LAVEROVITE, K₂NaMn₇Zr₂(Si₄O₁₂)₂O₂(OH)₄F, A NEW ASTROPHYLLITE-SUPER-GROUP MINERAL FROM MONT SAINT-HILAIRE, QUÉBEC, CANADA

ELENA SOKOLOVA[§], MAXWELL C. DAY, AND FRANK C. HAWTHORNE

Department of Geological Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3T 2N2 Canada

ANATOLY V. KASATKIN

Fersman Mineralogical Museum, Russian Academy of Sciences, Leninskii Prospekt, 18-2, 119071 Moscow, Russia

ROBERT T. DOWNS

Department of Geosciences, University of Arizona, 1040 E 4th Street, Tucson, Arizona 85721-0077, USA

LÁSZLÓ HORVÁTH AND ELSA PFENNINGER-HORVÁTH

594 Main Road, Hudson Heights, Québec JOP 1J0, Canada

Abstract

Laverovite (IMA 2017-009b), ideally $K_2NaMn_7Zr_2(Si_4O_{12})_2O_2(OH)_4F$, is a kupletskite-group (astrophyllite-supergroup) mineral from Mont Saint-Hilaire, Québec, Canada. Associated minerals are zircophyllite, kupletskite, astrophyllite, aegirine, analcime, orthoclase, and albite. Laverovite is brown, transparent in thin grains, and has a vitreous luster. Mohs hardness is 3, $D_{\text{calc.}} = 3.367 \text{ g/cm}^3$. Laverovite is biaxial (-) with refractive indices ($\lambda = 589 \text{ nm}$) $\alpha = 1.670(2), \beta = 1.710(5), \gamma = 1.740(5);$ $2V_{\text{meas.}} = 82(2)^\circ$, $2V_{\text{calc.}} = 80^\circ$, strong dispersion: r > v. Cleavage is perfect parallel to {001}. Chemical analysis by electron microprobe gave Nb₂O₅ 0.56, ZrO₂ 9.78, TiO₂ 4.69, SiO₂ 33.52, Al₂O₃ 0.94, SrO 0.13, ZnO 0.07, FeO 13.94, MnO 20.51, CaO 0.48, MgO 0.76, Cs₂O 0.05, K₂O 6.00, Na₂O 2.28, F 1.80, H₂O_{cate} 2.57, sum 97.32 wt.%; H₂O was calculated from crystal-structure analysis. The empirical formula based on 31.15 (O + F) applu with [OH + F = 5 pfu] and $H_2O = 0.15 pfu]$ is $(K_{1.78}Sr_{0.02}Cs_{0.01}\Box_{0.19})_{\Sigma2}(\Box_{1.85}Na_{0.15})_{\Sigma2}(Na_{0.88}Ca_{0.12})_{\Sigma1}(Mn_{4.03}Fe^{2+}_{2.71}Mg_{0.25}Zn_{0.01})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82}Nb_{0.06}Mg_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}[(Si_{7.78}Sr_{0.02}Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}(Cs_{0.01})_{\Sigma2}($ $Al_{0,26} \sum_{8,04} O_{24} O_{24} O_{2} (OH)_{3,68} F_{0,32} \sum_{4} F[\Box_{1,85} (H_2O)_{0,15}]_{\Sigma^2}, Z = 1.$ The simplified formula is $K_2 Na(Mn, Fe^{2+1})_7 (Zr, Ti)_2 (Si_4 O_{12})_2 O_2 (Dr_2)_2 O$ (OH)₄F. Laverovite is triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$, a 5.4329(1), b 11.9232(3), c 11.7491(3) Å, α 112.905(2), β 94.696(1), γ 103.178(1)°, V 670.14(5) Å³. The six strongest lines in the X-ray powder diffraction data [d (Å)(I)(hkl)] are: $3.452(92)(003,111); 2.788(97)(\overline{13}1,1\overline{42}); 2.680(\overline{68})(\overline{2}11); 2.589(100)(130,1\overline{43}); 2.504(44)(\overline{2}12), and 1.590(50)(3\overline{51},\overline{322}).$ The crystal structure has been refined to $R_1 = 3.26\%$ for 3757 observed ($F_0 > 4\sigma F$) reflections. In the crystal structure of laverovite, there are four $^{[4]}T$ sites, with $\langle T-O \rangle = 1.621$ Å, occupied mainly by Si, with minor Al. TO₄ tetrahedra constitute the T₄O₁₂ astrophyllite ribbon. The Zr-dominant $^{[6]}D$ site is occupied mainly by Zr and Ti and minor Nb and Mg, with $\langle D-\phi \rangle$ = 2.002 Å (φ = O, F). The T₄O₁₂ astrophyllite ribbons and D octahedra constitute the H (Heteropolyhedral) sheet. In the O (Octahedral) sheet, there are four Mn²⁺-dominant ${}^{[6]}M(1-4)$ sites, with $\langle M(1-4)-\phi \rangle = 2.187, 2.174, 2.161$, and 2.146 Å ($\phi =$ O, OH). Two H sheets and the central O sheet form the HOH block, and adjacent HOH blocks link via a common X_{D}^{P} anion of two D octahedra. In the I (Intermediate) block between adjacent HOH blocks, there are two interstitial cation sites, A and B, and a W_A site, partly occupied by H₂O. The A site splits into two partly occupied sites, ^[13]A(1) and ^[6]A(2), with A(1)–A(2)=1.108 Å. The $^{[13]}A(1)$ site is occupied at 90.5%: mainly by K, with minor Sr and Cs, $<A(1)-\varphi>=3.326$ Å; the $^{[6]}A(2)$ site is occupied at 7.5% by Na: $[\Box_{1.85}\text{Na}_{0.15}]$, $\langle A(2)-\phi \rangle = 2.29$ Å ($\phi = O, F, H_2O$). The aggregate content of the *A* site is (K_{1.78}Sr_{0.02}Cs_{0.01} Na_{0.15} $\Box_{0.04}$)_{Σ_2}, ideally K₂ *apfu*. The ^[10]*B* site is occupied by (Na_{0.88}Ca_{0.12}), $\langle B-\phi \rangle = 2.646$ Å. The W_A site is occupied at 7.5% by H₂O: $[\Box_{1.85}(H_2O)_{0.15}]$ pfu. The presence of OH and H₂O groups in the laverovite structure was confirmed by infrared spectroscopy. The mineral is named "laverovite" after Professor Nikolay Pavlovich Laverov (1930-2016), Academician of the Russian Academy of Sciences, a prominent Russian ore geologist and an expert in uranium ore deposits and radiogenic waste disposal. Laverovite is a Mn-analogue of zircophyllite, K₂NaFe²⁺₇Zr₂(Si₄O₁₂)₂O₂(OH)₄F.

