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#### Abstract

The new mineral species boscardinite was discovered in the barite - pyrite - iron oxides deposit of Monte Arsiccio, near Sant'Anna di Stazzema, in the Apuan Alps, Tuscany, Italy. It forms a millimetric compact mass in a quartz vein embedded in dolomitic rocks. Other associated sulfides are stibnite and zinkenite. Boscardinite is metallic grey. Under the ore microscope, it is white; pleochroism is not discernible. Anisotropism is distinct, with an ubiquitous polysynthetic twinning; rotation tints are in shades of grey. Minimum and maximum reflectance data for COM wavelengths [ $\lambda$ ( nm ), $\left.\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{air}}(\%)\right]$ are: 470: 33.8/39.3, 546: $32.1 / 38.0,589: 31.2 / 36.9,650: 29.7 / 35.3$. The hardness was not measured owing to the scarcity of the available material. Electron-microprobe analyses of two samples gave (wt. \%, result mean of five analyses): 1) sample 4977: Ag 1.48(4), Tl 9.72(26), $\mathrm{Pb} 23.36(20)$, $\mathrm{Sb} 35.25(60)$, As 5.78(10), S 22.14(45), Se 0.04(1), total 97.77(90); 2) sample 4989: Ag 1.37(7), Tl 8.96(19), Pb $25.74(20)$, $\mathrm{Sb} 33.46(32)$, As 6.54(8), S 22.08(29), Se 0.01(1), total 98.16(63). On the basis of $\Sigma M e=14 \mathrm{apfu}$, they lead to the formulae $\mathrm{Ag}_{0.36} \mathrm{Tl}_{1.23} \mathrm{~Pb}_{2.92}\left(\mathrm{Sb}_{7.50} \mathrm{As}_{2.00}\right)_{\sum 9.50} \mathrm{~S}_{17.88} \mathrm{Se}_{0.01}$ and $\mathrm{Ag}_{0.33} \mathrm{Tl}_{1.13} \mathrm{~Pb}_{3.20}\left(\mathrm{Sb}_{7.09} \mathrm{As}_{2.25}\right)_{\sum 9.34} \mathrm{~S}_{17.76}$, respectively. A single-crystal X-ray study of boscardinite indicates triclinic symmetry, space group $P \overline{1}$, with a 8.0929(4), $b$ 8.7610(5), $c 22.4971(11) \AA, \alpha$ 90.868(4), $\beta$ 97.247(4), $\gamma 90.793(4)^{\circ}, V 1582.0(2) \AA^{3}, Z=2$. The $d$ values ( $\AA$ ) of the main powder-diffraction lines, corresponding to multiple $h k l$ indices, are (relative intensity visually estimated): $3.705(\mathrm{~ms}), 3.540(\mathrm{~ms}), 3.479(\mathrm{~m}), 3.085(\mathrm{~m}), 2.977(\mathrm{~ms})$, 2.824 (vs), 2.707 (s), $2.324(\mathrm{~ms})$, and $2.176(\mathrm{~ms})$. Boscardinite is the Tl-Sb homeotype of baumhauerite; its crystal structure has been solved by X-ray single-crystal study on the basis of 4319 observed reflections with a final $R_{1}=0.045$. It can be described in the sartorite homologous series as formed by the $1: 1$ alternation of sartorite-type and dufrénoysite-type layers. The simplified structural formula is based on 18 sulfur atoms and can ideally be written as $\mathrm{TlPb}_{4}\left(\mathrm{Sb}_{7} \mathrm{As}_{2}\right)_{\Sigma_{9}} \mathrm{~S}_{18}$. The name boscardinite honors Matteo Boscardin for his contribution to knowledge of the regional mineralogy of Italy.
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## Sommaire

La nouvelle espèce minérale boscardinite a été découverte dans le gisement à barite, pyrite et oxydes de fer de Monte Arsiccio, près de Sant'Anna di Stazzema, dans les Alpes Apuanes, Toscane, en Italie. Elle forme un agrégat millimétrique dans une veine de quartz au sein de dolomies. Elle est associée à stibine et zinkénite. La boscardinite est noire à éclat métallique; sous le microscope, elle paraît incolore, sans pléochroïsme visible. Son anisotropie est distincte, avec une polarisation dans les tons gris, et révèle un maclage polysynthétique ubiquiste. Les réflectances minimales et maximales aux longueurs d'onde de la COM sont $\left[\lambda(\mathrm{nm}), \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{air}}(\%)\right]: 470: 33.8 / 39.3,546: 32.1 / 38.0,589: 31.2 / 36.9,650: 29.7 / 35.3$. La microdureté n'a pu être mesurée du fait de la rareté du produit. L'analyse à la microsonde de deux échantillons donne (en pourcentages pondéraux, moyenne

[^0]de cinq analyses pour chaque échantillon): 1) no. 4977: $\mathrm{Ag} 1.48(4), \mathrm{Tl} 9.72(26), \mathrm{Pb} 23.36(20), \mathrm{Sb} 35.25(60)$, As 5.78(10), S 22.14(45), Se 0.04(1), total 97.77(90); 2) no. 4989: Ag 1.37(7), Tl 8.96(19), Pb 25.74(20), Sb 33.46(32), As 6.54(8), S 22.08(29), Se 0.01(1), total 98.16(63). Sur la base de $\Sigma M e=14 \mathrm{apfu}$, les deux formules sont respectivement $\mathrm{Ag}_{0.36} \mathrm{Tl}_{1.23} \mathrm{~Pb}_{2.92}\left(\mathrm{Sb}_{7.50}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{As}_{2.00}\right)_{\Sigma 9.50} \mathrm{~S}_{17.88} \mathrm{Se}_{0.01}$ et $\mathrm{Ag}_{0.33} \mathrm{Tl}_{1.13} \mathrm{~Pb}_{3.20}\left(\mathrm{Sb}_{7.09} \mathrm{As}_{2.25}\right)_{\sum 9.34} \mathrm{~S}_{17.76}$. L'étude aux rayons X sur monocristal donne une symétrie triclinique, groupe spatial $P \overline{1}$, avec $a 8.0929(4), b 8.7610(5), c 22.4971(11) \AA, \alpha 90.868(4), \beta 97.247(4)$, $\gamma 90.793(4)^{\circ}$, $V 1582.0(2) \AA^{3}, Z=2$. Les raies les plus intenses du diagramme des rayons $X$ sur poudre sont ( $d$ en $\AA$, intensités relatives, estimation visuelle): $3.705(\mathrm{~ms}), 3.540(\mathrm{~ms}), 3.479(\mathrm{~m}), 3.085(\mathrm{~m}), 2.977(\mathrm{~ms}), 2.824(\mathrm{vs}), 2.707(\mathrm{~s}), 2.324(\mathrm{~ms})$ et $2.176(\mathrm{~ms})$. La boscardinite est l'homéotype à $\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{Sb}$ de la baumhauerite. Sa structure cristalline a été résolue par rayons X sur monocristal sur la base de 4319 réflexions indépendantes, avec $R_{1}=0.045$. C'est un membre de la série homologue de la sartorite formé de l'alternance dans un rapport $1: 1$ de couches de types sartorite et dufrénoysite. Sa formule structurale simplifiée, basée sur 18 atomes de soufre, est $\mathrm{TlPb}_{4}\left(\mathrm{Sb}_{7} \mathrm{As}_{2}\right)_{\Sigma 9} \mathrm{~S}_{18}$. Le nom boscardinite honore Matteo Boscardin pour sa contribution à la connaissance de la minéralogie régionale italienne.

Mots-clés: boscardinite, nouvelle espèce minérale, sulfosel, thallium, plomb, antimoine, arsenic, mine de Monte Arsiccio, Alpes Apuanes, Toscane, Italie.

## Introduction

The Southern Apuan Alps are characterized by the presence of small barite - pyrite - iron oxides deposits (Fig. 1); among them, the most important are those that were exploited at the Buca della Vena and the Monte Arsiccio mines. These ores are embedded either in the metavolcanic - metasedimentary sequence known as Scisti di Fornovolasco Formation, or at the contact between this formation and lenses of dolostones and marbles. Whereas the mineralogy of the Buca della Vena mine has been well known since the first description of the new oxysulfides apuanite and versiliaite (Mellini et al. 1979), the other barite - pyrite - iron oxides deposits of Apuan Alps have so far been neglected by mineralogists. Recently, new data were acquired on the mineralogy of the Fornovolasco mine (Biagioni et al. 2008, 2011) and the Monte Arsiccio mine (see below). The latter is located near the town of Sant'Anna di Stazzema and was the last barite mine to be exploited in the Apuan Alps.

Information about the geological setting and the genesis of the Monte Arsiccio ore deposit has been published by various authors (Carmignani et al. 1976, Cortecci et al. 1985, Orberger 1985, Costagliola et al. 1990). In these works, little attention was paid to the mineralogy of the deposit, notwithstanding the close similarity between this and the Buca della Vena mine. For this reason, a study of the mineralogy of the Monte Arsiccio mine was recently started; it allowed the identification and characterization of some rare minerals, e.g., ankangite: Biagioni et al. (2009), benstonite: Biagioni \& Orlandi (2010), chabournéite: Bonaccorsi et al. (2010), cymrite: Biagioni \& Orlandi (2010), associated with more common species, like anatase, an apatite-group mineral, arsenopyrite, barite, dolomite, "hyalophane", pyrite, quartz, siderite, sphalerite, stibnite, tetrahedrite, valentinite, and zinkenite.

