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#### Abstract

Timroseite, $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{5}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{Te}^{6+} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, and paratimroseite, $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{4}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{Te}^{6+} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$, are two new tellurates from Otto Mountain near Baker, California. Timroseite is named in honor of Timothy (Tim) P. Rose and paratimroseite is named for its relationship to timroseite. Both new minerals occur on fracture surfaces and in small vugs in brecciated quartz veins. Timroseite is directly associated with acanthite, cerussite, bromine-rich chlorargyrite, chrysocolla, gold, housleyite, iodargyrite, khinite-4O, markcooperite, ottoite, paratimroseite, thorneite, vauquelinite, and wulfenite. Paratimroseite is directly associated with calcite, cerussite, housleyite, khinite-4O, markcooperite, and timroseite. Timroseite is orthorhombic, space group $P 2_{1} n m, a=5.2000(2), b=9.6225(4), c=11.5340(5) \AA, V=577.13(4) \AA^{3}$, and $Z=2$. Paratimroseite is orthorhombic, space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}, a=5.1943(4), b=9.6198(10), c=11.6746(11) \AA, V=583.35(9) \AA^{3}$, and $Z=2$. Timroseite commonly occurs as olive to lime green, irregular, rounded masses and rarely in crystals as dark olive green, equant rhombs, and diamond-shaped plates in subparallel sheaf-like aggregates. It has a very pale yellowish green streak, dull to adamantine luster, a hardness of about $2 \frac{1}{2}$ (Mohs), brittle tenacity, irregular fracture, no cleavage, and a calculated density of $6.981 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. Paratimroseite occurs as vibrant "neon" green blades typically intergrown in irregular clusters and as lime green botryoids. It has a very pale green streak, dull to adamantine luster, a hardness of about 3 (Mohs), brittle tenacity, irregular fracture, good $\{001\}$ cleavage, and a calculated density of $6.556 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. Timroseite is biaxial ( + ) with a large $2 V$, indices of refraction $>2$, orientation $X=\mathbf{b}, Y=\mathbf{a}, Z=\mathbf{c}$ and pleochroism: $\mathrm{X}=$ greenish yellow, $\mathrm{Y}=$ yellowish green, $\mathrm{Z}=$ dark green $(Z>Y>X)$. Paratimroseite is biaxial ( - ) with a large $2 V$, indices of refraction $>2$, orientation $X=\mathbf{c}, Y=\mathbf{b}, Z=\mathbf{a}$ and pleochroism: $X=\operatorname{light~green,~} Y=$ green, $Z=$ green $(Y$ $=Z \gg X$ ). Electron microprobe analysis of timroseite provided $\mathrm{PbO} 35.85, \mathrm{CuO} 29.57, \mathrm{TeO}_{3} 27.75, \mathrm{Cl}$ $0.04, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 1.38$ (structure), $\mathrm{O} \equiv \mathrm{Cl}-0.01$, total $94.58 \mathrm{wt} \%$; the empirical formula (based on $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Cl}=14$ ) is $\mathrm{Pb}_{2.07} \mathrm{Cu}_{4.80}^{2+} \mathrm{Te}_{2.04}^{6+} \mathrm{O}_{12}(\mathrm{OH})_{1.98} \mathrm{Cl}_{0.02}$. Electron microprobe analysis of paratimroseite provided PbO 36.11 , $\mathrm{CuO} 26.27, \mathrm{TeO}_{3} 29.80, \mathrm{Cl} 0.04, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3.01$ (structure), $\mathrm{O} \equiv \mathrm{Cl}-0.01$, total $95.22 \mathrm{wt} \%$; the empirical formula (based on $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Cl}=14$ ) is $\mathrm{Pb}_{1.94} \mathrm{Cu}_{3.96}^{2+} \mathrm{Te}_{2.03}^{6+} \mathrm{O}_{12}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{1.99} \mathrm{Cl}_{0.01}$. The strongest powder X-ray diffraction lines for timroseite are [ $d_{\text {obs }}$ in $\left.\AA(h k l) I\right]: 3.693$ ( 022 ) 43, 3.578 (112) 44, 3.008 (023) 84, 2.950 (113) 88, 2.732 (130) 100, 1.785 (multiple) 33, 1.475 (332) 36; and for paratimroseite 4.771 (101) 76, 4.463 (021) $32,3.544(120) 44,3.029(023,122) 100,2.973(113) 48,2.665(131) 41,2.469$ (114) 40, 2.246 (221) 34. The crystal structures of timroseite $\left(R_{1}=0.029\right)$ and paratimroseite $\left(R_{1}=0.039\right)$ are very closely related. The structures are based upon edge- and corner-sharing sheets of Te and Cu polyhedra parallel to (001) and the sheets in both structures are identical in topology and virtually identical in geometry. In timroseite, the sheets are joined to one another along c by sharing the apical O atoms of Cu octahedra, as well as by sharing edges and corners with an additional $\mathrm{CuO}_{5}$ square pyramid located between the sheets. The sheets in paratimroseite are joined only via $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O}$ and H bonds.
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## INTRODUCTION

Timroseite, $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{5}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{Te}^{6+} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, and paratimroseite, $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{4}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{Te}^{6+} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$, are among seven new secondary leadtellurium minerals discovered recently at Otto Mountain near

[^0]Baker, California. Detailed information on the mining history, geology, mineralogy, and mineral paragenesis of the deposit, as well as on the discovery of the new minerals, is provided in Kampf et al. (2010b).

Timroseite is named in honor of Timothy (Tim) P. Rose (b. 1960) and paratimroseite is named for its relationship to tim-
roseite. Rose is a geochemist at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory and an avid mineral collector. He collected and provided two of the three cotype specimens of timroseite for study, from which the only crystals suitable for single-crystal work were obtained. Rose has agreed to the naming of timroseite in his honor. The new minerals and names have been approved by the Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification of the International Mineralogical Association (timroseite: IMA 2009-064 and paratimroseite: IMA 2009-065). Three cotype specimens of timroseite (catalog numbers 62531, 62532, and 62533) and two of paratimroseite (catalog numbers 62263 and 62534) are deposited in the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County.

## OCCURRENCE

Timroseite was found at the Aga mine, ( $35^{\circ} 16.399^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 116^{\circ}$ $05.665^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ) on Otto Mountain, $\sim 2 \mathrm{~km}$ northwest of Baker, San Bernardino County, California, U.S.A., and in the Bird Nest drift on the southwest flank of Otto Mountain, 0.7 km northwest of the Aga mine ( $35^{\circ} 16.606^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 116^{\circ} 05.956^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ). Paratimroseite is considerably rarer and is known only from a few specimens from at the Aga mine. We have also confirmed timroseite as occurring as lime green botryoids at the Vesley mine, Granite Gap, Hidalgo County, New Mexico.

