## MSA PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS

# MSA at 100 and why optical mineralogy still matters: The optical properties of talc of

# MICKEY E. GUNTER<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Geological Sciences, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho 83844, U.S.A.

#### ABSTRACT

MSA's 100 years are memorialized herein with a combination of (1) events marking our centennial; (2) publications devoted to it; and (3) my series of six MSA president letters in *Elements* written specifically for this moment. In some of those letters, I stressed the importance of optical mineralogy and the role it played in areas outside of academics. As such, new optical data are presented herein on 20 well-characterized talc samples from other studies, as there appears to have been no thorough study of the optical properties of talc. Other than academic interest, these data will find use in the ongoing issue of the purported asbestos content of talc.

Keywords: MSA Centennial, optical mineralogy, talc, asbestos

## **MSA at 100: Introduction**

MSA celebrated its 100th anniversary in 2019. Planning for the event occupied MSA Council meetings for several years prior to the event, and we were fortunate that we could celebrate this occasion in person as Covid-19 hit shortly afterward. There were several events in which we came together as a Society to celebrate the MSA Centennial, and my goal here is to memorialize them in one place.

Heaney and Gunter (2019) reviewed past MSA celebrations and detailed current issues that MSA should address. Rakovan and Gunter (2019) had a slightly different twist on their article dealing with the Centennial as they discussed Alex Speer, MSA's executive director for 25 years, while pointing out he had been a member for 50 years; Alex stepped down as director in 2019. The photo in Figure 1b is of Alex and the new executive director Ann Benbow, taken at the 2019 MSA award luncheon at the annual GSA meeting in Phoenix.

Our feature event of the Centennial was the "MSA Centennial Symposium" with organizing co-chairs Peter Heaney and Steven Shirey. Proceedings of the two-day event can be found at: http://www.minsocam.org/msa/Centennial/MSA\_Centennial\_Symposium.html. The following is a summary of the event written by the organizers that appeared in *Elements* 15, p. 285.

On 20–21 June 2019, 160 mineral enthusiasts gathered in the newly renovated Carnegie Institution for Science (Washington, D.C., U.S.A.) building to celebrate the 100th anniversary of MSA through moderated presentations of exciting advances in the solid Earth sciences. The 14 themed colloquia were proposed by MSA members, and they beautifully illustrated the broad reach and profound impact of mineralogy today. The opening session on sustainability included sobering messages from Gordon Brown and Michael Hochella regarding the lasting legacy of open-pit mining in the western U.S.A. and the role of incidental nanomaterials in controlling contaminant dis-

Ross Angel and Lucie Tajcmanov offered perspectives on the future of metamorphic petrology by stressing that state-of-the-art characterization techniques require a commensurate understanding of the complex physics and chemistry that produce metamorphic textures. In their session on mineral analysis, Michael Wiedenbeck provided a historical overview of SIMS, while Simon Jackson impressed the audience with current capabilities in trace element mapping by LA-ICP-MS. Othmar Müntener and Roberta Rudnick next walked the audience through models of the formation of the lower and upper crust based on field studies and experimental petrology. Fabrizio Nestola and Graham Pearson took the audience even deeper through a review of the exotic inclusions and isotopic compositions that have been observed in diamonds. Kim Tait and Aaron Celestian closed Thursday's session with their perspectives on how mineral museums can thrive in the next century by aligning their missions through close collaborations with the research community.

Friday began with a COMPRES-sponsored overview of synchrotron-based studies in mineral physics. Przemysław Dera discussed surprising 5- and 6-coordination states for Si at high pressure, and Jin Zhang described anisotropy in omphacite as a means of detecting eclogite in the Earth's mantle. Elizabeth Rampe and Harry McSween next offered revelations into the early history of Mars through rover-based in situ rock analyses and characterization of the >100 meteorites that originated on Mars. In a session sponsored by Rob Lavinsky, Shaunna Morrison, and Simone Runyon challenged the audience to imagine the power unleashed by connecting the dots in the enormously large mineralogic and petrologic data sets that geologists have amassed over the last century. Alexandra Navrotsky closed the morning with an announcement of

persal in mine wastes. A related theme by David Singer and Michael Schindler emphasized the need to characterize soil horizons across multiple length scales, with evidence that processes at the nanoscale do not extrapolate simply from larger size regimes.

<sup>\*</sup> E-mail: mgunter@uidaho.edu



the next chapter in her multifaceted career as director of a new Materials of the Universe program at Arizona State University. Gilberto Artioli tugged us back in time to consider the earliest uses of minerals in ceramics from 18 000 BCE, and his talk was followed by Michael Tite's history of the earliest Pb-Sn oxide glazes that were innovated in the Middle East in counterthrust to Chinese porcelain. The Gemological Institute of America funded the following session, with Wuyi Wang describing the emergence of D. I Compared to the second s

**FIGURE 1.** Photographs to celebrate MSA's 100th. (**a**) The outgoing MSA executive director Alex Speer and incoming executive director Ann Benbow. (**b**) Greeting signs for the 2019 annual GSA meeting in Phoenix announcing MSA's 100th. (**c**) A memento given to MSA by GSA. (**d**) Former MSA presidents and my mentors, and (**e**) some of my former Ph.D. students. The photos in **d** and **e** are meant as a metaphor to show how we arrived at our career and how we help others to obtain theirs.

synthetic gem diamonds over the last 15 years and Mandy Krebs illustrating trace element and isotope approaches to provenance colored gems. Supported by C2/m Mineralogy, John Hughes and Jill Pasteris expounded on the essentiality of apatite as a pillar for both our civilization and our bodies, and Ann Wylie and Matthew Sanchez concluded the meeting with presentations on the real, and supposed, health hazards of mineral dusts.

The inspirational science was enhanced by a spectacular evening reception among the stunning gem and mineral exhibits in the Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History, highlighted by President Mickey Gunter's toast with specially embossed champagne glasses. The symposium proved that, despite the diversity of our interests, there is more that unites than divides us thanks to our common foundation in the minerals and rocks that support our existence.

The final events of our centennial were held at the annual GSA meeting in Phoenix. GSA was very helpful and accommodating to our centennial; they even surprised us by posting signs stating it was our 100th (Fig. 1b). They allowed us to have back-to-back sessions on Monday with a celebratory lunch between them. A highlight of that lunch was the presentation to MSA by the current president and executive director of GSA of a memento of this occasion (Fig. 1c). MSA also recognized Alex Speer for these 25 years of service as our Executive Director. The scientific portion of the all-day session was titled "Mineralogical Society of America (MSA) at 100: Reflections, refractions, diffractions, intrusions, subductions, reactions, etc. from MSA past presidents." Sixteen former presidents submitted abstracts to this session, and in a break from tradition, the current presidential address was also given. Abstracts for this session are available at: https:// gsa.confex.com/gsa/2019AM/meetingapp.cgi/Session/47772

and https://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2019AM/meetingapp.cgi/Session/48800 sites.

#### PRESIDENT LETTERS RE: MSA AT 100

What follows are slightly modified versions of my MSA president letters that appeared in Elements over the 100th year of the Society. These letters were written with the intent to form a portion of this paper and all directed to "MSA at 100" with different themes:

A look backward. It was indeed an honor for me to be the 100th MSA president as MSA turned 100; a time in which we celebrated our successful past and plan for a similar future. Figure 1d shows (from left to right) MSA presidents, numbers 100, 62 (Jerry Gibbs), 58 (Don Bloss), and 68 (Paul Ribbe). I was blessed to have had them as my mentors. Looking back, each of them also had at least one past MSA President as their graduate advisor. In what would seem like a strange diversion at this point-a story seems fitting. At the 2002 IMA meeting MSA President 83 (Rod Ewing) started to introduce me to MSA charter Executive Director (Alex Speer). I mentioned to Rod, who I had met in 1981, that I had met Alex two years sooner. Rod replied "our world is small, perhaps too small." Of course, this was intended as a joke, but could be taken negatively. However, this statement has stuck with me for years, and I have concluded it is the mentoring, learning, friendships, and all the other positive things in life that does result from this "small world," as essentially, we are a worldwide network of mineralogists who have very close ties. In fact, it came back again in this study as one of the talc samples was collected by Al Chidester; turns out he was Don Bloss' teaching assistant in optical mineralogy when Don was an undergrad at the University of Chicago pre-World War II. A corollary to this is the motto used by Google Scholar "stand on the shoulders of giants." I truly believe this is a reason our society has lasted 100 years, and if we, the members, continue the efforts put forth by those who have come before us, our Society can look forward to a bicentennial celebration in 2119; of course sans any of us reading this letter. (Above modified from Gunter 2018b.)

**Professional service.** It is easy to overlook professional service as part of our "job"; however, I would view it as one of the most important things we do. And although all of us might not teach or do research, we all should be performing professional service. My former department chair once told me that for every paper I submit, I should review two to three—this made sense as I knew my papers would be reviewed by two or three other scientists. My largest service effort was to co-organize the 2005 Goldschmidt Meeting in Moscow, Idaho. Although it might be easy to determine how many papers we should review, I think it is harder to determine how many international meetings we should organize! Regardless, meetings do not organize themselves, nor do professional societies run themselves, nor do journals publish themselves; these all depend largely on the volunteer efforts of members or dedicated staff.

