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#### Abstract

The crystal structure of synthetic krautite was solved (direct methods) and refined isotropically ( $R=0.112$ ) in space group $P 2_{1}$ with 2294 reflections measured on a single-crystal diffractometer, with Mo $K \alpha$ radiation. The unit-cell parameters are: $a=8.012(2), b=15.956(4)$, $c=6.801(2) A, \beta=96.60(3)^{\circ} ; Z=8$. A strong $P 2_{\mathrm{i}} / n$ pseudosymmetry appears in the structure, yet the average pseudo-center is slightly shifted from the correct position $1 / 4,0,1 / 4$ in space group $P 2_{1} / n$. The structure is built up by ( 010 ) layers of $\mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{MnO}_{6}$ coordination polyhedra. In each layer, $\mathrm{MnO}_{6}$ octahedra share edges to form [101] chains, which sandwich the $\mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ tetrahedra. Adjacent layers are related by the screw axis and are linked by four out of twelve independent hydrogen bonds; two of them are acidic and two are donated by water molecules. One of the latter hydrogen bonds is probably bifurcated, since the water molecule is involved in two contacts 2.92 and 3.08A long. Haidingerite $\left(\mathrm{CaHAsO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ and pharmacolite $\left(\mathrm{CaHAsO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ show similar structures formed by layers of coordination polyhedra connected by hydrogen bonds. For the single-layer topology, krautite resembles pharmacolite (chain-like structure) more than haidingerite (network-like structure), since the increase of coordination number from $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ to $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ is compensated by the increase of water content.


## Introduction

In a series of structural and crystal-chemical investigations on mineral arsenates containing hydrogen bonds (Catti et al., 1977), the manganese arsenate krautite, recently described and characterized as a new mineral by Fontan et al. (1975), has been examined. The other known natural manganese arsenates are a large group of basic arsenates (Moore, 1967), manganese hoernesite $(\mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Mg})_{3}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the new mineral $\mathrm{CaMn}\left(\mathrm{HAsO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Permingeat et al., in preparation). The synthetic crystalline phases reported in the system $\mathrm{MnO}-\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Pascal, 1966, p. 923) are $\mathrm{Mn}_{3}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $\mathrm{MnHAsO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \quad \mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{2}, \quad \mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ $\cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{MnHAsO}_{4} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; the last compound is probably isomorphous with brassite, $\mathrm{MgHAsO}_{4}$ - $4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the structure of which is known (Protas and Gindt, 1976). Krautite is the first acid manganous arsenate studied structurally; we aim to clarify the crystal-chemical relationships with its calcium homolog haidingerite, $\mathrm{CaHAsO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Cassien et al., 1966; Calleri and Ferraris, 1967). Indeed, both minerals show very similar cell parameters and
strong mica-like (010) cleavages, so that analogous layered structure types seem appropriate, in spite of the fact that the symmetries are different (monoclinic for krautite and orthorhombic for haidingerite), and important differences appear in their X-ray powder patterns.

## Experimental

Since good quality single crystals could not be found in the natural samples of krautite (Fontan et al., 1975), synthetic crystalline $\mathrm{MnHAsO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was prepared. By mixing aqueous solutions of $\mathrm{MnCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ a transparent gel was obtained (Deiss, 1914), from which many small pink balls (about 2 mm diameter) precipitated; each ball was formed by tiny, crystalline packets of $\mathrm{MnHAsO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Every packet contained very thin $\{010\}$ lamellae, with frequent polysynthetic twinning. With great difficulty an irregular crystalline fragment $0.30 \times 0.10 \times 0.02$ mm could be isolated, and was used for all measurements.

By means of Weissenberg photographs and singlecrystal diffractometry the monoclinic unit cell
reported by Fontan et al. was confirmed. The leastsquares refinement of $25 \theta$ values measured on a diffractometer $[\lambda(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)=0.71069 \mathrm{~A}]$ yielded the unitcell parameters $a=8.012(2), b=15.956(4), c=$ 6.801(2)A, $\beta=96.60(3)^{\circ}$. Other crystal data are: $V=$ $863.7 \mathrm{~A}^{3}, Z=8, M=212.879, \rho$ (meas) $=3.30 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ (Fontan et al., 1975), $\rho$ (calc) $=3.274 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, F(000)$ $=1128, \mu(\operatorname{MoK} \alpha)=111.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. On the basis of systematic absences, the space group could be either $P 2_{1}$ or $P 2_{1} / m$; an analysis of statistical tests on the diffraction intensities to detect the symmetry center gave ambiguous results.