Both minerals occur on fracture surfaces and in small vugs in quartz veins. Timroseite is directly associated with acanthite, cerussite, bromine-rich chlorargyrite, chrysocolla, gold, iodargyrite, khinite- $4 O$, vauquelinite, wulfenite, and the new minerals housleyite $\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{6} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+} \mathrm{Te}_{4}^{6+} \mathrm{O}_{18}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]$ [IMA2009-024; Kampf et al. (2010c)], markcooperite $\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2}\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Te}^{6+} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right]$ [IMA2009-045; Kampf et al. (2010d)], ottoite $\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Te}^{6+} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right]$ [IMA2009-063; Kampf et al. (2010b)], paratimroseite and thorneite $\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{6}\left(\mathrm{Te}_{2}^{6+} \mathrm{O}_{10}\right)\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)\right.$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ ] [IMA2009-023; Kampf et al. (2010a)]. Paratimroseite is directly associated with calcite, cerussite, housleyite, khinite- $4 O$, markcooperite and timroseite. Note that powder X-ray diffraction of khinite crystals on paratimroseite cotype specimen 62534 showed them to probably be a combination of the khinite- $4 O$ and khinite- $3 T$ polytypes. This was the only evidence of the khinite- $3 T$ polytype on any specimen from Otto Mountain examined. Other species identified in the Otto Mountain assemblages include: anglesite, atacamite, boleite, brochantite, burckhardtite, caledonite, celestine, chalcopyrite, devilline, diaboleite, fluorite, fornacite, galena, goethite, jarosite, kuranakhite, linarite, malachite, mimetite, mottramite, munakataite, murdochite, muscovite, perite, phosphohedyphane, plumbojarosite, pyrite, schieffelinite, vanadinite, and one other new mineral: telluroperite $\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{3} \mathrm{Te}^{4+} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$ [IMA2009-044; Kampf et al. (2010e)]. Other potentially new species are still under investigation.

Timroseite and paratimroseite and most the other secondary minerals of the quartz veins are interpreted as having formed from the partial oxidation of primary sulfides (e.g., galena and chalcopyrite) and tellurides (e.g., hessite) during or following brecciation of the quartz veins.

## PHYSICAL AND OPTICAL PROPERTIES

## Timroseite

Timroseite most commonly occurs as olive to lime green irregular rounded crystalline masses (Fig. 1) and very rarely as
distinct crystals in the form of dark olive green equant rhombs or diamond-shaped plates in subparallel sheaf-like aggregates to 0.5 mm . Crystals exhibit the forms $\{001\},\{110\}$, and $\{010\}$ (Fig. 2). No twinning was observed. The mineral is non-fluorescent. The streak is a very pale yellowish green and the luster is dull to adamantine. The Mohs hardness is estimated at $21 / 2$. The mineral is brittle with irregular fracture and has no cleavage. The density could not be measured because it is greater than those of available high-density liquids and there is insufficient material for physical measurement. The calculated density for the ideal formula is $6.982 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. In dilute HCl , timroseite immediately decomposes, turning opaque white, and then the residue slowly dissolves.


Figure 1. SEM image of timroseite showing the surface of an irregular rounded crystalline mass.


FIGURE 2. Crystal drawing of timroseite (clinographic projection)

The indices of refraction exceed those of available index fluids. The Gladstone-Dale relationship (Mandarino 1981) predicts $n_{\mathrm{av}}=2.113$ based on the ideal formula. Orthoscopic and conoscopic optical examination using a Leitz Ortholux I polarizing microscope equipped with a Supper spindle stage showed timroseite to be biaxial $(+)$ with a large $2 V$. No dispersion was observed. The optical orientation is $X=\mathbf{b}, Y=\mathbf{a}, Z=\mathbf{c}$ and the pleochroism is $X=$ greenish yellow, $Y=$ yellowish green, and $Z$ $=$ dark green $(Z>Y>X)$.

## Paratimroseite

Paratimroseite occurs as vibrant "neon" green blades up to about 0.1 mm in length. Blades typically are intergrown in irregular clusters (Fig. 3). The mineral also occurs in lime green botryoids up to 0.2 mm in diameter. Blades are elongated on [100], flattened on $\{001\}$, and exhibit the forms $\{001\},\{010\}$, $\{120\}$, and $\{100\}$ (Fig. 4). No twinning was observed. The mineral is non-fluorescent. The streak is a very pale green, and the luster is adamantine for crystals and dull for botryoids. The Mohs hardness is estimated at 3 . The mineral is brittle with irregular fracture and good $\{001\}$ cleavage. As for timroseite, density could not be measured. The calculated density for the ideal formula is $6.557 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. In dilute HCl paratimroseite also immediately decomposes, turning opaque white, and then the residue slowly dissolves.

The indices of refraction exceed those of available index fluids. The Gladstone-Dale relationship (Mandarino 1981) predicts $n_{\mathrm{av}}=2.059$ based on the ideal formula. Paratimroseite is biaxial ( - ) with a large $2 V$. No dispersion was observed. The optical orientation is $X=\mathbf{c}, Y=\mathbf{b}, Z=\mathbf{a}$ and the pleochroism is $X=$ light green, $Y=$ green, and $Z=$ green $(Y=Z \gg X)$.

## Chemistry

Chemical analyses were carried out using a JEOL8200 electron microprobe (WDS mode, $15 \mathrm{kV}, 10 \mathrm{nA}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ beam diameter) at the Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology. The standards used were: PbS , Cu metal, Te metal, and sodalite (for Cl ). Five analyses were


FIGURE 3. SEM image of paratimroseite (FOV 0.15 mm ).
obtained for both timroseite and paratimroseite. The crystals of both species are quite prone to electron beam damage. This and sample porosity contributes to the low analytical totals, even though we used the mildest analytical conditions feasible. This problem of sample instability in the electron beam appears to be common in tellurates (cf. Grundler et al. 2008; Mills et al. 2008, 2009b, 2010). The material available was insufficient for direct $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ determination, so it was calculated by stoichiometry from the results of the crystal-structure analysis.

## Timroseite

The averages (and ranges) of the analyses are: PbO 35.85 (35.51-36.22), CuO 29.57 (29.18-30.02), $\mathrm{TeO}_{3} 27.75$ (27.3628.21), Cl 0.04 ( $0.02-0.06$ ), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 1.38$ (structure), $\mathrm{O} \equiv \mathrm{Cl}-0.01$, total $94.58 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The empirical formula (based on $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Cl}=14$ ) is $\mathrm{Pb}_{2.07} \mathrm{Cu}_{4.80}^{2+} \mathrm{Te}_{2.04}^{6+} \mathrm{O}_{12}(\mathrm{OH})_{1.98} \mathrm{Cl}_{0.02}$. The simplified formula is $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{5}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{Te}^{6+} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, which requires $\mathrm{PbO} 36.79, \mathrm{CuO} 32.78$, $\mathrm{TeO}_{3} 28.94, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 1.48$, total $100 \mathrm{wt} \%$.