A major part of MSA's organization consists of 31 committees with 148 individuals filling them. So, for a society of roughly 2100 members, one can see that at any one time, 7% of us are involved in the operation of the society. Most of these committees are filled on a three-year term, so much of the "memory" in our system resides in our Executive Director of going on 25 years (yes, 25% of MSA's life!), Alex Speer. As many of you know, Alex will be retiring at the end of 2019, and I have the pleasure to announce Alex's successor—Dr. Ann Benbow. Ann comes to us most recently as the Executive Director of the Archaeological Institute of America, and before that, she had spent over a decade as Director of Geoscience Education and Public Understanding as well as the Director of Education, Outreach, and Development at the American Geosciences Institute. Her official start date is March 1, 2019 so there will be a 10 month overlap with Alex.

I have always viewed service as the most important role of an academic, or as I stated at the outset, all of us, as we give our most important commodity-our time. MSA clearly is a society run by dedicated volunteers, and I would like to take this opportunity to thank all of them and encourage all of you to become more involved with MSA. Finally, along with giving time, giving money is also a good thing! As such, my major goal as president will be to establish a centennial fund so MSA can fully fund our outreach activities (i.e., the lecture program, Mineralogy-4-Kids, MSA-talk, etc.). Much of this will be done in collaboration with our incoming executive director as Ann has had considerable experience in this area-so stay tuned for more on that. And really finally just in case you are wondering how often you should serve as president of MSA, based on our current membership, it would be once in 2100 years! (Above modified from Gunter 2019a.)

**Teaching mineralogy.** This president's letter may seem more fitting for a Triple Point column as an opinion piece, but based upon a career of teaching and learning basic and applied mineralogy, I think it is a subject we all need to consider regardless of our professional status. I stated that I thought it was important to "return the pure study of mineralogy back to geological sciences curricula" in my write-up when I ran for vice president and president. Many of the more senior readers of this article will recall when mineralogy was taught as a year-long course, often with mineralogy the first semester followed by optical mineralogy. Not to leave out the petrologists, as petrology used to be a full-year course as well. And now, many schools have condensed two years' worth of courses into one half year, while our knowledge in these areas have only grown!

Perhaps we are a victim of our own success, as over the last 100 years our science has matured to the level where, although there are still unanswered questions, we do have a deep understanding of our field. For instance, look no further than the Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry series, where there are entire volumes dedicated to mineral groups from A to Z (i.e., amphiboles to zeolites). We have also been able to design entire families of instruments that allow us to accurately and precisely determine the composition of minerals and their internal structure at several scales, as well as send a miniaturized version of one of those to Mars and to understand the theory of how these instruments work. So, it might seem to many there is less of a need for a year-long course in mineralogy because we have made all of these accomplishments in the last 100 years. But they could not be further from the truth, as a basic understanding of mineralogy underlies most of the geosciences. In fact, this might also explain why "pure" mineralogy is being taught less, as there are so many non-mineralogists who can teach mineralogy more as a means to an end rather than an end in itself. In fact, one of my petrology

colleagues used to joke that my mineralogy course should be taught as an introduction to petrology course and named likewise as it was required for his petrology course.

Specifically, let us take optical mineralogy and use of the polarized light microscope as an example, although most certainly we could pick other areas such as crystallography (Gunter 2004). A few years back, I conducted a non-scientific survey on MSA-Talk. Two-thirds of those who responded stated their institution used to teach a semester-long course in optical mineralogy, while only one-third do now. Although there might be many reasons (e.g., inclusions of other courses, courses on more "modern" instrumentation, reduction in credits hours for the major, etc.), the end result is fewer students learn this much-needed basic skill. And to "prove" it is much-needed, look no further than the private sector where several companies teach week-long courses in polarized light microscopy; the cost of these courses is approximately \$2000. Of course, there are many other reasons to teach optical mineralogy, and the use of the polarized light microscope is often pointed out in lively discussions on MSA-Talk.

Although there are new things to learn over the next 100 years, there most certainly needs to be a return to teaching more of the fundamental principles of mineralogy that have been removed from our curriculum, and I only need to point to the example of optical mineralogy. MSA most certainly has teaching resources to help accomplish this. But as we teach those new things, let us not forget the old things that are the foundations for building the new things! (Above modified from Gunter 2019b.)

**Mineralogy research.** The orientational dependence of the physical properties of minerals is one of the answers I give when someone asks about my research interests. The main physical properties that interest me are the refractive indices, and I was fortunate enough to study how they change as a function of composition and structure for andalusite. This resulted in my first paper in *American Mineralogist* back in 1982, and also showed how an orthorhombic mineral could be optically isotropic (Gunter and Bloss 1982). This paper contrasted with the first paper published in the American Mineralogist in 1916: "The occurrence of lamellar calcite in Rhode Island"; although they could measure optical properties, composition was done with wet-chemical methods and diffraction of X-rays by crystal had just been discovered in 1912.

"X-rays" were not mentioned in an American Mineralogist title until 1927 when Stockwell (1927) used them to determine the unit cell of garnets and in turn use it to help predict the garnet's composition. Before that, refractive index and specific gravity had been used; thus, this paper showed for the first time how the physical properties of minerals relate to their structure. At this point, indirect methods to determine composition were very useful as it was easier to perform them than to determine the composition by wet-chemical methods. Following the next year was a paper titled "The oscillation method of X-ray analysis of crystals" Gruner (1928a); I suspect there are few dues-paying members of MSA who have ever taken an oscillation photo, although several senior members may have. Regardless, this was the first method used to obtain crystal structure information. The same year Gruner (1928b) used this method to determine the crystal structure of analcite as isometric with a = 13.64 Å and "16 molecules of NaAlSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O" in its "unit cube." Among other things, these data allow us to calculate the density instead of determining the specific gravity. And 91 years later his formula and cell edge remain the same; all that has changed is we now call this mineral analcime.

Turning to the composition of minerals, when our society was formed it was not uncommon to see ideal formulas for minerals written in terms of their oxides and instead of the now-used chemical formulas. For example, analcime would be written as <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>Na<sub>2</sub>O<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·2SiO<sub>2</sub>·1H<sub>2</sub>O. This practice was followed for two reasons: (1) at this point we lacked the understanding of how elements would fit into a mineral as we did not know their structures, and (2) compositions were determined by wetchemical methods. Many of us will recall the inorganic chemistry lab where we were given a solid unknown, dissolved it, then precipitated portions of it, and very carefully weighed each; at the point I most certainly did not realize I was determining the composition of the material as I was so concerned on performing the "technique." Fortunately, just like X-rays allowed us to determine structures, the development of electron beam techniques, especially Castaing's development of the electron microprobe-which occurred unbeknownst to me as I was in grade school-allowed for precise and accurate determination of the composition of micrometer-sized mineral grains in polished grain mounts or thin sections.

We can now use synchrotron radiation to understand the orientational dependence of absorption spectra (for example Dyar et al. 2002). To study these, we use a spindle stage, whose precursor was described in a 1924 *American Mineralogist* article by Kerr (1924) entitled "A simple rotation apparatus." Of course, it would be helpful if we could calculate these spectra from first principles, but we have yet to accomplish that or to even be able to calculate refractive indices. We have also yet to fully understand what external shape minerals will take as they crystallize, which is basically the question asked in the paper published in 1914. However, as noted above, we have made many advancements in the first 100 years of our Society, and there are many yet to come in the second 100! (Above modified from Gunter 2019c.)

The other IMA and... I write this letter a few days after returning from MSA's Centennial. The first session at that meeting discussed mineral resources, which we could relate to IMA. To a mineralogist, the acronym IMA means the International Mineralogical Association; however, there is another meaning closely related to what many of us do-the Industrial Minerals Association (https://www.ima-na.org). It is an umbrella organization helping industry to provide the very materials we use daily. Some of these minerals are familiar to all of us (e.g., feldspars, talc, etc.), whereas others are less well known (e.g., barite, wollastonite, etc.). Regardless, in developed countries, we consume about 130 pounds of these minerals per day per person. And, while the 842 pounds of lunar material returned to earth during the Apollo missions may provide more excitement than the  $\sim 70$  million tons of industrial minerals we use in the U.S.A. yearly, the latter are much more important for daily lives.

Over my career, I have worked on projects dealing with several industrial minerals, from aluminosilicates used as refractories, to zeolites used in water purification systems and radioactive waste treatment. I viewed this research as proactive and positive with the potential to help society. Over the past 10-20 years, my efforts in this field have turned more to defending this industry against claims of purported asbestos content of their products. Asbestos contamination of commercial products is most certainly of concern, but what is critical in this area is something as straightforward as the correct identification of common rock-forming minerals. For example, shards of talc are often misidentified as anthophyllite asbestos because their Mg/Si ratios (3/4 vs. 7/8, respectively), easily overlap in semiquantitative EDS spectra. Also, they both yield a 5.2 Å repeat in electron diffraction, where that repeat is used to "prove" a particle is an amphibole in routine asbestos methods. The last talk of the Centennial session gave several examples of incorrect identification being used against the industry in the ongoing talc litigation. We also hear in these cases that miners cannot tell talc from country rock, so the talc gets "contaminated." Yet I have spent time in mines where there is no question of the contact between ore and "country rock," it is not that hard to tell talc from marble!

