A CRYSTAL-STRUCTURE REFINEMENT OF LIBETHENITE

ANDREAS CORDSEN*

Department of Geological Sciences, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario K7L 3N6

ABSTRACT

Three-dimensional single-crystal counter-diffractometer X-ray data and a least-squares method have been used to refine the crystal structure of libethenite, Cu₂(OH)PO₄, a 8.062(5), b 8.384(4), c 5.881(2)Å, space group Pnnm. The final R index is 3.1% for 945 observed reflections. Libethenite has copper in two coordinations and is isotypic with andalusite and the minerals of the olivenite group. Chains of edge-sharing CuO₄(OH)₂ octahedra extend parallel to the c axis and are linked by cornersharing PO₄ tetrahedra to form channels in that direction. The octahedra, which are elongate due to the Jahn-Teller effect, share corners with pairs of edge-sharing $CuO_4(OH)$ trigonal bipyramids that occur in these channels. The position for the hydrogen atom, resulting in a bifurcated hydrogen bonding model, is proposed as x 0.43, y 0.73, z 0.

Sommaire

La structure cristalline de la libéthénite Cu₂(OH)- PO_4 a été affinée dans le groupe *Pnnm* et la maille a 8.062(5), b 8.384(4), c 5.881(2)Å, par la méthode des moindres carrés sur données tridimensionnelles obtenues aux rayons X sur diffractomètre à compteur. Le résidu final est R=0.031 pour les 945 réflexions observées. La structure contient le cuivre en deux coordinations; elle est isotype de celle de l'andalousite et des minéraux du groupe de l'olivénite. Des chaînes d'octaèdres CuO₄(OH)₂ à arêtes mises en commun s'allongent parallèlement à l'axe c et elles sont reliées par des tétraèdres PO₄ à sommets communs de façon à former des tunnels dans cette direction. Les octaèdres, allongés à cause de l'effet Jahn-Teller, ont des sommets communs avec des paires de bipyramides trigonales à arêtes partagées qui occupent les tunnels. L'atome d'hydrogène, placé en x 0.43, y 0.73, z 0, donne une liaison hydrogène bifurquée.

(Traduit par la Rédaction)

INTRODUCTION

The orthorhombic mineral libethenite, Cu_2 -(PO₄)(OH), occurs in the oxidized zone of ore deposits associated with malachite, $Cu_2(CO_3)$ - OH)₂, azurite, Cu₈(CO₃)₂(OH)₂, pyromorphite, Pb₅(PO₄)₃Cl and limonite (Palache *et al.* 1951). The crystal structure was solved by Heritsch (1940) using intensities measured on two Weissenberg and two rotation photographs; a twodimensional refinement was given by Walitzi (1963b) using Weissenberg data. The structure of libethenite has been refined here to improve its *R* index and to confirm its isotypy with andalusite and minerals of the olivenite group.

EXPERIMENTAL

The transparent, light green crystals used in this study are from Libethen, Hungary. Bergemann (1858) reports the following composition for crystals from Libethen: CuO 66.29, P₂O₅ 26.46, As₂O₅ 2.3 and H₂O 4.04 wt.%, total 99.09 wt.%. Precession and Weissenberg photographs display systematic absences h0l, h+l=2n+1; 0kl, k+l=2n+1. As a piezoelectric effect has never been observed (Strunz 1936), libethenite is assumed to be centric, with the space group Pnnm. The cell parameters calculated from precession photographs agree well with those determined by a least-square refinement of 40 high-angle reflections measured on a single-crystal diffractometer. Cell parameters calculated from earlier precession photographs with different crystals agree with the values of Strunz (1936) and Walitzi (1963a), but the spots on these photographs are not uniform; the new values (Table 1) are thought to be more accurate. For the intensity-data collection, graphite-monochromatized MoK α radiation (λ 0.71069Å) was used on a four-circle diffractometer (modified Picker unit at CANMET, Ottawa). The reflections within a 2θ angle of 75° were measured for two octants in the θ -2 θ scan mode. The intensities of the 1131 reflections of one octant agreed well with the intensities of the reflections of the other octant. An absorption correction was applied assuming a spherical shape for the nearly equidimensional crystal (μ 11.391mm⁻¹; Gabe & O'Byrne 1970). The data were corrected for Lorentz, polarization and background effects and then reduced to structure factors, on a relative scale. A list of observed and calculated struc-

^{*}Present address: Department of Geology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 3J5.