## Paratimroseite

The averages (and ranges) of the analyses are: PbO 36.11 (35.28-36.50), CuO 26.27 (26.03-26.73), $\mathrm{TeO}_{3} 29.80$ (29.6230.07), Cl 0.04 ( $0.00-0.10$ ), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3.01$ (structure), $\mathrm{O} \equiv \mathrm{Cl}-0.01$, total $95.22 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The empirical formula (based on $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Cl}=14$ ) is $\mathrm{Pb}_{1.94} \mathrm{Cu}_{3.96}^{2+} \mathrm{Te}_{2.03}^{6+} \mathrm{O}_{12}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{1.99} \mathrm{Cl}_{0.01}$. The simplified formula is $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{4}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{Te}^{6+} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$, which requires $\mathrm{PbO} 38.76, \mathrm{CuO} 27.62$, $\mathrm{TeO}_{3} 30.49, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3.13$, total $100 \mathrm{wt} \%$.

## X-ray crystallography and structure determinations

All powder and single-crystal X-ray diffraction data were obtained on a Rigaku R-Axis Spider curved imaging plate microdiffractometer utilizing monochromatized $\mathrm{Mo} K \alpha$ radiation. The powder data for timroseite and paratimroseite are presented in Table 1. The observed powder data for both minerals fit those calculated from their respective structures well. Figure 5 compares the powder patterns for the two minerals and shows them to be strikingly different in spite of the nearly identical unit cells.


Figure 4. Crystal drawing of paratimroseite (clinographic projection).


Figure 5. Comparison of timroseite and paratimroseite X-ray powder-diffraction patterns.

The Rigaku CrystalClear software package was used for processing the structure data. Numerical (shape-based) and empirical absorption corrections were tested for each data set. The crystal of timroseite used for data collection was a relatively equant ( $50 \times 33 \times 25 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ rhomb of equal dimensions, for which an empirical absorption correction provided the best $R_{\text {int }}$ and structure refinement. The crystal of paratimroseite is a very small, thin (45 $\times 25 \times 6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) blade, for which a shape-based absorption correction worked best. The structures were solved by direct methods using SIR92 (Altomare et al. 1994) and refined, with neutral atom scattering factors, using SHELXL-97 software (Sheldrick 2008).

The location of all non-hydrogen atoms in the timroseite structure was straightforward and, with anisotropic displacement parameters assigned to all atoms, the structure refined to $R_{1}=$ 0.053 for 1181 reflections with $F_{\mathrm{o}}>4 \sigma F$. The Flack parameter (Flack and Bernardinelli 1999), 0.47(2), indicated the likely presence of merohedral twinning. After adding the TWIN instruction, $R_{1}$ improved to 0.040 ; however, two large residuals of 7.0 and $4.9 e / \AA^{3}$ remained at $0.89 \AA$ from Pb 2 and $0.77 \AA$ from Pb 1 , respectively. On the presumption that these represented alternate Pb sites, they were refined as such. With the occupancies of


FIGURE 6. Structures of timroseite and paratimroseite along a (top row) and $\mathbf{c}$ (bottom row, with only $\mathrm{Cu} 1, \mathrm{Cu} 2$, and Te octahedra shown). The Cu 3 square pyramid in timroseite is shown in "ball-and-stick" style to emphasize that it is not part of the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Te}$ octahedral sheet.