Keywords: laverovite, new mineral, astrophyllite supergroup, Mont Saint-Hilaire, Québec, electron microprobe analysis, crystal structure, FTIR spectroscopy.

[§] Corresponding author e-mail address: elena_sokolova@umanitoba.ca

INTRODUCTION

Laverovite, ideally K₂NaMn₇Zr₂(Si₄O₁₂)₂O₂ (OH)₄F, is a new kupletskite-group (astrophyllite supergroup, IMA 2017D, Sokolova et al. 2017a) mineral. It was found in the sample R060216, which is the property of the RRUFF Database, University of Arizona, Tucson, U.S.A. The sample is from the Desourdy quarry which later became part of the Demix quarry which in turn was subsumed by the Poudrette quarry, Mont Saint-Hilaire, La Vallée-du-Richelieu RCM, Montérégie, Québec, Canada. The mineral is named "laverovite" (pronunciation: la-'vər-o-vait; Russian-Cyrillic: лавёровит) after Professor Nikolay Pavlovich Laverov (Cyrillic: Николай Павлович Лавёров) (1930–2016), Academician of the Russian Academy of Sciences, a prominent Russian ore geologist and an expert in uranium ore deposits and radiogenic waste disposal. Professor Laverov was a great scientist who had major impact both on the principal areas of his work and on the organization of science in Russia. Professor Laverov was President of the Academy of Sciences of the Kyrgyz SSR (1987-1988) and Vice-President of the Russian Academy of Sciences (1991-2013); he was also Director of the Institute of Geology of Ore Deposits, Petrography, Mineralogy and Geochemistry of the Russian Academy of Sciences (IGEM RAS) (1991-2003). The new mineral species and its name were approved by the Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification, International Mineralogical Association (IMA 2017-009b). The holotype specimen of laverovite has been deposited in the collections of Royal Ontario Museum, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ROM accession number is M57542. Here we report the description and crystal structure of laverovite, a new mineral.

THE ASTROPHYLLITE SUPERGROUP

Here we give general information on the astrophyllite supergroup (Sokolova *et al.* 2017a), so that the site nomenclature and details of the structure are explained prior to their use in the sections on chemical analysis and structure refinement. The astrophyllite supergroup is divided into three groups: the astrophyllite group, the kupletskite group, and the devitoite group (Table 1).

The HOH block is the main structural unit in all astrophyllite-supergroup structures; it consists of two H (Heteropolyhedral) and one O (Octahedral) sheets where the T_4O_{12} astrophyllite ribbons occur in the H sheets. The term "astrophyllite ribbon" was introduced by Belov (1963, 1976). In each structure, HOH blocks alternate with I (Intermediate) blocks along [001]. The

14 minerals of the astrophyllite supergroup are divided into three groups based on (1) the type of self-linkage of HOH blocks, *i.e.*, (a) HOH blocks link directly *via* $D-X^P_D$ -D bridges, or (b) HOH blocks do not link directly; and (2) the dominant cation of the O sheet [the C group: C₇ *apfu* (atoms per formula unit)]. In the astrophyllite group, HOH blocks connect *via* $D-X^P_D-$ D bridges, Fe²⁺ is dominant at C₇; in the kupletskite group, HOH blocks connect *via* $D-X^P_D-$ D bridges, Mn²⁺ is dominant at C₇; in the devitoite group, HOH blocks do not connect *via* $D-X^P_D-$ D bridges.

The general formula for the astrophyllite-supergroup minerals is of the form $A_{2p}B_rC_7D_2(T_4O_{12})_2$ $IX_{D2}^{O}X_{A4}^{O}X_{Dn}^{P}W_{A2}$, where C [cations at the M(1-4)] sites in the O sheet] = Fe^{2+} , Mn, Na, Mg, Zn, Fe^{3+} , Ca, Zr, Li; D (cations in the H sheets) = [6,5]Ti, Nb, Zr, Sn^{4+} , ^[5]Fe³⁺, Mg, Al; T = Si, minor Al; the I (Intermediate) block is composed of the peripheral layers of the form $A_{2p}B_rW_{A2}$ where p = 1,2; r = 1,2; A = K, Cs, Ba, H₂O, Li, Rb, Pb²⁺, Na, \Box ; B = Na, Ca, Ba, H₂O (only in sveinbergeite), \Box ; W_A = H₂O, \Box , and I represents the composition of the central part of the I block, e.g., $(PO_4)_2(CO_3)$ in devitoite; $X^O_D = O$; $X^O_A =$ OH, F; $X_{D}^{P} = F$, O, OH, H₂O, \Box , where n = 0, 1, 2 for $(X_{D}^{P})_{n}$. The A site can split into A(1) and A(2) sites. Table 1 lists ideal structural formulae for the 14 astrophyllite-supergroup minerals.