MAJOR TRANSITION-METAL OXIDE MINERALS: THEIR ELECTRONIC STRUCTURES AND THE INTERPRETATION OF MINERALOGICAL PROPERTIES

DAVID J. VAUGHAN

Department of Geological Sciences, University of Aston in Birmingham, Birmingham B4 7ET, England

JOHN A. TOSSELL

Department of Chemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, U.S.A.

Abstract

Simplified electronic-structure models based on molecular orbital calculations are presented for hematite, magnetite, ilmenite, ulvöspinel and chromite. The "one-electron" MO/band-theory models are correlated with the properties of these minerals. Spectral reflectivity variations are interpreted from the energies of allowed electronic transitions involving both orbitals dominantly metal in character and those dominantly oxygen. The influence of electronic structure on limits of solid solution, Vickers hardness and thermochemical properties is also considered. In particular, the contribution to stability of the main bonding orbitals (chiefly metal 3d and oxygen 2p in character) is assessed. The electronic structures and mineral properties of wüstite, rutile and eskolaite are also briefly reviewed.

SOMMAIRE

Des modèles simplifiés de structure électronique fondés sur le calcul d'orbitales moléculaires sont présentés pour l'hématite, la magnétite, l'ilménite, l'ulvöspinel et la chromite. On établit une corrélation entre ces modèles et les propriétés des minéraux considérés. Les variations en réflectivité spectrale s'expliquent à partir des énergies de transitions électroniques permises qui impliquent à la fois les orbitales à caractère nettement métallique et celles dont le caractère dépend surtout de l'oxygène. La structure électronique exerce son influence sur les limites de solution solide, la dureté Vickers et les propriétés thermochimiques; en particulier, les orbites principales de liaisons (surtout métal 3d et oxygène 2p) contribuent à la stabilité. Les structures électroniques et propriétés minérales de la wüstite, du rutile et de l'eskolaïte sont passées en revue.

(Traduit par la Rédaction)

INTRODUCTION

Electronic structure (or 'chemical bonding') models are fundamental to an understanding of mineral properties, stabilities and occurrence, and to prediction of mineral behavior under various pressure and temperature conditions. Although a number of models of varying complexity may be proposed, we believe that an approach based on molecular orbital (MO) theory incorporating some elements of band theory is most useful to the mineralogist.

In recent years, improved methods of quantum-mechanical calculation and spectroscopic data of greater resolution have enabled more complex systems to be successfully modelled in this way. Such studies have included calculations on cluster units of transition-metal ions coordinated to oxygen (e.g., Tossell et al. 1973, 1974; Tossell 1976a,b). Such units combine to form the petrologically important oxide minerals hematite, magnetite, ilmenite, ulvöspinel and chromite. The object of this paper is to present simplified ("one-electron") models of the electronic structures of these minerals in the valence region and to show how they may be used to understand the properties of interest to the mineralogist. In particular, the quantitative oremicroscopic properties of reflectivity and Vickers microhardness will be considered as will, where possible, the relationship between electronic structure and crystal structure, magnetic and electrical properties, thermochemistry and solid solution behavior.

The electronic structure models described here are considered as providing an adequate description of the outer (valence) electrons of the oxides, despite the complexity of the systems. As Goodenough (1963, 1971) has stated, the outer electrons in such crystalline solids can be described by two limiting theories: the crystal- (or ligand-) field theory and the band theory. In crystal-field theory, the interactions between neighboring atoms are so weak that each electron remains *localized* at a discrete atomic position; band theory assumes that the interactions are so large that each electron is shared between the nuclei