The intensities were measured on a Philips PW 1100 automatic four-circle diffractometer with the following conditions: MoK $\alpha$ radiation, graphite monochromator, $\theta \leq 30^{\circ}$, $\omega$ scan, $\Delta \omega=1.40^{\circ}$, scanning speed $0.04^{\circ} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, background time 5 sec on both sides of each peak, attenuating filter inserted for intensities higher than 60000 counts $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$, three reference reflections. By removing the reflections with $\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right| \leq$ $3 \sigma\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|\right), 2294$ independent observations remained for this study.'

## Solution and refinement of the structure

The program Multan based on direct methods (Germain et al., 1971) was used to solve the structure. In the centrosymmetrical space group $P 2_{1} / m$ only pseudo-solutions were obtained; the correct solution was found in the non-centrosymmetrical space group $P 2_{1}$, showing the positions of the four As and four Mn independent atoms. By subsequent structure-factor calculations and Fourier difference maps, all the oxygen atoms were located. The full-matrix leastsquares refinement with isotropic temperature factors for all atoms converged to $R=0.112$; anomalous scattering of As and Mn atoms was corrected for, and the $y$ coordinate of $\mathrm{As}(1)^{2}$ was locked during the refinement to fix the origin. The following weighting scheme was used: $w=1 /\left(5 \times 10^{-4}\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|^{2}+1\right)$; then the average values of $\Delta^{2}$ for groups of reflections were practically constant. An anisotropic refinement was tried unsuccessfully, since the temperature factors of

[^0]Table 1. Atomic fractional coordinates and thermal parameters ( $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ )

|  | x | y | z | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(1)$ | $0.4033(4) *$ | $0.0451(2)$ | $0.6520(4)$ | $0.69(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mn}\left(1^{1}\right)$ | $0.1069(4)$ | -0.0455(2) | $0.9043(4)$ | $0.76(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(2)$ | $0.8581(4)$ | $0.0663(2)$ | $0.1631(4)$ | 0.71 (4) |
| $\operatorname{Mn}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $0.6511(4)$ | -0.0661(2) | $0.3934(4)$ | $0.70(4)$ |
| As(1) | $0.4087(2)$ | $0.0874(1)$ | $0.1473(3)$ | $0.61(3)$ |
| As(1) | $0.1000(2)$ | -0.0881(1) | $0.4075(3)$ | 0.57 (3) |
| As (2) | $0.8140(2)$ | $0.1014(1)$ | $0.6689(3)$ | $0.52(3)$ |
| As(2') | $0.6976(2)$ | -0.1035(1) | $0.8891(3)$ | $0.52(3)$ |
| O(1) | $0.297(2)$ | $0.171(1)$ | $0.202(2)$ | 1.1(2) |
| O(1) | $0.211(2)$ | -0.169(1) | $0.351(2)$ | 1.1(2) |
| $O(2)$ | $0.329(2)$ | $0.036(1)$ | -0.054(2) | $0.7(2)$ |
| O(2') | $0.183(2)$ | -0.036(1) | $0.612(2)$ | $1.0(2)$ |
| $\bigcirc(3)$ | $0.449(2)$ | $0.023(1)$ | $0.342(2)$ | $0.9(2)$ |
| $O\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $0.058(1)$ | -0.022(1) | $0.214(2)$ | $0.3(2)$ |
| O(4) | $0.603(2)$ | $0.129(1)$ | $0.092(2)$ | 1.3(2) |
| O(4') | -0.090(2) | -0.129(1) | $0.462(2)$ | $0.7(2)$ |
| O(5) | $0.624(2)$ | $0.134(1)$ | $0.717(2)$ | $1.1(2)$ |
| O(5) | $0.888(2)$ | -0.133(1) | $0.841(2)$ | $0.7(2)$ |
| $0(6)$ | $0.916(2)$ | $0.050(1)$ | $0.862(2)$ | $0.7(2)$ |
| $0\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $0.593(2)$ | -0.051(1) | $0.696(2)$ | $0.9(2)$ |
| $0(7)$ | $0.808(2)$ | $0.044(1)$ | $0.462(2)$ | 1.0(2) |
| O(7) | $0.707(2)$ | -0.045(1) | $1.097(2)$ | $0.9(2)$ |
| O(8) | $0.938(2)$ | $0.189(1)$ | $0.644(2)$ | 1.4(2) |
| O(8') | $0.583(2)$ | -0.190(1) | 0.922 (3) | 1.6(3) |
| W(1) | $0.264(2)$ | $0.164(1)$ | $0.583(2)$ | $1.5(2)$ |
| W(1) | $0.248(2)$ | -0.166(1) | $0.964(2)$ | 1.4(2) |
| $W(2)$ | $0.960(2)$ | $0.190(1)$ | $0.168(3)$ | $1.8(3)$ |
| W(2) | - $0.545(2)$ | -0.187(1) | $0.391(3)$ | $1.8(3)$ |

Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses and refer to the last decimal place.
several atoms became non-positive-definite. No absorption correction could be performed, owing to the irregularity of the crystalline fragment; this must have introduced large systematic errors into the data, because of the lamellar shape of the crystal and of the large value of the linear absorption coefficient, and would account for the failure of the anisotropic refinement. Table 1 reports the final atomic fractional coordinates; large values of the e.s.d.'s can be observed and could be ascribed to the pseudo-symmetry of the structure ( $c f$. the discussion), which causes a high correlation between atomic positional parameters in the refinement.

## Discussion

## Pseudo-symmetry and description of the structure

A strong $P 2_{1} / n$ pseudo-symmetry is shown by inspection of Table 1. All atoms can be grouped in pairs related by a pseudo-center of inversion, which is located on the average at $x=0.2555, y=0, z=$

Table 2. Interatomic distances and O -As- O angles in the four independent $\mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ groups

| As(1) - O(1) | $1.67(2)$ | ${ }^{* 0}$ | As(1') - O(1') | 1.64 (2) ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As( ${ }^{\text {. }}$ ) $-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 1.65 (1 |  | As(1') - O( $2^{\prime}$ ) | $1.69(1)$ |  |
| As(1) - O(3) | 1.67 (1 |  | As( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) - O(3') | 1.69(1) |  |
| As(1) - O(4) | 1.7712 |  | As(1) - O(4') | 1.73 (1) |  |
| Average | 1.69 |  | Average | 1.69 |  |
| As(2) - O(5) | 1.68 (2 |  | As(2') - O( $5^{\prime}$ ) | 1.66(1) |  |
| As(2) - O(6) | 1.68 (1 |  | As(2') - O(6') | 1.70 (1) |  |
| As(2) - O(7) | 1.68 (2) |  | As(2') - O( $\mathbf{7}^{\prime}$ ) | $1.69(1)$ |  |
| As(2) - O(8) | 1.73 (2 |  | As(2') - O(8') | 1.69(2) |  |
| Average | 1.69 |  | Average | 1.69 |  |
| O(1)... O(2) | $2.79{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ | $114.3{ }^{\circ}$ | O(1) . . . 0 (2') | $2.79{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ | $114.0^{\circ}$ |
| O(1)....O(3) | 2.76 | 111.6 | O(1)...O(3') | 2.76 | 111.8 |
| O(1)... 0 (4) | 2.73 | 104.9 | $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right) . . . \mathrm{O}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.69 | 105.5 |
| $0(2) \ldots$ (3) | 2.76 | 111.9 | O(2)...O(3') | 2.78 | 111.1 |
| O(2)....O(4) | 2.74 | 105.9 | O(2')...O(4') | 2.74 | 106.4 |
| O(3) ...O(4) | 2.78 | 107.6 | O(3')...O(4') | 2.76 | 107.5 |
| Average | 2.76 |  | Average | 2.75 |  |
| O(5)... O(6) | $2.78{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ | $111.9^{\circ}$ | O(5').... 0 (6') | 2.78 A | $111.6^{\circ}$ |
| O(5)... 0 (7) | 2.80 | 113.4 | O(5').... O( $7^{\prime}$ ) | 2.77 | 111.5 |
| $0(5) \ldots .0(8)$ | 2.76 | 107.9 | O(5')... $\mathrm{O}^{\left(8^{\prime}\right)}$ | 2.73 | 108.8 |
| O(6) . . O(7) | 2.76 | 110.7 | $0\left(6^{\prime}\right) \ldots . . O\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.78 | 110.1 |
| O(6)... O(8) | 2.68 | 103.7 | O(6')... $0\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.71 | 106.2 |
| $0(7) \ldots . .0(8)$ | 2.77 | 108.8 | O(7) $\ldots$. $0\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.74 | 108.4 |
| Average | 2.76 |  | Average | 2.75 |  |

