THE AMERICAN MINERALOGIST, VOL. 56, JULY-AUGUST, 1971

VIOLARITE STABILITY RELATIONS

JAMES R. CRAIG, Department of Geological Sciences, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061.

Abstract

Violarite, FeNi₂S₄, a member of the spinel-sulfide groups, has a maximum thermal stability of $461 \pm 3^{\circ}$ C in the Fe-Ni-S system. Violarite solid solution extends toward more Ni-rich compositions at lower temperatures becoming complete with Ni₃S₄ at 356°C. The unit cell varies from 9.465 ± 0.003 A for FeNi₂S₄ to 9.489 ± 0.003 A for Ni₃S₄. It is likely that much hypogene violarite is formed by exsolution from initially deposited (Fe, Ni)_{1-z}S monosulfide solid solution.

INTRODUCTION

Violarite, FeNi₂S₄, one of the less common iron-nickel sulfides, is a member of the spinel sulfide mineral series, M_3S_4 (where M = Fe, Ni, Co, Cu, Cr). Although rarely constituting a major component of nickelbearing ores, it is commonly present in small amounts, especially where weathering of pentlandite by meteoric waters has occurred. Typically such occurrences are in pyrrhotite-rich sulfide ores associated with ultramafic intrusives such as those at Sudbury, Ontario and Marbridge, Quebec.

PREVIOUS WORK

Violarite was originally described by Lindgren and Davy (1924), who suggested from analyses of contaminated samples, a composition of NiS₂. Short and Shannon (1930), on the basis of new analyses, proposed the now accepted formula of FeNi₂N₄. Tarr (1935) compiled all available analyses of the spinel-sulfide minerals and concluded that in spite of the frequent association of violarite with polydymite (Ni₃S₄) and the similarity of their compositions, the two minerals were distinct.

The first synthesis of violarite was reported by Lundqvist (1947), who reacted S with Fe-Ni filings in evacuated glass tubes. He observed violarite at 200°C, but not at 480°C and above, noting " \ldots it is not obvious whether this phase is a ternary phase separated from Ni₃S₄ through a two-phase area, or if it is linked with this phase \ldots there are some facts which suggest that the phase with iron content has properties different from Ni₃S₄." Kullerud (1963) in his extensive study of the Fe-Ni-S system did not observe a violarite phase at 400°C or above. Craig (1968) reported preliminary findings on the phase relations involving violarite; that work has been expanded in the present paper.

EXPERIMENTAL REACTANTS AND PROCEDURES

Experiments were prepared using iron, nickel, and sulfur of 99.999+ percent purity as indicated by suppliers analyses. Oxide films on the metal powders were removed by reduction in a stream of hydrogen at 700°C for eight hours prior to use. Experimental charges were prepared in evaluated fused quartz tubes which were heated in muffle furances in which the temperature was maintained $\pm 2^{\circ}$ C. At the termination of each experiment, the charges were rapidly cooled by immersion in ice water. The reaction products were analyzed by X-ray diffraction and reflection microscopic techniques.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION OF SYNTHESIS

Violarite was first encountered by accident during experiments at 300° and 400°C designed to define the composition limits of the monosulfide solid solution (Mss) in the central porition of the Fe-Ni-S system. Starting with Mss compositions near the sulfur-rich limit at 600°C, it was expected that annealing at 300°C or 400°C, would result in exsolution of pyrite (FeS₂) and/or vaesite (NiS₂). In addition, however, several percent of fine lamellar laths of a light violet-gray phase, subsequently identified as violarite, also appeared. Attempts to synthesize violarite of FeNi₂S₄ composition by direct reaction of iron, nickel, and sulfur at 300° and 400°C were futile and resulted in formation of variable but large percentages of pyrite, vaesite, and Mss with but traces of violarite. Repeated regrinding of these charges over periods of several months increased the violarite content to only a few percent. The immediacy with which the metastable assemblage of pyrite, vaesite, and Mss formed and the very slow rate at which these phases then reacted to form violarite possibly explains why Kullerud (1963) did not obtain violarite in his experiments at 400°C.

To circumvent the rapid formation and metastable preservation of pyrite and vaesite, a two-step process was employed in violarite synthesis. (1) Preparation of an Mss containing Fe and Ni in 1:2 atomic proportions, by direct reaction of the elements at 500° to 700°C; one grinding followed by heating for two to three additional days was usually sufficient for homogenization; (2) Reaction at 200° to 300°C of this finely ground Mss, with the appropriate amount of sulfur to achieve the FeNi₂S₄ composition for five to ten days. Best results, producing 95 to 100 percent violarite, were achieved when the Mss and the sulfur were physically separated by silica wool, thus limiting the reaction to sulfur transported in the vapor state. At 400°C this second step resulted in formation of considerable amounts of pyrite because of the extension of pyrite-Mss tie lines (as noted in Figure 1c) between Mss of Fe:Ni=1:2 atomic proportions and FeNi₂S₄. As with attempts of direct one step syntheses, pyrite once formed broke down only slowly.