Our aim in this paper is to describe the mineral boscardinite, a new sulfosalt from the Monte Arsiccio mine (latitude $43^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, longitude $10^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; Fig. 1).

The mineral and its name have been approved by the CNMNC-IMA, under the number 2010-079. The holotype specimen of boscardinite is deposited in the mineralogical collection of the Museo di Storia Naturale e del Territorio, Università di Pisa, Via Roma 79, Calci (PI), Italy, with catalogue number 19349. Boscardinite honors Matteo Boscardin (b. 1939), a mineral collector, for his contribution to the knowledge of regional mineralogy in Italy through the publication of over 100 papers.

## GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The barite - pyrite - iron oxides deposit of Monte Arsiccio is located in the northeastern portion of the Sant'Anna tectonic window (Fig. 1). In this area, metamorphic rocks of the Apuan and Fornovolasco-Panie units outcrop through the non-metamorphic sedimentary formations belonging to the Tuscan Nappe.

The deposit of the Monte Arsiccio mine is an almost conformable lens characterized by a distinct zoning, with pyrite + barite at the bottom, and iron oxides (magnetite and hematite) + barite at the top, with accessory sulfides and sulfosalts (Carmignani et al. 1976, Costagliola et al. 1990). The mineralization is hosted at the contact between phyllites of the Scisti di Fornovolasco Formation (belonging to the Forno-volasco-Panie Unit), probably related to the Paleozoic basement of the Apuan Unit (Pandeli et al. 2004), and Triassic dolostones of the Grezzoni Formation. The ore shows evidence of metamorphic deformation and recrystallization at macro- and microscopic levels. Carmignani et al. $(1972,1976)$ considered this ore deposit as the product of metasomatic replacement of carbonate rocks, genetically linked to a hypothetical synkinematic intrusive body. Owing to the absence of field evidence related to the occurrence of Tertiary magmatism along the Apuan Alps, Costagliola et al. (1990) proposed a different genetic model: the deposit was formed as a result of primary Triassic sedimen-tary-diagenetic mineralization, which was subsequently


Fig. 1. The barite - pyrite - iron oxides deposits of the southern Apuan Alps, Tuscany, Italy.
metamorphosed and partly remobilized during the Tertiary Apenninic orogeny.

## Occurrence and Mineral Description

Boscardinite was identified in a sample collected in the Sant'Olga level ( 525 m a.s.l.); in the holotype specimen, it occurs in a quartz vein embedded in a dolostone, associated with zinkenite. During this study, other sulfosalts were identified in the veins crosscutting the dolostones of the Sant'Olga level: Hg-rich "andorite", boulangerite, chabournéite, jamesonite, robinsonite, and zinkenite.

Boscardinite occurs as a millimeter-sized leadgrey mass with a metallic luster. It is brittle, with a conchoidal fracture; the streak is black. Under the ore microscope, it appears white, without internal reflections. Pleochroism is not discernible; the bireflectance is distinct. With crossed polars, the anisotropism is
distinct, with rotation tints assuming different shades of grey. All crystals show a well-developed polysynthetic twinning (Fig. 2), similar to that observed in baumhauerite (Picot \& Johan 1977). The reflectance has been measured in air with WTiC as a standard. Table 1 and Figure 3 give the reflectance values of boscardinite. The Vickers hardness and the density were not measured owing to the paucity of available material. On the basis of crystallographic data and the crystal-structure-based formula, the calculated density is $5.355 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$.

## Chemical Analysis

Two fragments of boscardinite (samples 4977 and 4989) were analyzed with a CAMEBAX SX50 electron microprobe (BRGM-CNRS-University common laboratory, Orléans, France). The operating conditions were: accelerating voltage 20 kV , beam current 20 nA , beam size $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The standards (element, emission line,
counting times for one spot analysis) are: pyrite ( $\mathrm{SK} \mathrm{\alpha}$, 20 s), stibnite ( $\mathrm{Sb} L \alpha, 20 \mathrm{~s}$ ), AsGa (AsL $\alpha, 30 \mathrm{~s}$ ), Ag metal ( $\mathrm{Ag} L \alpha, 20 \mathrm{~s}$ ), Se element ( $\mathrm{Se} L \alpha, 30 \mathrm{~s}$ ), galena ( $\mathrm{Pb} M \alpha, 20 \mathrm{~s}$ ), lorandite ( $\mathrm{Tl} M \alpha, 20 \mathrm{~s}$ ).

Results of chemical analyses of the two different fragments of boscardinite analyzed are given in Table 2. Sample 4977 corresponds to the chemical formula $\mathrm{Ag}_{0.36} \mathrm{Tl}_{1.23} \mathrm{~Pb}_{2.92}\left(\mathrm{Sb}_{7.50} \mathrm{As}_{2.00}\right)_{\Sigma 9.50} \mathrm{~S}_{17.88} \mathrm{Se}_{0.01}$, whereas sample 4989 has a chemical formula $\mathrm{Ag}_{0.33} \mathrm{Tl}_{1.13} \mathrm{~Pb}_{3.20}\left(\mathrm{Sb}_{7.09} \mathrm{As}_{2.25}\right)_{\Sigma 9.34} \mathrm{~S}_{17.76}$. Each of the two groups of analytical data seems to be very homogeneous.

## CRySTALLOGRAPHY

The powder X-ray-diffraction pattern of boscardinite from the Monte Arsiccio mine was obtained using a Gandolfi camera 114.6 mm in diameter, with Ni-filtered $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation. The observed X-ray powder pattern is compared with the calculated one (obtained using the software Powdercell: Kraus \& Nolze 2000) in Figure 4 and reported in Table 3 ; in this table, the calculated reflections with relative intensities below 7 (over 100) were omitted, if not observed. The relative intensities of the main measured lines do not fit exactly those of the calculated ones. The discrepancies may be due to the convolution of different peaks: for example, the most intense calculated reflection should be that at $3.550 \AA$ ( $\overline{1} 06$ ), whereas the most intense observed reflection is at $2.824 \AA$. Probably the superposition of at least eight different reflections around the $d_{h k l}=2.82 \AA$ makes the intensity of this observed peak higher than that calculated. Cell parameters were not refined from the
powder-diffraction data because of the multiplicity of indices for the majority of diffraction lines.

For the X-ray single-crystal study, the intensity data were collected using the sample 4977 at the CIADS (Centro Interdipartimentale di Analisi e Determinazione Strutturale) of the University of Siena (Italy), using an Oxford Xcalibur diffractometer with a Sapphire 3 CCD detector, with $\mathrm{Mo} K \alpha$ radiation. The detector-to-crystal working distance was 45 mm . The data were corrected for the Lorentz and polarization factors; an analytical numerical-absorption correction using a multifaceted crystal model was applied (Clark \& Reid 1995). The refined unit-cell parameters were obtained from 3767 reflections collected in the $2 \theta$ range $4.5-58.5^{\circ}$. Three datasets of 218 images were collected for 15 seconds by performing $1^{\circ} \omega$-scans at different $\phi$ angles $\left(42^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}\right.$, $-180^{\circ}$, with the detector set at $\theta=-18^{\circ}$ ).

TABLE 1. REFLECTANCE OF BOSCARDINITE IN AIR

| $I(\mathrm{~nm})$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\min }(\%)$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\max }(\%)$ | $I(\mathrm{~nm})$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\min }(\%)$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\max }(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400 | 34.6 | 37.7 | 560 | 31.9 | 37.8 |
| 420 | 34.6 | 40.1 | 580 | 31.6 | 37.3 |
| 440 | 34.2 | 39.4 | 589 | 31.2 | 36.9 |
| 460 | 33.8 | 39.5 | 600 | 31.0 | 36.9 |
| $\mathbf{4 7 0}$ | 33.8 | 39.3 | 620 | 30.3 | 36.0 |
| 480 | 34.1 | 39.3 | 640 | 29.8 | 35.5 |
| 500 | 33.5 | 38.8 | 650 | $\mathbf{2 9 . 7}$ | 35.3 |
| 520 | 32.7 | 38.5 | 660 | 29.4 | 35.1 |
| 540 | 32.3 | 38.2 | 680 | 28.4 | 34.3 |
| $\mathbf{5 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 0}$ | 700 | 28.5 | 34.0 |



Fig. 2. Anhedral crystals of boscardinite, showing polysynthetic twinning. Reflected light, crossed polars.


Fig. 3. Reflectance curves of boscardinite in air.


Fig. 4. Observed (grey) and calculated (black) X-ray powder diagram of boscardinite.

The refined cell parameters are $a 8.0929(4), b$ 8.7610(5), c 22.4971(11) A. $\alpha$ 90.868(4), $\beta$ 97.247(4), $\gamma 90.793(4)^{\circ}, V 1582.0(2) \AA^{3}$. In order to search for a possible superstructure, a fragment of boscardinite was used to collect diffraction patterns at the Elettra synchrotron radiation facility, at Basovizza, Trieste, Italy; these patterns did not show any evidence of superstructure reflections.