OCCURRENCE AND MINERAL ASSOCIATION

The laverovite studied in this work is a part of sample R060216, which is the property of the RRUFF Database, University of Arizona, Tucson, U.S.A. Sample R060216 came from the Royal Ontario Museum (ROM accession number M26526), Toronto, Canada. The sample is from the Desourdy quarry which later became part of the Demix quarry which in turn was subsumed by the Poudrette quarry, Mont Saint-Hilaire, La Vallée-du-Richelieu RCM, Montérégie, Québec, Canada. Associated minerals are zircophyllite, kupletskite, astrophyllite, aegirine, analcime, orthoclase, and albite. Laverovite formed as a late-stage hydrothermal mineral in a nepheline-syenite pegmatite.

In sample R060216, astrophyllite-supergroup minerals occur as transparent pale-brown to dark-brown elongated lath-like crystals. Each grain of sample R060216 is an intergrowth of four minerals: laverovite, zircophyllite, astrophyllite, and kupletskite. Laverovite occurs as a narrow rim on one side of grain 5 from sample R060216 (Fig. 1).

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

Laverovite is brown and has a vitreous luster and a light-brown streak. Laverovite is visually indistin-

					0 ^r 0702(1401	2/21~ D2~ A4~	DUVVA2				5	2	2	
				Ide	al structural	formula								
Mineral	A_{2p}	Br	C_7	D_2	(T ₄ O ₁₂) ₂	_	X^{O}_{D2}	X^{O}_{A4}	$X^{P}{}_{Dn}$	W_{A2}	٩	r L	Ν	Ref.***
Astrophyllite grou	a													
Astrophyllite	₹	Na	Fe ²⁺ 7	TI_2	(Si ₄ O ₁₂) ₂		020	(OH) ₄	ш		-	-	-	(1,2)
Niobophyllite	K_2	Na	Fe ²⁺ 7	(Nb,Ti) ₂	(Si ₄ O ₁₂) ₂		020	(OH) ₄	(O,F)		-	-	-	(3,2)
Zircophyllite	×∾ °	Na	Fe ²⁺ 7	Zr ₂	(Si ₄ O ₁₂) ₂		0 0	(OH) ₄	ш		-	-	-	(4,5)
Tarbagataite	(K)	Са	Fe ²⁺ 7	Ti ₂	(Si ₄ O ₁₂) ₂		020	(OH) ₄	(HO)		-	-	-	(9)
Nalivkinite	Li2	Na	Fe ²⁺ 7	Ti ₂	(Si ₄ O ₁₂) ₂		020	(OH) ₄	Ŀ	$(H_2O)_2$	-	-	-	(2,8)
Bulgakite	Li_2	(Ca,Na)	Fe ²⁺ 7	Ti_{2}	(Si ₄ O ₁₂) ₂		020	(OH) ₄	(O,F)	$(H_2O)_2$	-	-	-	(8)
Kupletskite group														
Kupletskite	×₂	Na	Mn_7	T_2	(Si ₄ O ₁₂) ₂		020	(OH) ₄	ш		-	-	1,4*	(9,10)
Kupletskite-(Cs)	Cs_2	Na	Mn_7	T_{1_2}	(Si ₄ O ₁₂) ₂		0 0	(OH) ₄	ш		-	-	-	(11,2)
Niobokupletskite	×∾ 2	Na	Mn ₇	(Nb,Ti) ₂	(Si ₄ O ₁₂) ₂		020	(OH) ₄	(O,F)		-	-	-	(12)
Laverovite	×∾ 2	Na	Mn_7	Zr ₂	(Si ₄ O ₁₂) ₂		020	(OH) ₄	Ŀ		-	-	-	(13)
Heyerdahlite	Na_2	Na	Mn ₇	Ti ₂	(Si ₄ O ₁₂) ₂		020	(OH) ₄	L	$(H_2O)_2$	-	-	-	(14)
Devitoite group														
Devitoite	Ba₄	Ba_2	Fe ²⁺ 7	Fe ³⁺	(Si ₄ O ₁₂) ₂	(PO ₄) ₂ (CO ₃)	020	(OH) ₄			2	0	-	(15)
Sveinbergeite	$(H_2O)_2$	[Ca(H ₂ O)]	(Fe ²⁺ ₆ Fe ³⁺)	TI_2	(Si ₄ O ₁₂) ₂		020	(OH) ₄	[(OH)(H ₂ O)]		-	2	-	(16)
Lobanovite	₹ 2	Na	(Fe ²⁺ 4Mg ₂ Na)	Ti_{2}	(Si ₄ O ₁₂) ₂		02	(OH) ₄			-	-	-	(17,18)
* After Sokolova -	<i>et al.</i> (201 kupletski	17a); ite-1A and ku	Ipletskite-2 <i>M</i> , rest	oectively;										

*** References (description of a new mineral, the latest work on the structure): (1) Weibye (1848); (2) Cámara et al. (2010); (3) Nickel et al. (1964); (4) Kapustin (1972, 1973); (5) Sokolova & Hawthorne (2016); (6) Stepanov *et al.* (2012); (7) Agakhanov *et al.* (2008); (8) Agakhanov *et al.* (2016); (9) Semenov (1956); (10) Piilonen *et al.* (2001); (11) Yefimov *et al.* (1971); (12) Piilonen *et al.* (2000); (13) This work; (14) Sokolova *et al.* (2018b); (15) Kampf *et al.* (2010); (16) Khomyakov *et al.* (2011); (17)

Sokolova et al. (2017b); (18) Sokolova & Cámara (2008),

FOR THE ASTROPHVI LITE SUPERGROUP MINERALS* Ň ۲^۲ °, B,C,D,(T,O,,),IX^O, TARIE 1 IDEAL STRUCTURAL FORMULAE OF THE FORM A.