Employment of the two-step synthesis permitted preparation of homogeneous M_3S_4 compositions between FeNi₂S₄ and Fe_{0.25}Ni_{2.75}S₄. Attempts to synthesize homogeneous M_3S_4 compositions more nickel-rich than Fe_{0.25}-Ni_{2.75}S₄ resulted in formation of 10 to 20 percent NiS₂ and residual Mss in addition to the spinel phase; this probably resulted from sluggishness of formation of the spinel phase and buildup of the sulfur vapor pressure to a degree sufficient to nucleate vaesite.

VIOLARITE RELATIONS AND STABILITY

Once a satisfactory method for violarite synthesis was found, it was possible to examine the relations surrounding this phase and its thermal stability. The results of these investigations between 500° and 300°C are noted in Figure 1; selected experiments defining the assemblages shown in Figure 1 are given in Table 1. The compositions of the pyrite, (Fe,



Fig. 1. Phase relations involving the appearance of violarite and development of the violarite-polydymite solid solution at: A-500°C, B-450°C, C-400°C, D-300°C.

Exp. No,	Composition, wt. %			70	Temp.	Time	
	Fe	Ni	S	Reactants ^a	°C	Days	Products
496	29.8	30.7	39.5	Mss+vs(tr.)	500	26	Mss+py+vs
497	30.0	31.0	39_0	Mss	500	26	Mss+pv
498	30.3	31.2	38.5	Mss	500	26	Mss
569	25.0	35.5	39.5	Mss+vs(tr.)	500	26	Mss+vs
605	20.0	41_0	39.0	Mss+vs(tr.)	450	30	Mss+pv+vs(tr.)
596	16.0	45.0	39.0	Mss+vs(tr.)+viol(tr.)	450	37	Mss+viol+pv
561	12.0	50.0	38.0	Mss+vs(tr.)	450	43	Mss+vs
309	20.0	41.0	39.0	Mss+vs(tr.)	400	170	Mss+Dv
572	16.0	45.0	39.0	Mss+vs(tr.)	400	38	Mss+viol+vs(tr.)
611	11.4	49.6	39.0	Mss+S	400	77	Mss+viol
494	6.0	55.5	38.5	Mss+vs(tr.)	400	29	Mss+vs
474	29.8	30.7	39.5	Mss+vs(tr.)	300	34	Mss+py
571	25.0	35.5	39.5	Mss+vs(tr.)	300	38	Mss+viol
480	20.0	41.0	39.0	$M_{ss} + v_s(tr_s)$	300	34	Mss+viol
548	12.0	50.0	38.0	Mss	300	60	Mss+viol
487	6.0	55.5	38.5	Mss+vs(tr.)	300	33	Mss+viol

Table 1. Experiments Defining Phase Relations Surrounding the $FeNi_2S_4$ -Ni $_3S_4$ -Solid Solution

^a tr. indicates trace

Ni)S₂, and vaesite, (Ni, Fe)S₂, have been taken from Clark and Kullerud (1963) who extrapolated data from higher temperature studies. It is noteworthy that violarite bearing assemblages may contain as little as 5 weight percent Ni.

The maximum thermal stability of violarite in the presence of an equilibrium vapor is $461 \pm 3^{\circ}$ C, at which temperature it decomposes to form (Fe, Ni)S₂, (Ni, Fe)S₂ and Mss containing Fe and Ni in the atomic ratio 1:2.4. As noted in Figure 2a, a section along the FeNi₂S₄-Ni₃S₄ join, the compositon with maximum thermal stability is Fe_{0.92}Ni_{2.08}S₄. Below 461°C the violarite solid solution expands to reach FeNi₂S₄ at $356 \pm 3^{\circ}$ C, the upper stability of polydymite (Kullerud and Yund, 1962). Homogeneous FeNi₂S₄-Ni₃S₁₄ solid solutions were synthesized at 200°c and it was found that previously prepared compositions did not break down when heated at 200°C for periods up to six months.