While many reading this article might find this hard to believe, you will find it harder to believe the following sampling of mineralogically incorrect statements I have read in legal and regulatory documents: Anthophyllite and chesterite are polymorphs; one cannot distinguish monoclinic from orthorhombic amphiboles by electron diffraction; or better (really worse) yet, that amphiboles cannot be distinguished from pyroxenes in soils, and finally "Mica is mica. If it has aluminum silicates and phosphorus, phosphates, that's mica. It is just aluminum silicates is a type of clay." In Chapter 19 of our book (Dyar and Gunter 2019) titled "Mineral Identification" we state, when handed a mineral: "Do you want me to guess what it is or tell you? If you want me to tell you, it will take a little work, but we'll know for sure." Our community can correctly identify minerals with the appropriate choice of analytical methods.

The final participant comment in the last session in D.C. was a plea that we "take back mineralogy." This was a fitting way to end the meeting. But how do we do this? It should be clear from my above comments that mineral misidentification is a common practice in the "real world." Perhaps it is time we mineralogists consider professional licensing, as it exists for geologists, engineers, and many other fields. No doubt both MSA and IMA can support this to aid in something as simple as proper mineral identification. (Above modified from Gunter 2019d.)

**Looking forward.** As I compose my final President's letter, I ponder the future of our Society and discipline. As I look at the smiling faces of three of my former Ph.D. students above, it gives me great hope (Fig. 1e). There are many like them without gray hair who will carry on with the service, teaching, and research in mineralogy that the gray-haired ones among us did. What I fret about can also be represented in the photo by the word "asbestos." It is not the fact that asbestos has presented health problems in modern society, but more how we tend not to be involved in some of these problems, as they can be very controversial.

What I have noticed over my career is a trend, especially among us academics, to look for problems more than to solve them. This hit home when I was working with an industrial hygienist for an industrial minerals company, and he said "no problems, no funding." This was further reinforced when we went to visit a small industrial mineral mine, and the president of the company did not want to let us in as we were professors. It was not always this way, as "in the good old days" we used to work more closely with such industries. Of course, in the U.S.A., federal funding and university expectations play a role in what is "valued." Regardless, I think we need to work to help industry solve its problems and not create more for them.

What I had thought less of over my career is how MSA has been the catalyst for many other professional societies and journals. The outcome of this has been to reduce our number of members. This might be good or bad overall for the geosciences, but it is important for us to be aware of. Something I do believe to be bad is, along the way, how we have given much less attention to what are sometimes referred to as "amateur mineralogists." They really are the heart of MSA, as they are the ones who collect the minerals we study.

Yes, this letter reads more like what one might see on the opinion page of a newspaper. In fact, I have never written one of those and have only written one thing that might be close—A Triple Point article in *Elements* a decade ago on, you guessed it, asbestos (Gunter 2009). Regardless, for our society and discipline to exist over the next century, I think we will need to put more effort toward rebuilding our ties to industry and the collecting community. Also, we need to change the priorities of the funding agencies and universities to allow us to accomplish this.

Finally, I thank all the members, past and present, of MSA for a successful 100 years, for the honor it has been to be the 100th president, and for all you have done for mineralogy in the last century. (Above modified from Gunter 2019e.)

## WHY OPTICAL MINERALOGY STILL MATTERS

As noted above, and well known to many, we have decreased the teaching of optical mineralogy at the college level in the United States. As a result, the teaching of this much-needed discipline is taken on by the private sector. At the same time, active research in this area is also on the decline. For those interested in the capabilities of optical mineralogy research see the final chapter in Bloss (1981), the forward in Bloss (1999, 2020), and Bloss's MSA president's paper (Bloss 1978). Obviously Bloss championed optical mineralogy in both teaching and research, and the most updated results of Bloss and coworkers research are given in Gunter (2020). Specifically, for the spindle stage methods, Steven and Gunter (2017, 2020) present an Excel spreadsheet capable of many optical mineralogy calculations (e.g., those performed by EXCALIBR). That program and other spindle stage related materials can be found on MSA's website at: http://www.minsocam.org/msa/Monographs/#Spindle Stage.

Unbeknownst to many of us the use of the PLM is widespread in industry and the regulatory world, specifically for screening materials for asbestos (McCrone 1974; ISO 2012). Herein I use as the definition of asbestos as the asbestiform variety of serpentine (i.e., chrysotile), crocidolite, amosite, tremolite, actinolite, and anthophyllite when the latter three occur with an asbestiform habit. Thus, this is a two-part definition: (1) first identify one of the six mineral species and (2) the mineral must occur in an asbestiform habit. The former is more-or-less a straightforward task for a mineralogist but can be challenging for others. The latter presents several challenges, but definitions and methods to ascertain morphology were developed last century to resolve this issue (e.g., Campbell et al. 1977; Crane 1992; Perkins and Harvey 1993; Yamate et al. 1984). However, confusion does occur when certain regulations for asbestos used in an occupational setting are applied to the natural environment or areas where commercial asbestos was not used (Gunter 2010), specifically for talc (Gunter et al. 2018). The application of some of these regulations to the natural environment would make much of the Earth asbestos "contaminated" (Thompson et al. 2011; Gunter 2018a). The main issue in the natural setting deals with the mineral group amphiboles (Gunter et al. 2007); both their occurrence in commercial asbestos as well as their natural occurrence composing 5% of the Earth's crust.

The purported asbestos content of talc has been a concern for decades but has gained recent attention based on litigation directed toward cosmetic talc. I believe the role of the mineralogist in this area is as straightforward as the correct identification of talc and minerals that might be associated with it. Examples of these issues are given in Buzon and Gunter (2017) and Gunter et al. (2018). However, the ongoing misidentification issues made by others are not published in the peer-reviewed literature but occur in documents used for litigation support. Lacking is a thorough study of the optical properties of talc, which will help refine methods to determine the purported asbestos content of talc. The issues are twofold: (1) there is not a clearly defined range of refractive indices for talc ores from different locations, which are used in several products, and (2) dispersion data are unavailable and needed to aid in identification with the dispersion staining method. Rutstein et al. (2020) propose a method using a combination of PLM and XRD for bulk talc samples, and these data will find immediate use in those regulatory efforts.

#### **OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF TALC**

The need. Over the past decade, we have characterized talc with one goal being to better understand its potential asbestos content. One of the many analytical methods we have used is PLM, as the optical properties of talc differ from potential

TABLE 1. Literature refractive index ranges for talc

asbestos minerals. For example, chrysotile has lower refractive indices, whereas amphiboles have higher. Even though the differentiation is easy for those who understand mineralogy and PLM, talc can be misidentified for chrysotile as the  $\alpha$  value of talc (i.e., the smallest refractive index value for talc, which is perpendicular to the talc elongation) is similar to chrysotile; thus, a talc particle viewed on edge can be confused with chrysotile. Of course, the talc index changes on stage rotation to  $\beta$  or  $\gamma$ (i.e., the large refractive index, N), as this direction is parallel to the elongation of the talc. Another problem occurs with the acceptable range of refractive index deemed to match talc. A similar issue occurred in identifying the winchite and richterite amphiboles in Libby, Montana. The compositional range of these amphibole species was limited, as were their refractive indices (Bandli et al. 2003), but the full range (i.e., the high-Fe end-members) could cause labs to identify low-Fe pyroxenes as amphiboles. Sanchez and Gunter (2013) discuss this problem at length and provide a solution.