Estimated standard deviations for As-O distances are given in parentheses and refer to the last decimal place. The e.s.d.'s for $0 . .0$ distances and for the angles are $0.02 \AA$ and $0.7^{\circ}$, respectively.
0.2783 , slightly shifted from the correct position $1 / 4$, $0,1 / 4$ of space group $P 2_{1} / n$ (with the origin on the twofold screw axis). The coordinates of all pseudosymmetric atoms obey the operation $0.5110-x, \bar{y}$, $0.5566-z$ within $3 \sigma$, except for the pairs $\operatorname{As}(1), \operatorname{As}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{As}(2), \operatorname{As}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$, where deviations of $6 \sigma$ and $10 \sigma$ for $x$ and for $y$, respectively, are observed. The ambiguous results of statistical tests on the intensities are accounted for by the pseudo-centrosymmetry of the structure; on the other hand the $h 0 l$ reflections with $h+l$ odd, which should be systematically absent if a true $n$ plane were present, are only slightly weaker than the average: this is consistent with the pseudosymmetry center being shifted from its proper position in space group $P 2_{1} / n$.

The geometrical features of $\mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{MnO}_{6}$ coordination polyhedra are reported in Tables 2 and 3, respectively; pseudo-symmetry appears clearly in bond distances and angles. Polyhedra are connected by sharing edges and vertices and build up a system of (010) layers (Fig. 1); adjacent layers are related by the 2 , operation and are linked by hydrogen bonding only (Fig. 2). Only translational symmetry is present within a single layer. The distorted coordination octahedra of Mn share edges with one another and form [101] chains. The $O(2)^{11} \cdots O\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ and

Table 3. Interatomic distances and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{O}$ angles in the $\dot{\mathrm{Mn}}$ coordination polyhedra