Combination of S₂ vapor pressure data for the py+vs+Mss assemblage at 600°C ($10^{-1.8}$ atm) from Naldrett and Craig (1968), and for the py+viol+Mss assemblage at 450° ($10^{-4.8}$ atm) and 400° ($10^{-6.0}$ atm) from Craig and Naldrett (1971) with an estimated entropy for FeNi₂S₄ of S₂₉₈=46.0¹ permit formulation of the free energy equation for formation of violarite as:

¹S₂₉₉=46.0 is the average value obtained from Latimer's (1951) and Grønvald and Westrum's (1962) approximations and the reactions $FeS_2+2NiS = FeNi_2S_4$ and FeS+NiS $+NiS_2=FeNi_2S_4$ assuming ΔS for these reactions is 0. The free energy equations given in the text assume that ΔS and ΔH for the formation of $FeNi_2S_4$ are temperature independent. VIOLARITE STABILITY RELATIONS



FIG. 2. A. Section along the FeNi₂S₄-Ni₃S₄ join. B. Variation of unit cell parameter with variation in composition along the FeNi₂S₄-Ni₃S₄ join.

 $1/2Fe + Ni + S_2 = 1/2FeNi_2S_4$ $\Delta G = -69.575 + 41.9T$ $2(\text{FeS} \cdot 2\text{NiS}) + S_2 = 2\text{FeNi}_2S_4^2$ $\Delta G = -57,900 + 59.4 T$

(T is degrees Kelvin).

X-RAY DATA

Violarite and polydymite belong to the spinel series (M_3S_4) of sulfide minerals which crystallize in the space group Fd3m. The variation in the unit cell from the FeNi₂S₄ value of 9.465 ± 0.003 A to the Ni₃S₄ value of 9.489 ± 0.003 A is shown in Figure 2b. Values were measured with a diffractometer using nickel filtered Cu radiation, and silicon as an internal standard.

Naturally occurring violarites commonly contain 0.1 to 7.0 weight percent cobalt substituting for iron and nickel. Co₃S₄ is isostructural with violarite and polydymite and has an a of 9.43 Å (Berry and Thompson, 1962), thus the substitution of Co into the violarite lattice will tend to reduce the unit cell dimension.

SPECULATIONS ON PHASE RELATIONS AT LOW TEMPERATURES

The low temperature phase relations involving violarite are uncertain. Kullerud et al., (1969) suggested that the common occurrence of secondary violarite on weathering pentlandite may indicate a low temperature tie line between the phases. Buchans and Blowes (1968) and Naldrett (personal comm. 1970) on the other hand report hypogene pyrite-millerite intergrowths in ores from Marbridge, Quebec. A pyrite-millerite join would invalidate a stable join between violarite and pentlandite. The analyses of Buchans and Blowes (1968) indicate Fe-deficiency of the violarites and 1 to 2 percent Fe in solid solution in the millerite: these variations from FeNi₂S₄ and NiS might be sufficient to permit stable pyrite-millerite tie lines.

The violarite and polydymite analyses listed by Tarr (1935) and by Buchans and Blowes (1968) reveal a bimodal distribution with Fe contents less than 3 percent and greater than 9 percent. However, co-existing violarite and polydymite, indicative of a solvus, have not been reported. Low temperature silica tube and precipitation experiments prepared with FeSO4, NiSO4, and Na2S solutions have thus far failed to yield data to support or refute the existence of a solvus.

GEOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Violarite, where encountered, has generally been considered a super-

² The ΔS values in this equation takes into account the inversion of FeS and NiS to high temperature forms; thus it is only applicable in the temperature range 379° to 461°C.

1308

Exp.	Compositi	ion mal %	Reaction 1 ^a		Time	Reaction 2		Time	December	
No.	FeNi ₂ S ₄	$\rm Ni_3S_4$	Reaction	T, °C	Days	Reaction	T, °C	Days	FIOUUCUS-	910
21	100	0	Fe+Ni+S→Mss	500	19	Mss+S-viol	300	67	viol	9.468
22	75	25	F'e+Ni+S→Mss	500	19	Mss+S-viol	300	67	viol	9.469
23	50	50	Fe+Ni+S-→Mss	500	19	Mss+S-viol	300	67	viol	9.475
24	25	75	Fe+Ni+S→Mss	500	19	Mss+S→viol	300	67	viol	9.483
25	0	100	Ni+S→Ni _{1-x} S	500	19	Ni _{1-x} S+S→pm	300	67	pm+vs+Ni _{1-x} S	9.490
601	100	0	Fe+Ni+S-→Mss	600	4	Mss+S-viol	400	12	Mss+py+viol]
574	100	0	Fe+Ni+S→M ₃ S₄	400	38	Ι	1	1	Mss+py+vs+viol	

TABLE 2. SYNTHESIS EXPERIMENTS ALONG FENI2S4-NI3S4 JOIN

^a Samples reacted 2 days, opened, ground, resealed; time indicates total days at Reaction 1 temperature. ^b viol = FeNi₂S₄-Ni₃S₄ solid solution