| Table 1. | X-ray powder-diffraction data for timroseite and paratimroseite |  |  |  | 36 | 1.475 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.482 \\ & 1.476 \end{aligned}$ | 5 20 | $\begin{gathered} 162 \\ 332^{*} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| timroseite |  |  |  |  |  |  | ( | 1.473 1.469 | 9 8 | 226 314 |
| $l_{\text {obs }}$ | $d_{\text {obs }}$ | $d_{\text {calc }}$ | $I_{\text {calc }}$ | hkl | 3 | 1.443 |  | 1.442 | 4 | 008 |
| 27 | 4.754 | 4.745 | 31 | 101* | 5 | 1.422 |  | 1.422 | 6 | 155 |
| 15 | 4.582 | 4.579 | 11 | 110* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 4.442 | 4.442 | 8 | 021* | para |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 4.269 | 4.256 | 26 | 111* | $l_{\text {obs }}$ | $d_{\text {obs }}$ |  | $d_{\text {calc }}$ | $I_{\text {calc }}$ | hkl |
| 43 | 3.693 | 3.696 | 48 | 022* | 10 | 5.887 |  | 5.845 | 10 | 002 |
| 44 | 3.578 | 3.587 | 39 | 112* |  |  |  | 4.819 | 12 | 020 |
|  |  | 3.534 | 22 | 120 | 76 | 4.771 |  | 4.759 | 61 | 101* |
| 30 | 3.383 | 3.379 | 39 | 121* | 32 | 4.463 |  | 4.455 | 31 | 021* |
| 10 | 3.205 | 3.209 | 12 | 030* | 14 | 3.719 |  | 3.718 | 12 | 022 |
|  |  | 3.093 | 14 | 103 | 18 | 3.623 |  | 3.612 | 11 | 013 |
|  |  | 3.014 | 13 | 122 |  |  |  | 3.607 | 6 | 112 |
| 84 | 3.008 | 3.005 | 58 | 023* | 44 | 3.544 |  | 3.538 | 36 | 120* |
| 88 | 2.950 | 2.945 | 100 | 113* | 22 | 3.122 |  | 3.121 | 16 | 103* |
| 30 | 2.870 | 2.885 | 43 | 004 | 100 | 3.029 | $\{$ | 3.030 | 54 | 023* |
| 13 | 2.813 | 2.804 | 16 | 032 |  |  | \{ | 3.027 | 46 | 122* |
| 100 | 2.732 | 2.731 | 100 | 130* | 48 | 2.973 |  | 2.969 | 37 | 113 |
|  |  | 2.658 | 7 | 131 | 27 | 2.916 |  | 2.922 | 19 | 004 |
| 26 | 2.606 | 2.603 | 17 | 200* | 10 | 2.799 |  | 2.797 | 8 | 014 |
|  |  | 2.602 | 14 | 123 | 30 | 2.733 |  | 2.735 | 12 | 130* |
| 22 | 2.512 | 2.513 | 19 | 210* | 41 | 2.665 |  | 2.663 | 41 | 131* |
|  |  | 2.469 | 6 | 132 |  |  |  | 2.619 | 5 | 123 |
|  |  | 2.455 | 8 | 211 | 27 | 2.608 |  | 2.606 | 17 | 200* |
| 16 | 2.451 | 2.441 | 18 | 114 | 21 | 2.539 |  | 2.543 | 17 | 201* |
| 13 | 2.369 | 2.372 | 14 | 202* |  |  |  | 2.477 | 10 | 132 |
|  |  | 2.356 | 6 | 041 | 40 | 2.469 |  | 2.464 | 33 | 114* |
| 27 | 2.302 | 2.304 | 25 | 212* | 6 | 2.408 |  | 2.410 | 5 | 040 |
|  |  | 2.246 | 7 | 221 | 11 | 2.378 |  | 2.380 | 7 | 202 |
| 22 | 2.236 | 2.235 | 22 | 124* |  |  |  | 2.253 | 9 | 124 |
|  |  | 2.227 | 4 | 133 | 34 | 2.246 |  | 2.249 | 20 | 221* |
| 8 | 2.181 | 2.184 | 9 | 140 |  |  |  | 2.228 | 12 | 042 |
|  |  | 2.146 | 9 | 141 |  |  |  | 2.166 | 8 | 203 |
| 19 | 2.139 | 2.145 | 7 | 034 | 30 | 2.151 |  | 2.150 | 22 | 141* |
|  |  | 2.128 | 12 | 222 |  |  |  | 2.133 | 5 | 105 |
| 23 | 2.106 | 2.103 | 19 | 213* | 9 | 2.109 |  | 2.113 | 6 | 213 |
| 9 | 2.040 | 2.040 | 12 | 043* | 14 | 1.998 |  | 1.997 | 10 | 134 |
|  |  | 1.991 | 6 | 231 | 29 | 1.975 |  | 1.976 | 23 | 223* |
| 30 | 1.983 | 1.983 | 29 | 134* | 10 | 1.944 |  | 1.945 | 6 | 204 |
|  |  | 1.967 | 7 | 223 | 26 | 1.908 | \{ | 1.907 | 14 | 143* |
|  |  | 1.932 | 8 | 204 | 26 |  | $\{$ | 1.906 | 8 | 214 |
| 24 | 1.925 | 1.932 | 8 | 125 |  |  | \% | 1.803 | 8 | 224 |
|  |  | 1.923 | 8 | 006 | 18 | 1.800 |  | 1.793 | 5 | 116 |
|  |  | 1.899 | 5 | 143 |  |  | C | 1.787 | 6 | 151 |
| 19 | 1.895 | 1.899 | 5 | 051 | 17 | 1.778 |  | 1.777 | 8 | 135 |
|  |  | 1.895 | 10 | 214 |  |  |  | 1.769 | 5 | 240 |
|  |  | 1.793 | 10 | 224 | 4 | 1.750 |  | 1.740 | 3 | 205 |
|  |  | 1.789 | 8 | 233 | 6 | 1.723 |  | 1.728 | 2 | 053 |
| 33 | 1.785 | 1.786 | 10 | 026 |  |  | \} | 1.727 | 2 | 152 |
|  |  | 1.784 | 8 | 151 | 8 | 1.712 | $\{$ | 1.718 | 3 | 301 |
|  |  | 1.773 | 22 | 116 | 10 | 1.712 | q | 1.707 | 4 | 126 |
|  |  | 1.767 | 5 | 240 | 10 | 1.692 |  | 1.693 | 8 | 242 |
| 20 | 1.722 | 1.723 | 15 | 152* | 6 | 1.665 | $\{$ | 1.666 | 5 | 036 |
|  |  | 1.722 | 3 | 053 |  |  | \} | 1.664 | 3 | 234 |
|  | 1.689 | 1.690 | 7 | 242* | 20 | 1.635 | $\{$ | 1.637 | 7 | 225* |
| 16 |  | 1.689 | 7 | 311* | 20 | 1.635 | \} | 1.634 | 7 | 320* |
|  |  | 1.689 | 5 | 126 | 4 | 1.607 | \{ | 1.611 | 2 | 243 |
|  |  | 1.635 | 9 | 153 |  |  | \{ | 1.606 | 2 | 060 |
| 19 | 1.630 | 1.632 | 4 | 320 |  |  |  | 1.591 | 2 | 061 |
|  |  | 1.625 | 8 | 225 | 7 | 1.589 |  | 1.587 | 2 | 136 |
|  | 1.605 | 1.606 | 6 | 243* |  |  | ( | 1.587 | 3 | 303 |
| 17 |  | 1.604 | 6 | 060* | 19 | 1.568 |  | 1.569 | 15 | 117* |
|  |  | 1.561 | 5 | 313 | 7 | 1.548 |  | 1.549 | 5 | 062 |
| 10 | 1.550 | 1.551 | 6 | 117 |  |  | f | 1.537 | 9 | 154 |
|  |  | 1.546 | 5 | 062 | 20 | 1.531 |  | 1.535 | 5 | 160 |
|  |  | 1.533 | 3 | 160 |  |  |  | 1.530 | 4 | 235 |
| 27 | 1.527 |  |  |  |  |  | ( | 1.528 | 8 | 330 |
|  |  | 1.527 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} 154 \\ 216^{*} \end{gathered}$ | 8 | 1.513 |  | 1.515 | 4 | 046 |
|  |  | 1.526 | 8 | 330* |  |  | f | 1.485 | 5 | 063 |
|  |  | 1.513 | 3 | 331 | 17 | 1.482 | $\{$ | 1.485 | 5 | 162 |
| 26 | 1.506 | 1.507 | 17 | 244* |  |  | ( | 1.478 | 6 | 332 |
|  |  | 1.503 | 5 | 323 | 4 | 1.443 |  | 1.445 | 2 | 018 |
|  |  | 1.502 | 5 | 046 |  |  | f | 1.430 | 7 | 155* |
|  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 1.426 |  | 1.426 | 3 | 324 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.425 | 2 | 137 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.423 | 4 | 333 |

Table 1.-Continued

| Iobs | $d_{\text {obs }}$ | $d_{\text {calc }}$ | $I_{\text {calc }}$ | hkl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1.405 | 1.411 | 2 | 245 |
|  |  | 1.404 | 1 | 236 |
|  |  | 1.399 | 4 | 341 |
| 10 | 1.394 | 1.392 | 4 | 118 |
|  |  | 1.391 | 3 | 217 |
| 4 | 1.375 | 1.380 | 3 | 315 |
|  |  | 1.370 | 2 | 056 |
| 12 | 1.357 | 1.358 | 8 | 261* |
|  |  | 1.354 | 3 | 334 |
| 5 | 1.324 | 1.325 | 4 | 343 |
| 17 | 1.288 | 1.290 | 5 | 263 |
|  |  | 1.288 | 3 | 237 |
|  |  | 1.285 | 4 | 316 |
| 7 | 1.269 | 1.272 | 5 | 402 |
| 5 | 1.260 | 1.263 | 1 | 218 |
|  |  | 1.260 | 1 | 173 |
| 8 | 1.249 | 1.250 | 4 | 421 |