To better understand the optical properties of talc, a literature review is first presented, as well as noting the refractive index values given in reference books. Table 1 lists several references and the ranges given. Values of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are very similar and often lumped together. The unanswered question is, where did these values come from? Apparently, many of them came from the Doelter and Dittler (1912) paper. Table 2 lists specific values; the  $\alpha$  value, in general, does not agree with Table 1, whereas the  $\gamma$  ones do, and  $\beta$  is rarely given. Chidester (1962) does provide compositional data and values for  $\gamma$  from talc samples he collected in active Vermont talc mines, but there was no correlation of increasing  $\gamma$  to Fe concentration. Forbes (1969) likewise provided  $\gamma$  values and Fe concentration for synthetic samples, but again no correlations occurred. In both cases, this might be due to small data sets, low precision measurements, or small variation in composition. Dispersion data are only provided in two studies (McCrone and Delly 1973; McCrone et al. 1979), and these data are not self-consistent. Dispersion data are important as discussed below in methods used to identify talc by commercial

Reference	Year	α	β	γ	δ
Doelter and Dittler	1912	1.538-1.545	NA	1.575-1.590	0.030-0.050
Larsen	1921	1.539	1.589	1.589	0.05
Winchell and Winchell	1929	1.545	NA	1.590	0.045
Ford/"Dana 4th"	1932	1.539	1.589	1.589	0.05
Larsen and Berman	1934	1.539	1.589	1.589	0.05
Wahlstrom	1955	1.539–1.545	1.589-1.590	1.589-1.590	0.030-0.050
Kraus et al.	1959	1.539	1.589	1.589	0.05
Bloss	1961	1.539	1.589	1.589	
Deer et al.	1962	1.539–1.550	1.589–1.594	1.589-1.600	~0.05
Winchell and Winchell	1964	1.540	1.575	1.575	0.035
Kerr	1977	1.538-1.545	1.575-1.590	1.575-1.590	NA
Troeger	1979	1.539–1.550	1.589–1.594	1.589-1.596	0.046-0.050
Phillips and Griffen	1980	1.538-1.550	1.575-1.594	1.575-1.600	0.05-0.05
Fleisher et al.	1984	1.545	1.584	1.584	0.039
Anthony et al.	2001	1.539–1.550	1.589–1.594	1.589-1.600	NA
Perkins and Harvey <sup>a</sup> "EPA"	1993	1.54	NA	1.60	NA
Klein and Dutrow/ "Dana 23rd"	2007	1.539	1.589	1.589	NA
Dyar and Gunter	2008	1.539–1.550	1.589–1.594	1.589-1.600	0.05
Deer et al.	2009	1.53-1.55	1.58-1.59	1.58-1.60	~0.05
ISO 22261-1ª	2012	1.539-1.550	1.589-1.600	1.589-1.600	NA
Nesse	2013	1.539–1.550	1.589–1.594	1.589-1.600	~0.05
Mindat	2021	1.538-1.550	1.575–1.594	1.575-1.600	0.037-0.05
This paper	2021	1.5310-1.5485	ND	1.5753-1.5975	0.0374-0.0524
<sup>a</sup> Values used in the regulatory are	na.				

American Mineralogist, vol. 107, 2022

-								
Reference	Year	Location	а	Ь	g	FeO	Fe/Fe+Mg	nf-nc
Deer et al.	1962/1935ª	Sweden	1.550		1.596	2.46	0.05	ND
Deer et al.	1962/1956 <sup>a</sup>	Murphy, North Carolina	ND	1.572	1.580	0.79	0.01	ND
Wright	1960	New York	1.545	1.582	1.585	ND	ND	ND
Chidester <sup>b</sup>	1962	Vermont	ND	ND	1.588–1.593	ND	0.04-0.09	ND
Ross et al.	1968	New York	1.545	ND	1.580	0.0	0.0	ND
<b>Frobes</b> <sup>c</sup>	1969	Synthetic	ND	ND	1.562-1.580	ND	0.00-0.10	ND
McCrone and Delly	1973	NA	1.539	ND	1.588	ND	ND	$\alpha = 0.016 \gamma = 0.001$
McCrone et al.	1979	Vermont	1.546	1.588	1.589	ND	ND	$\alpha = 0.001 \ \beta = 0.007 \ \gamma = 0.007$
Fleisher et al.	2001	NA	1.554	NA	1.589	ND	14.9	ND
<sup>a</sup> Year sample was m	easured.							
<sup>b</sup> Range given for 6 s	amples.							

TABLE 2. Literature refractive index values, with Fe data where provided

<sup>c</sup> Range given for synthetic 17 samples.

labs. Finally, Phillips and Griffen (1980) do provide a plot of  $\gamma$ increasing as a function of Fe content, but no details are given on the source of their data.

It is somewhat understandable why no thorough optical study of talc has been conducted. In fact, my attempts to determine the optical properties of talc on single crystals with the spindle stage failed as talc edges tend to scroll, making Becke line determinations difficult to impossible. However, talc particles will sometimes lie "on edge" in grain mount. When this happens, they appear as a fiber or an elongate particle. In this case, the long direction coincides with either  $\beta$  or  $\gamma$  and the perpendicular direction is  $\alpha$ . Given these types of particles, the refractive indexes perpendicular and parallel to the elongate talc can be measured in grain mounts, and these are referred to as n and N, pronounced "little n" and "big N" (Bloss 1961) to distinguish them from  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ .

Sample selection. Twenty samples were selected, 18 of the 98 characterized by EPMA in Buzon (2016) and two from McNamee (2013), to span the observed compositional range in these two studies. The remaining sample of Johnson's Baby Powder was purchased in Moscow, Idaho, on May 20, 2020. In these previous studies, talc varies very little in composition, with Fe and F being the elements most affecting the optical properties and the only elements to correlate to them. Table 3 lists the selected samples from high- to low-Fe concentration. The table also lists the sample number from Buzon (2016), a brief description, location, and who collected them. In addition to compositional variation, efforts were made to select samples that represent several of the talc-producing regions in the world. Worldwide deposits are discussed in general in McCarthy et al. (2006), and Buzon (2016) characterizes samples from many of these locations. Chidester et al. (1964) review talc deposits in the United States, and Van Gosen et al. (2004) discuss them with a focus on their potential amphibole content as related to formation conditions and protolith. More information on the geological formation and mineralogical characterizations for certain deposits of samples used herein are provided in Gouverneur Talc mining district (Engel 1962; Ross et al. 1968; McNamee and Gunter 2013, 2014; Gunter et al. 2018), southwestern Montana (Berg 1979; Buzon and Gunter 2017), Death Valley region, California (Wright 1968), Vermont (Gunter et al. 2018), and Val Germanasca, Italy (Sandrone and Zucchetti 1988).

Analytical methods. Methods to determine the refractive index of minerals were first discussed in the American Mineralogist by Emmons (1928) by use of Becke lines, which required determining a "match" by correct interpretation of Becke line colors in polychromatic light (for examples, see Fig. 2). These were further refined in Emmons and Gates (1948) by what is now known as the double variation method, whereby one changes the temperature of the immersion media, thus changing its refractive index, and finds a "match" in monochromatic light that makes the grain actually disappear. Bloss (1981, 1999) describes the double variation and refinements made with advancements in temperature controls and wavelength variation. Computer programs were developed by Su et al. (1987) to increase the precision and accuracy of this method, precision being increased by multiple measurements at different temperatures with associated matches at different wavelengths, and accuracy being increased by calibration of refractive index liquids and measurements of glass standards with refractive indices known to the sixth decimal place in the visible wavelengths.

Figure 3 shows the PLM used in this project with a heating/ cooling stage mounted on the rotating stage and a slide monochromator below. Figure 4 shows images of three different minerals from sample 14 in cross-polarized polychromatic light with the first-order waveplate inserted. Also shown are three inserts in plane polarized where the refractive index of the liquid was changed to the values shown by heating or cooling to match the three separate grains. The range of heating/cooling allows

TABLE 3. Sample locations and source

1	(44)	Outcrop sample, Argonaut Mine, Vermont (MEG)				
2	(46)	Milled product, Argonaut Mine, Vermont (MEG)				
3	(33)	Mine sample J50, Johnson Mine, Vermont (AHC)				
4	(184)	Treasure Mine, Montana (MEG)				
5	(119)	Talc single crystal, Argonaut Mine, Vermont (MEG)				
6	(9)	Mine sample, former Willow Creek Mine, Montana (MEG)				
7	(180)	Ore sample, former Gianna Mine, Italy (MEG)				
8	(NA)	Mine sample, Talc City Hills, California (MEG)				
9	(55)	Johnsons Baby Powder, Moscow, Idaho (MEG)				
10	(3)	Ore sample, Guangxi Guilin mill, China (DVO)				
11	(101)	Milled product, Rodoretto Mine, Italy (MEG)				
12	(175)	Ore sample, Regal Mine, Montana (MEG)				
13	(153)	Former Grantham Mine, California (RBB)				
14	(NA)	Moldene-milled product, Talcville, New York (JWK)				
15	(14)	Ore sample, former Western Talc Mine, California (MEG)				
16	(NA)	Nytal 100—milled product, former Arnold Pit Mine, New York (JWK)				
17	(16)	Ore sample, former Western Talc Mine, California (MEG)				
18	(93)	Ore sample, Liaoning, Haicheng, China (RBB)				
19	(91)	Ore sample, Guangxi, China (RBB)				
20	(92)	Ore sample, Guangxi, China (RBB)				
21	(NA)	Johnsons Baby Powder— May 20, 2020, Moscow, Idaho				
Notes: Numbers in parentheses refer to Buzon (2016) while samples 14 and 16						
are from McNamee (2013)						

MEG = Mickey Gunter, University of Idaho.

RBB = Richard Berg, Montana Bureau of Mines.

7

AHC = Alfred Chidester, USGS.

DVO = Drew Van Orden, RJ Lee Group.

JWK = John Kelse, Vanderbilt Minerals.