Downloaded from https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/canmin/article-pdf/57/2/201/4669009/i1499-1276-57-2-201.pdf by Flena Sokolova



FIG. 1. BSE image of grain 5 from sample R060216; the laverovite zone is enclosed by a red ellipse.

guishable from other astrophyllite-supergroup minerals. Cleavage is perfect parallel to {001}; it is brittle and has a hackly fracture. The Mohs hardness is 3. Laverovite does not fluoresce under ultraviolet light. $D_{calc.} = 3.367$ g/cm³ (from the empirical formula). Laverovite is biaxial (–) with refractive indices ($\lambda = 589$ nm) $\alpha = 1.670(2)$, $\beta = 1.710(5)$, $\gamma = 1.740(5)$; $2V_{meas.} = 82(2)^\circ$, $2V_{calc.} = 80^\circ$. The dispersion is strong, r > v. It is pleochroic according to the scheme X > Y > Z, where X = yellowish brown, Y = brownish yellow, Z = pale yellow. The compatibility index (1 – Kp/Kc) = 0.007 (for $D_{calc.}$) is rated as superior (Mandarino 1981).

INFRARED SPECTROSCOPY

The FTIR spectra of laverovite (Fig. 2) were collected from crystal fragments using a Bruker Hyperion 2000 IR microscope equipped with a liquid nitrogen-cooled MCT detector (University of Manitoba). The spectrum shown in Figures 2a, 2b, and 2d was collected from a single-crystal fragment pressed into a homogenous film with a thickness of 10 μ m using a diamond anvil. The spectrum in Figure 2c was collected from single-crystal fragments with thicknesses of ~35–50 μ m. Data over the range 4800–620 cm⁻¹ were obtained by averaging 100 scans with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. Base-line corrections were done using the OPUS spectroscopic software (Bruker Optic GmbH).

The complete FTIR spectrum of laverovite is shown in Figure 2a and parts of this spectrum are shown at higher resolution in Figures 2b and 2d. There a single sharp band at ~3612 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 2b) that may be assigned to an OH-stretching band and an extremely broad H₂O stretching band centered at ~3432 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 2b). Figure 2c shows a weak broad band centered at ~1645 cm⁻¹; this band may be assigned to an H–O–H

Constituent	wt.%	Range		apfu
Nb ₂ O ₅	0.56	0.29-0.69	Si	7.78
ZrO ₂	9.78	9.34–10.67	Al	0.26
TiO ₂	4.69	4.03-5.03	ΣΤ	8.04
SiO ₂	33.52	33.34–33.80		
Al ₂ O ₃	0.94	0.91-0.97	Mn	4.03
SrO	0.13	0.09-0.18	Fe ²⁺	2.71
ZnO	0.07	0.05-0.12	Mg	0.25
FeO	20.51	13.23–14.44	Zn	0.01
MnO	17.19	20.09–21.03	ΣΜ	7.00
CaO	0.48	0.46-0.49		
MgO	0.76	0.73-0.79	Zr	1.11
Cs ₂ O	0.05	0.03-0.06	Ti	0.82
K ₂ O	6.00	5.97-6.05	Nb	0.06
Na ₂ O	2.28	2.23-2.35	Mg	0.01
H ₂ O	2.57**		ΣD	2.00
F	1.80	1.56-2.26		
F=O	-0.76		Na	0.88
Total	97.32		Ca	0.12
			ΣB	1.00
			К	1.78
			Sr	0.02
			Cs	0.01
			$\Sigma A(1)$	1.81
			Na	0.15
			ΣA(2)	0.15
			ΣA(1,2)	1.96
			F	1 32

TABLE 2. CHEMICAL COMPOSITION AND UNIT FORMULA* FOR LAVEROVITE

* Formula unit calculated based on 31.15 (O + F) *pfu*; ** calculated from crystal-structure analysis: OH + F = 5 *pfu* and $H_2O = 0.15$ *pfu*.

OH

H₂O

3.68

0.15

bending mode. In Figure 2d, there is a strong band at \sim 962 cm⁻¹ (with a shoulder at \sim 1042 cm⁻¹) that may be assigned to symmetric and asymmetric stretching modes of the SiO₄ group. A less intense, broad band at \sim 646 cm⁻¹ (with a shoulder at \sim 694 cm⁻¹) may be assigned to an Si–O–Si bending mode. In Figure 2b, three low-intensity bands in the range 2800–3000 cm⁻¹ are likely due to C–H stretching from grease used during sample preparation. In Figure 2c, low-intensity bands in the range 2060–2420 cm⁻¹ are due to atmospheric CO₂ transitions. An additional low-intensity band at \sim 1260 cm⁻¹ in Figure 2d is due to epoxy attached to the sample after removal from the EMPA slide.

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION

A narrow zone in grain 5 (Fig. 1) was analyzed with a Cameca SX-100 electron microprobe operating



FIG. 2. The FTIR spectrum of laverovite: (a) the spectrum from 4600 to 600 cm^{-1} ; (b) the spectrum from ~ 3800 to 2700 cm^{-1} with an expanded absorbance scale; (c) the region from 2650 to 1350 cm⁻¹ with an expanded absorbance scale; (d) the region from 1400 to 605 cm⁻¹ with an expanded absorbance scale.

