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#### Abstract

For monoclinic crystals with $\mathbf{b}=Y$, extinction angles measured relative to a $\{110\}$ cleavage trace will equal the optic orientation angle $Z \wedge \mathbf{c}$ (or $X \wedge \mathbf{c}$ ) only for (010) sections. Such sections are best recognized from display of a centered optic normal figure and, as long known, are not necessarily the section in the [001] zone that exhibits the maximum extinction angle relative to the $\{110\}$ cleavage trace. Such maximum extinction angles are here proved to exceed $Z \wedge \mathbf{c}$ (or $X \wedge \mathbf{c}$ ) for optic orientations where $\mathbf{b}=Y$, if the obtuse bisectrix is within $45^{\circ}$ of $\mathbf{c}$. Indeed, if $\mathbf{c}$ also happens to lie in or near a circular section of the optical indicatrix, maximum extinction angles for sections in the [001] zone may approach $45^{\circ}$ regardless of the value of $Z \wedge \mathbf{c}$ (or $X \wedge \mathbf{c}$ ). A hornblende from Mt. Monadnock, New Hampshire-for which Winchell and Winchell (1951) cite $2 V_{z}=137^{\circ}$ and $Z \wedge \mathbf{c}=21^{\circ}-$ closely approaches this special case because its angles $V_{z}$ and $Z \wedge \mathbf{c}$ are almost complementary.


## Introduction

For monoclinic amphiboles and pyroxenes in thin sections, the maximum extinction angle, if measured from grains oriented so that their $\{110\}$ cleavage appears as a single set of mutually parallel traces, is usually assumed to have been measured from a (010) section and thus to represent the angle $Z \wedge \mathbf{c}$ (or $X \wedge \mathbf{c}$ ). Frequently forgotten are the cautions of long ago (Daly, 1899; Duparc and Pearce, 1907; Rosenbusch and Wülfing, 1921-24) and of recent times (Hartshorne and Stuart, 1970). These note that, for crystal sections parallel to $c$, the maximum extinction angle relative to $\{110\}$ cleavage traces may occur for sections at a significant angle $\phi$ to ( 010 ) and, in such case, may significantly exceed $Z \wedge \mathbf{c}$ (or $X \wedge \mathbf{c}$ ). In the appendix, we prove that this will occur for monoclinic crystals with $\{h k 0\}$ cleavage and $\mathbf{b}=Y$ only if the obtuse bisectrix lies within $45^{\circ}$ of $\mathbf{c}$. Such orientations occur for several common amphiboles and pyroxenes. Table 1 , to be discussed next, permits petrographers to estimate the degree to which the maximum extinction angle will exceed the optic orientation angle $Z \wedge \mathbf{c}$ (or $X \wedge \mathbf{c}$ ) if measured from sections parallel to $\mathbf{c}$ at varying angles $\phi$ relative to (010).

## Discussion

In Table 1 the column heads-namely, 5, $10 \ldots$ to, at

[^0]most, 40 -represent the angle (in degrees) between the obtuse bisectrix $(0 B)$ and the $\mathbf{c}$ axis. The vertical column at left represents $\phi$, the dihedral angle between (010) and the crystal section parallel to $\mathbf{c}$ from which the extinction angle $E$ was measured relative to the $\{h k 0\}$ cleavage traces. Values in the body of the Table 1 represent $E$ for different combinations of the obtuse optic angle $2 V_{0 \mathrm{~B}}, \phi$, and $O B \wedge \mathbf{c}$.

Vertical columns headed by those values of $0 B \wedge c$ which are complements of $V_{0 B}$ represent special orientations where $\mathbf{b}=Y$ and where the $\mathbf{c}$ axis lies within a circular section of the optical indicatrix. For these columns wherein

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0 B \wedge c)+V_{0 \mathrm{~B}}=90^{\circ} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the angle $E$, regardless of the value of $O B \wedge \mathbf{c}$, approaches $45^{\circ}$ as $\phi$ approaches $90^{\circ}$. For $\phi$ precisely equal to $90^{\circ}$, the section will lie parallel to (100). In such a case, to the extent that Equation (1) holds for the crystal, this section will be perpendicular to an optic axis and thus will have no well defined extinction position. However, a plane at a small angle $\delta$ to (100) will have a defined extinction angle (Fig. 1). As shown, the two circular sections intersect this particular plane at the two black dots. Following the Biot-Fresnel rule, the hollow point $v$, which bisects the angle between the two black dots, represents the privileged direction for the dashed plane. Note that this privileged direction is almost at $45^{\circ}$ to the $\mathbf{c}$ axis, and thus to the trace of the $\{110\}$ cleavage on this dashed plane.

