# A SYNTHESIS OF BIKITAITE

# D. J. DRYSDALE, Division of Mineralogy, CSIRO Wembley, Western Australia

### Abstract

Bikitaite has been synthesized from gels in the Li<sub>2</sub>O-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O system at 2 kbar  $P_{H_2O}$ , at temperatures between 300 and 350°C.

### INTRODUCTION

Bikitaite, LiAlSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O, is a rare mineral of lithium pegmatites. Hurlbut (1957) first described it in association with fine grained granular aggregates of eucryptite and quartz in a lithium pegmatite at Bikita (Rhodesia). The eucryptite appears to replace petalite, and bikitaite is still later, for it is found in small fractures within the eucryptite and fills interstices between quartz and eucryptite grains. Petalite and lepidolite are the chief lithium minerals in this part of the dyke, but spodumene and amblygonite are also present. Good crystals of bikitaite associated with quartz and eucryptite were described from this locality by Hurlbut (1958). The only other recorded occurrence is in a largely unzoned, spodumene rich, pegmatite at King's Mountain, N. Carolina (Leavens, Hurlbut, and Nelen, 1968) where bikitaite and eucryptite occur as bladed single crystals intergrown with quartz, albite apatite, and fairfieldite in small veins in the pegmatite.

Appleman (1960) showed bikitaite has a zeolite structure. Phinney and Stewart (1961) found that natural bikitaite decomposed at 390°C under 1 to 4 kbar  $P_{\rm H_{2}O}$  to eucryptite+petalite, but noted that  $\beta$  eucryptite and  $\beta$  spodumene solid solutions readily formed metastably. The only reported synthesis of bikitaite is by Hoss and Roy (1960) from lithium exchanged gmelinite at 250°C under 1kbar water pressure.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

The experiments described below synthesized bikitaite at temperatures between 300° and 350°C, with  $P_{\rm H_{20}}$  from 1 to 2.5 kbar, all within the stability field found for natural bikitaite by Phinney and Stewart (1961).

All the synthesis have been made in sealed gold capsules approximately 2 cms long $\times 5$  mm bore, in externally heated, cold seal test tube pressure vessels. Temperatures were measured at thermocouple wells in the vessels beside the charges and are considered accurate to  $\pm 10^{\circ}$ C; pressures were measured on Bourdon gauges rated accurate to  $\pm 50$  bars. In all runs the vessels were brought up to pressure cold, then heated to run temperature in about twenty minutes. Quenching by air blast cooled the vessels to below 100°C in less than one minute. All phase identifications were made by X-ray diffraction.

A variety of starting materials were used, as it seemed desirable to approach equilibrium along as many routes as possible in view of the importance of metastability effects noted by Phinney and Stewart (1961). However, at the low temperatures of the experiments glasses, chemical mixes, and kaolin based materials reacted very slowly and were prone to form non-equilibrium assemblages. Their use was soon abandoned, and gels were used for most runs.

Some gels were made by the organic silicate nitrate method of Roy (1956). Others were made by dropwise addition of aluminium nitrate solution to a clear solution of lithium silicate prepared by dissolving finely ground ( $<44 \ \mu m$ ) silicate di n 2 N LiOH solution. As the pH of the solution falls, gelatinous silica coprecipitates with  $Al(OH)_{3}$ , and when sufficient nitrate solution has been added to give the desired Al: Si ratio the suspension is made alkaline with a slight excess of ammonia to ensure precipitation of all the Al as  $Al(OH)_3$ . Excess ammonia is removed on a steam bath, and the gel is filtered off and washed free of lithium and ammonium salts on a Buchner funnel. (The gel at this stage can be dried to a crumbly white powder amorphous to X-rays.) The gel is then re-dispersed in a solution of LiOH or Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> containing sufficient Li to produce the desired Li:Al:Si ratio, and the suspension evaporated to dryness, forming a very finely divided lithium aluminium silicate gel. This was dried in air at 105°C. Compositions were checked by analysis of several of the gels. Bulk compositions were prepared in the range LiAlSi2O6 to Li4AlSi2O6 with constant Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to SiO<sub>2</sub> ratio of 1:4, and in addition one run (480) used a bulk composition corresponding to that of the quartz+spodumene zone in the Tin Mountain pegmatite, Black Hills, South Dakota, U.S.A. (Staatz, Page, Norton, and Wilmarth, 1963).

### RESULTS

Runs yielding bikitaite are listed in Table 1.