in wavelength-dispersive mode with an accelerating voltage of 15 kV, a specimen current of 20 nA, and a beam diameter of 10 µm. The following standards were used: Si, Ca: diopside; Na: albite; Al: andalusite; Nb: Ba₂NaNb₅O₁₅; Zr: zircon; Ti: titanite; Fe: fayalite; Mn: spessartine; Mg: forsterite; Zn: gahnite; Sr: SrTiO₃; Cs: pollucite; K: orthoclase; F: fluororiebeckite. The elements Pb, Rb, and Sn were sought but not detected. The data were reduced and corrected by the PAP method of Pouchou & Pichoir (1985). Water was calculated from the results of the crystalstructure analysis so that OH + F = 5 apfu and $H_2O =$ 0.15 pfu. Table 2 gives the chemical composition of laverovite (mean of nine points). The empirical formula calculated on the basis of 31.15 (O + F) is $(K_{1.78}Sr_{0.02}Cs_{0.01}\Box_{0.19})_{\Sigma 2}(\Box_{1.85}Na_{0.15})_{\Sigma 2}(Na_{0.88}$ $Ca_{0.12})_{\Sigma1}(Mn_{4.03}Fe^{2+}_{2.71}Mg_{0.25}Zn_{0.01})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1.11}Ti_{0.82})_{\Sigma7}(Zr_{1$ $Nb_{0.06}Mg_{0.01})_{\Sigma 2}[(Si_{7.78}Al_{0.26})_{\Sigma 8.04}O_{24}]O_{2}[(OH)_{3.68}]$ $F_{0.32}]_{\Sigma 4}F[\Box_{1.85}(H_2O)_{0.15}]_{\Sigma 2}, Z = 1$. The basis of 31.15 (O + F) for calculation of the empirical formula unit was derived from the structure-refinement results: 31 (O + F) anions (established basis for calculation of the formula unit for the astrophyllite and kupletskite groups of the astrophyllite-supergroup minerals) plus 0.15 O [from 0.15 H₂O *pfu* at the W_A site] as determined by crystal-structure refinement. Note that 0.15 H₂O *pfu* at the W_A site is necessary to complete the coordination of Na_{0.15} *apfu* at the *A*(2) site. The presence of OH and H₂O groups was confirmed by IR spectroscopy (see above).

The ideal formula is $K_2NaMn_7Zr_2(Si_4O_{12})_2O_2$ (OH)₄F which requires (wt.%): K_2O 6.75, Na₂O 2.22, MnO 35.57, ZrO₂ 17.65, SiO₂ 34.44, F 1.36, H₂O 2.58, O = F -0.57, total 100.

DATA COLLECTION AND STRUCTURE REFINEMENT

Single-crystal X-ray data for laverovite were collected with a Bruker D8 QUEST ECO CMOS diffractometer equipped with a fine-focus tube (Mo $K\alpha$) and a PHOTON 50 detector. X-ray powder diffraction

I _{est.}	d _{calc} (Å)	h	k	1	I _{est.}	d _{calc} (Å)	h	k	1
20	3.761	0	2	3	16	2.027	1	3	2
	3.737	1	2	2		2.018	1	4	5
92	3.542	0	0	3	39	1.776	1	3	3
	3.542	1	1	1		1.771	0	0	6
28	3.280	1	1	3		1.768	1	4	6
	3.275	0	3	3	30	1.750	2	1	5
21	3.100	1	2	2	35	1.658	0	7	3
24	3.046	1	2	3	29	1.640	0	7	2
15	2.998	0	1	3		1.637	0	7	4
	2.993	1	3	1		1.635	1	4	4
	2.982	0	3	1	50	1.5895	3	5	1
29	2.892	0	4	2		1.5894	3	2	2
	2.887	1	3	3	33	1.5737	3	5	0
	2.879	1	1	2		1.5726	3	2	3
	2.878	0	1	4		1.5714	3	2	1
97	2.788	1	3	1		1.5704	3	5	2
	2.784	1	4	2	23	1.4513	3	2	5
68	2.680	2	1	1		1.4510	1	5	4
100	2.589	1	3	0		1.4488	3	2	1
	2.581	1	4	3		1.4478	1	4	5
44	2.504	2	1	2		1.4463	3	5	4
27	2.412	1	4	1	16	1.4240	2	1	6
	2.403	1	3	4		1.4227	1	4	8
34	2.309	1	3	1	23	1.4109	2	8	2
	2.300	1	4	4		1.4100	0	7	1
28	2.271	2	1	3		1.4097	2	6	4
26	2.125	0	0	5	15	1.3840	2	8	1
	2.124	1	4	2		1.3813	2	6	5
	2.115	1	3	5	17	1.3527	4	2	0
19	2.062	2	1	3		1.3522	2	6	1

TABLE 3. X-RAY POWDER DIFFRACTION DATA* FOR LAVEROVITE

* Powder data were obtained by collapsing experimental single-crystal data into two dimensions. Reflection (001) was covered by the beam stop. Reflections with $l_{\rm est.}$ < 15 are not listed.

data were obtained by collapsing single-crystal experimental data into two dimensions (Table 3). Unit-cell parameters from the single-crystal data were determined by least-squares refinement of 9935 reflections with $I > 10\sigma I$ and are given in Table 4, together with miscellaneous information regarding data collection and structure refinement. For laverovite, the intensities of 58,385 reflections were measured using 30 s per 0.5° frame. An absorption correction was done using the SADABS program (Sheldrick 2008). All calculations were done with the Bruker SHELXTL version 5.1 system of programs (Sheldrick 2008).

The crystal structure of laverovite was refined using atom coordinates of zircophyllite (Sokolova & Hawthorne 2016) as a starting model, except for those of the Na atom at the A(2) site and the O atom of the H₂O group at the W_A site, which were found in the difference-Fourier map. The crystal structure of laverovite was refined to $R_1 = 3.26\%$ based on 3757 unique reflections with ($F_0 > 4\sigma F$). Scattering curves for neutral atoms were taken from the International Tables for Crystallography (Wilson 1992). Sitescattering values were refined for the M(1-4) sites (scattering curve of Mn), D site (scattering curve of Zr), A(1) site (scattering curve of K), A(2) and B sites (scattering curve of Na), and W_A site (scattering curve of O). For laverovite, we observed disorder at the A(1), A(2), and W_A sites, which are partly occupied by K, Na, and H₂O and separated by short distances: A(1)-A(2) = 1.108 and $A(1)-W_A = 1.030$ Å. For the final refinement, the occupancies of the A(2) and W_A sites were adjusted in accord with the chemical analysis and constrained to be equal (see text below). The D(donor)-H(1,2) distances were softly constrained to 0.98 Å.