For a crystal that exactly conforms to Equation (1), a plot of $E$ versus $\phi$ discloses (Fig. 2A) that the true angle $O B \wedge \mathbf{c}$ corresponds to the minimum value for $E$, not the

Table 1. Extinction angles relative to $\{h k 0\}$ cleavage for ( $h k 0$ ) planes at varying interfacial angles $\phi$ to ( 010 ) and for different optic orientations c:0B and 2 V angles*

maximum. This holds true if we exclude from consideration sections for which $\phi$ nearly or exactly equals $90^{\circ}$ Fortunately, such sections are readily recognized (low birefringence and near-centered optic axis figures). By contrast the desired sections, at or near $\phi$ equal $0^{\circ}$, will display a centered optic normal figure (and thus maxi-
mum retardation). However, even if $\phi$ equals as much as $20^{\circ}$ (cf. Table 1), the measured $E$ angles will exceed $0 B \wedge$ c by only $6 \%$ at most.

The data of Winchell and Winchell (1951) for a hornblende from Mt. Monadnock, New Hampshire- $2 V_{Z}=$ $137^{\circ}$ and $Z \wedge \mathrm{c}=20-21^{\circ}$ —provide a practical example.


Fig. 1. Stereographic projection of a monoclinic hornblende crystal from Mt. Monadnock, New Hampshire, using the data$Z \wedge \mathbf{c}=21^{\circ} ; 2 V_{X}=43^{\circ}$-given by Winchell and Winchell (1951, p. 435). For this crystal, the $\mathbf{c}$ axis lies practically within a circular section of the indicatrix because

$$
Z \wedge c+V_{Z}=89.5^{\circ}
$$

The dashed line represents a plane parallel to $\mathbf{c}$ which lies at an angle $\phi$, namely $90^{\circ}-\delta$ where $\delta$ is extremely small, to the optic plane (010). This dashed line intersects one circular section ( $\mathrm{CS}_{1}$ ) at $\mathbf{c}$ and the other $\left(\mathrm{CS}_{2}\right)$ at $i$. Consequently $\nu$, the vibration direction for light normally incident on the plane represented by this dashed line, is the bisector of the angle between c and $i$. Point $c$ also represents the intersection between said plane and any $\{h k 0\}$ cleavage. Accordingly, $E$ equals the extinction angle for light normally incident on said plane if measured relative to an $\{h k 0\}$ cleavage trace. For this special case, note that extinction angle $E$ has approached $45^{\circ}$ even though $Z \wedge \mathbf{c}$ only equals $21^{\circ}$.

Accepting $Z \wedge \mathbf{c}$ as $21^{\circ}$, our calculations of $E$ versus $\phi$, if plotted (Fig. 2B), disclose that $E$ drops off precipitously to $0^{\circ}$, as $\phi$ exceeds (ca.) $85^{\circ}$.

Amphibole crystals in oil (or Canada balsam) mounts likely lie on a $\{110\}$ cleavage. If so, this plane of rest will be at an angle $\phi$ of (ca.) $62^{\circ}$ to the (010) plane. For amphiboles with $\mathbf{b}=Y$ and with $\mathbf{c}$ within $45^{\circ}$ of the obtuse bisectrix, the extinction angles measured from such mounted grains will thus exceed $Z \wedge \mathbf{c}$ (or $X \wedge \mathbf{c}$ ). Comparing the $E$ angles for $\phi \approx 60^{\circ}$ to those for $\phi=0^{\circ}$ in Table 1 indicates the extent of the expected discrepancy. Similarly, for grain mounts of pyroxenes, the values for $\phi$ $=45^{\circ}$ should be compared to the values obtained by interpolating halfway between those at $\phi=40^{\circ}$ and $\phi=$ $50^{\circ}$ in Table 1.