| $\mathrm{Mn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)^{\mathrm{II}}$ | $2.16(1)^{* 0} \mathrm{~A}$ |  | $\mathrm{Mn}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $2.15(1)^{\circ} \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $2.21(1)$ |  | $\mathrm{Mn}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ - O(3') ${ }^{\text {II }}$ | 2.22(1) |  |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(1)$ - O(2) | 2.18(1) |  | $\mathrm{Mn}\left(1^{1}\right)-\mathrm{O}(2)^{\text {II }}$ | $2.20(1)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $2.28(2)$ |  | $\operatorname{Mn}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-O\left(5^{\prime}\right)^{\text {III }}$ | 2.24(1) |  |
| $M n(1)-O\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.15 (1) |  | $\mathrm{Mn}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}(6)^{\text {III }}$ | 2.16(1) |  |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(1)-\mathrm{W}(1)$ | $2.22(2)$ |  | Mn(1') - W (1') | 2.24(2) |  |
| Average | 2.20 |  | Average | 2.20 |  |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $2.27(2)$ |  | $\mathrm{Mn}\left(2^{1}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(4^{1}\right)^{\text {IV }}$ | 2.30(1) |  |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)^{\text {IV }}$ | $2.13(1)$ |  | $\mathrm{Mn}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ - O(3) | $2.16(1)$ |  |
| $M n(2)-O(6)^{I}$ | $2.17(1)$ |  | $\mathrm{Mn}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $2.17(1)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | 2.15 (2) |  | $\mathrm{Mn}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(7^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{I}}$ | 2.14(1) |  |
| $M \mathrm{n}(2)-O\left(7^{1}\right)^{I}$ | 2.16 (1) |  | $M n(2 ')-0(7)$ | $2.18(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(2)-W(2)$ | $2.13(2)$ |  | $M n\left(2^{\prime}\right)-W\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $2.11(2)$ |  |
| Average | 2.17 |  | Average | 2.18 |  |
| O(2) ${ }^{1!} \ldots 0\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $2.70(2)^{* * 0}$ | $76.8{ }^{\circ}$ | $\bigcirc\left(2^{\prime}\right) \ldots .0(2)^{\text {II }}$ | $2.70(2)$ | $76.6^{\circ}$ |
| $O(2)^{\text {II }} \ldots$. . 5 ( | $3.37(2)$ | 98.8 | $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{1}\right) \ldots \mathrm{O}\left(5^{1}\right)^{\text {III }}$ | 3.35(2) | 99.4 |
| $0(2)^{\text {II }} \ldots . \mathrm{O}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $3.18(2)$ | 95.2 | $O\left(2^{\prime}\right) \ldots . . O(6)^{\text {III }}$ | $3.20(2)$ | 95.8 |
| O(2) ${ }^{\text {II }} \ldots \mathrm{W}(1)$ | 3.20 (3) | 93.9 | O(2')...W(1') | 3.16 (3) | 92.0 |
| O(3) ... O(2') | 3.11(2) | 90.4 | $\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \ldots . \mathrm{O}(2)^{\text {II }}$ | 3.13 (2) | 90.2 |
| O(3) . . $O(5)$ | 3.28(3) | 94.2 | $\mathrm{O}\left(3^{1}\right) \ldots \mathrm{O}\left(5^{1}\right)^{\text {III }}$ | $3.26(2)$ | 94.0 |
| O(3) ...O(6') | $2.81(2)$ | 80.2 | $O\left(3^{\prime}\right) \ldots . O(6)^{\text {III }}$ | 2.78(2) | 79.0 |
| O(3) ...W(1) | 3.23(3) | 93.8 | $\left.\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \ldots . . W^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | $3.32(2)$ | 96.5 |
| O(2')...O(6') | $327(3)$ | 98.1 | O(2) $\ldots . \mathrm{O}(6)^{\text {III }}$ | $3.30(2)$ | 98.6 |
| O(2')...W(1) | $3.27(3)$ | 95.9 | O(2) ... W(1) | 3.29(3) | 95.8 |
| $O(5) \cdot . O\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.97 (3) | 84.2 | $\mathrm{O}\left(5^{\prime}\right) \ldots . \mathrm{O}(6)^{\text {III }}$ | 2.92(2) | 83.4 |
| O(5) ...W(1) | 2.96(3) | 82.3 | O(5')...W(1) ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | $2.95(3)$ | 82.6 |
| Average | 3.11 |  | Average | 3.11 |  |
| $0(4) \ldots O(6)^{I}$ | 3.45(3) | 97.8 | $\mathrm{O}\left(4^{\prime}\right)^{\text {IV }} \ldots . \mathrm{O}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $3.39(2)$ | 98.3 |
| $\mathrm{O}(4) \ldots \mathrm{O}$ (7) | 3.15(3) | 90.8 | $O(41)^{\text {IV }} \ldots O\left(7^{\prime}\right)^{\text {I }}$ | $3.11(2)$ | 88.8 |
| $\bigcirc(4) \ldots O\left(7^{\prime}\right)^{I}$ | $2.89(3)$ | 81.1 | $\mathrm{O}\left(4^{\prime}\right)^{\text {IV }} \ldots \mathrm{O}(7)$ | $2.87(3)$ | 79.7 |
| $\bigcirc(4) \ldots W(2)$ | 3.01(3) | 86.1 | O(4, $)^{\text {IV }} \ldots \ldots\left(2^{1}\right)$ | $3.06(3)$ | 87.5 |
| $0(31) \ldots(6)^{\text {I }}$ | $2.78(2)$ | 80.5 | $0(3) \quad \ldots 0\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.81(2) | 80.8 |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \ldots \mathrm{O}(7)$ | $2.96(2)$ | 87.4 | $\mathrm{O}(3) \quad \ldots . O\left(7^{\prime}\right)^{\text {I }}$ | $3.01(2)$ | 88.6 |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(3^{1}\right) \ldots . \mathrm{O}\left(7^{1}\right)^{\mathrm{I}}$ | $2.86(2)$ | 83.5 | $O(3) \quad \ldots O(7)$ | $2.92(2)$ | 84.7 |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \ldots \mathrm{W}(2)$ | 3.48(3) | 109.2 | O(3) ...W(2') | 3.45(3) | 108.0 |
| $0(6) \ldots W\left(7^{1}\right)^{I}$ | 2.87(2) | 83.2 | $O(61) \quad \ldots . O(7)$ | $2.90(3)$ | 83.6 |
| O(6) . . . W ${ }^{(2)}$ | 3.05(3) | 90.1 | O(6') ...W(2') | $3.00(3)$ | 88.8 |
| O(7) $\ldots \mathrm{O}\left(7^{1}\right)^{I}$ | $2.89(3)$ | 84.2 | $\mathrm{O}\left(7^{1}\right)^{\mathrm{I}} \ldots \mathrm{O}(7)$ | $2.89(3)$ | 84.1 |
| O(7) ...w(2) | $3.39(3)$ | 104.7 | $\mathrm{O}\left(7^{1}\right)^{\mathrm{I}} \ldots \mathrm{W}\left(2^{1}\right)$ | 3.38(3) | 105.4 |
| Average | 3.07 |  | Average | 3.07 |  |