The statistical tests on the distribution of $|\mathrm{E}|$ values $\left(\mathrm{IE}^{2}-1 \mid=0.979\right)$ indicate the possible presence of an inversion center. Therefore, the crystal structure was solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick 2008) in the space group $P \overline{1}$. In a first step, the maxima related to four $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl})$ sites were found; successive
difference-Fourier maps allowed the introduction of the remaining ten independent $(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As} \ldots$ ) sites and the S sites. For more clarity, Figure 5 represents the projection of the unit cell along $\mathbf{b}$, according to the result of the following refinement process. All atoms are on general positions (multiplicity 2 ). The next step was to refine the occupancy of cation sites. The ( $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As} . .$. ) sites were differentiated as four pure Sb sites, three mixed ( $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As}$ ), one ( $\mathrm{As}, \mathrm{Sb}$ ) sites, and two mixed sites with major Sb together with Pb or Ag . The difference-Fourier map suggested the possible splitting of these two last sites; adding these maxima and refining the structure, the $R$ value dropped significantly, confirming the presence of split $(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Pb})$ and $(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Ag})$ sites, namely the $\mathrm{Sb} 9 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{Pb} 9 \mathrm{~b}$
and $\mathrm{Sb} 10 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{Ag} 10 \mathrm{~b}$ pairs, respectively. For each pair, the sum of their occupancies was constrained to 1 .

The last step was the filling of $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl})$ sites. On the basis of the difference-Fourier map, one mixed $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl})$ site was split into two subpositions, namely $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}) 2 \mathrm{a}$ and $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}) 2 \mathrm{~b}$. Owing to the very similar scattering factors of Tl and Pb , the occupancies of $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl})$ sites were refined on the sole basis of bond-valence calculations (Brese \& O'Keeffe 1991). For each (Pb,Tl) position, the bond-valence sum has been calculated separately for Pb and Tl , and then the $\mathrm{Pb}: \mathrm{Tl}$ ratio has been adjusted in order that the bond-valence sum of the mixed position approximates the valence deduced from the $\mathrm{Pb}: \mathrm{Tl}$ ratio, together with the constraints of the charge equilibrium of the final structure-based formula. As a result, the structure of boscardinite presents one

TABLE 2. THE COMPOSITION OF BOSCARDINITE

| Sample | 4977 | 4989 |  | 4977 | 4989 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ag wt\% | $1.48(4)$ | $1.37(7)$ | Ag apfu | $0.36(1)$ | $0.33(2)$ |
| Tl | $9.72(26)$ | $8.96(19)$ | Tl | $1.23(4)$ | $1.13(2)$ |
| Pb | $23.36(20)$ | $25.74(20)$ | Pb | $2.92(2)$ | $3.20(3)$ |
| Sb | $35.25(60)$ | $33.46(32)$ | Sb | $7.50(7)$ | $7.09(6)$ |
| As | $5.78(10)$ | $6.54(8)$ | As | $2.00(3)$ | $2.25(3)$ |
| S | $22.14(45)$ | $22.08(29)$ | S | $17.88(24)$ | $17.76(22)$ |
| Se | $0.04(1)$ | $0.01(1)$ | Se | $0.013(5)$ | $0.003(5)$ |
| Total | $97.77(90)$ | $98.16(63)$ | $\mathrm{Ev}^{*}$ | $0.4(1.2)$ | $1.0(1.3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Relative error on valence equilibrium (\%), calculated as [ $\Sigma($ val + ) $-\Sigma($ val -$)]$ $\times 100 / \Sigma$ (val-). Data on the chemical composition were acquired with an electron microprobe and are the average result of five analyses in each case. The data are expressed in wt\% on the left, and recalculated in terms of atoms per formula unit (apfu) on the basis of $\Sigma M e=14 a p f u$.
pure Pb 4 site, one $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}) 3$, one $(\mathrm{Tl}, \mathrm{Pb}) 1$, and a split one, $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}) 2 \mathrm{a},(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}) 2 \mathrm{~b}$. The refinement converged to $R_{1}=0.045$ for 4319 reflections with $F_{\mathrm{o}}>4 \sigma F_{\mathrm{o}}$ and 0.062 for all 5855 reflections. Table 4 summarizes the crystal data and the parameters of data collection and crystal-structure refinement. Coordinates of the atoms and selected bond-distances are reported in Tables 5 and 6 , respectively. A table of structure factors and a cif file are available from the Depository of Unpublished Data on the MAC website [document Boscardinite CM50_235].

## Crystal-Structure Description

## General features

Figure 6 presents the general organization of the crystal structure. Boscardinite is homeotypic with baumhauerite (space group $P \overline{1}$ according to Le Bihan (1962), or $P 1$ according to Engel \& Nowacki 1969). It belongs to the sartorite homologous series (Makovicky 1985), and it can be described as formed by the $1: 1$ alternation, along $\mathbf{c}$, of two layers, one of the sartorite type $(\mathrm{N}=3)$, the second of the dufrénoysite type $(\mathrm{N}=$ $4)$, connected by $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl})$ atoms with trigonal prismatic coordination (Fig. 5). The sartorite layer is flanked by mixed ( $\mathrm{Tl}, \mathrm{Pb}$ ) sites, whereas the dufrénoysite layer is flanked by pure Pb and mixed $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl})$ sites.

Within the layers, all sites correspond to pure Sb or mixed $(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As})$ sites, with the exception of the alternation, along $\mathbf{b}$, in the dufrénoysite layer, of one $(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Pb})$ site with one $(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Ag})$ site. Such an equivalent $(\mathrm{As}, \mathrm{Pb}),(\mathrm{As}, \mathrm{Ag})$ pair in the same configuration has been described in the constitutive layer of rathite, $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{~Pb}_{12-x}$ $\mathrm{Tl}_{x / 2} \mathrm{As}_{18+x / 2} \mathrm{~S}_{40}$ (Berlepsch et al. 2002).


Fig. 5. Unit cell of the structure of boscardinite, as seen down [010]. In order of decreasing size: ( $\mathrm{Tl}, \mathrm{Pb}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}$ ): greyblack, Pb : dark grey, Sb : grey, ( $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As):} \mathrm{site} \mathrm{sectors} \mathrm{grey} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{light} \mathrm{grey}, \mathrm{respectively}$,Ag : white with thick black border, S : white with thin border.

## Cation coordination and site occupancies

Site Pb 4 is occupied by Pb only. Occupancies of $(\mathrm{Tl}, \mathrm{Pb}) 1$ and $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}) 3$ mixed sites are $\mathrm{Tl}_{0.80} \mathrm{~Pb}_{0.20}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}_{0.80} \mathrm{Tl}_{0.20}$, respectively (Table 5): the minor amount of Pb substituting for Tl in the first site equals the amount of Tl substituting for Pb in the second one. The $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}) 2 \mathrm{a},(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}) 2 \mathrm{~b}$ split pair has occupancies $\left(\mathrm{Pb}_{0.50} \mathrm{Tl}_{0.12}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{Pb}_{0.27} \mathrm{Tl}_{0.11}\right)$, respectively. This choice of two mixed $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl})$ subpositions is the result of bondvalence calculations (Table 7). Another hypothesis
would be to consider that each position corresponds either to Pb (2a) or to Tl (2b), taking into account the relative sizes of their ionic radius. This hypothesis cannot be excluded, although all the Pb present cannot be placed at a single subposition (i.e., 2a). One must have in mind that not only the $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}) 2$ position is split, but also potentially all S positions around it. As X-ray data do not permit to resolve such split $S$ positions, this uncertainty prevents from refining precisely $\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}$ partitioning between $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}) 2 \mathrm{a}$ and $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}) 2 \mathrm{~b}$ subpositions.