Notes: $I_{\text {obs }}$ based upon peak heights. $I_{\text {calc }}$ calculated from the crystal structure using Powder Cell (Kraus and Nolze 1996). $d_{\text {calc }}$ based on the cell refined from the powder data ( ${ }^{*}$ ) using UnitCell (Holland and Redfern 1997). Refined cell for timroseite: $a=5.2054(5), b=9.626(1)$, $c=11.538(2) \AA$, and $V=578.1(1) \AA^{3}$. Refined cell for paratimroseite: $a=5.2109(7), b=9.638(1), c=11.689(2) \AA$, and $V=587.0(1) \AA^{3}$.
all four Pb sites refined independently, $R_{1}$ improved to 0.029 . The combined occupancies of each paired site ( $\mathrm{Pb} 1=0.94$ and $\mathrm{Pb} 1 \mathrm{a}=0.09 ; \mathrm{Pb} 2=0.84$ and $\mathrm{Pb} 2 \mathrm{a}=0.17$ ) is close to 1 , clearly supporting the modeling of the residuals as alternate Pb sites. In the final refinement, the $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{Pb} 1 \mathrm{a}$ and $\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{Pb} 2 \mathrm{a}$ distances were 0.40 and $0.54 \AA$, respectively.

The location of all non-hydrogen atoms in the paratimroseite structure was also straightforward. With anisotropic displacement parameters assigned to all atoms, the structure refined to $R_{1}=0.038$ for 842 reflections with $F_{\mathrm{o}}>4 \sigma F$; however, the anisotropic displacement parameters for two O atoms, O 2 and O3, went slightly non-positive definite. In the final refinement, O 2 and O 3 were assigned isotropic displacement parameters yielding $R_{1}=0.039$. The very small size of the crystal used in the data collection resulted in weak intensities for higher-angle reflections, contributing to the relatively high $R_{\text {int }}(0.1114)$ and probably also to the problems in refining the anisotropic displacement parameters for O 2 and O 3 . The Flack parameter, 0.02(2), indicated the lack of merohedral twinning.

Bond-valence calculations for timroseite indicate that two O atoms (designated OH 1 and OH 2 ) are hydroxyl groups. Bondvalence calculations for paratimroseite indicate that one O atom (designated OW) is a water molecule; however, the quality of

TABLE 2. Data collection and structure refinement details for timroseite and parartimroseite

|  | Timroseite | Paratimroseite |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diffractometer | Rigaku R-Axis Spider |  |
| X-ray radiation/power |  |  |
| Temperature | 298(2) K | 298(2) K |
| Structural formula | $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{5}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{Te}^{6+} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{OH})$ | $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{4}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{Te}^{6+} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ |
| Space group | P2 ${ }_{1} \mathrm{~nm}$ | $P 2{ }_{1}{ }_{1} 2_{1}$ |
| Unit-cell dimensions | $a=5.1999(2) \AA$ | $a=5.1943(4) \AA$ |
|  | $b=9.6225(4) \AA$ | $b=9.6198(10) \AA$ |
|  | $c=11.5340(5) \AA$ | $c=11.6745(11) \AA$ |
| $Z$ | 2 | 2 |
| Volume | 577.13(4) $\AA^{3}$ | 583.35(9) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Density (for above formula) | $6.982 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | $6.557 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $43.137 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ | $40.901 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1054 | 1000 |
| Crystal size ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | $50 \times 33 \times 25$ | $45 \times 25 \times 6$ |
| $\theta$ range | 4.12 to $26.36^{\circ}$ | 3.49 to $24.70^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-6 \leq h \leq 6$ | $-5 \leq h \leq 6$ |
|  | $-12 \leq k \leq 12$ | $-11 \leq k \leq 11$ |
|  | $-14 \leq I \leq 14$ | $-13 \leq 1 \leq 13$ |
| Reflections collected/unique | 12601/1227 | 4431/884 |
|  | [ $R_{\text {int }}=0.0766$ ] | $\left.{ }^{\text {int }}=0.1114\right]$ |
| Reflections with $F_{0}>4 \sigma F$ | 1181 | 842 |
| Completeness to max. $\theta$ | 99.1\% | 99.8\% |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.4119 and 0.2216 | 0.7914 and 0.2605 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix lea | -squares on $F^{2}$ |
| Parameters refined | 128 | 91 |
| GoF | 1.064 | 0.974 |
| Final $R$ indices [ $F_{0}>4 \sigma F$ ] | $R_{1}=0.029,$ | $R_{1}=0.039,$ |
|  | $w R_{2}=0.064$ $R_{1}=0.030$ | $w R_{2}=0.073$ $R_{1}=0.050$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.030 \\ w R_{2}=0.064 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.050 \\ w R_{2}=0.078 \end{gathered}$ |
| Largest diff. peak / hole | $1.930 /-1.372 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$ | $1.708 /-2.210 \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{A}^{3}$ |

Notes: $R_{\text {int }}=\Sigma \mid F_{0}^{2}-F_{0}^{2}($ mean $)\left|/ \Sigma\left[F_{0}^{2}\right] . G o F=S=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{0}^{2}-F_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] /(n-p)\right\}^{1 / 2} \cdot R_{1}=\Sigma\right|\left|F_{0}\right|$ $-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right| / \Sigma\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right| \cdot w R_{2}=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{o}^{2}-F_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2} \cdot w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(a P)^{2}+b P\right]$ where $P$ is $\left[2 F_{c}^{2}+\operatorname{Max}\left(F_{0}^{2}, 0\right)\right] / 3$; for timroseite $a$ is 0.0333 and $b$ is 3.4705 ; for paratimroseite $a$ is 0 and $b$ is 0 .
the data did not allow the unambiguous determination of the H atom sites for either structure. Hydrogen bonds were assigned on the basis of bond valence, bond geometry, and bond distance. In timroseite, OH 1 is situated $2.87 \AA$ from two O 6 atoms along edges of two separate $\mathrm{CulO}_{6}$ octahedra. The H atom is probably located between the two O 6 atoms, forming a bifurcated hydrogen bond. The OH 2 is $3.02 \AA$ from two O 6 atoms and probably forms a hydrogen bond to one O6 or the other (or effectively a half bond to each). In paratimroseite, OW forms two likely hydrogen bonds to O1 and O6.