* Estimated standard deviations for distances are given in parentheses and refer to the last decimal place. The e.s.d.'s for the angles are $0.1^{\circ}$.
$\mathrm{O}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ edges are shared by the $\mathrm{Mn}(1)$ polyhedron with those of $\mathrm{Mn}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Mn}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$, respectively; the $\mathrm{Mn}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Mn}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ octahedra share the $\mathrm{O}(7) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(7^{\prime}\right)^{1}$ edge, and the $\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right)^{1 \mathrm{l}} \cdots \mathrm{O}(6)^{1}$ edge is


Fig. 1. Projection onto the plane (010) of one layer of the structure of krautite, emphasizing coordination polyhedra and intra-layer hydrogen bonds (dashed lines).
shared by the $\mathrm{Mn}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Mn}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ polyhedra. Chains are linked by the As tetrahedra, which share their $\mathrm{O}(2), \mathrm{O}(3), \mathrm{O}(4), \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{O}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ vertices with Mn octahedra. Chains belonging to adjacent layers are superposed exactly along $y$ and are linked by interlayer hydrogen bonds, building up (101) planes of chains; between them, planes are also formed by $\mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ tetrahedra, which are connected by inter-layer hydrogen bonding as well.

The very strong mica-like $\{010\}$ cleavage observed in the crystals of krautite is explained by the presence of weak hydrogen bonds between the (010) layers of
coordination polyhedra. Two other cleavages are reported by Fontan et al. (1975): $\{101\}$ and $\{101\}$. The former can be related structurally to the (101) alternate planes of Mn and As polyhedra, whereas the latter cannot be explained on crystal-chemical grounds, since it would involve the breaking of Mn octahedral chains which are the most strongly bonded in the structure.

## Hydrogen bonding

Although the hydrogen atoms have not been located in the structure, no ambiguities are present in


Fig. 2. Contents of one unit cell of krautite projected onto the (101) plane. Inter- and intra-layer hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

Table 4. Interatomic distances and angles in the hydrogen bonds

| O(4) . . O(5) ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | $2.58(2)^{*} \mathrm{~A}$ | As(1) - | O(4) . . O(5) ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | $112.5(8)^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $O\left(4^{\prime}\right) \ldots\left(5^{\prime}\right)^{\text {IIL }}$ | 2.61(2) | As(1') - | O(4') . .O(5 $5^{\text {1 }}{ }^{\text {III }}$ | $112.3(7)$ |
| $O(8) \ldots O\left(1^{\prime}, 2\right)^{\mathrm{VI}}$ | 2.56 (2) | As(2) - | $\mathrm{O}(8) \ldots \mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}, 2\right)^{\mathrm{VI}}$ | 116.0(9) |
| O(8) $\ldots . .0(1,2)^{\text {V }}$ | $2.60(2)$ | As(2') - | $\mathrm{O}\left(8^{\prime}\right) \ldots \mathrm{O}(1,2)^{\mathrm{V}}$ | 115.4(9) |
|  | 2.64(2) | O(1) .. | $W(1) . . O(8)^{\text {III }}$ | 110.5(8) |
| w(1) $\ldots \mathrm{O}(8)^{\mathrm{III}}$ | $2.72(2)$ |  |  |  |
| W(19) ...O(1 $1^{1)^{\text {II }}}$ | 2.68(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1,)^{\mathrm{II}}$. | W(1'). $\mathrm{O}\left(8^{\prime}\right.$ ) | 108.4(7) |
| W(1')... $0\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.76(2) |  |  |  |
| w(2) $\ldots .0(1)^{\text {IV }}$ | 2.7012) | O(1) ${ }^{\text {IV }}$. | $w(2) \ldots w\left(1^{\prime}, 2\right)^{\text {VI }}$ | $130.2(8)$ |
| w(2) ...w( $\left.{ }^{1}, 2\right)^{\text {VI }}$ | 2.92(2) | O(1) ${ }^{\text {IV }}$. | $w(2) \ldots O\left(5^{\prime}, 2\right)^{\text {VII }}$ | 73.4(6) |
| $w(2) \ldots\left(5^{\prime}, 2\right)^{\text {VII }}$ | 3.08(2) | W(1, 2 ) VI | W(2) . . O( $\left.5^{\prime}, 2\right)^{\text {VII }}$ | 58.9(5) |
| W( $2^{\prime}$ ) . . . O(1 ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | 2.67 (2) | o(1) .. | W(2')..W(1,2) ${ }^{\text {V }}$ | 128.8(8) |
| $w\left(2^{\prime}\right) \ldots w(1,2)^{v}$ | $2.82(2)$ | O(1) . . | W(2).. $0(5,2)^{\mathrm{V}}$ | $71.3(6)$ |
| $w(2) \ldots(5,2)^{\text {V }}$ | $3.20(2)$ | W(1,2) ${ }^{\text {V }}$. | $\mathrm{w}\left(2^{\prime}\right) . . \mathrm{O}(5,2)^{\mathrm{V}}$ | 58.5(5) |