154

TABLE 1. MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION

UNIT-CELL DIMENSIO	NS OF LIBETH	IENITE	
$\begin{array}{c} \underline{a} (\hat{A}) & \underline{b} (\hat{A}) \\ 8.10 & 8.45 \\ 8.11 & 8.47 \\ 8.061 & 8.388 \\ 8.062 & 8.384 \\ \pm .005 & .004 \end{array}$	<u>c (Å)</u> 5.91 Str 5.92 Wa 5.882 Th 5.881 Th .002	runz (1936) [†] litzi (1963a) is study, prece is study, diffi	ession ractometer
FINAL R FACTORS			
All 1131 refle	ections: weig unw	ghted <i>R</i> eighted <i>R</i>	0.055 0.047
945 unrejected	i reflection:	s: weighted R unweighted D	0.032 R 0.031
$R_{\omega} = [\Sigma \omega (]F_{ot}$	os - F _{calc}	$ \rangle^2 / \Sigma w F_{obs} ^2$	$\frac{1}{2}, w = 1$
$R = \Sigma(]F_{obs}]$	$ - F_{calc} $	$\sum[F_{obs}]$	
MISCELLANEOUS			
Space group Cell volume Z ^D calc	Pnrum 397.5 Å ³ 4 3.972 g/cm ³	Crystal size $^{\mu}_{Radiation}$ Monochromator	0.0053 mm 11.391 mm Μο <i>Κ</i> α C

[†] converted from kX units

ture factors is available, at a nominal charge, from the Depository of Unpublished Data, CISTI, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, Canada K1A 0S2.

REFINEMENT

The refinement process was carried out on the Burroughs B6700 at the Queen's University Computing Centre. The atomic X-ray scattering factors for Cu²⁺, P, O⁻ and H⁻ were taken from Volume IV of the International Tables for Xray Crystallography.

Walitzi (1963b) chose the position 2b (0,0, $\frac{1}{2}$) as the origin, whereas in this work 2a (0,0,0) is used. The positional parameters given by Walitzi (1963b) were used as input to the least-squares program RFINE (Finger 1969) taking into account the typographical error in Walitzi's paper for Cu(1). Its coordinates are given as x = 0, y 0.5, z 0.25 instead of x 0, y 0, z 0.25. Several cycles of coordinate and anisotropic temperature-factor refinement with incorrect dispersion corrections (those for $CuK\alpha$) led to an R of 6.3%. Three additional cycles using 945 reflections and the correct dispersion corrections (those for $MoK\alpha$) in the refinement procedure (Table 1) lowered R to 3.1%. Reflections with net intensity $I < 1.65\sigma(I)$ were classed as unobserved; 173 reflections were rejected. Thirteen additional reflections having ΔF greater than 5.0 were excluded from the final stages of the refinement process because no correction for extinction had been included. The standard deviation of an observation of unit weight for F_{obs} was 1.02 for the final cycle. The introduction of 2.3 wt. % As₂O₃, which Bergemann reported in the chemical analysis, did not improve the results of the refinement. At this stage, a difference map showed a small peak on the 2-fold axis in the expected position of the H atom. Introduction of the H position into the refinement did not reduce the R index, but the peak on the difference map disappeared. The hydrogen position is thus regarded as tentative and no errors are reported. All atomic parameters and anisotropic temperature factors are given in Table 2.

DISCUSSION OF THE STRUCTURE

The three important features of the libethenite structure are the anion polyhedra around Cu(1), Cu(2) and P. They are linked by either edge- or corner-sharing and run parallel to the c axis, producing a strong chain character. Cu(1) is octahedrally surrounded by six oxygen atoms (Table 3, Fig. 1). Four of the oxygen atoms occur at distances of less than 2.0Å; the O(1)

TABLE 2. ATOMIC POSITIONS AND ANISOTROPIC TEMPERATURE-FACTOR COEFFICIENTS ($\beta_{11} \times 10^{5} \text{Å}^2$)

Atom	x	y	8	β11	β ₂₂	βзз	β12	β13	β23
Cu(1)	0	0	0.24926(7)†	327(5)	379(4)	238(8)	-166(4)	0	0
Cu(2)	0.13828(6)	0.62472(6)	0	191(5)	345(5)	949(11)	21(4)	0	0
Р	0.23270(10)	0.24835(11)	0	171(9)	185(8)	322(16)	-23(7)	0	0
0(1)	0.34057(26)	0.26073(25)	0.21117(33)	564(25)	471(23)	490(42)	-253(20)	-285(26)	182(26)
0(2)	0.13289(33)	0.08893(31)	0	309(28)	257(26)	266(47)	-127(23)	0	0
0(3)	0.10212(36)	0.38378(36)	0	206(30)	236(28)	2464(112)	8(26)	0	0
0(4)≡0H	0.37622(32)	0.60288(30)	0	205(27)	256(27)	493(51)	7(22)	0	0
H	0.426	0.726	0	B = 1.79	92 Ų				

