ERRATA 1281

ZEOLITE TECHNOLOGY AND APPLICATIONS: RECENT ADVANCES. Chemical Technology Review No. 170. Edited by Jeanette Scott. Noyes Data Corporation, Park Ridge, New Jersey, 1980. xiv + 380 pages. \$64.00.

FLOTATION AGENTS AND PROCESSES: TECHNOLOGY AND APPLICATIONS. Chemical Technology Review No. 172. Edited by M. W. Ranney. Noyes Data Corporation, Park Ridge,

New Jersey, 1980. xi + 371 pages. \$48.00.

CEMENT AND MORTAR TECHNOLOGY AND ADDITIVES: DEVELOPMENTS SINCE 1977. Chemical Technology Review No. 173. Edited by M. H. Gutcho. Noyes Data Corporation, Park Ridge, New Jersey, 1980. xvi + 540 pages. \$54.00.

ERRATA

Chemical formulae and activity models for biotite, muscovite, and chlorite applicable to pelitic metamorphic rocks by M. J. Holdaway (Vol. 65, 711-719).

Label for Figure 1, left side (p. 712) should read KMg₃ $AlSi_3O_{10}(OH)_2$, not $KFe_3AlSi_3O_{10}(OH)_2$.

New Data: Natrophosphate by Michael Fleischer (Vol. 66, 879).

The formula of Natrophosphate should be $Na_7(PO_4)_2$ F · $19H_2O$.

Preiswerkite, an Al-rich trioctahedral sodium mica from the Geisspfad ultramafic complex (Penninic Alps) by H. R. Keusen and Tj. Peters (Vol. 65, 1134–1137).

In the description of preiswerkite, on p. 1134 (abs) and p. 1135, the formula should have been O_{10} , not O_{12} .

The formation of pyrophyllite solid solutions by Philip E. Rosenberg and Graham Cliff (Vol. 65, 1217–1219).

In the abstract on p. 1217, the formula should read $(OH)_{2+x}$, not (OH_{2+x}) .

Natroapophyllite, a new orthorhombic sodium analog of apophyllite: I. Description, occurrence, and nomenclature. Hiroharu Matsueda, Yasunori Miura and John Rucklidge (Vol. 66, 410–423).

Figure 3 on page 413 should have been printed in Part II. On p. 416, r.-24, $I \le 3\sigma_I$ is correct, not $I \ge 3_I$.

The crystal structure of santaclaraite, $CaMn_4[Si_5O_{14}(OH)](OH) \cdot H_2O$: the role of hydrogen atoms in the pyroxenoid structure by Yoshikazu Ohashi and Larry W. Finger (Vol. 66, 154–168).

The γ angle 87.13 for the $I\bar{I}$ cell should read 89.13 for santaclaraite. This error occurs in Abstract and Table 1; but bond distances are unaffected by this correction. I thank Dr. R. C. Erd for pointing out this error.