a (Å)	5.4329(1)
b	11.9232(3)
С	11.7491(3)
α (°)	112.905(2)
β	94.696(1)
γ	103.178(1)
V (Å ³)	670.14(5)
Space group	PĪ
Z	1
Reflections ($l > 10\sigma l$)	9935
Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)	4.80
<i>F</i> (000)	655.2
D_{calc} (g/cm ³)	3.367
Crystal size (mm)	0.22 imes 0.11 imes 0.016
Radiation/monochromator	MoKa/graphite
20-range for data collection (°)	61.98
h	– 7 < <i>h</i> < 7
k	-17 < k < 17
1	-16 < / < 16
<i>R</i> (int) (%)	3.99
Reflections collected	58,385
Independent reflections	4232
$F_{0} > 4\sigma F$	3757
Refinement method	Full-matrix least squares on F^2 .
	fixed weights proportional to $1/\sigma F_0^2$
Final <i>R</i> (obs) (%)	0 1 1 0
$R_1(F_0 > 4\sigma F)$	3.26
R_1	3.92
wR ₂	8.02
Highest peak, deepest hole (e Å ⁻³)	1.22, -0.67
Goodness of fit on F^2	1.049

TABLE 4. MISCELLANEOUS REFINEMENT DATA FOR LAVEROVITE

Final atom coordinates and anisotropic displacement parameters are listed in Table 5, selected interatomic distances and Si–O–Si angles are given in Table 6, refined site-scattering and assigned sitepopulations for selected cation and anion sites are given in Table 7, bond-valence values for selected anions are given in Table 8, and details of hydrogen bonding in Table 9. A list of observed and calculated structure factors and a Crystallography Information File (CIF) may be obtained from The Depository of Unpublished Data on the MAC website¹ (documents Laverovite CM57, 18-00071).

STRUCTURE DESCRIPTION

In the crystal structure of laverovite (Fig. 3), there are four T sites occupied by Si and minor Al (Table 5)

and tetrahedrally coordinated by O atoms with <T-O > = 1.621 Å (Table 6). There is one Zr-dominant D site coordinated by five O atoms and an X^P_{D} anion $[X_{D}^{P} = F]$, with $< D - \phi > = 2.002 \text{ Å} (\phi = O, F)$. The D site contains $(Zr_{1,11}Ti_{0.82}Nb_{0.06}Mg_{0.01})_{\Sigma 2}$, ideally Zr_2 apfu (Table 7). There are four M sites octahedrally coordinated by O atoms and $X^{O}_{A}(1,2)$ anions occupied mainly by O atoms of OH groups and minor F (Tables 5–9); <M– ϕ > = 2.159 Å (ϕ = O, OH). These four M sites contain $(Mn_{4.03}Fe^{2+}_{2.71}Mg_{0.25}Zn_{0.01})_{\Sigma7}$, ideally $Mn_7 apfu$. In the O sheet, $Mn > Fe^{2+}$, hence laverovite is a kupletskite-group mineral (Table 1). The sizes of M octahedra follow the pattern M(1) > M(2) > M(3)> M(4) due to the different linkages of M octahedra and the polyhedra of the H sheets (Sokolova 2012). M(1-4) octahedra share common edges to form a trioctahedral O sheet. The T tetrahedra share vertices to form the T_4O_{12} (ideally Si_4O_{12}) astrophyllite ribbon along [100]. Astrophyllite ribbons and D octahedra share common vertices to form an H sheet. Two H