Fig. 2(A). Values of the extinction angles relative to $\{h k 0\}$ cleavage for a family of planes parallel to $\mathbf{c}$ as their interfacial angle ( $\phi$ ) relative to ( 010 ) varies from $0^{\circ}$ to $90^{\circ}$. The three curves represent three different crystals for which, respectively, the angle between the obtuse bisectrix and cequals $10^{\circ}, 25^{\circ}$ and $45^{\circ}$. Each crystal has an obtuse angle $V$, which is the complement of its $\mathbf{c} \triangle O B$ angle. In such case the plane for which $\phi$ equals $90^{\circ}-$ that is, the $(100)$ plane-coincides with a circular section of the indicatrix and, accordingly, lacks a specific privileged direction so that the extinction angle $E$ is not defined for this orientation. Contrary to common belief, the angle $\mathbf{c} \wedge O B$ would represent the minimum extinction angle measured in this section for these special cases. The top curve represents a correction of the top curve in Fig. 7.24 of Hartshorne and Stuart (1970). (B) Plot of $E$ versus $\phi$ for the hornblende from Mt. Monadnock, New Hampshire.
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## Appendix

Previously we stated without proof that, for monoclinic crystals with $\{h k 0\}$ cleavage and $\mathbf{b}=Y$, the extinction angle $E$ can exceed $Z \wedge c($ or $X \wedge c$ ) only if the obtuse bisectrix is at less than $45^{\circ}$ to the c axis. To prove this, let c and $Z$ (Fig. 3A) represent the caxis and one bisectrix of the optical indicatrix for a monoclinic crystal with $\mathbf{b}=Y$ and $\{h k 0\}$ cleavage. The bold ellipse represents an equivibration curve (Wright, 1923, p. 785; Bloss, 1981, p. 100-106) which by definition represents the locus of all points that represent radii of equal length within this crystal's optical indicatrix. The dashed line represents the direct projection of a plane, in the [001] zone, at a random angle $\phi$ to the ( 010 ) plane. This dashed plane intersects the equivibration curve at $\mathbf{c}$ and $P$. Because $\mathbf{c}$ and $P$ are radii of equal length in the indicatrix, the hollow dot representing the line that bisects the angle between $\mathbf{c}$ and $P$ is necessarily a privileged direction for light normally incident on this dashed plane. By varying $\phi$ between $0^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$, the dashed plane can represent the entire family of planes parallel to [001]. Note that, for any plane in this family, the angle $\mathbf{c} \wedge P$ equals twice the extinction angle $E$ as measured relative to the $\{h k 0\}$ cleavage for light normally incident on the dashed plane.
In Figure $3 \mathrm{~A}, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ and $\theta_{3}$ respectively represent angles $\mathrm{c} \wedge Z$, $P \wedge Z$, and $P_{0} \wedge X$-where $P_{0}$ represents the intersection between the indicatrix's $X Y$ plane and the plane containing $P$ and $Z$. Each pair of lines-c and $Z, P$ and $Z, P_{0}$ and $X$-defines a plane which intersects the indicatrix in an ellipse (Figs 3B, 3C and 3D). Hence, from the equation for an ellipse, the lengths of radii of the indicatrix corresponding to $\mathrm{c}, P_{0}$ and $P$ can be written

$$
\begin{align*}
& c^{-2}=\gamma^{-2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}+\alpha^{-2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}  \tag{2}\\
& P_{0}^{-2}=\alpha^{-2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{3}+\beta^{-2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{3}  \tag{3}\\
& P^{-2}=\gamma^{-2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{2}+P_{0}^{-2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{2} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