 $pm\!=\!Ni_3S_4$

py=pyrite vs=vaesite

 $Mss = (Fe, Ni)_{1-x}S$ solid solution

VIOLARITE STABILITY RELATIONS

1309

Exp. No.	Composition mol % FeNi ₂ S ₄	Т., °С	Time Days	Reactants	(in order of) (abundance)
579	100	300	31	Mss+S	viol
632	100	400	56	viol	viol+Mss+py
593	100	450	14	viol	viol+Mss+py
616	100	458	4	Mss+S	Mss+py+vs+viol
628	100	458	4	viol	viol+Mss+py
623	100	464	4	viol	Mss+vs+py
622	100	481	1	viol	Mss+vs+py
724	50	400	7	viol	viol
725-1	50	410	4	viol	viol
725-2	50	420	4	viol	viol+Mss+vs
777	50	430	6	viol	viol+Mss+vs
724-1	50	440	4	viol	Mss+vs
24-1	25	400	10	viol	viol+Mss+vs

TABLE 3. STABILITY EXPERIMENTS ALONG FeNi₂S₄-Ni₃S₄ Join

gene mineral formed as the result of surficial alteration of iron-nickel sulfides, expecially pentlandite. The present study demonstrates that violarite, stable below $461 \pm 3^{\circ}$ C in the presence of an equilibrium vapor, may form as a hypogene phase by primary direct crystallization or by secondary exsolution from an initially homogeneous Mss phase. Violarite formation is not necessarily confined to Ni-rich environments, but may form as a hypogene mineral in ores containing as little as 5 percent nickel provided that a nickeliferous pyrite is present. Violarite formation through alteration of pentlandite may not represent an equilibrium assemblage, but only failure of the alternative pyrite-millerite assemblage to nucleate.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful for the opportunity of conducting a portion of this study in G. Kullerud's laboratory at the Geophysical Laboratory and to G. Kullerud and A. J. Naldrett for critically reviewing the manuscript.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- BARTON, P. B., AND B. J. SKINNER (1967) Sulfide mineral stabilities. In H. L. Barnes, ed. Geochemistry of Hydrothermal Ore Deposits. Holt, Rinehart and Winston Inc., N. Y., 236-333.
- BERRY, L. G., AND R. M. THOMPSON (1962) X-ray powder data for ore minerals; The Peacock Atlas. *Geol. Soc. Amer. Mem.* 85, p. 281.
- BUCHANS, R., AND J. H. BLOWES (1968) Geology and mineralogy of a millerite nickel ore deposit, Marbridge No. 2 Mine, Malartic, Quebec. Can. Inst. Mineral. Bull. A pl 1968, 599-534.
- CLARK, L. A., AND G. KULLERUD (1963) The sulfur-rich portion of the Fe-Ni-S system. Econ. Geol. 58, 853-885.

CRAIG, J. R. (1968) The Fe-Ni-S system: Violarite stability relations. Carnegie Inst. Wash. Year Book 66, 434-436.

-----, AND A. J. NALDRETT (1971) Phase relations and PS₂-T variations in the Fe-Ni-S system. *Geochemical Studies Pertinent to Sudbury*, Mineral Assoc. Can. Symp., (in press).

GRØNVOLD, F., AND E. F. WESTRUM (1962) Heat capacities and thermodynamic functions of iron disulfide (pyrite), iron diselenide, and nickel diselenide from 5 to 350°K. The estimation of standard entropies of transition metal chalcogenides. *Inorg. Chem.* 1, 36-48.

KULLERUD, G. (1963) The Fe-Ni-S system. Carnegie Inst. Wash. Year Book 62, 175-189.

- KULLERUD, G., R. A. YUND, AND G. H. MOH (1969) Phase relations in the Cu-Fe-S, Cu-Ni-S, and Fe-Ni-S systems. In H. D. B. Wilson (ed.) Magmatic Ore Deposits Econ. Geol. Monogr. 4, 323-343.
- KULLERUD, G., AND R. A. YUND (1962) The Ni-S system and related minerals. J. Pelrology 3, 126–175.
- LINDGREN, W., AND W. M. DAVY (1924) Nickel ores from Key West Mine, Nevada. Econ. Geol., 19. 309-319.
- LUNDQVIST, D. (1947) X-ray studies on the ternary system Fe-Ni-S. Arkiv Kemi Mineral Geol. 24A. 12.
- NALDRETT, A. J., AND J. R. CRAIG (1968) Partial pressure of sulfur in the vapor coexisting with the $Fe_{1-x}S Ni_{1-x}S$ solid solution at 600° and 400°C. Carnegie Inst. Wash. Year Book 66. 436-440.
- SHORT, M. N., AND E. V. SHANNON (1930) Violarite and other rare nickel sulfides. Amer. Mineral. 15, 1–22.
- TARR, W. A. (1935) The linnaeite group of cobalt-nickel-iron-copper sulfides. Amer. Mineral. 20. 69–80.

Manuscript received, October 19, 1970; accepted for publication, February 26, 1971.