¹ The MAC website may be found at http://mineralogicalassociation.ca

Atom	x	У	Z	U_{11}	U_{22}	U_{33}	U_{23}	U_{13}	U_{12}	$U_{ m eq}$
M(1)	0.85063(7)	0.20604(3)	0.48065(4)	0.00981(19)	0.01047(19)	0.0165(2)	0.00670(14)	0.00372(13)	0.00396(13)	0.01164(13)
M(2)	0.28040(7)	0.06801(3)	0.48929(4)	0.01040(19)	0.0122(2)	0.0174(2)	0.00852(15)	0.00463(13)	0.00488(13)	0.01215(13)
M(3)	0.42267(7)	0.35204(3)	0.48528(4)	0.01045(19)	0.01104(19)	0.0180(2)	0.007/0(15)	0.00465(13)	0.00480(13)	(21)12210.0
M(4)	0	1/2	1/2	0.0097(3)	0.0091(3)	0.0162(3)	0.0056(2)	0.00229(19)	0.00346(18)	0.01147(17)
Δ	0.07905(6)	0.08676(3)	0.19818(3)	0.00890(14)	0.01224(15)	0.01470(16)	0.00583(11)	0.00260(10)	0.00433(10)	0.01163(10)
T(1)	0.67846(13)	0.27352(6)	0.23139(7)	0.0128(3)	0.0101(3)	0.0133(3)	0.0059(2)	0.0024(2)	0.0036(2)	0.01167(14)
T(2)	0.81262(15)	0.54743(7)	0.25413(7)	0.0187(3)	0.0099(3)	0.0153(3)	0.0059(3)	0.0026(3)	0.0039(3)	0.01452(15)
T(3)	0.37628(14)	0.67447(7)	0.25645(7)	0.0174(3)	0.0109(3)	0.0144(3)	0.0068(3)	0.0026(3)	0.0044(3)	0.01371(15)
T(4)	0.50576(13)	0.92977(6)	0.23572(7)	0.0137(3)	0.0099(3)	0.0132(3)	0.0063(2)	0.0026(2)	0.0039(2)	0.01168(14)
A(1)	0.1368(3)	0.27097(11)	0.99556(11)	0.0857(10)	0.0476(7)	0.0365(6)	0.0160(5)	0.0064(5)	0.0132(6)	0.0585(5)
A(2)	0.089(3)	0.1761(9)	0.9968(14)	0.024(7)	0.012(6)	0.027(8)	0.015(6)	0.002(6)	0.005(5)	0.019(3)
В	1/2	0	0	0.0221(6)	0.0124(5)	0.0078(5)	0.0032(4)	0.0018(4)	0.0047(4)	0.0145(3)
0(1)	0.7260(3)	0.31781(17)	0.38291(17)	0.0121(8)	0.0122(8)	0.0137(8)	0.0055(7)	0.0023(6)	0.0039(6)	0.0126(3)
O(2)	0.1276(4)	0.39176(17)	0.59358(17)	0.0140(8)	0.0113(8)	0.0137(8)	0.0054(7)	0.0026(6)	0.0039(6)	0.0129(3)
O(3)	0.5617(4)	0.25740(17)	0.58977(17)	0.0142(8)	0.0136(8)	0.0135(8)	0.0063(7)	0.0027(6)	0.0052(7)	0.0133(3)
O(4)	0.5730(4)	0.01377(17)	0.38758(17)	0.0131(8)	0.0138(8)	0.0130(8)	0.0055(7)	0.0025(6)	0.0046(7)	0.0132(3)
O(5)	0.0705(5)	0.5916(3)	0.2018(2)	0.0300(12)	0.0379(13)	0.02000(11)	0.0078(10)	0.0046(9)	-0.0076(10)	0.0338(6)
O(6)	0.2521(5)	0.0429(3)	0.8277(2)	0.0360(14)	0.0466(16)	0.0240(12)	-0.0012(11)	0.0158(11)	-0.0183(12)	0.0455(8)
0(7)	0.4302(5)	0.4141(3)	0.7976(2)	0.0463(15)	0.0390(14)	0.0208(11)	0.0088(10)	0.0022(10)	0.0293(12)	0.0338(6)
O(8)	0.1307(6)	0.8054(3)	0.8317(2)	0.075(2)	0.0666(19)	0.0239(12)	0.0222(13)	0.0218(13)	0.0621(18)	0.0456(8)
(6)0	0.2660(6)	0.9550(3)	0.1719(2)	0.0612(18)	0.0458(15)	0.0243(12)	-0.0022(11)	-0.0132(12)	0.0432(15)	0.0456(8)
O(10)	0.2669(6)	0.6051(2)	0.8047(2)	0.0672(18)	0.0110(9)	0.0189(10)	0.0069(8)	0.0045(11)	0.0051(10)	0.0335(6)
0(11)	0.5734(6)	0.2218(2)	0.8012(2)	0.0706(19)	0.0127(9)	0.0207(11)	0.0096(8)	0.0060(11)	0.0057(11)	0.0351(6)
0(12)	0.3873(5)	0.1934(3)	0.1680(2)	0.0275(12)	0.0638(19)	0.0252(12)	0.0225(13)	-0.0083(10)	-0.0227(12)	0.0448(8)
°°°×	0.1483(4)	0.16281(18)	0.37645(18)	0.0127(8)	0.0137(8)	0.0191(9)	0.0072(7)	0.0041(7)	0.0054(7)	0.0147(4)
X ^O A(1)	0.2981(4)	0.46365(18)	0.40167(18)	0.0159(9)	0.0166(9)	0.0162(9)	0.0067(7)	0.0035(7)	0.0057(7)	0.0160(4)
X ^O A(2)	0.9893(4)	0.11668(18)	0.59206(18)	0.0168(9)	0.0165(9)	0.0152(9)	0.0078(7)	0.0037(7)	0.0063(7)	0.0155(4)
X^{P}_{D}	0	0	0	0.0259(12)	0.0237(12)	0.0165(11)	0.0073(9)	0.0038(9)	0.0071(10)	0.0224(5)
WA	0.175(13)	0.3650(18)	0.011(5)	0.09(2)*						
H(1)	0.257(7)	0.425(3)	0.3094(10)	0.01925*						
H(2)	1.022(7)	0.156(3)	0.6845(10)	0.01854*						
* $U_{\rm iso}$										

TABLE 5. ATOM COORDINATES AND ANISOTROPIC DISPLACEMENT PARAMETERS (\mathring{a}^2) FOR LAVEROVITE

Downloaded from https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/canmin/article-pdf/57/2/201/4669009/i1499-1276-57-2-201.pdf by Flena Sokolova