TABLE 3. OBSERVED AND CALCULATED X-RAY POWDER-DIFFRACTION DATA FOR BOSCARDINITE

| $I_{\text {meas }}$ | $d_{\text {meas }}(\AA)$ | $I_{\text {calc }}$ | $d_{\text {calc }}(\AA)$ | $h$ | $k$ | 1 | $I_{\text {meas }}$ | $d_{\text {meas }}(\AA)$ | $I_{\text {calc }}$ | $d_{\text {calc }}(\AA)$ | $h$ | $k$ | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 15 | 11.16 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 11 | 2.872 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| vw | 7.5 | 9 | 7.44 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |  | 13 | 2.860 | 1 | $\overline{2}$ | 5 |
| vw | 5.8 | 8 | 5.84 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 38 | 2.851 | $\overline{2}$ | 2 | 3 |
| vw | 4.50 |  |  |  |  |  | vs | 2.824 | 25 | 2.842 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| VW | 4.44 | 7 | 4.463 | 0 | 0 | 5 | vs | 2.824 | 67 | 2.833 | $\overline{2}$ | $\overline{2}$ | 3 |
| w | 4.29 | 25 | 4.311 | 0 | $\overline{2}$ | 1 |  |  | 52 | 2.824 | 2 | $\overline{2}$ | 2 |
|  | 4.29 | 12 | 4.284 | 0 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 15 | 2.813 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
|  | 4.11 | 31 | 4.128 | 万 | 0 | 5 |  |  | 45 | 2.769 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| mw |  | \\| 12 | 4.100 | 0 | $\overline{2}$ | 2 |  |  | 9 | 2.746 | $\overline{1}$ | 3 | 1 |
| mw | 4.04 | 47 | 4.040 | $\overline{2}$ | 0 | 1 |  |  | \\| 45 | 2.725 | $\overline{2}$ | 2 | 4 |
|  |  | 12 | 4.004 | 0 | $\overline{1}$ | 5 |  |  | \\| 9 | 2.720 | 1 | , | 7 |
|  |  | 10 | 3.938 | $\overline{2}$ | 0 | 2 | s | 2.707 | \|| 44 | 2.717 | $\overline{2}$ | $\overline{2}$ | 4 |
| w | 3.864 | 45 | 3.866 | 2 | 0 | 1 | s | 2.707 | 8 | 2.694 | $\overline{1}$ | 3 | 2 |
|  |  | 13 | 3.802 | 0 | $\overline{2}$ | 3 |  |  | \|| 29 | 2.693 | 2 | $\overline{2}$ | 3 |
|  |  | 9 | 3.784 | 1 | $\overline{2}$ | 1 |  |  | \| 10 | 2.680 | $\overline{3}$ | 0 | 2 |
|  |  | 15 | 3.746 | 0 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 9 | 2.639 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  |  | 26 | 3.735 | $\overline{2}$ | 0 | 3 | w | 2.621 | 6 | 2.626 | $\overline{3}$ | 0 | 3 |
| ms | 3.705 | 32 | 3.710 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 5 | 2.618 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 62 | 3.707 | 1 | 0 | 5 | w | 2.539 | 7 | 2.537 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
|  |  | 17 | 3.699 | $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{2}$ | 2 | w | 2.539 | 7 | 2.530 | $\frac{3}{1}$ | 0 | 2 |
|  |  | 8 | 3.633 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  | 2.441 | \| 17 | 2.458 | $\overline{1}$ | 0 | 9 |
|  |  | 55 | 3.606 | 1 | $\overline{2}$ | 2 | w | 2.441 | \| 12 | 2.435 | $\overline{3}$ | 0 | 5 |
| ms | 3.540 | \|100 | 3.550 | T | 0 | 6 |  | 2.356 | 40 | 2.371 | 0 | $\overline{2}$ | 8 |
|  |  | \\| 63 | 3.533 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 13 | 2.338 | $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{2}$ | 8 |
|  |  | 25 | 3.506 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 53 | 2.335 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| m | 3.479 | 32 | 3.500 | T | 2 | 3 | ms | 2.324 | 12 | 2.314 | $\overline{1}$ | 2 | 8 |
|  |  | 22 | 3.474 | 0 | 2 | 4 |  |  | 14 | 2.302 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
|  |  | 16 | 3.473 | $\overline{2}$ | 0 | 4 |  |  | 7 | 2.289 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| vw | 3.417 | 8 | 3.417 | 0 | 2 | 4 | vw | 2.228 | 6 | 2.230 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| w | 3.345 | 15 | 3.359 | 2 | 0 | 3 | w | 2.204 | 5 | 2.205 | $\overline{3}$ | 2 | 4 |
|  |  | 18 | 3.298 | 1 | 2 | 3 | ms | 2176 | \| 63 | 2.190 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| w | 3.265 | 9 | 3.268 | T | $\overline{2}$ | 4 | ms | 2.176 | \| 10 | 2.176 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
|  |  | \| 21 | 3.223 | 1 | 0 | 6 | w | 2.132 | 7 | 2.138 | 3 | $\overline{2}$ | 3 |
| mw | 3.189 | \|| 20 | 3.192 | $\overline{2}$ | 0 | 5 | vw | 2.098 | 5 | 2.102 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
|  |  | \| 8 | 3.188 | 0 | 0 | 7 | w | 2.056 | 9 | 2.052 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
|  |  | 16 | 3.114 | 1 | $\overline{2}$ | 4 | w | 2.040 | 5 | 2.045 | 1 | $\overline{2}$ | 9 |
|  |  | 12 | 3.100 | T | 0 | 7 | w | 1.999 | 5 | 2.007 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| m | 3.085 | 34 | 3.079 | 2 | 0 | 4 | mw | 1.955 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 30 | 3.047 | 1 | 2 | 4 | mw | 1.926 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7 | 3.045 | 1 | $\overline{1}$ | 6 | mw | 1.851 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 11 | 3.016 | T | $\overline{2}$ | 5 | mw | 1.823 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \|| 31 | 2.993 | T | 2 | 5 | w | 1.775 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ms | 2.977 | \|| 8 | 2.983 | 2 | $\overline{2}$ | 0 | w | 1.758 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \|| 14 | 2.951 | $\underline{2}$ | 2 | 1 | w | 1.744 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.938 | 7 | 2.942 | $\overline{2}$ | 2 | 2 | w | 1.719 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.921 | 6 | 2.918 | $\overline{2}$ | 0 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Sites $(\mathrm{Tl}, \mathrm{Pb}) 1$ and $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}) 2 \mathrm{~b}$ have a tricapped trigonal prismatic coordination, with average bondlengths of 3.371 and $3.265 \AA$, respectively. The smaller size of the $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}) 2 \mathrm{~b}$ than the $(\mathrm{Tl}, \mathrm{Pb}) 1$ site is related to the preferential occupancy of the former by Pb . Bond lengths range from $2.882(6) \AA$ with S 17 to $3.693(6)$ $\AA$ with S 1 . Atom $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}) 2 \mathrm{a}$ has a bicapped trigonal prismatic coordination, with an average bond-length of $3.164 \AA$, whereas the $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}) 3$ site has a monocapped trigonal prismatic coordination (with an average bond-length of $3.192 \AA$ ), with two additional longer bonds $[3.699(4)$ and 3.792(4) Å]. Finally, the Pb4 site, occupied by Pb only, is the smallest high-coordination polyhedron in the structure of boscardinite; it has a monocapped trigonal prismatic coordination (average bond-length of $3.076 \AA$ ), with an additional longer bond of $3.557(4) \AA$. In the crystal structure of baumhauerite (Engel \& Nowacki 1969), the sartorite and dufrénoysite layers are flanked by eight independent Pb sites (baumhauerite has a lower symmetry than boscardinite, according to these authors); these sites have average bond-lengths ranging from 3.15 to $3.24 \AA$ and are ninefold coordinated.

Among the ten ( $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As} . .$. ) sites, the pure Sb sites are $\mathrm{Sb} 1, \mathrm{Sb} 2, \mathrm{Sb} 6$, and Sb 7 . Atoms Sb 1 and Sb 2 are located in the sartorite layers, whereas the other two pure Sb sites are in the dufrénoysite layers. Considering only the $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S}$ distances shorter than $3 \AA$, these Sb sites are four-fold-coordinated, with average bond-lengths between $2.601(\mathrm{Sb} 1)$ and $2.619 \AA$ ( Sb 6 ). If the additional longer bonds are considered, the coordination of these sites

> TABLE 4. CRYSTAL DATA AND SUMMARY OF PARAMETERS DESCRIBING DATA COLLECTION AND THE REFINEMENT OF BOSCARDINITE

## Crystal data

X-ray formula
Crystal size $(\mathrm{mm})$
Cell setting, space group
a, $b, c(\AA)$
$\alpha, \beta, Y\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$
$V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$
$Z, D_{\text {calc }}\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$
$\mathrm{Ag}_{0.29} \mathrm{Tl}_{1.23} \mathrm{~Pb}_{2.956}\left(\mathrm{Sb}_{7.794} \mathrm{As}_{1.73}\right)_{\Sigma 9.524} \mathrm{~S}_{18}$ $0.27 \times 0.16 \times 0.16$
Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$
8.0929(4), 8.7610(5), 22.4971(11)
90.868(4), 97.247(4), 90.793(4)
1582.0 (2)

2, 5.355

## Data collection and refinement

| Radiation, wavelength $(\AA)$ | Mo $K a, \lambda=0.71073$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Temperature $(\mathrm{K})$ | 293 |
| Maximum observed $2 \theta$ | 58.58 |
| No. of measured reflections | 7309 |
| No. of unique reflections | 5855 |
| Reflections $F_{o}>4 \sigma\left(F_{o}\right)$ | 4319 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ after absorption correction | 0.0243 |
| $R \sigma$ | 0.0557 |
| $R a n g e ~ o f ~$ |  |
| $R, k, I$ | $-11 \leq h \leq 7,-10 \leq k \leq 11,-24 \leq I \leq 28$ |
| $R\left[F_{\mathrm{o}}>4 \sigma F_{\mathrm{o}}\right]$ | 0.0453 |
| $R($ all data $)$ | 0.0622 |
| $w R\left(\right.$ on $\left.F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)$ | 0.1137 |
| Goof | 1.000 |
| No. of least-squares parameters | 309 |
| Maximum and minimum | $3.26,-3.26$ |
| $\quad$ residual peak $\left(e / \AA^{3}\right)$ |  |

becomes VI for Sb 2 and Sb 6 , and VII for Sb 1 and Sb 7 . Sites ( $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As}$ )3, ( $\mathrm{As}, \mathrm{Sb}$ )4, ( $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As}$ )5, and ( $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As}$ ) 8 have a mixed ( $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As}$ ) or ( $\mathrm{As}, \mathrm{Sb}$ ) occupancy; in particular, $(\mathrm{As}, \mathrm{Sb}) 4$ is the only site with As predominant over Sb , in the ratio $0.75: 0.25$. Sites $(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As}) 3$ and $(\mathrm{As}, \mathrm{Sb}) 4$ belong to the sartorite layer, whereas ( $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As}$ ) 5 and ( $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As}$ ) 8 sites belong to the dufrénoysite layer. If the (Sb,As)-S bonds shorter than $3 \AA$ are considered, the average bond-lengths range from $2.334 \AA$ for (As,Sb)4, in agreement with its As-rich nature, and $2.415 \AA$ for ( $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As}$ )5. Taking into account also the additional bonds, the coordination number rises to VI for all these four sites.