► FIGURE 2. Back cover of Bloss (2020) showing both colored Becke lines and centralstop dispersion staining colors for liquid and solid matches at different visible wavelengths. These colors are often described in texts, but actually seeing them is more helpful.



and a ground smithsonite cone on a spindle stage. Precise wavelength matches were achieved by mounting the smithsonite with its ccrystallographic axis perpendicular to the axis of rotation of the spindle stage. Then monochrometer the desired wavelength of light was chosen and the crystal was rotated until a match was observed, the monochrometer was then removed and a photograph was taken. These colors correspond to those described in Table 5-1 (page 55) and Figure 5-15 (page 56) of this text. Photographs courtesy of Matthew

for a variation in the liquids of  $\sim 0.060$ . Thus, there is no need to make separate grain mounts with different liquids to aid in mineral identification if the mineral's refractive indices do not differ by more than 0.060.

As noted above, dispersion staining is also used to aid in mineral identification discussed in American Mineralogist by Winchell (1929), Dodge (1948), and Wilcox (1983), especially for asbestos identification (Bloss 1999; McCrone 1974); dispersion staining colors are shown in Figure 2. Figure 5 shows dispersion staining images of sample 3 in a 1.545 liquid (Fig. 5a) and a 1.595 liquid (Fig. 5b). For both figures, note the elongate, blueish particle near the center of the field of view; this dispersion staining color indicates a near match between the particle and the liquid at 589.3 nm. Because the lower polarizer is eastwest (i.e., horizontal), the particle in Figure 5a is a near match to 1.545, the n of the grain, while that in Figure 5b is a near match to 1.595, the N of the grain (NB: Values for N and n are, as usual, give for 589.3 nm). In Figure 5a, many of the plate-like particles, which are talc sheets, appear yellow, which indicates the grain is greater than the liquid, while in Figure 5b, they appear bluish, indicating a near match. While matching Becke lines colors or dispersion staining colors in polychromatic light

American Mineralogist, vol. 107, 2022



**FIGURE 3.** A polarizing light microscope equipped with a heating/ cooling stage and monochromator. This is the setup used herein to employ the double variation method for precise refractive index determination.



**FIGURE 4.** An example of the use of a heating/cooling stage to identify minerals with differing refractive indices in the same grain mount for sample 14. A near index-matching fluid (i.e., 1.58) was chosen for tale, then cooled to 1.61 to match tremolite and heated to 1.55 to match serpentine. (Modified from Gunter et al. 2018.)

aids in mineral identification, measurements in monochromatic light are more precise and accurate and used to characterize the optical properties of minerals.

Figure 6 shows a higher magnification view of the particles at the center of the field of view in Figure 5b, with two elongate talc particles in different orientations, labeled 1 and 2, and a larger



**FIGURE 5.** Central-stop dispersion staining images of sample 3 in a 1.545 liquid (**a**) and 1.595 (**b**) with an E-W polarizer. In **a**, the liquid matches n (i.e., the vibration direction perpendicular to the long axis), as indicated by the blue vertically orientated particle in the photo's center, while in **b** the refractive index of the fluid is higher, thus matching N (i.e., the vibration direction parallel to the long axis). Also, the talc plates in **a** appear yellow indicating their refractive index exceeds the liquid, while in **b** they are blueish indicating a near match (refer to Fig. 2 for a guide to dispersion staining colors).

calcite grain. Again, the sample is immersed in a 1.595 liquid, but the temperature is raised so the liquid matches particle 1 based on the Becke line color (Fig. 2). The match for N is obtained when the talc's long axis is parallel to the lower polarizer by slightly lowering the stage (Fig. 6b). Particle 2 also matches when it is rotated E-W (Fig. 6d). To precisely find a match at 589.3 nm, the Becke lines are observed in monochromatic light. Figure 7a shows a magnified image of particle 1 from Figure 6. In Figure 7b, the temperature of the liquid is changed until the grain disappears; this would be the precise match for 589.3 nm. In Figure 7c the liquid is greater than the grain, so a light-colored Becke line enters the liquid when the stage is lowered, whereas in Figure 7d, the light-colored Becke line enters the grain. For the measurements herein, the temperature of the liquid was adjusted until the grain had a near match around 550 nm, then heated in 5° increments to obtain talc/liquid matches to below 486.1 nm



FIGURE 6. The same area is imaged as in Figure 5b in a 1.595 liquid, except at a higher magnification and in plane-polarized light showing two elongated talc particles in different orientations (labeled 1 and 2) and a larger calcite grain. The temperature of the liquid is raised so N of the talc particles matches the liquid at 1.5919. In a, particle 1 is parallel to the lower polarizer and shows very low relief (i.e., is a near-match to the liquid), while particle 2 shows high relief (i.e., does not match the liquid). In b, the stage is slightly lowered and particle 1 exhibits colored Becke lines for a grain liquid match at 589.3 nm, while a light colored Becke line goes into the liquid for particle 2. In c and d, the stage is rotated so as to bring particle 2 parallel to the lower polarizer, thus showing its N matches that of the liquid.



FIGURE 7. These four images are of single elongate talc particle from sample 7 immersed in a 1.595 liquid. The temperature of the liquid is raised until N of the grain matches that of the liquid, for this sample—1.5867. While this match can be based on the color of the Becke lines in polychromatic light as seen in **a**, a more quantitative method to determine this match is made in monochromatic light where the grain disappears in b at 589.3 nm. In c, the liquid is greater than the grain as a light colored Becke line goes into the liquid, while in d the liquid is less the grain. This is the visual example of the double variation method and precise determination of the refractive index of a solid.

and then cooled to obtain them to at least 656.3 nm. With these data dispersion equations could be obtained for each sample, and refractive index values calculated over the range from 486.1 to 656.3 nm. For samples 2 to 21, a 1.595 liquid was used for N and a 1.545 liquid for n, whereas for sample 1, 1.605 and 1.555 liquids were used, respectively.

Figure 8 shows an example of colored Becke lines and dispersion staining colors for sample 16 for wavelength matches at N (Figs. 8a and 8b), Nf (Figs. 8c and 8d), and Nc (Figs. 8e and 8f). Compare these to the colors shown in Figure 2; although both methods are used to obtain a match between the liquid and grain, the double variation method, as shown in Figure 7, allows for more precise refractive index determination.

Results and discussion. Table 4 lists the N and n values for the 21 samples in this study, the calculated birefringence ( $\delta$ ), and compositions (i.e., Fe and F) for 20 of the samples; note the Fe is expressed in FeO% as well as Fe/(Fe+Mg). As is

TABLE 4. Optical and compositional data for samples in this study

Sample	Ν	n	δ	FeO%	Fe/(Fe+Mg)	F%
1	1.5975(7)	1.5451(4)	0.0524	4.46(13)	0.0801	0.11(2)
2	1.5930(7)	1.5415(8)	0.0515	2.72(28)	0.0501	0.04(4)
3	1.5919(2)	1.5440(3)	0.0479	2.52(10)	0.0446	0.07(2)
1	1.5897(6)	1.5414(4)	0.0483	2.24(12)	0.0413	0.20(1)
5	1.5909(3)	1.5415(3)	0.0494	1.78(7)	0.0316	0.03(1)
5	1.5901(4)	1.5436(7)	0.0465	1.63(64)	0.0288	0.19(5)
7	1.5867(5)	1.5376(3)	0.0491	1.30(13)	0.0240	0.27(2)
3	1.5863(3)	1.5384(4)	0.0479	0.91(20)	0.0168	0.26(5)
9	1.5876(2)	1.5403(3)	0.0473	0.75(13)	0.0148	0.11(3)
10	1.5876(3)	1.5413(4)	0.0463	0.71(20)	0.0138	0.11(4)
11	1.5856(3)	1.5377(4)	0.0479	0.58(26)	0.0107	0.14(8)
12	1.5873(4)	1.5371(3)	0.0502	0.52(13)	0.0095	0.10(1)
13	1.5861(2)	1.5403(4)	0.0458	0.24(4)	0.0044	0.46(2)
14	1.5859(3)	1.5485(3)	0.0374	0.21(3)	0.0040	0.31(4)
15	1.5863(2)	1.5389(9)	0.0474	0.20(4)	0.0038	0.12(3)
16	1.5847(3)	1.5444(4)	0.0403	0.19(11)	0.0032	0.25(5)
17	1.5872(4)	1.5372(4)	0.0500	0.08(2)	0.0015	0.05(2)
18	1.5845(6)	1.5369(2)	0.0476	0.03(1)	0.0005	0.10(2)
19	1.5774(2)	1.5310(3)	0.0464	0.02(1)	0.0004	1.24(9)
20	1.5753(7)	1.5311(4)	0.0442	0.01(1)	0.0002	1.38(2)
21	1.5881(3)	1.5468(6)	0.0413	ND	ND	ND



FIGURE 8. These six images are of a single elongate talc particle from sample 16 immersed in a 1.595 liquid, with the temperature changed so the grain and liquid match at different wavelengths. The images in the left column are in plane-polarized light showing different Becke line colors, and those on the right show different dispersion staining colors. (a and b) Matches at 589.3 nm, (c and d) 486.1 nm (or Nf in Table 5), and (e and f) 656.3 nm (or Nc in Table 5).