*stimated standard deviations are given in parentheses and refer to the last decimal place.
the hydrogen bonding scheme (Table 4). On the basis of As-O bond lengths (Table 2), acidic hydrogen atoms can be unambiguously assigned to the oxygen atoms $\mathrm{O}(4), \mathrm{O}\left(4^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{O}(8)$. The situation is less clear in the $\operatorname{As}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ tetrahedron, because the three longest As-

O bonds are practically equal; however, only $\mathrm{O}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ is involved in a $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ contact, so the fourth acidic H atom has been assigned to it, in accordance with the pseudo-symmetry. The bonds donated by $\mathrm{O}(4)$ and $\mathrm{O}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ are contained in the ( 010 ) layers of coordination polyhedra, whereas those donated by $\mathrm{O}(8)$ and $\mathrm{O}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ link adjacent layers.

For the hydrogen bonds donated by water molecules, $\mathrm{W}(1)$ and $\mathrm{W}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ form four bonds connecting Mn octahedra to As tetrahedra inside the (010) layer; $\mathrm{W}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}(1)$ and $\mathrm{W}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)^{11}$ are very short and thus strong bonds, while the other two lie in the range of medium-strength bonds. Two mediumstrong intra-layer bonds are donated by $\mathrm{W}(2)$ and $\mathrm{W}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ to $\mathrm{O}(1)^{\mathrm{IV}}$ and $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$, respectively. $\mathrm{W}(2)$ shows two additional rather long interlayer contacts with $\mathrm{W}\left(1^{\prime}, 2\right)^{\mathrm{v}_{1}}$ and $\mathrm{O}\left(5^{\prime}, 2\right)^{\mathrm{vi}^{\mathrm{VI}}}$, which could reasonably form a bifurcated hydrogen bond, taking into account the values of the three angles $\mathrm{O}(1)^{\text {IV }} \ldots \mathrm{W}(2) \cdots \mathrm{W}\left(1^{\prime}, 2\right)^{\mathrm{vI}}, \mathrm{O}(1)^{\text {IV }} \ldots \mathrm{W}(2) \cdots$ $\mathrm{O}\left(5^{\prime}, 2\right)^{\mathrm{VII}}$ and $\mathrm{W}\left(1^{\prime}, 2\right)^{\mathrm{VI}} \cdots \mathrm{W}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(5^{\prime}, 2\right)^{\mathrm{VII}}$. The two pseudosymmetric contacts of $\mathrm{W}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ with $\mathrm{W}(1,2)^{\mathrm{v}}$ and $\mathrm{O}(5,2)^{\mathrm{v}}$ have different lengths, with the second contact at about the distance limit for a significant interaction; we conclude that the existence of a bifurcated hydrogen bond is less likely for $\mathrm{W}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ than for W(2).