(Runs noted here are only some of an extensive series carried out during a broader investigation of relationships in spodumene and petalite bearing pegmatites, and relate only to the bikitaite part of this work.) A few charges that should have formed bikitaite did not, suggesting non-equilibrium effects, and runs at 350°C formed much  $\beta$  spodumene in the bikitaite stability field.

None of the gels prepared by Roy's (1956) method yielded bikitaite. Other gels prepared by the method described above but using LiF or  $Li_2SiO_3$  to adjust the lithium content failed to react satisfactorily in the bikitaite temperature stability field.

More alkaline gels, with LiOH, formed another zeolite, Barrer and White's (1951) Species A (Table 2). It is likely that LiOH is more soluble at high temperature and pressure than  $Li_2CO_3$ , resulting in higher lithium activity as well as increased alkalinity.

At 400°C a variety of anhydrous lithium aluminosilicates appear— $\beta$  spodumene,  $\alpha$  eucryptite, and petalite, depending on bulk composition of the charge. Many of these are, on the evidence of Phinney and Stewart (1961), nonequilibrium assemblages.

### DISCUSSION

The bikitaite synthesis at low temperatures in the  $Li_2O-Al_2O_3-SiO_2-H_2O$  system when  $CO_3^{2-}$  containing solutions are present accords with its occurrence as a replacement or very late stage hydrothermal mineral

	t.				+ trace	+ trace	+ trace						+		
	Produc	Bikitaite	ы	=	Bikitaite zeolite A	Bikitaite zeolite A	Bikitaite zeolite A	Bikitaite	Bikitaite	Bikitaite	Bikitaite	Bikitaite	Bikitaite zeolite A	Bikitaite	Bikitaite
	Duration Days	12	9	12	10	14	30	28	28	m	14	30	33	30	5
	Pressure Bars	2000	1000	1000	2000	2000	2000	2500	2000	2000	2000	2000	2500	2000	2000
	Temp <sup>0</sup> C	300	300	310	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300
	wt % H <sub>2</sub> 0	50%	50%	50%	leaked 25%	50%	50%	leaked	leaked	50%	50%	leaked	50%	50%	50%
r silica gel.	laterial	. + L12 <sup>с0</sup> 3	=	=	=	=	=	=	z	E	=	=	-	=	$in + Li_2CO_3$
ed over	~4	de]	z	-	2	•	•	2	=	•	-	2	-	-	Kaol
id stor	sition	 4510 <sup>2</sup>	=	4SiO2	=	:	:		11	4Si02	н .	2	2	4SiO2	4SiO2
ays ar	Compo	A1203	=	A1203	-	=	=	E	-	A1203	F	:	=	A1203	A1203
ס	Bulk	 ь120		2Li <sub>2</sub> 0	=			2	Ŧ	3Li <sub>2</sub> 0	=	=	Ē	4Li20	2Li <sub>2</sub> 0
	Run No.	 5/3	248	246	286	267	318	309	287	273	307	316	311	317	331

TABLE 1

Syntheses of Bikitaite

All runs were carried out in gold capsules approximately 2 cms long x 5 mm bore, containing 0.1 g to 0.2 g of gel that had been dried at 105 °C in air for several

1720

DOUGLAS J. DRYSDALE

Product	Bikitaite	Bikitaite	Bikitaite + quartz	Zeolite A + bikitaite	<pre>\$ Spodumene + bikitaite</pre>	<pre>ß Spodumene + bikitaite</pre>	<pre>ß Spodumene + bikitaite</pre>	<pre>B Spodumene + eucryptite+ bikitaite</pre>
Duration Days	9	30	2	9	S	S	S	ω
Pressure Bars	2000	2500	2000	1000	2000	2000	2000	2000
Temp <sup>o</sup> C	300	300	300	300	350	350	350	350
wt % H <sub>2</sub> 0		leaked	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%
Material	Kaolin + Li <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	gel + $Li_2CO_3$	-	gel + Li <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	Kaolin + Li <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	r =	2	gel + Li <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>
Bulk Composition	2Li <sub>2</sub> 0 Al <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub> 4si0 <sub>2</sub>	-	Tin Mt quartz + spodumene assemblage	2Li20 Al203 4Si02	2Li20 A120 <sub>3</sub> 4Si0 <sub>2</sub>	Li <sub>2</sub> 0 Al <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub> 4si0 <sub>2</sub>	2Li20 Al203 4Si02	2Li <sub>2</sub> 0 Al20 <sub>3</sub> 4si0 <sub>2</sub>
Run No.	320	312	480	247	333	340	338	258

TABLE 1.—Continued

Other runs at  $350^{\,0}$  yielded  $\beta$  spodumene, sometimes with eucryptite, petalite and zeolite A.