American Mineralogist, vol. 107, 2022

10

typical, the refractive index values given in Table 4 are for Na light (i.e., 589.3 nm). From the literature review, there are scant optical data on talc from known locations. However, samples 14 and 16 correspond and agree with the data in Table 2 for the samples from New York of Wright (1960) and Ross et al. (1968). Sample 3 is from the same mine as the samples measured by Chidester (1962), and have similar values for N or his  $\gamma$ . Finally, the data from McCrone et al. (1979) are from the same deposit as sample 2 with similar optical data.

Table 5 provides observed refractive index values at 486.1 nm (i.e., Nf and nf) and 656.3 (i.e., Nc and nc). Nf-Nc, the dispersion coefficient, is then calculated for both N and n values. The dispersion coefficients are required to assign a matching refractive index to a material based on the dispersion staining colors as described in detail in Su (1993, 2003). The only other published values for dispersion for talc are given in Table 2. For both references, the value for  $\alpha$  (which correlates to n in this study), appears incorrect, too high (McCrone and Delly 1973) and too low (McCrone et al. 1979). Also, the value for  $\gamma$  (which correlates to N in this study) appears too low (McCrone and Delly 1973), whereas  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are in agreement with the data herein (McCrone et al. 1979). Regardless, their data are not self-consistent, so the data presented in Table 5 appear to be the only reliable dispersion data for talc.

Figure 9 shows plots of N and n as a function of FeO%. As would be expected, the refractive indices increase as Fe replaces Mg in talc [see Chapter 18 of Dyar and Gunter (2019) for a more thorough discussion of the relationships of optical properties and composition]. For each plot, 16 of the samples are shown as black dots, whereas samples 14 and 16 are plotted as red plus signs and samples 19 and 20 are plotted as green boxes. For N (Fig. 9a), the plus signs follow the trend, but for n (Fig. 9b) they plot above it; the green boxes plot below the trend for both n and N. In Table 4 samples 19 and 20 have both the lowest values for Fe and the highest for F. Although Fe increases the refractive index as it replaces Mg because it increases the electron density, F will lower the refractive index as it forms more ionic bonds. For this reason, these samples depart from the trends in Figure 9. The larger n values for samples 14 and 16 relate to how these talc crystals formed and the associated remnants of randomly

TABLE 5. Dispersion data for samples in this study

Sample	Nf	Nc	Nf-Nc	nf	nc	nf-nc
1	1.6057(8)	1.5966(9)	0.0091	1.5513(4)	1.5444(4)	0.0069
2	1.5979(8)	1.5922(8)	0.0057	1.5461(9)	1.5411(9)	0.0050
3	1.5984(3)	1.5895(3)	0.0089	1.5501(4)	1.5439(3)	0.0062
4	1.5957(7)	1.5894(7)	0.0063	1.5468(5)	1.5404(4)	0.0064
5	1.5986(3)	1.5893(3)	0.0093	1.5478(4)	1.5407(4)	0.0071
6	1.5945(5)	1.5898(4)	0.0047	1.5509(7)	1.5429(9)	0.0080
7	1.5929(5)	1.5856(5)	0.0073	1.5437(3)	1.5367(4)	0.0070
8	1.5931(3)	1.5855(3)	0.0075	1.5462(4)	1.5384(4)	0.0078
9	1.5946(2)	1.5860(2)	0.0086	1.5504(3)	1.5395(3)	0.0109
10	1.5935(3)	1.5865(3)	0.0070	1.5495(6)	1.5405(5)	0.0090
11	1.5933(3)	1.5856(5)	0.0077	1.5433(5)	1.5367(5)	0.0066
12	1.5937(5)	1.5856(5)	0.0081	1.5448(4)	1.5375(3)	0.0073
13	1.5925(3)	1.5857(3)	0.0068	1.5460(4)	1.5400(4)	0.0060
14	1.5920(3)	1.5852(3)	0.0068	1.5558(4)	1.5483(4)	0.0075
15	1.5933(2)	1.5854(2)	0.0079	1.5445(9)	1.5388(10)	0.0057
16	1.5920(4)	1.5831(4)	0.0089	1.5512(5)	1.5429(5)	0.0083
17	1.5940(5)	1.5873(5)	0.0067	1.5463(5)	1.5364(5)	0.0099
18	1.5917(8)	1.5834(7)	0.0083	1.5445(3)	1.5361(2)	0.0084
19	1.5852(3)	1.5770(2)	0.0082	1.5386(4)	1.5298(4)	0.0088
20	1.5820(8)	1.5746(7)	0.0074	1.5386(5)	1.5307(4)	0.0079
21	1.5929(3)	1.5880(3)	0.0049	1.5516(7)	1.5470(7)	0.0046



**FIGURE 9.** Plots of N (**a**) and n (**b**) vs. FeO% for the 20 samples in Table 4, with associated best-fit linear regression line. The green block symbols are for samples 19 and 20, which deviate from the regression lines based on high-F content. The red plus signs are for samples 14 and 16 which deviate from the regression line for n, probably because these samples contain remanent anthophyllite as explained in the text and shown in McNamee et al. (2015). Finally, the slope of N is greater than n.

orientated elongate anthophyllite between (i.e., parallel to) the talc layers. McNamee et al. (2015) discuss this in detail and show images of talc formation on the {210} planes of anthophyllite. Although these have an effect on n, they have little to no effect on N because the preferential orientation to light vibrating parallel to the layers is affected more than for that normal to the layers. Finally, the values obtained for N and n of these samples agree with those obtained by Wright (1960) and Ross et al. (1968).

Based on the above samples 19 and 20 are excluded from the regression data in Figure 9a and 9b, whereas 14 and 16 are excluded from Figure 9b. A regression analysis then yields the following two equations:

$$N = 1.5851(3) + 0.0027(2) \text{ FeO\%}, R^2 = 0.91$$
(1)  
Prob > t < 0.0001 < 0.0001

$$n = 1.5379(6) + 0.0017(3) \text{ FeO}\%, R^2 = 0.64$$
(2)  
Prob > t < 0.0001 0.0002

There is a statistically better fit for N than n, but both are statistically significant. Also, the slope for n is less than for N, which makes structural sense as Fe would preferentially increase the electron density within the sheets has compared to perpendicular to them. Similar relationships are shown for andalusite (Gunter and Bloss 1982), where Fe increases the refractive index at a faster rate parallel to edge-sharing chains of octahedral, and for olivine as Fe substitutes for Mg parallel to octahedra in the  $\beta$  direction (Dyar and Gunter 2019).

In general, sheet silicates are optically negative because the electron density is greater within the sheets than perpendicular to them. This is similar to other minerals with ring structures or channels in that the majority of the bonding-and thus electron density-is parallel to the rings or channels (Palmer and Gunter 2000). Also, trioctahedral sheet silicates are often nearly uniaxial negative, while dioctahedral ones are not (Dyar and Gunter 2019). Curiously, a literature search found no other published study showing the relationship of the optical properties of sheet silicates to composition, except for chlorite (Albee 1962), which at first glance appears not to follow this trend. Figure 10 shows a plot of the trend lines for Albee's  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  values vs. composition as modified by Bloss (1985). Chlorites plotting on the left side of the diagram (i.e., high Mg) are optically positive, whereas those on the right side (i.e., high Fe) are optically negative. Also, in this illustration, the three lines interest near the middle and, in turn, mark the change in optic sign, whereas the refractive index values parallel to the a-axis and b-axes increase at a faster rate than parallel to c-axis.

The reason for the different rates of change is explained by consideration of the crystal structure of chlorite (Fig. 10b). The chlorite structure is similar to that of talc but with a layer of octahedra between each "talc layer." The octahedral layer has H atoms (small black spheres) bonded perpendicular to it. The OH bonds contribute to the refractive index direction along c-axis, and for the Mg end-member, cause this direction to have the largest refractive index. As Fe substitutes for Mg the refractive indices parallel to the sheets increase at a faster rate than perpendicular-the same effect was seen for talc, but in this case, the trends cross and Fe-rich chlorite becomes optically negative, like all other sheet silicates. Gunter and Ribbe (1993) show a similar effect for the natrolite group zeolites and how the orientation of channel H2O has a differential impact on refractive index as a function of orientation. Palmer and Gunter (2000) also show how the mean refractive index of heulandite group zeolites can be used as a proxy to determine the H content in cation-exchanged samples. Thus H, OH, and H<sub>2</sub>O all play a major role in the interpretation of the refractive index of minerals.

### **FINAL THOUGHTS**

It was most certainly one of the highlights of my professional career to be able to serve MSA as president during its centennial year. But I am only one of now a 100 presidents and hundreds of additional members to serve MSA in its first century. I also feel fortunate to have selected optical mineralogy as my specialty. I recall being told the world only needed three optical mineralogists when I chose this field for graduate studies. Based on my experiences, the need for optical mineralogists, especially outside of academics and geology, is greater than in any other area in geology. At least I know at this point the demand for our skills far exceeds the people with those skills, and, as mentioned above, the private sector is trying to meet this need with week-long "training" courses designed to convey only the most basic principles of the method, but of course one cannot hope to learn optical mineralogy in a week! I think the main role of optical mineralogy, or mineralogy in general, is the correct identification of minerals. This, of course, can only be done once the minerals are correctly characterized, which is most certainly also our realm. Perhaps it is time we spend more effort on outreach and education and less time on our research, as recent trends show us that it seems hard for society to just "follow the science"; maybe we should try and lead in that area—just a final thought.