The two remaining sites, belonging to the dufrénoysite layers, corresponds to the $\mathrm{Sb} 9 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{Pb} 9 \mathrm{~b}$ and $\mathrm{Sb} 10 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{Ag} 10 \mathrm{~b}$ pairs. Atoms Sb 9 a and Pb 9 b , at a distance of only $0.46 \AA$, exclude each other mutually. The occupancy of $\mathrm{Sb} 9 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{Pb} 9$ b is $\mathrm{Sb}_{0.81} \mathrm{~Pb}_{0.19}$. Atom Sb 9 a is five-fold coordinated (average bond-length of $2.722 \AA$ ), with an additional bond at $3.459 \AA$; Pb9b occupies a monocapped trigonal prism, with bond-lengths ranging from 2.644(7) up to 3.435(7) A. Sites Sb10a and Ag10b, at a distance of only $0.38 \AA$, exclude each other mutually; their refined occupancy is $\mathrm{Sb}_{0.71} \mathrm{Ag}_{0.29}$. Site Sb 10 a

TABLE 5. BOSCARDINITE: POSITIONS AND EQUIVALENT DISPLACEMENT PARAMETERS OF ATOMS

| Site | Occupancy | $x$ | $y$ | z | $U_{\text {eq }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (TI, Pb) 1 | $\mathrm{Tl}_{0.80} \mathrm{~Pb}_{0.20}$ | 0.11091(8) | 0.63591(7) | 0.16858(3) | 0.0300(2) |
| ( $\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{TI}$ )2a | $\mathrm{Pb}_{0.27} \mathrm{Tl}_{0.11}$ | 0.8876(7) | 0.8872(4) | 0.8214(2) | 0.0365(5) |
| (Pb,TI)2b | $\mathrm{Pb}_{0.50} \mathrm{Tl}_{0.12}$ | 0.8481(4) | 0.8489(2) | 0.8267(1) | 0.0365(5) |
| ( $\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{TI}$ ) 3 | $\mathrm{Pb}_{0.80} \mathrm{Tl}_{0.20}$ | 0.66435(9) | 0.65298(8) | 0.25913(3) | 0.0354(2) |
| Pb4 | $\mathrm{Pb}_{1.00}$ | 0.64766(9) | 0.14848(8) | 0.25445(3) | 0.0357(2) |
| Sb1 | $\mathrm{Sb}_{1.00}$ | 0.5456(1) | 0.3969(1) | 0.09929(4) | 0.0143(2) |
| Sb2 | $\mathrm{Sb}_{1.00}$ | 0.8192(1) | 0.1037(1) | 0.02078(4) | 0.0132(2) |
| (Sb,As)3 | $\mathrm{Sb}_{0.71(1)} \mathrm{As}_{0.29(1)}$ | 0.4867(1) | 0.1174(1) | 0.88652(5) | 0.0147(4) |
| (As, Sb) 4 | $\mathrm{As}_{0.75(1)} \mathrm{Sb}_{0.25(1)}$ | 0.1727(2) | 0.3602(1) | 0.99928(6) | 0.0162(4) |
| (Sb,As)5 | $\mathrm{Sb}_{0.78(1)} \mathrm{As}_{0.22(1)}$ | 0.0685(1) | 0.3880(1) | 0.33165(5) | 0.0153(4) |
| Sb6 | $\mathrm{Sb}_{1.00}$ | 0.1091(1) | 0.8527(1) | 0.34360(4) | 0.0218(2) |
| Sb7 | $\mathrm{Sb}_{1.00}$ | 0.8464(1) | 0.3420(1) | 0.46700(5) | 0.0194(2) |
| (Sb,As)8 | $\mathrm{Sb}_{0.53(1)} \mathrm{As}_{0.47(1)}$ | 0.7884(2) | 0.8996(1) | 0.44625(6) | 0.0230(4) |
| Sb9a | $\mathrm{Sb}_{0.814(5)}$ | 0.4323(3) | $0.1571(3)$ | 0.4107(1) | 0.0174(4) |
| Pb9b | $\mathrm{Pb}_{0.186(5)}$ | $0.3933(7)$ | 0.1261(7) | 0.4182(3) | 0.0174(4) |
| Sb10a | $\mathrm{Sb}_{0.71(3)}$ | 0.4734(6) | 0.5994(9) | 0.4240(3) | 0.0281(11) |
| Ag10b | $\mathrm{Ag}_{0.29(3)}$ | 0.4768(2) | 0.6311(16) | 0.4128(7) | $0.0281(11)$ |
| S1 | $\mathrm{S}_{1.00}$ | 0.3600 (5) | 0.1799(4) | 0.0369(2) | $0.0282(10)$ |
| S2 | $\mathrm{S}_{1.00}$ | $0.9222(4)$ | 0.6919(4) | 0.3983(2) | 0.0186(8) |
| S3 | $\mathrm{S}_{1.00}$ | $0.2844(4)$ | 0.9135(4) | 0.8616(2) | 0.0124(7) |
| S4 | $\mathrm{S}_{1.00}$ | 0.9030(5) | 0.3872(4) | 0.2359(2) | 0.0242(9) |
| S5 | $\mathrm{S}_{1.00}$ | 0.6246(4) | 0.3555(4) | 0.3774(2) | 0.0148(7) |
| S6 | $\mathrm{S}_{1.00}$ | 0.6712(4) | 0.1694(4) | 0.5168(2) | 0.0169(8) |
| S7 | $\mathrm{S}_{1.00}$ | 0.9868(4) | 0.0876(4) | 0.9316(1) | 0.0102(7) |
| S8 | $\mathrm{S}_{1.00}$ | 0.9233(4) | 0.8812(4) | 0.2532(2) | 0.0179(8) |
| S9 | $\mathrm{S}_{1.00}$ | $0.3238(4)$ | 0.5647(4) | 0.0490(2) | 0.0144(7) |
| S10 | $\mathrm{S}_{1.00}$ | 0.2932(4) | 0.4276(4) | 0.4722(2) | 0.0200(8) |
| S11 | $\mathrm{S}_{1.00}$ | 0.9700(5) | 0.0869(4) | 0.4170(2) | 0.0269(9) |
| S12 | $\mathrm{S}_{1.00}$ | 0.9849(4) | 0.3314(4) | 0.0704(2) | 0.0143(7) |
| S13 | $\mathrm{S}_{1.00}$ | 0.5714(5) | 0.9291(5) | 0.3640(2) | 0.0250(9) |
| S14 | $\mathrm{S}_{1.00}$ | 0.2531(4) | 0.1900(4) | 0.3063(2) | 0.0168(8) |
| S15 | $\mathrm{S}_{1.00}$ | 0.6969(4) | 0.6726(4) | 0.1386(2) | 0.0142(7) |
| S16 | $\mathrm{S}_{1.00}$ | $0.2542(4)$ | 0.5991(4) | 0.3128(2) | 0.0184(8) |
| S17 | $\mathrm{S}_{1.00}$ | 0.4226(5) | 0.3702(4) | 0.1928(2) | 0.0186(8) |
| S18 | $\mathrm{S}_{1.00}$ | 0.5945(5) | 0.1011(4) | 0.7922(2) | 0.0202(8) |

TABLE 6. SELECTED BOND-DISTANCES ( $\AA$ ) IN BOSCARDINITE

| (TI,Pb)1 | - S4 | 3.242(4) | ( $\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{T}$ ) 2 a | - S14 | 3.018(6) | ( $\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{TI}$ )2b | - S17 | 2.880(4) | (Pb, TI)3 | - S15 | 2.766(4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - S18 | 3.321(4) |  | - S7 | 3.034(6) |  | - S12 | 3.009(4) |  | - S8 | 2.893(4) |
|  | - S16 | 3.326 (4) |  | - S18 | 3.056(7) |  | - S14 | 3.017(4) |  | - S4 | 3.126(4) |
|  | - S8 | 3.353(4) |  | - S8 | 3.154(5) |  | - S18 | 3.078(5) |  | - S18 | 3.155(4) |
|  | - S15 | 3.354(4) |  | - S12 | 3.196(6) |  | - 57 | 3.211(4) |  | - S17 | 3.348(4) |
|  | - S7 | 3.368(3) |  | - S3 | 3.228(6) |  | - S4 | $3.327(5)$ |  | - S13 | 3.510(4) |
|  | - S9 | 3.426(4) |  | - S4 | 3.299(7) |  | - S3 | 3.555(4) |  | - S2 | 3.545(4) |
|  | - S17 | 3.454(4) |  | - S17 | 3.333(5) |  | - S8 | 3.620(4) |  | - S16 | 3.699(4) |
|  | - S12 | 3.494(3) |  |  |  |  | - S1 | 3.691(6) |  | - 55 | 3.792(4) |
| Pb4 | - S3 | 2.783(3) | Sb1 | - S17 | 2.451(4) | Sb2 | - S7 | 2.475(3) | (Sb,As)3 | - S18 | 2.398(4) |
|  | - S17 | 2.925(4) |  | - S9 | 2.505(4) |  | - S12 | 2.550(3) |  | - S15 | 2.410(4) |
|  | - S4 | 2.990 (4) |  | - S1 | 2.674(4) |  | - S7 | 2.562 (3) |  | - S3 | 2.417 (3) |
|  | - S18 | 3.003(4) |  | - S15 | 2.774 (3) |  | - S3 | 2.880(4) |  | - S1 | 3.313(4) |
|  | - S8 | 3.259(4) |  | - S3 | 3.152(4) |  | - S1 | 3.048(4) |  | - 59 | 3.378(3) |
|  | - S13 | 3.263(4) |  | - S9 | 3.644(4) |  | - S9 | 3.466(3) |  | - S1 | 3.691 (5) |
|  | - S5 | 3.312(3) |  | - S12 | 3.744(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - S14 | 3.557(4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (As, Sb$)^{4}$ | - S1 | 2.301(4) | (Sb,As) 5 | - S4 | 2.389(4) | Sb6 | - S8 | 2.389(4) | Sb7 | - S6 | 2.438(4) |
|  | - S9 | 2.344(4) |  | - S14 | 2.413(4) |  | - S2 | 2.499(4) |  | - S5 | 2.530(3) |
|  | - S12 | $2.356(4)$ |  | - S16 | $2.443(4)$ |  | - S16 | $2.651(4)$ |  | - S11 | 2.744 (4) |
|  | - 57 | 3.082(3) |  | - S2 | $3.339(4)$ |  | - S11 | 2.938 (4) |  | - S10 | $2.755(4)$ |
|  | - S12 | 3.333(4) |  | - S11 | 3.430(4) |  | - S14 | 3.320(4) |  | - S2 | 3.375(4) |
|  | - S15 | 3.409(4) |  | - S10 | 3.454(4) |  | - S6 | 3.418(4) |  | - S2 | 3.535(4) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - S10 | 3.669(4) |
| (Sb,As)8 | - S11 | $2.344(4)$ | Sb9a | - S5 | $2.502(5)$ | Pb9b | - S13 | 2.644 (7) | Sb10a | - S10 | 2.441(10) |
|  | - S13 | 2.404(4) |  | - S13 | 2.580(5) |  | - S14 | 2.697 (8) |  | - S5 | 2.736(6) |
|  | - S2 | $2.438(4)$ |  | - S14 | 2.626(4) |  | - 55 | 2.961 (7) |  | - S6 | 2.760(6) |
|  | - S6 | 3.056(4) |  | - S6 | 2.875(4) |  | - S6 | 2.969(8) |  | - S10 | 2.826(8) |
|  | - S11 | 3.430 (5) |  | - S10 | $3.027(4)$ |  | - S10 | $3.053(7)$ |  | - S16 | 2.880 (7) |
|  | - S10 | 3.525(4) |  | - S6 | 3.459(4) |  | - S6 | $3.064(7)$ |  | - S13 | 3.335(11) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - S11 | $3.435(7)$ |  |  |  |
| Ag10b | - S16 | 2.707(16) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - S6 | 2.730(15) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - S10 | 2.771(15) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - S5 | 2.855(15) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - S13 | 2.975(15) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - S10 | 3.046(16) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