[†] Standard deviations are given in parentheses

Cu(1)-O(1) Cu(1)-O(2) Cu(1)-O(4)	2.3938(9)Å 1.9628(5) <u>1.9785(</u> 22)	×2 Cu(2)-0(1) ×2 Cu(2)-0(3) ×2 Cu(2)-0(3) Cu(2)-0(4)	2.0529(7)Å ×2 2.0410(1) 1.9394(14) 1.9270(43)
Mean	2.1117 A	Cu(2)-0(4) Moan	2 0026 8
P-0(1) P-0(2) P-0(3)	1.5200(5)Å 1.5602(5) <u>1.5484</u> (1)	×2 ^{nean}	2.0020 A
Mean	1.5371 Å		
Cu(1) octal	hedron		
$\begin{array}{c} 0(1) - 0(1)^*\\ 0(1) - 0(2)\\ 0(1) - 0(2)\\ 0(1) - 0(4)\\ 0(1) - 0(4)\\ 0(2) - 0(2)\\ 0(2) - 0(4)\\ 0(2) - 0(4)\\ 0(2) - 0(4)^*\\ 0(4) - 0(4) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 4.765 & \text{\AA} \\ 3.167 & \times 2 \\ 3.241 & \times 2 \\ 2.840 & \times 2 \\ 3.139 & \times 2 \\ 52.610 \\ 2.944 & \times 2 \\ 3.939 & \times 2 \\ 8 \\ \underline{52.638} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0(1)-Cu(1)-0(1)\\ 0(1)-Cu(1)-0(2)\\ 0(1)-Cu(1)-0(2)\\ 0(1)-Cu(1)-0(4)\\ 0(1)-Cu(1)-0(4)\\ 0(2)-Cu(1)-0(4)\\ 0(2)-Cu(1)-0(4)\\ 0(2)-Cu(1)-0(4)\\ 0(2)-Cu(1)-0(4)\\ 0(4)-Cu(1)-0(4)\\ \end{array}$)* 168.84(2)°) 92.72(4) ×2) 95.61(4) ×2) 80.39(11) ×2) 91.26(9) ×2) 83.36(2)) 96.65(8) ×2 * 176.00(8) ×2) <u>83.62(14)</u>
Mean	2.992 Å	Mean	90.02°
Cu(2) trigo	onal bipyramic	l	
0(1)-0(1) 0(1)-0(3) 0(1)-0(3) 0(1)-0(4) 0(3)-0(3) 0(3)-0(4) 0(3)-0(4)*	3.397 Å 2.966 ×2 3.618 ×2 2.773 ×2 \$2.551 2.874 <u>3.858</u>	0(1)-Cu(2)-0(1) 0(1)-Cu(2)-0(3) 0(1)-Cu(2)-0(3) 0(1)-Cu(2)-0(4) 0(3)-Cu(2)-0(4) 0(3)-Cu(2)-0(4) 0(3)-Cu(2)-0(4)) 111.66(3)°) 95.94(1) ×2) 124.17(1) ×2) 88.29(1) ×2) 79.68(1)) 92.76(1))* <u>172.44</u> (1)
Mean	3.179 Å	Mean	101.10°
P tetrahed	ron		
0(1)-0(1) 0(1)-0(2) 0(1)-0(3) 0(2)-0(3)	2.484 Å 2.534 ×2 2.510 ×2 <u>2.485</u>	0(1)-P-0(1) 0(1)-P-0(2) 0(1)-P-0(3) 0(2)-P-0(3)	109.61(4)° 110.71(2) ×2 109.82(4) ×2 <u>106.12</u> (9)
Mean	2.509 Å	Mean	109.30°
Bifurcated	hydrogen bond	<u>s</u>	
0(1)-0(1) 0(1)-0(4)	2.484 Å 2.840 ×2	0(1)-H-O(1) 0(1)-H-O(4)	66.75° 110.38 ×2
*		<u>^</u>	