BIKITAITE

1721

Run No.	Bulk Composition	Material	wt % H20	Temp C	Pressure Bars	Duration Days	Product
275	2Li <sub>2</sub> 0 Al <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub> 4Si0 <sub>2</sub>	gel + LiOH	10	300	2000	12	zeolite A
274	2Li20 Al203 4Si02	=	46	300	2000	11	-
242	Li20 Al203 4Si02	=	10	300	1000	4	-
243	Li20 A1203 45102	-	open	300	1000	4	=
244	Li20 A1203 4Si02	-	50	300	1000	9	-
276	Li20 Al203 4Si02	-	50	300	2000	12	-
277	Li20 Al203 4Si02	-	10	300	2000	8	=
288	2Li20 Al203 4Si02	gel + Li <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	leaked	300	2000	30	zeolite A + quartz
257	2Li <sub>2</sub> 0 Al <sub>2</sub> 03 4Si02	-	50	350	1000		<pre>ß spodumene + zeolite A</pre>
332	2Li20 Al203 4Si02	Kaolin + LiOH	50	300	2000	Ŋ	zeolite A + quartz
457	1Li20 A1203 4Si02	Organic + LiOH	50	300	2000	8	<pre>ß spodumene + zeolite A</pre>
				ű.			

TABLE 2

Synthesis of zeolite species A

# DOUGLAS J. DRYSDALE

1722

#### BIKITAITE

at Bikita and King's Mountain. One cannot be certain that CO2 or CO32are essential to the formation of bikitaite as in some capsules that leaked bikitaite was formed although the concentration of these components may have been considerably decreased. On the other hand, as noted above, gels with LiF and Li2SiO3 added, but without carbonate, failed to produce bikitaite. The production of quartz+bikitaite assemblages from quartz+spodumene core bulk compositions perhaps sets a lower limit to the pressure-temperature field for formation of quartz+spodumene cores. The syntheses reported raise difficulties for Brotzen's (1959) view that presence of CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> keeps silica in a non-crystalline gel form down to 270°C, so explaining the low temperature of crystallization of quartz cores. As Phinney and Stewart (1961) observe, natural spodumene remains unaffected at the pressure and temperature at which bikitaite decomposes, and they suggest that bikitaite is less stable than spodumene under almost all natural pegmatite conditions. On the other hand spodumene has not been reproducibly synthesized hydrothermally and the stability of this mineral plus water relative to bikitaite must still be regarded as uncertain.

#### References

- APPLEMAN, D. E. (1960) The crystal structure of bikitaite, LiAlSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O [abstr.]: Acta Crystallogr. 13, 1002.
- BARRER, R. M., AND E. A. D. WHITE (1951) The hydrothermal chemistry of silicates. Part I. Synthetic lithium aluminosilicates. J. Chem. Soc. (London) 1951, 1561–1571.
- BROTZEN, O. (1959) Outline of mineralization in zoned granitic pegmatites. A qualitative and comparative study. Geol. Fören. Stockh. Förh. 81, 1-98.
- Hoss, H., AND R. Roy (1960) Zeolite studies III. On natural phillipsite, gismondite, harmotome, chabazite, and gmelinite. *Beitr. Miner. Petrogr.* 7, 389–408.
- HURLBUT, C. S. (1957) Bikitaite, LiAlSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, a new mineral from Southern Rhodesia. Amer. Mineral. 42, 792-797.
- ----- (1958) Additional data on bikitaite. Amer. Mineral. 43, 768-770.
- LEAVENS, P. B., C. S. HURLBUT, AND J. A. NELEN (1968) Eucryptite and bikitaite from King's Mountain, North Carolina. Amer. Mineral. 53, 1202-1207.
- PHINNEY, W. A., AND D. B. STEWART (1960) Some physical properties of bikitaite and its dehydration and decomposition products. U. S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap. 424, D353-357.
- Rov, R. (1956) Aids in hydrothermal experimentation II. Methods of making mixes for both "dry" and "wet" phase equilibrium studies. J. Amer. Ceram. Soc. 39, 145-6.
- STAATZ, M. H., L. R. PAGE, J. J. NORTON, AND V. R. WILMARTH (1963) Exploration for beryllium at the Helan Beryl, Elkhorn, and Tin Mountain pegmatites, Custer County, South Dakota. U. S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap. 297-C.

Manuscript received, November 5, 1970; accepted for publication, March 27, 1971.