**FIGURE 10.** A graph of refractive indices vs. composition (Albee 1962) for chlorite group minerals with the three refractive index relationships relabeled for this biaxial mineral by Bloss (1985). Mg-rich chlorites (those plotting on the left of the diagram) are optically positive, so the largest refractive index is perpendicular to the layers. Chlorites change to optically negative near the middle of the graph as higher atomic number cations replace Mg. Because the structure of chlorite has O-H bonds near parallel to the *c*-axis in the octahedral layer, this results in the largest refractive index value for Mg-rich chlorites, but with increased electron density in the sheets Mg-poor chlorites become optically negative like most sheets silicates.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS, DISCLOSURE, AND DEDICATIONS

As I end my "official" academic career, and the majority of my MSA service, there are so many people I would like to acknowledge—my mentors, colleagues, tens of graduate students, hundreds of mineralogy students, and thousands of physical geology students; you all contributed to what could only be described as a very fulfilling career for me. While I would like to list many of you by name—and many of you are listed as co-authors in the reference section—I am afraid I would omit someone. I will thank John Hughes, an anonymous reviewer, and the editorial staff of the *American Mineralogist* for improving the manuscript and accepting the tardiness of a "retired" professor. There is one more person who I will list by name—Suzanne Aaron—my wife of forty years; thank you for everything!

I work as a consultant and expert witness in matters dealing with the purported asbestos content of mainly natural materials. And most of the recent work deals with the purported asbestos content of tale, both industrial and cosmetic grades. Several of the companies I work with provided samples and funding to collect the samples used in this study. They also provided funds for some of the analytical work performed on those samples. However, there was no support for production of this paper, or interaction with them.

I dedicate the first part of this paper to the memory of Paul Ribbe, Emeritus mineralogy Professor at VPI&SU. Paul served as MSA's 68th president and Councilor before that. Although he never knew it, we nicknamed him Uncle Paul, as he was always there to help. To the rest of our community, he is known as the creator of the *Reviews in Mineralogy* series and sole editor of the first 41 volumes. For these accomplishments, as well as other MSA service, he received the MSA Distinguished Public Service Medal in 1993. Although less known, he suggested to Alex Speer that he apply for MSA's Executive Director position. Along with the crystal chemistry of various silicate mineral groups—specifically feldspars, powder X-ray diffraction, and electron microprobe—he taught me the most useful thing in life we can do is to help others.

I dedicate the second part of this paper to Malcom (Mac) Ross, retired USGS. Mac served as MSA's 71st president, was treasurer prior to that, and the son of Clarence Ross, MSA's 16th president—making them the only father/son MSA presidents. Mac was the first recipient of MSA's Distinguished Public Service Medal in 1990—it would be an understatement to say this award was created for him based on his efforts to educate both our community, the public, lawmakers, and the regulatory community about asbestos, specifically that not all asbestos is the same and that a 3:1 aspect ratio of an amphibole does not asbestos make. Although he might not have convinced everyone, he did convince me, thus providing me the motivation to work to some of his goals.

#### **References cited**

- Albee, L.A. (1962) Relationships between the mineral association, chemical composition, and physical properties of the chlorite series. American Mineralogist, 47, 851–870.
- Anthony, J.W., Bideaux, R.A., Bladh, K.W., and Nichols, M.C., Eds. (2001) Talc. Handbook of Mineralogy. Mineralogical Society of America, Chantilly Virginia. http://www.handbookofmineralogy.org/.
- Bandli, B.R., Gunter, M.E., Twamley, B., Foit, F.F. Jr., and Cornelius, S.B. (2003) Optical, compositional, morphological, and X-ray data on eleven particles of amphibole from Libby, Montana, U.S.A. Canadian Mineralogist, 41, 1241–1253.
- Berg, R.B. (1979) Talc and chlorite deposits in Montana. Memoir 45, Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, Butte, Montana, 66 pp.
- Bloss, F.D. (1961) An Introduction to Optical Crystallography, 294 pp. Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.
- ——(1978) The spindle stage: A turning point for optical crystallography. American Mineralogist, 63, 433–447.
- (1981) The spindle stage: Principles and practice. Cambridge University Press, 340 pp.
- (1985) Labelling refractive index curves for mineral series. American Mineralogist, 70, 428–432.
- (1999) Optical crystallography (monograph series). Mineralogical Society of America, Chantilly, Virginia, 231 pp.
- —— (2020) Optical crystallography—eBook Version. Mineralogical Society of America, Chantilly, Virginia, 231 pp.
- Buzon, M.E. (2016) Talc characterization: A provenance study. Doctoral dissertation, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho, 202 pp.
- Buzon, M.E., and Gunter, M.E. (2017) Current issues with the purported "asbestos" content of talc: Hydrothermal-hosted talc ores in southwest Montana. Transactions of the Society for Mining, Metallurgy and Exploration, 342, 62–71.
- Campbell, W.J., Blake, R.L., Brown, L.L., Cather, E.E., and Sjoberg, J.J. (1977) Selected silicate minerals and their asbestiform varieties: Mineralogical definitions and identification. United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Mines, Information Circular 8751, 56 pp.
- Chidester, A.H. (1962) Petrology and geochemistry of selected talc-bearing ultramafic rocks and adjacent country rocks in north-central Vermont. USGS Professional Paper 345.
- Chidester, A.H., Engel, A.E.J., and Wright, L.A. (1964) Talc resources of the United States. USGS Bulletin 1167.

- Crane, D.T. (1992) Polarized light microscopy of asbestos. ID-191, OSHA Salt Lake Technical Center, Salt Lake, Utah, 18 pp.
- Deer, W.A., Howie, R.A., and Zussman, J. (1962) Rock-forming Minerals: Sheet Silicates, vol. 3, 270 pp. Longman Group Limited, London.
- ——(2009) Rock-forming Minerals. Layered Silicates Excluding Micas and Clay Minerals, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., vol. 3B, 314 pp. The Geological Society, London.
- Dodge, N.B. (1948) The dark-field color immersion method. American Mineralogist, 33, 541–549.
- Doelter, C., and Dittler, E. (1912) Berichte der Akademie der Wissenschaften, Wein, CXXI (1), 807. [NB reference found in Winchell and Winchell (1929), and not yet obtained.]
- Dyar, M.D., and Gunter, M.E. (2008) Mineralogy and Optical Mineralogy, 2nd ed. Mineralogical Society of America, Chantilly, Virginia, 708 pp (and DVD rom).
  (2019) Mineralogy and Optical Mineralogy, 2nd ed. Mineralogical Society of America, Chantilly, Virginia, 708 pp.
- Dyar, M.D., Gunter, M.E., Delaney, J.S., Lanzarotti, A., and Sutton, S.R. (2002) Use of the spindle stage for orientation of single crystals for microXAS: Isotropy and anisotropy in Fe-XANES spectra. American Mineralogist, 87, 1500–1504.
- Emmons, R.C. (1928) The double dispersion method. American Mineralogist, 13, 504–515.
- Emmons, R.C., and Gates, R.M. (1948) The use of Becke line colors in refractive index determination. American Mineralogist, 33, 612–618.
- Engel, A.E.J. (1962) The Precambrian geology and the talc deposits of the Balmat-Edwards district, northwest Adirondack Mountains, New York. USGS Open File Report 1962-648, 357 pp.
- Fleischer, M., Wilcox, R.E., and Matzko, J.J. (1984) Microscopic determination of the nonopaque minerals, 3rd ed. U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1627, Washington, D.C., 453 pp.
- Forbes, W.C. (1969) Unit-cell parameters and optical properties of talc on the join Mg<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>4</sub>O<sub>10</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>-Fe<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>4</sub>O<sub>10</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>. American Mineralogist, 54, 1399–1408.
- Ford, W.E. (1932) A Textbook of Mineralogy. 4th Edition of the Dana's Manual of Mineralogy. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 851 pp.
- Gruner, J.W. (1928a) The oscillation method of X-ray analysis of crystals. American Mineralogist, 13, 123–141.
- —— (1928b) The use of the oscillation method in determining the structure of analcite. American Mineralogist, 13, 174–194.
- Gunter, M.E. (2004) The polarizing light microscope: Should we teach the use of a 19<sup>th</sup> century instrument in the 21<sup>st</sup> century? Journal of Geoscience Education, 52, 34–44.
- (2009) Asbestos sans mineralogy. Elements, 141.
- ——(2010) Defining asbestos: Differences between the built and natural environments. CHIMIA. 64, 747–752.
- (2018a) Elongate mineral particles in the natural environment. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology, 361, 157–164. doi:10.1016/j.taap.2018.09.24.
- ------ (2018b) MSA at 100: Looking backwards. Elements, 14, 418.
- ------ (2019a) MSA at 100: Professional service. Elements, 15, 52.
- (2019b) MSA at 100: Teaching mineralogy. Elements, 15, 120.
- ------ (2019c) MSA at 100: Mineralogy research. Elements, 15, 204.
- ------- (2019d) MSA at 100: The other IMA and... Elements, 15, 284.
- ------ (2019e) MSA at 100: Looking forward. Elements, 15, 354.
- (2020) Memorial of F. Donald Bloss 1920–2020. American Mineralogist, 105, 1441–1442.
- Gunter, M.E., and Bloss, F.D. (1982) Andalusite-kanonaite series: Lattice and optical parameters. American Mineralogist, 67, 1218–1228.
- Gunter, M.E., and Ribbe, P.H. (1993) Natrolite group zeolites: Correlations of optical properties and crystal chemistry. Zeolites, 13, 435–440.
- Gunter, M.E., Belluso, E., and Mottana, A. (2007) Amphiboles: Environmental and health concerns. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 67, 453–516.
- Gunter, M.E., Buzon, M.E., and McNamee, B.D. (2018) Current issues with the purported "asbestos" content of talc: Asbestos nomenclature and examples in metamorphic carbonate and ultramafic hosted talc ores. Transactions of the Society for Mining, Metallurgy and Exploration, 344, 15–24.
- Heaney, P.J., and Gunter, M.E. (2019) The Mineralogical Society of America turns 100. Eos, 100. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019EO125537. Published on 03 June 2019.
- Kerr, P.F. (1924) A simple rotation device. American Mineralogist, 9, 169-171.
- (1977) Optical Mineralogy, 4th ed. McCraw-Hill, New York, 492 pp.
- Klein, C., and Dutrow, B.L. (2007) Mineral Science. Dana's Manual of Mineralogy, 23<sup>rd</sup> ed., 675 pp. Wiley
- Kraus, E.H., Hunt, W.F., and Ramsdell, L.S. (1959) Mineralogy: An Introduction to the study of Minerals and Crystals, 686 pp. McGraw-Hill Book Company
- Larsen E.S. (1921) The microscopic determination of the nonopaque minerals. U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 679, Washington, D.C., 294 pp.
- Larsen, E.S., and Berman, H. (1934) The microscopic determination of the nonopaque minerals, 2nd ed. United States Geological Survey Bulletin 848, Washington, D.C., 266 pp.
- ISO (2012) Air quality—Bulk Materials: Part 1: Sampling and qualitative determinations of asbestos in commercial bulk materials. International Standard Reference number ISO 22262-1:2012:E, Switzerland.