The details of the data collections and the final refinements for both structures are provided in Table 2. The final atomic coordinates and displacement parameters for timroseite are in

Table 3. Atomic coordinates and displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ ) for timroseite

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}$ | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{23}$ | $U_{13}$ | $U_{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pb1* | 0.1230(4) | 0.0356(5) | 0.0 | 0.0229(7) | 0.0198(11) | $0.0213(13)$ | 0.0277(6) | 0 | 0 | 0.0041 (7) |
| Pb1a* | 0.125(9) | 0.077(8) | 0.0 | 0.060(11) | 0.11(3) | 0.037(19) | 0.035(8) | 0 | 0 | 0.032(13) |
| Pb2* | 0.3820(7) | $0.38682(17)$ | 0.5 | $0.0208(11)$ | 0.0170(17) | $0.0178(13)$ | 0.0277(7) | 0 | 0 | 0.0013(6) |
| Pb2a* | 0.279(13) | 0.396(3) | 0.5 | 0.062(7) | 0.07(2) | 0.075(8) | 0.045(5) | 0 | 0 | -0.018(8) |
| Te | 0.2005(4) | 0.74917 (7) | 0.24990 (7) | 0.0122(2) | 0.0109(3) | 0.0092(4) | 0.0165(4) | 0.0003(3) | 0.0001(3) | -0.0004(2) |
| Cu1 | 0.6694(4) | 0.89920(14) | 0.18488(13) | 0.0146(4) | 0.0131(9) | 0.0093(7) | 0.0214(8) | -0.0021(5) | 0.0017(6) | 0.0003(6) |
| Cu2 | 0.7204(4) | 0.59878(15) | $0.32206(14)$ | 0.0155(4) | 0.0136(7) | 0.0108(7) | 0.0220(9) | -0.0022(6) | 0.0036(6) | -0.0026(7) |
| Cu3 | 0.1304(7) | 0.7144(2) | 0.5 | 0.0222(5) | $0.0194(11)$ | 0.0267(11) | 0.0204(11) | 0 | 0 | 0.0026(10) |
| 01 | $0.3817(19)$ | 0.6747(8) | 0.3817(7) | 0.0160(17) | 0.006(4) | 0.021(4) | 0.021(4) | 0.001(3) | 0.004(4) | 0.009(4) |
| O2 | 0.0013(18) | 0.8259(9) | $0.1236(7)$ | 0.0143(17) | 0.015(4) | 0.014(4) | 0.014(4) | 0.006(4) | -0.005(4) | -0.005(3) |
| 03 | $0.3533(19)$ | 0.9309(7) | 0.2788(8) | 0.0189(19) | 0.021(5) | 0.010(4) | 0.025(5) | -0.002(3) | -0.005(5) | -0.004(4) |
| 04 | 0.9192(17) | 0.7716(8) | $0.3612(8)$ | 0.0135(18) | 0.010(4) | 0.014(4) | 0.016(4) | 0.002(3) | -0.003(4) | -0.006(4) |
| O5 | 0.0365(18) | 0.5718(8) | 0.2178(8) | 0.0160(19) | 0.011(4) | 0.006(4) | 0.031(5) | -0.001(4) | 0.008(4) | 0.005(3) |
| O6 | 0.5044(19) | 0.7173(9) | 0.1587(8) | 0.018(2) | 0.022(5) | 0.005(4) | 0.026(5) | -0.009(4) | 0.007(4) | -0.005(3) |
| OH 1 | 0.530(3) | 0.9473(14) | 0.0 | 0.021(3) | 0.017(6) | 0.023(7) | 0.023(7) | 0 | 0 | 0.002(6) |
| OH 2 | 0.798(3) | 0.5066(15) | 0.5 | 0.024(3) | 0.010(6) | 0.040(8) | 0.022(7) | 0 | 0 | 0.003(6) |

*Refined occupancies: $\mathrm{Pb} 1=0.94(3), \mathrm{Pb} 1 \mathrm{a}=0.09(3), \mathrm{Pb} 2=0.84(3), \mathrm{Pb} 2 \mathrm{a}=0.17(3)$.

Table 3 and those for paratimroseite are in Table 4. Selected interatomic distances for both structures are listed and compared in Table 5 and bond valences in Table 6. CIF and structure factors available on deposit ${ }^{1}$.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE STRUCTURES

The unit-cell dimensions for timroseite and paratimroseite are so similar that based solely on those dimensions and the qualitative chemistry one might readily conclude that the two minerals are identical; however, the powder-diffraction data clearly show the minerals to be different, as do the space groups and quantitative chemical analyses. Not surprisingly, the crystal structures of the two minerals are very closely related (Fig. 6).

Both structures are based upon edge- and corner-sharing linkages of $\mathrm{Te}^{6+} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ octahedra and $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ square pyramids, with Pb atoms occupying the space between and forming further linkages via $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds. The $\mathrm{TeO}_{6}$ octahedra are reasonably regular in both structures with $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{O}$ distances from 1.924 to $1.958 \AA$ in timroseite and from 1.892 to $1.959 \AA$ in paratimroseite and angles from 83.6 to $96.7^{\circ}$ in timroseite and from 82.4 to $98.3^{\circ}$ in paratimroseite. The Cu polyhedra exhibit typical Jahn-Teller $4+2$ and $4+1$ distortions with four short equatorial $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds and one or two long apical $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds (Table 4).

In timroseite, the Pb 1 and Pb 2 sites are 12- and 11-fold coordinated, respectively. The alternate Pb sites, Pb 1 a and Pb 2 a , are coordinated by the same O atoms and most likely reflect a shifting of the Pb atoms within their coordination spheres (see Table 5 and Fig. 7). For the bond-valence analysis in Table 6, Pb 1 and Pb 2 sites are considered fully occupied and the Pb 1 a and Pb 2 a sites are ignored; however, it is worth noting that the Pb 1 a and Pb 2 a sites receive bond-valence sums of 1.80 and 1.88 , as compared to 1.98 and 2.04 for the the Pb 1 and Pb 2 sites. In paratimroseite, the Pb atom is 12 -fold coordinated (see Table 5 and Fig. 7). All of the Pb coordinations in timroseite and paratimroseite are lopsided as is typical for $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ with stereoactive $6 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ lone electron pairs (e.g., Moore 1988; Cooper and Hawthorne 1994; Kharisun et al. 1997; Mills et al. 2009a). In fact, the Pb atoms in the structures of all seven recently discovered new minerals from Otto Mountain exhibit this feature.