TABLE 3. SELECTED INTERATOMIC DISTANCES AND ANGLES

value excluded from the average ^S shared edge Standard deviations are given in parentheses

atoms are 2.394Å from the central Cu atom and form the top and bottom of the octahedron. Chains of these strongly elongate and distorted octahedra run parallel to the c axis, alternately sharing O(2)-O(2) and O(4)-O(4) octahedral edges (Fig. 2). The linkage between these chains is formed by nearly regular PO4 tetrahedra. This arrangement results in Cu(2) atoms lying in channels that run parallel to the c axis. The Cu(2) atoms are five-coordinated with the oxygen atoms around them forming trigonal bipyramids. These unusual trigonal bipyramidal coordination polyhedra occur because the axial bonds of the Cu(1) octahedra are elongate due to the Jahn-Teller effect, very common for octahedral arrangements around copper atoms. The octahedra occur in layers at $z \sim \frac{1}{4}$ and $z \sim \frac{3}{4}$. and the linking phosphate tetrahedra and trigonal bipyramids around copper lie at z=0 and $z=\frac{1}{2}$. Two of the edge-sharing trigonal bipyramids within the channels provide the linkage between the phosphate levels at z=0 and $z=\frac{1}{2}$ (Fig. 2). The O(3)–O(3) edge is extremely contracted (2.55Å) in order to reduce the Cu(2)– Cu(2) repulsive interaction (*cf.*, Hawthorne 1976).

Due to the low residual of 3.1% in the present work, it is possible to propose a hydrogenatom position. Refining the coordinates of a peak on the difference map as a hydrogen-atom position did not improve the *R* index, but the peak on the difference map was smoothed. Other possible positions are excluded by geometrical restrictions. This model involves a bifurcated hydrogen bond from octahedral to phosphate oxygens (*cf.*, Moore & Araki 1974). The hydrogen atom, being on a two-fold rotor, is 1.11\AA away from O(4) and 2.26\AA from each of the O(1) atoms.

The relationship between the usual orientation of the olivenite group of minerals and the orientation of libethenite used in this study is given by $[t_{ij}]$, the relevant transformation matrix:

$$[t_{ij}] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ - & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Although the a axis would become longer than b, the structures have to be called isotypes. With

TABLE 4. MAGNITUDES AND ORIENTATIONS OF THE PRINCIPAL AXES OF THE THERMAL ELLIPSOIDS IN LIBETHENITE

AATS	RMS displacement	Angle +a	(in degree +b	es) to +c	Equivalent isotropic B
					0.748 Å ²
1	0.065 Å	90	90	0	
2	0.079	142	128	90	
3	0.134	52	142	90	
_		_			0.927
1	0.079	7	97	90	
2	0.111	97	173	90	
5	0.129	30	50	U	
-	0 070	00	C 7		0.470
2	0.072	29	61 00	190	
3	0.084	119	29	90	
					1 156
1	0.071	64	91	26	1.150
ż	0.096	56	38	105	
3	0.172	135	53	69	
					0.631
1	0.068	90	90	0	
2	0.073	132	138	90	
3	0.119	42	132	90	
					1.536
1	0.082	9	. 99	90	
2	0.092	99 90	171	90	
5	0.200	50	30	0	
1	0.002	6	06	00	0.645
2	0.082	90	90	180	
3	0.096	84	6	90	
	123 123 123 123 123 123 123	displacement 1 0.065 Å 2 0.079 3 0.134 1 0.079 2 0.111 3 0.129 1 0.072 2 0.075 3 0.084 1 0.071 2 0.096 3 0.172 1 0.068 2 0.073 3 0.119 1 0.082 2 0.092 3 0.208 1 0.082 2 0.096	displacement $+a$ 1 0.065 Å 90 2 0.079 142 3 0.134 52 1 0.079 7 2 0.111 97 3 0.129 90 1 0.072 29 2 0.075 90 3 0.084 119 1 0.071 64 2 0.096 56 3 0.172 135 1 0.068 90 2 0.073 132 3 0.119 42 1 0.082 9 2 0.092 99 3 0.208 90 1 0.082 6 2 0.093 90 3 0.096 84	displacement $+a$ $+b$ 1 0.065 Å 90 90 2 0.079 142 128 3 0.134 52 142 1 0.079 7 97 2 0.111 97 173 3 0.129 90 90 1 0.072 29 61 2 0.075 90 90 3 0.084 119 29 1 0.071 64 91 2 0.073 132 138 3 0.172 135 53 1 0.068 90 90 2 0.073 132 138 3 0.119 42 132 1 0.082 9 90 2 0.092 99 171 3 0.208 90 90 1 0.082 6 96 2 0.093 90 90 3 0.208 90	displacement $+a$ $+b$ $+c$ 1 0.065 Å 90 90 0 2 0.079 142 128 90 3 0.134 52 142 90 1 0.079 7 97 90 2 0.111 97 173 90 3 0.129 90 90 0 1 0.072 29 61 90 2 0.075 90 90 180 3 0.084 119 29 90 1 0.071 64 91 26 2 0.096 56 38 105 3 0.172 135 53 69 1 0.068 90 90 0 2 0.092 99 171 90 3 0.208 90 90 0 2 0.093 90 0 0