has a five-fold coordination (average bond-length 2.729 $\AA$ ), with an additional bond at $3.335 \AA$. Site Ag 10 b is a distorted octahedron, with an average bond-length of $2.85 \AA$. The split sites $\mathrm{Sb} 9 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{Pb} 9 \mathrm{~b}$ and $\mathrm{Sb} 10 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{Ag} 10 \mathrm{~b}$ alternate along $\mathbf{b}$ in the dufrénoysite layer.

According to Table 7, the bond-valence sums of all (Sb,As...) positions are in good agreement with their valence deduced from their site occupancies.

## Polymerization of $(S b, A s)$ sites

If one considers only the shortest (= strongest) (Sb,As)-S bonds (distances below $2.70 \AA$ ), the ( $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As}$ ) atoms present the classic triangular pyramidal coordination. The exceptions are related to the central area of the dufrénoysite layer, with split $(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Ag})$ and $(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Pb})$ positions, with only two "short" distances (Sb7), or a single one ( Sb 10 a ); it corresponds to uncertainties related to such mixed positions.

In each layer, the selection of one oblique ribbon (Fig. 6) reveals the connection of ( $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As}$ ) atoms with closest S atoms to form $(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As})_{m} \mathrm{~S}_{n}$ polymers. Figure 7 shows a central polymer $\left[\mathrm{Sb}_{4}(\mathrm{As}, \mathrm{Sb})_{2}\right]_{\Sigma 6} \mathrm{~S}_{10}$ with two lateral $(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As}) \mathrm{S}_{3}$ groups in the sartorite layer. In the dufrénoysite layer (Fig. 8), the size of the polymer is determined by the presence or absence of Sb on the split $(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Pb})$ and $(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Ag})$ positions. The simplest polymer is $\left[\mathrm{Sb}_{2}(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As})_{2}\right]_{\Sigma 4} \mathrm{~S}_{9}$, whereas the longest one, all across the ribbon, is $\left[\mathrm{Sb}_{8}(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As})_{4}\right]_{\Sigma 12} \mathrm{~S}_{20}$.

Such a polymeric organization has been previously described in other $\mathrm{Pb},(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As})$ sulfosalts. These polymers were described as "crankshaft chains" by Berlepsch et al. (2001) using the example of the crystal structure of dufrénoysite. They were also recognized in sartorite (Berlepsch et al. 2003) and andorite VI (Sawada et al. 1987). As indicated by Doussier et al. (2008), a general trend subordinated to steric constraints is the increase of the size of the polymer [i.e., an increase of $m$ and $n$


FIg. 6. Layered organization of the crystal structure of boscardinite. Two oblique ribbons have been delimited, in the sartorite layer (see Fig. 7) and the dufrénoysite layer (see Fig. 8).

TABLE 7. BOND-VALENCE CALCULATIONS FOR BOSCARDINITE

|  | (TI, Pb) | $1 \quad(\mathrm{~Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}) 2 \mathrm{~b}$ |  |  | Pb4 | Sb1 | Sb2 | (As, Sb) 4 |  |  | Sb6 | (Sb,As)8 |  |  | Pb9b | Sb10a | Ag10b | $\Sigma_{\text {anions }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (Pb,TI)2a |  | ( $\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{TI}$ ) 3 |  |  |  | (Sb,As)3 |  | (Sb,As)5 |  | Sb7 |  | Sb9a |  |  |  |  |
| S1 |  |  | 0.03 |  |  | 0.55 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 1.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.95 |
| S2 |  |  |  | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.08 | 0.88 | 0.13 | 0.84 |  |  |  |  | 2.00 |
| S3 |  | 0.07 | 0.04 |  | 0.53 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.07 |
| S4 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.04 |  |  |  | 1.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.95 |
| S5 |  |  |  | 0.04 | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.81 |  | 0.70 | 0.06 | 0.33 | 0.04 | 2.11 |
| S6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.07 | 1.03 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.11 | 0.31 | 0.06 | 2.05 |
| S7 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.11 |  |  |  | 1.67 |  | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.15 |
| S8 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.41 | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.00 |
| S9 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  | 0.86 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.03 |
| S10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.06 |  | 0.48 | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.99 | 0.08 | 1.87 |
| S11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.06 | 0.27 | 0.45 | 1.14 |  | 0.02 |  |  | 1.94 |
| S12 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.19 |  |  |  | 0.76 |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.07 |
| S13 |  |  |  | 0.08 | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 1.97 |
| S14 |  | 0.11 | 0.18 |  | 0.07 |  |  |  |  | 1.01 | 0.10 |  |  | 0.51 | 0.13 |  |  | 2.11 |
| S15 | 0.15 |  |  | 0.59 |  | 0.42 |  | 0.98 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.19 |
| S16 | 0.15 |  |  | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.93 | 0.58 |  |  |  |  | 0.22 | 0.06 | 1.99 |
| S17 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.27 | 0.12 | 0.36 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.90 |
| S18 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.29 |  |  | 1.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.92 |

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text {cations }} & 1.20 & 0.64 & 1.10 & 1.78 & 1.98 & 3.02 & 3.00 & 3.16 & 3.12 & 3.22 & 3.08 & 2.90 & 3.10 & 2.26 & 0.52 & 1.91 & 0.27 \\ \text { Theor.* } & 1.20 & 0.65 & 1.12 & 1.80 & 2.00 & 3.00 & 3.00 & 3.00 & 3.00 & 3.00 & 3.00 & 3.00 & 3.00 & 2.44 & 0.37 & 2.13 & 0.29\end{array}$

In mixed sites, bond-valence contribution of each cation has been weighted according to its occupancy (see Table 5). *Theoretical valence on the basis of site occupancies. Bond valences, expressed in valence units ( $v u$ ), are calculated according to the constants of Brese \& O'Keeffe (1991).
coefficients in the formula $\left.(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As})_{m} \mathrm{~S}_{n}\right]$ with an increase of the Sb :As ratio.

## Crystal Chemistry of Boscardinite

## Structural formula

The crystal-chemical formula obtained through crystal-structure refinement is $\mathrm{Ag}_{0.29} \mathrm{Tl}_{1.23} \mathrm{~Pb}_{2.956}$ $\left(\mathrm{Sb}_{7.794} \mathrm{As}_{1.73}\right)_{\sum 9.524} \mathrm{~S}_{18}$, in agreement with the formula obtained through chemical analysis.