The right hand side (r.h.s.) for Equation 3 can substitute for $P_{0}^{-2}$ in Equation 4 and, since radii $c$ and $P$ are equal (because both plot on the same equivibration curve), the r.h.s. of Equations 2 and 4 may be equated. Dividing the resultant equation by ( $\alpha^{-2}-$ $\left.\gamma^{-2}\right)$ and then substituting $\sin ^{2} V_{\mathrm{Z}}$ for $\left(\alpha^{-2}-\beta^{-2}\right) /\left(\alpha^{-2}-\gamma^{-2}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin ^{2} \theta_{2}=\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}{1-\sin ^{2} \theta_{3} \sin ^{2} V_{Z}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 3(A). Stereographic projection of a crystal for which Z : c equals $21^{\circ}$ and $2 V_{\mathrm{Z}}=118^{\circ}$. The ellipse (bold line) represents an equivibration curve representing the loci of all radii in the crystal's optical indicatrix whose lengths equal a particular value of $\gamma^{\prime}$ : The dashed line through $c$ represents the direct projection of one of the family of planes parallel to $\mathbf{c}$ which is at an angle $\phi$ relative to ( 010 ). This plane intersects the equivibration curve at $c$ and at $P$. The plane containing $P$ and $Z$ has been extended until it intersects the indicatrix's $X Y$ plane at $P_{0}$. The angles $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$, and $\theta_{3}$ are thus defined as $\mathbf{c} \wedge Z, P \wedge Z$, and $P_{0} \wedge X$, respectively. (B) Cross-section through the optical indicatrix for the plane containing $Z$ and $\mathbf{c}$; (C) One for that containing $Z$ and $P$; and (D) One for that containing $X$ and $Y$. Angles $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$, and $\theta_{3}$ are as illustrated.
or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos ^{2} \theta_{2}=\frac{\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}-\sin ^{2} \theta_{3} \sin ^{2} V_{Z}}{1-\sin ^{2} \theta_{3} \sin ^{2} V_{Z}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our proof is simplified, but not negated, if we equate $\theta_{3}$ to $90^{\circ}$ so that equation 6 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos ^{2} \theta_{2}=\frac{\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}-\sin ^{2} V_{Z}}{\cos ^{2} V_{Z}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\theta_{3}$ equal $90^{\circ}$, point $P$ moves to position $P_{2}$ (Fig. 3A) and from the spherical right triangle $c Z P_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos 2 E=\cos \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2 E$ represents twice the extinction angle for the plane containing radii $c$ and $P_{\mathbf{2}}$. If $E$ is to exceed $\theta_{1}$, then necessarily

$$
\cos 2 \theta_{1}>\cos 2 E
$$

and, in consequence of this and equation 8 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos ^{2} 2 \theta_{1}>\cos ^{2} \theta_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta_{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 2 summarizes the algebraic manipulations whereby, as a consequence of inequality (9), we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan ^{2} \theta_{1}+\tan ^{2} V_{z}>2 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The angle $\theta_{1}$, equal to $Z \wedge c$ in our example; by convention is less than $45^{\circ}$. Accordingly, for inequality (10) to hold, $V_{Z}$ must exceed $45^{\circ}$. In other words, extinction angle $E$ can exceed $\theta_{1}$, if $\theta_{3}=90^{\circ}$, only if the indicatrix bisectrix closest to c is the obtuse bisectrix.

Table 2. Algebraic steps that lead from Equation 9 to Equation 10 in the text

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos ^{2} 2 \theta_{1}>\cos ^{2} \theta_{1} \cdot \cos ^{2} \theta_{2} \quad \mathrm{Eq}, 9 \\
& 4 \cos ^{4} \theta_{1}-4 \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}+1>\cos ^{2} \theta_{1} \cos ^{-2} V_{z}\left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}-\pi \operatorname{sa}^{2} V_{Z}\right) \\
& \cos ^{2} V_{z}-4 \cos ^{2} \theta_{1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1} \operatorname{con}^{2} V_{z}>\cos ^{4} \theta_{2}-\cos ^{2} \theta_{1} \operatorname{cin}^{2} V_{2} \\
& \cos ^{2} V_{z}-\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}\left(1-\pi \operatorname{mn}^{2} \theta_{1}\right)+\cos ^{2} \theta_{1} \sin ^{2} V_{z}-4 \cos ^{2} \theta_{1} \cos ^{2} V_{2} \operatorname{ann}^{2} \theta_{1}>0 \\
& \cos ^{2} V_{z}+\cos ^{2} \theta_{1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}-\cos ^{2} \theta_{1} \cos ^{2} V_{2}-4 \cos ^{2} \theta_{1} \cos ^{2} V_{Z^{\sin }}{ }^{2} \theta_{1}>0 \\
& \cos ^{2} v_{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}+\cos ^{2} \theta_{1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}-4 \cos ^{2} \theta_{1} \cos ^{2} v_{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}=0 \\
& \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}>0, \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}>0 \text { and } \cos ^{2} V_{2}>0 \text {, we have } \\
& \cos ^{-2} \theta_{1}+\cos ^{-2} V_{2}>4 \\
& \text { or } \quad \tan ^{2} \theta_{1}+\tan ^{2} v_{2}>2
\end{aligned}
$$
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