ORDERING OF TRANSITION METAL IONS IN OLIVINE

D. WALSH, GABRIELLE DONNAY AND J. D. H. DONNAY

Departments of Physics and of Geological Sciences, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec.

There appears in the literature a discrepancy between crystal-field predictions and the experimental occupancies of the two cation sites M(1) and M(2) by 3d metal ions in the olivine structure. In particular, the extensive amount of Ni-Mg ordering has been thought to be surprising in view of the slight ordering observed in some Fe-Mg olivines (Rajamani, Brown & Prewitt 1975). The purpose of this note is to show in a general way that the differences in the degree of ordering for Ni²⁺, Co²⁺ and Fe²⁺ olivines are in line with simple crystal-field theory.

The predicted crystal-field stabilization energy, $E_{\rm st}$, for Ni²⁺, Co²⁺ and Fe²⁺ in regular octa-hedral coordination is shown (Table 1, column 2) in terms of the parameter $Dq = \frac{Ze^2 < r^4 >}{r^5}$ (column 1), where e is the electronic charge, Zeis the effective charge of one of the six ligand ions considered as a point charge, and $\langle r^4 \rangle$ is defined as follows: $\langle r^n \rangle = \int_0^{\infty} f(r^2) r^{n+2} dr$, where f(r) is the radial wave function for the 3d ion (Walsh, Donnay & Donnay 1974); L_o is the M-O bond length of the regular octahedron. Column 1 shows the experimentally determined Dq values for hydrated crystals (Tanabe & Sugano 1954). Column 2 also shows the $E_{\rm st}$ values in cm⁻¹ derived from the data of column 1. The magnitudes of Dq (column 1) and consequently those of E_{st} (column 2) should, of course, be slightly different in the olivine structure: however, their relative values will

course, be slightly different in the olivine structure; however, their relative values will be closely similar. In addition, the 3-fold orbital degeneracy of the ground states of Co^{2+} and Fe^{2+} will be removed at M(1) and M(2), and this will result in additional stabilization energy. As has been shown for Fe^{2+} in the olivine structure (Walsh *et al.* 1974), the adjustments are sufficiently small so that their effect on the ordering can be ignored as a first approximation. The reason is that the additional stabilization energies at M(1) and M(2) are nearly equal. Since the volume ratio of M(1) to M(2) octahedra is almost identical for Ni^{2+} . Co^{2+} and Fe^{2+} olivines (Rajamani *et al.* 1975; Walsh *et al.* 1974; Ghose & Wan 1974), $\triangle E_{st} = E_{st}(1)$

5 2 3 4 1 ^{∆E}st Kd K_đ Dq Est (predicted) (exper.)^f (exper.)^a 680^b N1²⁺ 820cm⁻¹ 12Dq=9840cm⁻¹ 2.92^C ---Co²⁺ 1.97^d 1.5 348 840 6Dq=5040 1.06^e Fe²⁺ 1030 4Dq=4120 284 1.22

TABLE 1. CRYSTAL-FIELD AND ORDERING DATA FOR Ni, Co, AND Fe IN OCTAHEDRAL COORDINATION

^aTanabe & sugano (1954), ^bWalsh *et al.* (1974), ^CRajamani*et al.* (1975), ^dGhose & Wan (1974), ^eValue 1.06 is the average for ten olivines listed in Walsh *et al.* (1974, Table IIA), where Sample OL2 has been omitted since it is the only Fe²⁺ olivine showing slight ordering in M(2). ^f $x_d = M(1)/M(2)$ occupancy ratio.

 $E_{\rm st}(2)$, the difference in stabilization energy between M(1) and M(2) for Ni²⁺, Co²⁺ and Fe²⁺, is proportional to the corresponding $E_{\rm st}$ in cm⁻¹ (Table 1, column 2).

 $\Delta E_{\rm st}$ has been calculated (Walsh et al. 1974) for Ni²⁺ in olivine to be 680cm⁻¹. Using this value and that of E_{st} in cm⁻¹ (column 2), ΔE_{st} is estimated (column 3) for Co²⁺ and Fe²⁺. We define the 3*d*-ion distribution coefficient K_d to be the ratio of the 3*d*-ion occupancy at M(1) to that at M(2). Using the experimental data for Ni olivine (Rajamani et al. 1975), Ka is found to be 2.92. Employing this value and column 3, K_d for Co²⁺ and Fe²⁺ are estimated in column 4. Column 5 lists the K_d values obtained from Xray data for the three corresponding olivines, and the agreement with the predictions for Co²⁺ and Fe²⁺ in column 4 is reasonable. The agreement for Co²⁺ is the poorer of the two, which suggests that a more detailed calculation of the kind previously published (Walsh et al. 1974) should and will be carried out by us.

In summary, contrary to statements in the literature (Rajamani *et al.* 1975; Ghose & Wan 1974), Ni²⁺ is expected to be strongly ordered in M(1), Fe²⁺ slightly ordered in $M(1)^*$, and Co²⁺ ordered in M(1), the degree of ordering pre-

dicted for Co^{2+} being less than the experimental results.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by NRC (Canada) and Ministère de l'Education (Québec).

REFERENCES

- BURNS, R. G. (1970): Mineralogical Applications of Crystal Field Theory. Cambridge, Univ. Press.
- GHOSE, S. & WAN, C. (1974): Strong site preference of Co²⁺ in olivine Co_{1.10}Mg_{0.90}SiO₄. Contrib. Mineral. Petrology 47, 131-140.
- RAJAMANI, V., BROWN, G. E. & PREWITT, C. T. (1975): Cation ordering in Ni-Mg olivine. *Amer. Mineral.* 60, 292-299.
- TANABE, Y. & SUGANO, S. (1954): On the absorption spectra of complex ions. II. Phys. Soc. Jan. 9, 766-779.
- WALSH, D., DONNAY, G. & DONNAY, J. D. H. (1974): Jahn-Teller effects in ferro-magnesian minerals. Bull. Soc. fr. Minéral. Crist. 97, 170-183.
- Manuscript received December 1975.

^{*}The prediction of Burns (1970) that Fe^{2+} has a slight preference for the M(2) site is due to an error in his Fig. 5.3(a) and on p. 83, where for a total T_2 splitting of 1860 cm⁻¹ the additional stabilization energy at M(1) due to the deviation from cubic symmetry is incorrectly stated to be 620 cm⁻¹ instead of 1240 cm⁻¹.