The $\mathrm{Te}, \mathrm{Cu} 1$, and Cu 2 polyhedra in the two structures form edge- and corner-sharing sheets parallel to (001) and these sheets
${ }^{1}$ Deposit item AM-10-047, CIF and structure factors. Deposit items are available two ways: For a paper copy contact the Business Office of the Mineralogical Society of America (see inside front cover of recent issue) for price information. For an electronic copy visit the MSA web site at http://www.minsocam.org, go to the American Mineralogist Contents, find the table of contents for the specific volume/issue wanted, and then click on the deposit link there.
are identical in topology and virtually identical in geometry. The only significant difference between the sheets is that in timroseite Cu 1 is in sixfold coordination and in paratimroseite Cu 1 is in fivefold coordination. This difference also relates to the linkage between the sheets in the two structures. Successive sheets in

TABLE 5. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ) for timroseite and paratimroseite

| Timroseite |  |  | Paratimroseite |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{OH} 1$ | 2.280(14) | 2.45(5)* | $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 2.348(13) |
| Pb1-O2(×2) | 2.550(9) | 2.88(7) | $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O} 6$ | 2.419(14) |
| Pb1-O4( $\times 2$ ) | 2.894(10) | 2.65(7) | $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O} 5$ | 2.546(13) |
| Pb1-O3(×2) | 2.929(9) | 2.92(2) | Pb-OW | 2.714(17) |
| $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{OH} 1$ | 3.199(14) | 3.34(6) | $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O} 4$ | 2.737(15) |
| Pb1-O1( $\times 2$ ) | 3.347(9) | 3.03(6) | $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O} 3$ | 2.962(13) |
| Pb1-O3( $\times 2$ ) | 3.577(9) | 3.71 (3) | $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 3.055(15) |
| <Pb1-O> | 3.006 | 3.01 | Pb-OW | 3.090(17) |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O} 5$ | 3.124(14) |
| $\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{OH} 2$ | 2.452(15) | 2.90(6)* | $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O} 4$ | 3.578(15) |
| Pb2-O2( $\times 2$ ) | 2.571(9) | 2.81(5) | $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O} 6$ | $3.662(15)$ |
| Pb2-O5(×2) | 2.668(10) | 2.86(3) | $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 3.799(13) |
| Pb2-06(×2) | 2.865(10) | 2.56(3) | <Pb-O> | 3.003 |
| Pb2-01( $\times 2$ ) | 3.088(8) | 3.06(2) |  |  |


| $\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{OH} 2$ | $3.247(14)$ | $2.72(7)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{OH} 1$ | $3.700(14)$ | $3.55(2)$ |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Te-O6 | $1.924(10)$ | Te-O5 | $1.892(13)$ |
| Te-O1 | $1.927(9)$ | Te-O2 | $1.920(13)$ |
| Te-O2 | $1.934(8)$ | Te-O4 | $1.920(13)$ |
| Te-O5 | $1.943(9)$ | Te-O1 | $1.929(14)$ |
| Te-O3 | $1.949(8)$ | Te-O3 | $1.932(13)$ |
| Te-O4 | $1.958(9)$ | Te-O6 | $1.959(13)$ |
| <Te-O> | 1.938 | <Te-O> | 1.925 |


| <Te-O> | 1.938 | <Te-O> | 1.925 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cu1-O3 | $1.940(8)$ | Cu1-O3 | $1.919(14)$ |


| Cu1-O6 | $1.972(8)$ | Cu1-O2 | $1.967(14)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cu1-O3 | $1.992(10)$ | Cu1-O3 | $1.995(14)$ |


| Cu1-O2 | $1.994(10)$ | Cu1-O6 | $2.000(15)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Cu1-OH1 | $2.300(5)$ | Cu1-O1 | $2.423(15)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cu1-O4 | $2.707(8)$ |  |  |
|  | 1.975 | Cu1-0 |  |


| <Cu1-O ${ }_{\text {eq }}>$ | 1.975 | $<\mathrm{Cu1}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {eq }}>$ | 1.970 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <Cu1-O $\mathrm{O}_{\text {ap }}>$ | 2.504 | $<\mathrm{Cu1}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ap}}>$ | 2.423 |


| Cu2-O5 | 1.954(8) | Cu2-04 | 1.999(14) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cu2-04 | 2.010(8) | Cu2-01 | 2.001(15) |
| Cu2-01 | 2.027(9) | Cu2-05 | 2.018(13) |
| Cu2-O5 | 2.053(9) | Cu2-04 | 2.034(14) |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{OH} 2$ | 2.272(6) | Cu2-OW | 2.418(13) |
| Cu2-O6 | 2.473(10) | Cu2-O2 | 2.539(13) |
| <Cu2- ${ }_{\text {eq }}$ > | 2.011 | <Cu2-O ${ }_{\text {eq }}$ > | 2.013 |
| <Cu2- $\mathrm{O}_{\text {ap }}$ > | 2.373 | <Cu2-Oap ${ }_{\text {a }}$ > | 2.479 |

Cu3-O1 $\times 2$ 2) 1.927(9)
Cu3-O4(×2) 2.019(9)
Cu3-OH2 2.643(15)
<Cu3-O ${ }_{\text {eq }}>\quad 1.973$
<Cu3-O $\mathrm{O}_{\text {ap }}>\quad 2.643$

| Hydrogen bonds |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OH1-O6 | $2.875(14)$ | OW-O6 | $2.692(19)$ |
| OH2-O6 | $3.024(13)$ | OW-O1 | $2.693(19)$ |

* Second listed $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O}$ distances for timroseite correspond to Pb 1 a and Pb 2 a sites.

Table 4. Atomic coordinates and displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ for paratimroseite

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}$ | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{23}$ | $U_{13}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pb | $0.48658(16)$ | $0.37646(9)$ | $0.71849(6)$ | $0.0199(2)$ | $0.0182(4)$ | $0.0224(5)$ | $0.0192(4)$ | $0.0041(4)$ | $0.0004(4)$ |
| Te | $0.8986(2)$ | $0.49499(15)$ | $0.49034(11)$ | $0.0124(3)$ | $0.0100(6)$ | $0.0117(7)$ | $0.0155(7)$ | $-0.0003(6)$ | $-0.0003(5)$ |
| Cu1 | $0.3802(4)$ | $0.6442(3)$ | $0.5457(2)$ | $0.0148(6)$ | $0.0090(12)$ | $0.0157(15)$ | $0.0196(14)$ | $0.0012(11)$ | $-0.0011(9)$ |
| Cu2 | $0.4205(4)$ | $0.3513(3)$ | $0.4222(2)$ | $0.0145(6)$ | $0.0090(13)$ | $0.0159(15)$ | $0.0184(14)$ | $0.0005(11)$ | $-0.0015(10)$ |
| $-0.0012(12)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| O1 | $0.608(3)$ | $0.5291(15)$ | $0.3914(11)$ | $0.016(3)$ | $0.018(8)$ | $0.015(8)$ | $0.016(8)$ | $0.002(6)$ | $-0.012(6)$ |
| O2 | $0.195(3)$ | $0.4730(15)$ | $0.5870(11)$ | $0.012(3)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| O3 | $0.544(3)$ | $0.8222(14)$ | $0.5322(11)$ | $0.023(4)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| O4 | $0.741(3)$ | $0.3179(14)$ | $0.5188(12)$ | $0.022(4)$ | $0.018(8)$ | $0.007(8)$ | $0.041(10)$ | $0.005(7)$ | $0.003(7)$ |
| O5 | $0.415(3)$ | $0.5715(15)$ | $0.8624(11)$ | $0.017(4)$ | $0.007(8)$ | $0.032(10)$ | $0.012(8)$ | $-0.003(6)$ | $0.000(5)$ |
| O6 | $0.696(3)$ | $0.5660(16)$ | $0.6193(11)$ | $0.019(4)$ | $0.018(8)$ | $0.028(10)$ | $0.011(8)$ | $-0.002(6)$ | $0.000(7)$ |
| OW | $0.473(3)$ | $0.7477(14)$ | $0.2604(10)$ | $0.024(4)$ | $0.043(10)$ | $0.008(8)$ | $0.020(8)$ | $-0.005(6)$ | $0.008(7)$ |