- McCarthy, E.F., Genco, N.A., and Reade, E.H. Jr. (2006) Talc. In J.E. Kogel, N.C. Trivedi, J.M. Barker, and S.T. Krukowski, Eds., Industrial Minerals and Rocks, p. 971–986. Society for Mining, Metallurgy, and Exploration, Inc., Littleton, Colorado.
- McCrone, W.C. (1974) Detection and identification of asbestos by microscopical dispersion staining. Environmental Health Perspectives, 9, 57–61.
- McCrone, W.C., and Delly, J.G. (1973) The Particle Atlas, edition two, volume IV, 795–1138. Ann Arbor Science Publishing, Inc.
- McCrone, W.C., Delly, J.G., and Palenik, S.J. (1979) The Particle Atlas, edition two, volume IV, 1139–1454. Ann Arbor Science Publishing, Inc.
- McNamee, B.D. (2013) Characterizations of minerals: From the classroom to soils to talc deposits. Doctoral dissertation, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho, 224 pp.
- McNamee, B.D., and Gunter, M.E. (2013) Compositional analysis and morphological relationships of amphiboles, talc, and other minerals found in the talc deposits from the Gouverneur Mining District, New York (Part 1 of 2). The Microscope, 61, 147–161.
- (2014) Compositional analysis and morphological relationships of amphiboles, talc, and other minerals found in the talc deposits from the Gouverneur Mining District, New York (Part 2 of 2). The Microscope, 62, 3–13.
- McNamee, B.D., Gunter, M.E., and Viti, C. (2015) Asbestiform talc from a talc mine near Talcville, New York, U.S.A.: Composition, morphology, and genetic relationships with amphiboles. Canadian Mineralogist, 53, 1049–1060.
- Mindat (2021) https://www.mindat.org. The Hudson Institute of Mineralogy, Keswick Virginia.
- Nesse, W.D (2013) Introduction to Optical Mineralogy, 4<sup>th</sup> ed., 361 pp. Oxford University Press
- Palmer, J.L., and Gunter, M.E. (2000) Optical properties of natural and cationexchanged heulandite group zeolites. American Mineralogist, 85, 225–230.
- Perkins, R.L., and Harvey, B.W. (1993) Method for the determination of asbestos in bulk building materials. EPA/600/R-93/116, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, 98 pp.
- Phillips, W.R., and Griffen, D.T. (1980) Optical Mineralogy: The Nonopaque Minerals, 677 pp. Freeman Press
- Rakovan, J., and Gunter, M.E. (2019) 2019, A year of anniversaries for Alex Speer and the Mineralogical Society America. Rocks and Minerals, 95, 404–407.
- Ross, M., Smith, W.L., and Ashton, W.H. (1968) Triclinic talc and associated amphiboles from Gouverneur Mining District, New York. American Mineralogist, 53, 751–769.
- Rutstein, M., Pier, J., Crane, D., Gunter, M., Halterman, D., Houck, K., Poye, L., Sanchez, M., Segrave, A., Tomaino, G., and others. (2020) Modernization of asbestos testing in USP talc—Part 2. The United States Pharmacopeial Convention. https://online.usppf.com/usppf/document/GUID-5A52248A-96CA-43A5-9230-603131BEE680 10101 en-US?highlight=talc.
- Sanchez, M.S., and Gunter, M.E. (2013) Calculated nominal ranges of refractive indices for the amphiboles found in the Rainy Creek Igneous Complex near Libby, Montana. The Microscope, 61, 79–88.
- Sandrone, R., and Zucchetti (1988) Geology of the Italian high-quality cosmetic talc from the Pinerolo district (Western Alps). Conference: Zuffar'days Symposium,

Cagliari, Italy, 105-113.

- Steven, C.J., and Gunter, M.E. (2017) EXCELIBR: An Excel spreadsheet for solving the optical orientation of uniaxial and biaxial crystals. The Microscope, 65, 147–152. (2020) EXCALIBR to EXCELIBR and the optical orientations of minerals:
- Correcting the optical orientation of clinoamphiboles. American Mineralogist, 105, 955–962.
- Stockwell, C.H. (1927) An X-ray study of the garnet group. American Mineralogist, 12, 327–344.
- Su, S.C. (1993) Determination of refractive index of solids by dispersion staining method: An analytical approach. In C.L. Rieder, Ed., Proceedings of 51<sup>st</sup> Annual Meeting of the Microscopy Society of America, 456–457. Microscopy Society of America, San Francisco Press.
- (2003) A rapid and accurate procedure for the determination of refractive indices of regulated asbestos minerals. American Mineralogist, 88, 1979–1982.
- Su, S.C., Bloss, F.D., and Gunter, M.E. (1987) Procedures and computer programs to refine the double variation method. American Mineralogist, 72, 1011–1013.
- Thompson, B.D., Gunter, M.E., and Wilson, M.A. (2011) Amphibole asbestos soil contamination in the U.S.A.: A matter of definition. American Mineralogist, 96, 690–693.
- Troeger, W.E. (1979) Optical Determination of Rock-forming Minerals, Part 1 Determinative Tables, 188 pp. E. Schweizerbrat'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung
- Van Gosen, B.S., Lowers, H.A., Sutley, S.J., and Gent, C.A. (2004) Using the geologic setting of talc deposits as an indicator of amphibole asbestos content. Environmental Geology, 45, 920–939.

Wahlstrom, E.E. (1955) Petrographic Mineralogy, 408 pp. Wiley

- Wilcox, R.E. (1983) Refractive index determination using the central focal masking technique with dispersion colors. American Mineralogist, 68, 1226–1236.
- Winchell, A.N. (1929) Dispersion of minerals. American Mineralogist, 14, 125–149. Winchell, N.H., and Winchell, A.N. (1929) Elements of Optical Mineralogy: An
- Introduction to Microscopic Petrography, 204 pp. Wiley Winchell, H., and Winchell, A.N. (1964) The Microscopical Characters of Artificial Inorganic Solid Substances: Optical Properties of Artificial Minerals, 439 pp. Academic Press
- Wright, H.D. (1960) An optical study of talc-tremolite relations. Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 43, 42–43.
- Wright, L.A. (1968) Talc deposits of the Southern Death Valley-Kingston Range Region, California. Special Report 95, California Division of Mines and Geology. Ferry Building, San Francisco, California.
- Yamate, G., Agarwal, S.C., and Gibbons, R.D. (1984) Methodology for the measurement of airborne asbestos by electron microscopy. IIT Research Institute, Chicago, Illinois, 100 pp.

MANUSCRIPT RECEIVED MARCH 23, 2021

- MANUSCRIPT ACCEPTED MAY 26, 2021
- MANUSCRIPT HANDLED BY FABRIZIO NESTOLA