This structural formula can be reduced to a stoichiometric one taking into account the fragment of the structure in which are located mixed or split sites with different valence states (Fig. 9). This fragment deals with the dufrénoysite layer and the $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl})$ positions at the junction between the two types of layers. There are six $(\times 2)$ mixed or split sites, with the resulting composition $\mathrm{Ag}_{0.29} \mathrm{Tl}_{1.23} \mathrm{~Pb}_{2.956} \mathrm{Sb}_{1.524}$ (1), and a valence total equal to 12.004 , ideally 12 . The four sites at the layer junction correspond to

$\mathrm{Tl}_{1.23} \mathrm{~Pb}_{2.77}$ (2), which can be simplified as $\mathrm{TlPb}_{3}$. The two $(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Pb})$ and $(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Ag})$ split sites correspond to $\mathrm{Ag}_{0.29} \mathrm{~Pb}_{0.186} \mathrm{Sb}_{1.524}$. This last formula, through the elimination of Ag according to $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}+\mathrm{Sb}^{3+} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}$, becomes $\mathrm{Pb}_{0.766} \mathrm{Sb}_{1.234}$ (3), which can be simplified as PbSb . The valence deficit of (2) is compensated by the valence excess of (3), and corresponds to the substitution rule $\mathrm{Tl}^{+}+\mathrm{Sb}^{3+} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}$. The simplified stoichiometric formula derived from (1) is thus $\mathrm{TlPb}_{4} \mathrm{Sb}$; for the whole structure, one obtains the formula $\mathrm{TlPb}_{4}\left(\mathrm{Sb}_{7.28} \mathrm{As}_{1.72}\right)_{\Sigma 9} \mathrm{~S}_{18}$, ideally $\mathrm{TlPb}_{4}\left(\mathrm{Sb}_{7} \mathrm{As}_{2}\right)_{\Sigma 9}$ $\mathrm{S}_{18}$. On this basis, the generalized formula, taking into account the three possible chemical substitutions, is: $\mathrm{Ag}_{x} \mathrm{Tl}_{1+y} \mathrm{~Pb}_{4-2 x-2 y}\left(\mathrm{Sb}_{7+x+y+z} \mathrm{As}_{2-z}\right)_{\Sigma 9+x+y} \mathrm{~S}_{18}$, with $x \approx$ $0.29, y \approx 0.23$, and $z \approx 0.28$ for the fragment of sample 4977 studied by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. On the basis of electron-microprobe results, the coefficients $x, y$, and $z$ are $\sim 0.33, \sim 0.23$, and $\sim 0$, respectively, for sample 4977, and $\sim 0.36, \sim 0.13$, and $\sim 0.25$ for sample 4989.


Fig. 7. Polymeric organization of the ( $\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As}$ ) atoms with S atoms (short bonds) in the sartorite layer.


FIg. 9. Fragment of the structure (related to the dufrénoysite layer) with mixed or split cation sites with distinct valence states.

The ideal formula of boscardinite agrees with the general formula for members of the sartorite homologous series, $(\mathrm{Tl}, \mathrm{Ag})^{+}{ }_{x} \mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}{ }_{4 \mathrm{~N}-8-2 x}(\mathrm{As}, \mathrm{Sb})^{3+}{ }_{8+x} \mathrm{~S}^{2-}{ }_{4 \mathrm{~N}+4}$ (Makovicky 1985), with $x=1$ and $\mathrm{N}=3.5$.

Another crystal-chemical peculiarity of the structure is the partitioning of Sb and As between the two types of layer. In the sartorite layer, the Sb :As atomic ratio is $2.968 / 1.032=2.876$; in the dufrénoysite layer, this ratio is $4.835 / 0.689=7.017$. Thus, the sartorite layer, which
presents the only mixed $(\mathrm{As}, \mathrm{Sb})$ site with major As , and is flanked by prismatic sites with major Tl , concentrates As relative to the dufrénoysite layer, flanked by prismatic sites with major Pb . This emphasizes the steric affinity between Tl and As.

## Silver in the sartorite series

The dufrénoysite layer of boscardinite also hosts Ag. This element has been observed in variable amounts in some members of the sartorite homologous series (Table 8). Engel \& Nowacki (1969) solved the crystal structure of a sample of Ag-bearing baumhauerite, which appeared to correspond to a specific mineral observed later in intergrowth with "true" Ag-free baumhauerite (Laroussi et al. 1989), and defined as the species baumhauerite- $2 a$ by Pring et al. (1990). Laroussi et al. (1989) thus considered that Ag played a decisive role for the stabilization of this species (as well as rathite), which was explained on the basis of crystal-structure studies by cation ordering (Pring et al. 1990, Pring \& Graeser 1994, Pring 2001).

Table 8 shows that the Ag content of boscardinite is the same as in baumhauerite- $2 a$. The Ag content of liveingite is lower, and does not seem to indicate the existence of a specific Ag homeotypic derivative, according to Laroussi et al. (1989). On the contrary, rathite presents a significant Ag content (about two atoms in the formula unit), and the peculiar crystalchemical role of this metal, which distinguishes rathite from dufrénoysite, was underlined by the crystal-struc-

TABLE 8. Ag IN HOMOLOGUES OF THE SARTORITE SERIES

|  | Unit formula | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baumhauerite-2a ( $\mathrm{N}=3.5$ ) |  |  |
| STR, EMP | $\mathrm{Ag}_{0.6} \mathrm{~Pb}_{11.6} \mathrm{As}_{15.7} \mathrm{~S}_{36}$ | Engel \& Nowacki (1969) |
| EMP | $\mathrm{Ag}_{0.65} \mathrm{Tl}_{0.10} \mathrm{~Pb}_{10.54} \mathrm{As}_{16.68} \mathrm{~S}_{36}$ | Laroussi et al. (1989) |
|  | $\mathrm{Ag}_{0.7} \mathrm{~Pb}_{11} \mathrm{Sb}_{0.4} \mathrm{As}_{17.2} \mathrm{~S}_{36}$ | Pring et al. (1990) |
| Boscardinite ( $\mathrm{N}=3.5$ ) |  |  |
| STR | $\mathrm{Ag}_{0.58} \mathrm{Tl}_{2.46} \mathrm{~Pb}_{5.92} \mathrm{Sb}_{15.60} \mathrm{As}_{3.44} \mathrm{~S}_{36}$ | This study |
| EMP | $\mathrm{Ag}_{0.66} \mathrm{Tl}_{2.46} \mathrm{~Pb}_{5.76} \mathrm{Sb}_{15.12} \mathrm{As}_{4.00} \mathrm{~S}_{36}$ | This study |
| No. 4977 <br> EMP <br> No. 4989 | $\mathrm{Ag}_{0.72} \mathrm{Tl}_{2.26} \mathrm{~Pb}_{6.04} \mathrm{Sb}_{14.48} \mathrm{As}_{4.50} \mathrm{~S}_{36}$ | This study |
| Liveingite ( $\mathrm{N}=3.67$ ) |  |  |
| EMP | $\mathrm{Ag}_{0.33} \mathrm{TI}_{0.19} \mathrm{~Pb}_{18.75} \mathrm{As}_{24.73} \mathrm{~S}_{56}$ | Laroussi et al. (1989) |
| Rathite (4) |  |  |
| STR, EMP | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2.3} \mathrm{Tl}_{0.9} \mathrm{~Pb}_{11.1} \mathrm{As}_{17.6} \mathrm{~S}_{40}$ | Marumo \& Nowacki (1965) |
| EMP | $\mathrm{Ag}_{1.88} \mathrm{Tl}_{0.36} \mathrm{~Pb}_{11.36} \mathrm{Sb}_{0.14} \mathrm{As}_{19.10} \mathrm{~S}_{40}$ | Laroussi et al. (1989) |
| EMP* | $\mathrm{Ag}_{1.97} \mathrm{Tl}_{1.17} \mathrm{~Pb}_{9.55} \mathrm{Sb}_{1.01} \mathrm{As}_{18.59} \mathrm{~S}_{40}$ | Berlepsch et al. (2002) |
| STR | $\mathrm{Ag}_{1.90} \mathrm{~Pb}_{10.96} \mathrm{Sb}_{1.06} \mathrm{As}_{18.09} \mathrm{~S}_{40}$ | Berlepsch et al. (2002) |

STR: crystal structure, EMP: microprobe analysis. *Mean of 4 analyses.
ture study of rathite-I by Marumo \& Nowacki (1965), then confirmed by new electron-microprobe analyses (Laroussi et al. 1989). Berlepsch et al. (2002) studied the importance of the heterovalent substitution $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}+$ $\mathrm{As}^{3+}=2 \mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}$ for the stability of the rathite structure. The crystal structure of this sulfosalt can be described as formed by chemically twinned layers of dufrénoysite. Taking into account the parent structure suggested by Pring (2001), having space group Pmnb and unit-cell parameters $a 4.23, b 7.92$, and c $24.7 \AA$, Berlepsch et al. (2002) showed that this structure is not stable for the composition $\mathrm{Pb}_{16} \mathrm{As}_{16} \mathrm{~S}_{40}$ because of the requirements of bond-valence balance at the atom scale. In particular, they focused their attention on the $S 4_{\text {Pmnb }}$ site, which is strongly overbonded. This site corresponds, in the crystal structure of rathite, to $\mathrm{S3}_{\mathrm{r}}$ and $\mathrm{S} 4_{\mathrm{r}}$; the Ag , As and $\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{As}$ substitutions are essential in reducing the oversaturation of these sulfur sites.

The dufrénoysite layer occurring in boscardinite is quite similar to that of rathite, with the difference related to the occurrence of $\mathrm{Sb}^{3+}$ instead of $\mathrm{As}^{3+}$. In the boscardinite structure, $\mathrm{S} 4_{P m n b}$ of rathite is separated into the two symmetry-independent $\mathrm{S} 14_{\text {bos }}$ and $\mathrm{S} 16_{\text {bos }}$ sites. Atom $\mathrm{S}_{14} 4_{\text {bos }}$ is bonded to one $\mathrm{SbS}_{3}$ trigonal pyramid $[(\mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As}) 5]$, two nine-fold-coordinated $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl})$ sites $[(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}) 2 \mathrm{a},(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}) 2 \mathrm{~b}$ and Pb 4$]$ and either of the split $\mathrm{Sb} 9 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{Pb} 9 b$ sites; S 16 b bonds two $\mathrm{SbS}_{3}$ pyramids [(Sb, As)5, Sb6], two nine-fold-coordinated ( $\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}$ ) sites $[(\mathrm{Tl}, \mathrm{Pb}) 1$ and $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl}) 3]$, and either of the split $\mathrm{Sb} 10 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{Ag} 10 \mathrm{a}$ sites. An important difference between the situations described for rathite and those in boscardinite is related to the presence of Tl in the latter. In fact, the oversaturation of S is reduced also by the substitution of $\mathrm{Tl}^{+}$for $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$.