Equivalent isotropic B = $\frac{4}{3} \sum_{i,j} \sum_{i,j} (a_i \cdot a_j)$ (Hamilton 1959)



FIG. 1. The crystal structure of libethenite projected down the c axis.



FIG. 2. A section of the crystal structure of libethenite projected down the a axis.

C

respect to the isotypy, the hydrogen atom position should be comparable as well. Hawthorne (1976) did not report any hydrogen-atom position in adamite but he proposes hydrogen bonding between O(4) and O(1) with an H-O(1) distance of 2.27Å (in the nomenclature of this paper).

Table 4 presents the ellipsoids of thermal vibration for each atom. Except for the O(1) atom, all atoms lie on special positions with one vibration axis parallel to the *c* axis and the remaining two constrained to the *a-b* plane (*cf.*, Hawthorne 1976).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to Dr. L. G. Berry for his help and for the material, to Dr. J. D. Scott for assistance with the computing and to the Department of Energy, Mines and Resources (CANMET, Crystal Structure Section) for cooperation in the collection of the X-ray intensity data. Financial support was provided by Queen's University through a German Academic Exchange Scholarship.

REFERENCES

- BERGEMANN, C. (1858): Bemerkungen über phosphorsaures Kupferoxyd. Poggend. Annal. Phys. Chem. 104, 190-192.
- FINGER, L. W. (1969): *RFINE*. A Fortran IV computer program for structure factor calculation and least-squares refinement of crystal structures. Geophys. Lab., Carnegie Inst. Wash. (unpubl.).

- GABE, E. J. & O'BYRNE, T. (1970): An absorption correction program for the PDP-8. Amer. Cryst. Assoc. Summer Meet., Abstr. A4.
- HAMILTON, W. C. (1959): On the isotropic temperature factor equivalent to a given anisotropic temperature factor. Acta Cryst. 12, 609-610.
- HAWTHORNE, F. C. (1976): A refinement of the crystal structure of adamite. *Can. Mineral.* 14, 143-148.
- HERITSCH, H. (1940): Die Struktur des Libethenites Cu₂(OH)[PO₄]. Z. Krist. 102, 1-12.
- IBERS, J. A. & HAMILTON, W. C. (eds.) (1974): International Tables for X-ray Crystallography. III. Physical and Chemical Tables; IV. Revised and Supplementary Tables. Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England.
- MOORE, P. B. & ARAKI, T. (1974): Trolleite, Al₄-(OH)₃[PO₄]₃: A very dense structure with octahedral face-sharing dimers. *Amer. Mineral.* 59, 974-984.
- PALACHE, C., BERMAN, H. & FRONDEL, C. (1951): The System of Mineralogy 2, 7th ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- STRUNZ, H. (1936): Vergleichende röntgenographische und morphologische Untersuchung von Andalusit (AlO)AlSiO₄, Libethenit (CuOH)CuPO₄ und Adamin (ZnOH)ZnAsO₄. Z. Krist. 94, 60-73.
- WALITZI, E. M. (1963a): Die Raumgruppe von Libethenit und Olivenit. Tschermaks mineral. petrogr. Mitt (3. Folge) 8, 275-280.
- (1963b): Strukturverfeinerung von Libethenit Cu₂(OH)PO₄. Tschermaks mineral. petrogr. Mitt. (3. Folge) 8, 614-624.
- Received April 1977; revised manuscript accepted January 1978.