Table 5. Bond valences and their sums ( $\Sigma$ ) for each atom, calculated by Brown's (1976) curve for hydrogen bonds and by Brown and Wu's (1976) universal formula for other bonds

As(1) As(1') As(2) As(2') Mn(1) Mn(1') Mn(2) Mn(2') O(1) O(1') O(4) O(4') O(5) O(5') O(8) O(8') W(1) W(1') $\quad W(2) \quad W\left(2^{\prime}\right) \quad \Sigma$


The consistency of this hydrogen bonding scheme can be tested in two ways: (i) by comparing the experimental bond lengths with those predicted by Baur's (1970) empirical correlations with the bond strengths (Pauling's second rule); (ii) by calculating bond valences based upon experimental bond lengths (Brown and Wu, 1976; Brown, 1976), and checking that the sums on each atom fit its theoretical valence. Both approaches have given satisfactory results, taking into account the low accuracy of bond distances; bond valence values are reported in Table 5.

## Crystal-chemical comparison with haidingerite and pharmacolite

The structures of haidingerite, $\mathrm{CaHAsO} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Cassien et al., 1966; Calleri and Ferraris, 1967), and pharmacolite, $\mathrm{CaHAsO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Ferraris, 1969), are closely related to that of krautite: all these minerals are built up of ( 010 ) layers of coordination polyhedra held together by hydrogen bonding. This similarity is reflected in the unit-cell parameters, which are reported in Table 6; data for haidingerite are taken from Calleri and Ferraris (1967), but $a$ and $c$ have been interchanged. For pharmacolite, the primitive unit cell reported by Ferraris (1969) is not suitable for this comparison, since only four unit-formulas are contained instead of eight; by applying the transformation $10 \overline{\mathrm{I}} / 010 / 101$ to it , the double cell shown in Table 6 is obtained.

Differences between the three structures appear in the single-layer topology of the coordination polyhedra, and are due to two causes: (i) different cation coordination number in krautite $\left(\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}, \mathrm{CN}=6\right.$ ) with respect to haidingerite and pharmacolite $\left(\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right.$, $\mathrm{CN}=7$ ); (ii) different water content in pharmacolite $\left(2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ with respect to krautite and haidingerite $\left(1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$. Haidingerite is characterized by low hydration and high cation coordination number. The $\mathrm{CaO}_{7}$ polyhedra share several edges and vertices and show a very condensed configuration, forming a twodimensional framework. On the other hand, the topology of the pharmacolite layer is very similar to that of krautite, since high hydration and high CN of the cation balance each other; the $\mathrm{CaO}_{7}$ polyhedra share edges and build up [10 $\overline{1}]$ chains which sandwich the $\mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ tetrahedra. The (010) layers are stacked in a different way from krautite; they are related by a glide plane, so that chains of adjacent layers are staggered instead of being superposed as in the latter mineral.

Krautite structurally resembles the dihydrated phase of calcium hydrogen arsenate more than the

Table 6. Unit-cell data of krautite and related minerals

|  | Krautite | Haidingerite | Pharmacolite ${ }^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S.G. | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1}$ | Pbna | Fn |
| a | 8.012 | 7.935 | 10.327 A |
| b | 15.956 | 16.161 | 15.434 |
| c | 6.801 | 6.904 | 6.604 |
| $\beta$ | 96.60 |  | $93.15{ }^{\circ}$ |
| V | 863.7 | 885.4 | $1051.0 \mathrm{~A}^{3}$ |
| Z | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{MnHAsO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{CaHAsO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{CaHAsO} 4.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |

Double cell.
monohydrated phase, for the same reasons that the structure of $\mathrm{LiH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ is closer to $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ than to $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ : "the same effect is produced by either lowering the coordination number of the cation or introducing water molecules into the crystal lattice: the ratio of the number of available oxygen atoms to the number of vertices of the cation polyhedron increases, so that decondensation of cation polyhedra occurs" (Catti and Ivaldi, 1977).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ To receive a copy of the structure factor table, order Document AM-79-113 from the Business Office, Mineralogical Society of America, 2000 Florida Avenue, NW, Washington, D. C. 20009. Please remit $\$ 1.00$ in advance for the microfiche.
    ${ }^{2}$ A single figure in parentheses denotes an atom of the asymmetric unit; primed figures mean the pseudo-symmetry operation $1 / 2-x, \bar{y}, 1 / 2-z$. The second figure 2 is included for atoms in the equivalent position $\bar{x}, 1 / 2+y, \bar{z}$. Roman numerals represent the following translations: I, -c; II, +c; III, -a; IV, +a; $\mathbf{V},+\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c} ;$ VI, $+\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{c} ;$ VII, $+2 \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{c}$.