## DISCUSSION

## Systematics of $T l-P b$ sulfosalts

 and relationship with other speciesBoscardinite is the second thallium sulfosalt described from the Apuan Alps, in Tuscany, and the tenth natural $\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{Pb}$ sulfosalt (Table 9). Boscardinite is the $\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{Sb}$ analogue (homeotype) of baumhauerite; in fact, relative to baumhauerite, $\mathrm{Pb}_{6} \mathrm{As}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{18}$, the two significant chemical differences are Sb over As, and the presence of a Tl site and mixed $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Tl})$ sites, with major Pb . A sample of Sb -rich baumhauerite was described by Jambor (1967) from Madoc, Ontario; this author reported results of two electronmicroprobe analyses, corresponding to the chemical formulae $\mathrm{Pb}_{5.93}\left(\mathrm{As}_{4.27} \mathrm{Sb}_{3.80}\right)_{\Sigma 8.07} \mathrm{~S}_{17.15}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}_{5.84}\left(\mathrm{As}_{4.08}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Sb}_{4.08}\right)_{\Sigma 8.16} \mathrm{~S}_{16.68}$, based on $\Sigma M e=14$ atoms per formula unit. These two formulae, which show an excess of positive charges owing to a deficiency of $S$ atoms, have a $\mathrm{As}: \mathrm{Sb}$ ratio close to 1 , the lowest measured in baumhauerite specimens.

In Table 10, we compare the unit-cell parameters of boscardinite with those of the other members of the baumhauerite homeotypic group, i.e., baumhauerite and baumhauerite- $2 a$. In comparison to these two species, the $a$ and $b$ cell parameters are larger, owing to the introduction of Tl and Sb , relatively to Pb and As.

In the systematic review of sulfosalts (Moëlo et al. 2008), boscardinite belongs to the sartorite homologous series (group no. 3.8). On the other hand, hutchinsonite, $\mathrm{TlPbAs}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{9} \times 2=\mathrm{Tl}_{2} \mathrm{~Pb}_{2} \mathrm{As}_{10} \mathrm{~S}_{18}$, edenharterite, $\mathrm{TlPbAs}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{6} \times 3=\mathrm{Tl}_{3} \mathrm{~Pb}_{3} \mathrm{As}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{18}$, and its isotypic derivative jentschite, $\mathrm{TlPbAs}_{2} \mathrm{SbS}_{6} \times 3=\mathrm{Tl}_{3} \mathrm{~Pb}_{3} \mathrm{As}_{6} \mathrm{Sb}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{18}$, which have similar chemical formulae but distinct crystal structures, belong to the group no. 5.1 of the hutchinsonite merotypic series (Makovicky 1997). Relatively to baumhauerite, $\mathrm{Pb}_{6} \mathrm{As}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{18}$, the incorporation of Tl in boscardinite through the substitution $2 \mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}$ for $\mathrm{Tl}^{+}+\mathrm{As}^{3+}$ would favor a structural evolution toward a hutchinsonite derivative, but apparently such a change is prevented by the replacement of As by major Sb .

## Boscardinite and the regional metallogeny of $T l$

With the description of the new mineral species boscardinite and the occurrence of chabournéite (Bonaccorsi et al. 2010), the Monte Arsiccio mine is
now the second Italian locality showing the presence of thallium-bearing mineral assemblages, after the magmatic hydrothermal system of the La Fossa crater on Vulcano, Aeolian Islands, Sicily, Italy (Campostrini et al. 2011). However, whereas in the latter thallium is not usually present in sulfides and sulfosalts, preferentially forming halides, Monte Arsiccio mine has become an interesting locality for the study of thallium sulfosalts in a metamorphic environment.

It is interesting to note that notwithstanding over two centuries of mineralogical studies on the Apuan Alps ores, only recently was the first thallium mineral identified (chabournéite from the Monte Arsiccio mine; Bonaccorsi et al. 2010). At the same time, Moëlo et al. (2011) detected the presence of Tl in Sb-rich sterryite from the Pollone mine, confirming the geochemical complexity of the hydrothermal paragenesis of the barite - pyrite - (iron oxides) deposits in the Apuan Alps.

Thallium is a rare element; the average concentration in the Earth's crust is $0.4-0.6 \mathrm{ppm}$ (Reimann \& de Caritat 1998), with the most abundant reservoir represented by calc-alkaline granites ( 10.72 ppm ; Faure 1991). However, it is noteworthy that the most important thallium deposits, i.e., the Lanmuchang $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Tl}$ deposit, in Ghizou Province, China, and the

TABLE 9. TI-Pb SULFOSALTS KNOWN IN NATURE

| Mineral | Chemical formula |  | $a(\AA)$ | $b(\AA)$ | $c(\AA)$ | $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $S . G$. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

(1) Johan et al. (1981), (2) Nestola et al. (2009), (3) Graeser \& Schwander (1992), (4) Marumo \& Nowacki (1967), (5) Matsushita \& Takéuchi (1994), (6) Graeser \& Edenharter (1997), (7) Berlepsch et al. (2002), (8) Basu et al. (1983), (9) Takéuchi et al. (1968), (10) this study. Notations: UK: unknown, S.G.: space group.

TABLE 10. CELL PARAMETERS OF BAUMHAUERITE AND HOMEOTYPIC DERIVATIVES

| Mineral | Chemical formula | $a(\AA)$ | $b(\AA)$ | $c(\AA)$ | $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\mathrm{V}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | S.G. Ref. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]Allchar $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{Au}$ ore, in Macedonia, are hosted by carbonate-bearing formations (average thallium content in carbonates: 0.01 ppm ; Faure 1991). Other wellknown thallium-rich assemblages are those of Lengenbach, Binntal, Switzerland (Hofmann \& Knill 1996) and Jas Roux, Hautes-Alpes, France (Johan \& Mantienne 2000). As suggested by Xiong (2007), carbonate rocks could provide a favorable environment for the deposition of thallium, because carbonates neutralize acidic solutions (thallium is more soluble in low- pH solutions) and buffer them to neutral to mildly alkaline pH . The occurrence of thallium sulfosalts at the Monte Arsiccio mine is limited to a carbonate lens embedded in schists; in particular, they occur in the pyrite ores, at the footwall of the carbonate lens, or in quartz-baritecarbonate veins. The first occurrence of boscardinite described here was noted in a quartz vein embedded in the dolostone; then, new samples (under study) were found as mm-sized veins cross-cutting a pyrite-rich dolostone, together with chabournéite, routhierite, and stibnite. Therefore, hydrothermal solutions transporting thallium were probably neutralized by carbonate rocks, promoting the deposition of thallium-bearing phases.

The source of thallium in the Apuan Alps ores is still unknown. Geochemical data about the Tl content in the rocks of Apuan Alps are missing. As stated above, the most Tl -rich rocks are represented by calc-alkaline granitic rocks; the enrichment of Tl in the latest stages of the magmatic differentiation is in agreement with the similarity of its behavior with K and Rb . In the Apuan Alps, felsic magmatism is confined to the Ordovician calc-alkaline metavolcanic rocks belonging to the Porfiroidi Formation; according to Pandeli et al. (2004), the Scisti di Fornovolasco Formation is correlated with the Filladi inferiori and Porfiroidi and Scisti porfirici formations of the Apuane Unit. Therefore, hydrothermal leaching of thallium from the Paleozoic basement could be the source for the precipitation of Tl sulfosalts at Monte Arsiccio.

Hofmann \& Knill (1996) stated that the association of $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{Ba}$ is typical of hydrothermal fluids derived from evolved continental crust, and that it is found in Kuroko-type and sediment-hosted massive sulfides, in epithermal systems, and high-temperature fluids. This could be the case for the Monte Arsiccio $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{Hg}$ ore. High concentrations of lead and thallium were found, for example, in pyrite from the Meggen sediment-hosted sulfide deposit in Germany (Gasser \& Thein 1977) and in Belgian lead-zinc vein deposits (Duchesne et al. 1983). Also in this case, however, geochemical data about pyrite from Apuan Alps are lacking. Further studies will be necessary to unravel the actual source of thallium.

## Summary and Conclusions

Boscardinite is a new sulfosalt species, the $(\mathrm{Tl}, \mathrm{Sb})$ rich homeotypic derivative of baumhauerite, within the
sartorite homologous series. Its crystal chemistry brings useful new data for the knowledge of phase equilibria in the system $\mathrm{PbS}-\mathrm{Tl}_{2} \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}-\mathrm{Sb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$. An interesting question will be to define the limit between Sb -rich baumhauerite and its homeotype boscardinite.

The descriptions of chabournéite and boscardinite, as well as other mineralogical studies in progress, indicate that the Monte Arsiccio mine presents a high potential for the mineralogy of sulfosalts, owing to its complex geochemistry ( $\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{As}, \mathrm{Tl}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Hg}, \mathrm{Cu}$, $\mathrm{Zn} . .$.$) . Such a geochemistry relates the Monte Arsiccio$ mine to other famous deposits of complex sulfosalts, among which Binn in Switzerland, Madoc in Canada, and Jas Roux in France are the best representatives.
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