Figure 7. Coordinations of Pb atoms in timroseite (left) and paratimroseite (right). For timroseite, the Pb sites (1, 1a, 2, and 2a) and the OH sites are situated on a 001 mirror plane; other $O$ sites are above and below the mirror. Bond lengths are given in angstroms.

TABLE 6. Bond-valence analyses for timroseite (top) and paratimroseite (bottom); values are expressed in valence units

|  | 01 | O2 | O3 | 04 | O5 | 06 | OH1 | OH 2 | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Te | 0.973 | 0.955 | 0.917 | 0.895 | 0.932 | 1.003 |  |  | 5.675 |
| Cu1 |  | 0.427 | 0.494, 0.429 | 0.062 |  | 0.453 | $0.187 \times 2 \downarrow$ |  | 2.052 |
| Cu2 | 0.390 |  |  | 0.409 | 0.476, 0.364 | 0.117 |  | $0.201 \times 2 \downarrow$ | 1.957 |
| Cu3 | $0.512 \times 2 \rightarrow$ |  |  | $0.399 \times 2 \rightarrow$ |  |  |  | 0.074 | 1.896 |
| Pb1 | $0.059 \times 2 \rightarrow$ | $0.302 \times 2 \rightarrow$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.139 \times 2 \rightarrow \\ & 0.037 \times 2 \rightarrow \end{aligned}$ | $0.150 \times 2 \rightarrow$ |  |  | 0.524, 0.080 |  | 1.978 |
| Pb2 | $0.101 \times 2 \rightarrow$ | $0.289 \times 2 \rightarrow$ |  |  | $0.237 \times 2 \rightarrow$ | $0.159 \times 2 \rightarrow$ | 0.029 | 0.369, 0.073 | 2.042 |
| H1 |  |  |  |  |  | $0.160 \times 1 / 2 \downarrow$ | 0.840 |  | 1.000 |
| H2 |  |  |  |  |  | $0.126 \times 1 / 2 \downarrow$ |  | 0.874 |  |
| Sum | 2.035 | 1.973 | 2.016 | 1.915 | 2.009 | 1.874 | 1.847 | 1.792 |  |
|  | 01 | O 2 | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 | OW | Sum |  |
| Te | 0.968 | 0.992 | 0.960 | 0.992 | 1.070 | 0.893 |  | 5.875 |  |
| Cu1 | 0.134 | 0.459 | 0.523, 0.426 |  |  | 0.420 |  | 1.962 |  |
| Pb | 0.108, 0.024 | 0.456 | 0.130 | 0.206, 0.037 | 0.304, 0.094 | 0.394, 0.031 | 0.216, 0.100 | 2.100 |  |
| H1 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.231 | 0.769 | 1.000 |  |
| H2 | 0.230 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.770 | 1.000 |  |
| Sum | 1.883 | 2.005 | 2.039 | 2.039 | 1.868 | 1.969 | 1.991 |  |  |

Notes: Multiplicity is indicated by $\times \rightarrow \downarrow$; $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}-\mathrm{O}$ bond strengths from Krivovichev and Brown (2001); $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Te}^{6+-} \mathrm{O}$ bond strengths from Brown and Altermatt (1985); hydrogen-bond strengths based on O-O distances from Ferraris and Ivaldi (1988); for timroseite, calculations are based on fully occupied Pb sites and satellite Pb sites are not considered.
timroseite are positioned such that peripheral apical O atoms ( OH 1 ) of the Cu 1 octahedra in adjacent sheets are shared, as are peripheral apical O atoms $(\mathrm{OH} 2)$ of the Cu 2 octahedra. In timroseite, an additional Cu atom ( Cu 3 ) with square pyramidal coordination also participates in the inter-sheet linkage by sharing its apical O atom with two Cu 2 atoms in different sheets and sharing trans equatorial edges with two Te octahedra in different sheets. The addition of the Cu 3 square pyramid thereby links the sheets into a framework.

In paratimroseite, the edge- and corner-sharing sheets of Te , Cu , and Cu 2 polyhedra parallel to $(001)$ are shifted such that the peripheral apical O atoms ( $\mathrm{OW} \mathrm{)} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{Cu2} \mathrm{octahedra} \mathrm{in}$ successive sheets are no longer shared and the Cu 1 polyhedron no longer has a peripheral apical O atom, resulting in its square pyramidal coordination. The shifting of the sheets also destroys the local bonding environment required for incorporation of the additional Cu atom $(\mathrm{Cu} 3)$ in the structure. The sheets in paratimroseite are joined only via $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O}$ and H bonds, thus accounting for the good $\{001\}$ cleavage, which is not observed in timroseite. The lack of strong polyhedral linkages between the
sheets in paratimroseite makes it more surprising that its $c$ cell dimension is so similar to that of timroseite.

The minerals most closely allied chemically with timroseite and paratimroseite are housleyite, $\mathrm{Pb}_{6} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+} \mathrm{Te}_{4}^{6+} \mathrm{O}_{18}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ (Kampf et al. 2010c), and khinite (khinite- $4 O$ and khinite-3T), $\mathrm{PbCu}_{3}^{2+} \mathrm{Te}^{6+} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ (Burns et al. 1995; Cooper et al. 2008; Hawthorne et al. 2009), and all occur in close association at Otto Mountain. The structures of the khinite polytypes are also based upon edge- and corner-sharing sheets of Te and Cu octahedra; however, the sheets in khinite are very different topologically (and geometrically) from those in timroseite and paratimroseite. The structure of housleyite is completely different in that it possesses corner-sharing chains of $\mathrm{TeO}_{6}$ octahedra.
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