewis and Randall, 1923, p. 222) and since deviations from the theoretical equation can be expected only when volume is not additive, theoretical eq. (3) should be valid for all ideal solid solutions. In particular, since the heat of solution measurements of Sahama and Torgeson (1949) established the forsterite-fayalite series as a thermodynamically ideal solid solution, the density-composition relationships for this series should therefore follow the theoretical equation.

Where the density-composition relationships do not follow theoretical equation (3), even in the face of accurate data on the density and molecular weight of the end points, the solid solution being investigated may be assumed to be non-ideal.

#### CONCLUSIONS

1. For all ideal solid solution series the following equation accurately expresses the relationship between density,  $\rho$ , and composition for mixed crystals of the series:

$$\rho = \frac{(M_a - M_b)N_a + 100M_b}{(V_a - V_b)N_a + 100V_b}$$
(3)

where N, M, and V refer by subscript to mol per cent, gram-molecular weight, and molar volume, respectively, of the two end members, A and B. The equation is numbered as in the text.

2. Solution of eq. (3) for  $N_a$ , the mol per cent of A in the mixed crystal, yields the more useful equation:

$$N_a = \frac{100}{1 + K\left(\frac{\rho_a - \rho}{\rho - \rho_b}\right)} \tag{3a}$$

where

$$K = \frac{V_a}{V_b} = \frac{M_a \rho_b}{\rho_a M_b} ;$$

and where substance A, by definition, has the larger molecular weight.

Thus, if the densities and molecular weights of the end members are known, the composition of an ideal mixed crystal may be calculated from its measured density.

3. Both equations are non-linear in general; only in the special case where K=1 do they become linear.

4. Graphically expressed with density along the ordinate, the theoretical relationship follows one of three types of curves dependent on the value of K for the series. (See Table 1 of text.)

5. The relationship between density and composition for non-ideal solutions will follow the theoretical relationship only to the extent that their molar volumes approach additivity. However, non-additivity of volume can hardly be expected to produce a relationship between density and composition more simple than that expressed by eqs. (3) or (3a).

6. Consequently, linear relationships between density and composition, especially where refined measurements are made, should be relatively rare. Statistically fitting a quadratic equation to the data is to be preferred over the practice of ruling a straight line through the plotted points. Where the data are too meager for statistical analysis, the theoretical equation should give better results than such a straight line, even for non-ideal solutions.

7. Where the densities are known only for analyzed crystals of intermediate compositions, the densities of the end members may be secured by either of two ways: (1) statistically fitting a quadratic to the densitycomposition data and solving it for the densities at 0 and 100 mol per cent compositions (as was done for the olivines in this paper), or (2) computing the molar volumes for the intermediate members and statistically fitting a linear equation to the data with subsequent solution of this equation to yield the molar volume of the end members (from which the densities may be calculated).

8. Deviation of empirical density-composition data from the theoretical relationship of eq. (3) or (3a) indicates the solution to be a non-ideal one.

9. The following solid-solutional series adhere to the theoretical relationship between density and composition:

(a)  $Ni(NH_4)_2(SO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O - Mg(NH_4)_2(SO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  (Type I curve)

(b)  $Mg(NH_4)_2(CrO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O - MgRb_2(CrO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  (Type II curve)

(c) Forsterite-fayalite (Type III curve)

10. Of particular interest, the forsterite-fayalite curve relating density to mol per cent is not linear as commonly assumed.

11. The density of pure natural forsterite is computed to be 3.217  $\pm 0.005$ ; that of pure natural fayalite,  $4.36 \pm 0.01$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The advice of Professors D. J. Fisher and Hans Ramberg of the Department of Geology of the University of Chicago was deeply appreciated.

I should like to thank Professor L. J. Savage of the Department of Mathematics and Mr. Harry Roberts of the School of Business (both of the University of Chicago) for their invaluable aid in designing the statistical treatment of data herein employed.

Sincere thanks are extended to Professor Fisher and to Dr. Morris W. Leighton of the Carter Oil Company for reading the manuscript. All views expressed, however, are the responsibility of the writer.

#### References

- AUROUSSEAU, M., AND MERWIN, H. E. (1928), Olivine: I. From the Hawaiian Islands. II. Pure forsterite: Am. Mineral., 13, 559-564.
- BIRCH, FRANCIS, SCHAIRER, J. F., AND SPICER, H. CECIL (1942), Handbook of Physical Constants, Special Paper No. 36, Geol. Soc. Am.
- BLOSS, F. DONALD (1951), Relationship between light absorption and composition in the solid solutional series between Ni(NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> · 6H<sub>2</sub>O and Mg(NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> · 6H<sub>2</sub>O: *Ph.D. thesis*, Department of Geology, University of Chicago.
- BOWEN, N. L., AND ANDERSON, OLAF (1914), The binary system MgO-SiO<sub>2</sub>: Am. Jour. Sci., 4th Ser., 37, 487-500.
- CAROBBI, G. (1928), Ricerche chimiche sull' olivina di Linosa (Isole Pelagie): Rend. Acad. Lincei, Cl. Sci. fis. mat. nat., Roma, ser. 6, vol. 7, 654–660. (Not seen. Quoted from Mineral. Abstracts, 4, p. 138).
- DEER, W. A., AND WAGER, L. R. (1939), Olivines from the Skaergaard intrusion, Kangerdlugssuak, East Greenland: Am. Mineral., 24, 18-25.
- ERNST, E. (1925), Über Olivin von Önundarfjord, N-W Island—Ein Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Olivingruppe: *Neues Jahrb. Mineral.*, *Beilage Bd.* **52**, 113–156.
- ESENWEIN, PAUL (1929), Zur Petrographie der Azoren: Zeit. für Vulkanologie, Bd. 12, 108–227.
- Gossner, B. (1929), Beitrag zur Stöchiometrie der Silicate: Zeit. Angewandte Chem., 42. 175–179.

HARADA, ZYUNPEI (1936), Chemische Analysenresultate von japanischen Mineralien: Jour. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Imperial Univ., Sapporo, Japan, vol. 3, ser. 4, 221–362.

- HAWKES, H. E. JR. (1946), Olivine from northern California showing perfect cleavage: Am. Mineral., 31, 276-283.
- HOFMANN, WILHELM (1931), Die Struktur der Tuttonschen Salze: Zeit. Krist., 78, 279-333.
- LAURO, CARLO (1940), I minerali degli inclusi autigeni nel basalto di Conca de Janas (Orosei). I. L'olivina e lo spinello cromifero: Atti (Rend.) R. Accad. Italia, Cl. Sci. fis. mat. nat., ser. 7, vol. 1, 186-192, 290-294. (Not seen, quoted from Mineral. Abst., 10, 420).
- LEWIS, G. N., AND RANDALL, MERLE (1923), Thermodynamics, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York.

MACDOUGALL, FRANK H. (1943), Physical Chemistry, The Macmillan Company, New York.

- MATHIAS, MORNA (1949), Two olivines from S. African melilite-basalts: *Mineral. Mag.*, 28, 486–491.
- MOOD, ALEXANDER M. (1950), Introduction to the Theory of Statistics, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York.
- MUKHERJEE, P. L. (1935), On the isomorphism of the double fluoberyllates with the Tutton salts: *Zeit. Krist.*, 91, 504.
- PALMGREN, JOHN (1916), Die Eulysite von Södermanland: Bull. Geol. Inst. Upsala, 14, 109–228.
- PENFIELD, S. L., AND FORBES, E. H. (1896), Fayalite from Rockport, Mass., and on the optical properties of the chrysolite-fayalite group and of monticellite: Am. Jour. Sci., 4th Ser., 1, 129-135.
- PORTER, MARY W. (1925), A contribution to the study of the optical properties of mixed crystals: *Proc. Royal Soc. London*, Series A, 109, 78–98.
- RETGERS, J. W. (1889), Das Spezifische Gewicht isomorpher Mischungen: Zeit. Phys. Chemie, 3, 497-561.
- RIDER, PAUL R. (1939), An Introduction to Modern Statistical Methods, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.
- SAHAMA, TH.G., AND TORGESON, D. R. (1949), Thermochemical study of the olivines and orthopyroxenes: Report of Investigations No. 4408, U. S. Bureau of Mines.
- SANDELL, ERNEST B., AND GOLDICH, SAMUEL S. (1943), The rarer metallic constituents of some American igneous rocks. II: Jour. Geol., 51, 167–189.
- SPENCER, L. J. (1932), A new pallasite from Alice Springs, Central Australia: Mineral. Mag., 23, 38-42.
- TUTTON, A. E. H. (1905), The relation of ammonia to the alkali metals. A study of ammonium magnesium and ammonium zinc sulphates and selenates: Jour. Chem. Society (London), Trans., 87, 1123-83.

—. (1916), The monoclinic double sulphates containing ammonium: *Philosophical Trans. Royal Society*, Series A, **216**, 1–62.

Manuscript received March 1, 1952.