TABLE 6. SELECTED INTERATOMIC DISTANCES (Å) AND ANGLES (°) FOR LAVEROVITE

$\begin{array}{c} M(1)-(X^{O}{}_{D})a \\ M(1)-O(3) \\ M(1)-X^{O}{}_{A}(2) \\ M(1)-O(2)a \\ M(1)-O(2)a \\ M(1)-O(4) \\ M(1)-O(1) \\ < M(1)-\phi> \end{array}$	2.143(2) 2.154(2) 2.170(2) 2.190(2) 2.220(2) 2.220(2) 2.242(2) 2.187	$\begin{array}{l} M(2) - X^O{}_A(2) b \\ M(2) - O(4) \\ M(2) - X^O{}_A(2) c \\ M(2) - O(3) \\ M(2) - X^O{}_D \\ M(2) - O(4) c \\ < M(2) - \phi > \end{array}$	2.117(2) 2.133(2) 2.138(2) 2.210(2) 2.221(2) 2.223(2) 2.174	$\begin{array}{l} M(3) - X^{O}{}_{A}(1) \\ M(3) - O(1) \\ M(3) - O(2) \\ M(3) - O(3) \\ M(3) - X^{O}{}_{A}(1) d \\ M(3) - X^{O}{}_{D} \\ < M(3) - \phi > \end{array}$	2.124(2) 2.140(2) 2.151(2) 2.160(2) 2.185(2) 2.204(2) 2.161
$\begin{array}{ll} M(4) - X^O_A(1) \ \times 2 \\ M(4) - O(1)b \ \ \times 2 \\ M(4) - O(2) \ \ \ \times 2 \\ < M(4) - \phi > \end{array}$	2.094(2) 2.166(2) 2.178(2) 2.146	T(1)-O(12) T(1)-O(8)d T(1)-O(1) T(1)-O(10)d <t(1)-o></t(1)-o>	1.592(3) 1.593(2) 1.627(2) 1.632(2) 1.611	T(2)–O(10)d T(2)–O(2)d T(2)–O(5)a T(2)–O(7)d <t(2)–o></t(2)–o>	1.608(2) 1.619(2) 1.636(3) 1.638(2) 1.625
T(3)–O(11)d T(3)–O(3)d T(3)–O(7)d T(3)–O(5) <t(3)–o></t(3)–o>	1.610(2) 1.634(2) 1.649(2) 1.653(3) 1.637	T(4)-O(6)d T(4)-O(9) T(4)-O(11)d T(4)-O(4)e <t(4)-o></t(4)-o>	1.594(2) 1.595(3) 1.626(2) 1.630(2) 1.611	T(1)d-O(10)-T(2)d T(2)b-O(5)-T(3) T(2)d-O(7)-T(3)d T(3)d-O(11)-T(4)d <t-o-t></t-o-t>	143.5(2) 139.4(2) 139.9(2) 143.6(2) 141.6
$\begin{array}{l} D-X^{O}{}_{D} \\ D-O(6)f \\ D-O(9)g \\ D-O(12) \\ D-O(8)h \\ D-X^{\mathcal{P}}{}_{D} \\ \end{array}$	1.894(2) 1.999(2) 2.000(2) 2.006(2) 2.007(2) 2.1059(3) 2.002	A(1)-O(8)k A(1)-O(12)I A(1)-O(9)h A(1)-O(6) A(1)-(χ^{P}_{D})I A(1)-O(11) A(1)-O(11)b A(1)-O(10)m	2.895(3) 2.897(3) 2.898(3) 2.912(3) 3.168(1) 3.415(3) 3.453(3) 3.473(3)	$\begin{array}{l} A(2) {-} X^{\mathcal{P}}{}_{D} \\ A(2) {-} W_{A} I \\ A(2) {-} O(9) h \\ A(2) {-} O(8) k \\ A(2) {-} O(12) I \\ A(2) {-} O(6) \\ {<} A(2) {-} \phi {>} \end{array}$	2.060(8) 2.129(1) 2.38(1) 2.39(2) 2.39(1) 2.40(2) 2.29
Short distances A(1)–A(2) A(1)–W _A I	1.108(8) 1.030(9)	$\begin{array}{l} A(1) - O(10) h \\ A(1) - O(5) h \\ A(1) - O(5) h \\ A(1) - O(7) \\ A(1) - O(7) m \\ A(1) - O(5) l \\ < A(1) - \phi > \end{array}$	3.482(3) 3.564(3) 3.649(3) 3.670(3) 3.765(3) 3.326	$\begin{array}{l} B-O(6)c \ \times 2 \\ B-O(12) \ \times 2 \\ B-O(9)g \ \times 2 \\ B-O(8)d \ \times 2 \\ B-X^{P}_{D} \ \ \times 2 \\ < B-\phi> \end{array}$	2.617(4) 2.624(4) 2.634(4) 2.641(4) 2.7164(0) 2.646

* $\phi = 0$, OH, F, H₂O. Symmetry operators: a: x + 1, y, z; b: x - 1, y, z; c: -x + 1, -y, -z + 1; d: -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; e: x, y + 1, z; f: -x, -y, -z + 1; g: x, y - 1, z; h: -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; k: -x, -y + 1, -z + 2; l: x, y, z + 1; m: -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 2.

sheets and one O sheet form an HOH block identical to that in astrophyllite- and kupletskite-group minerals. In the laverovite structure, D octahedra share a common X_D^P anion which has the composition 1 F *apfu* (Table 7).

In the I block between adjacent HOH blocks, there are two interstitial cation sites, A and B, and a W_A site partly occupied by H₂O. The A site splits into two sites, ^[13]A(1) and ^[6]A(2), separated by 1.108 Å (Table 6), and hence the A(1) and A(2) sites cannot both be locally occupied. The A(1) site is coordinated by 12 O atoms and one F atom (X^P_D site), $<A(1)-\phi>=3.326$ Å ($\phi = O$, F), and the A(2) site is coordinated by four O atoms, one F atom (X^P_D site), and an H₂O group at the W_A site, $<A(2)-\phi>=2.29$ Å ($\phi = O$, F, H₂O). There is a short distance A(1)–W_A=1.030 Å (Table 6) and hence the W_A and A(1) sites cannot both be locally occupied. The A(1) and A(2) sites are occupied by $(K_{1.78}Sr_{0.02}Cs_{0.01}\Box_{0.19})_{\Sigma 2} pfu$ (90.5% occupancy) and $(\Box_{1.85}Na_{0.15})_{\Sigma 2} pfu$ (7.5% occupancy) (Table 7). As the A(1) site is occupied at 90.5%, the W_A site can be occupied by H₂O at less than 9.5%, *i.e.*, by 0.15 H₂O pfu (7.5% occupancy), which is in accord with 0.15 Na apfu at the A(2) site (Table 7). Note that the ideal compositions of the A(2) and W_A sites are \Box_2 and \Box_2 , respectively, and we will not count them in the ideal formula of laverovite. The aggregate content of the Asite is $(K_{1.78}Na_{0.15}Sr_{0.02}Cs_{0.01}\Box_{0.04})_{\Sigma 2}$, ideally K_2 apfu. The $[^{10}]_B$ site is occupied by $(Na_{0.88}Ca_{0.12})$, ideally Na apfu (Table 7).

On the [6]-Coordinated A(2) Site in Laverovite

Sodium at the A(2) site was reported for heyerdahlite, Na₃Mn₇Ti₂(Si₄O₁₂)₂O₂(OH)₄F(H₂O)₂ (Sokolova *et al.* 2018b), another kupletskite-group mineral; the

Site*	Refined site-scattering (<i>epfu</i>)	Site-population (<i>apfu</i>)	Calculated site-scattering (<i>epfu</i>)	<ct–φ>_{obs.} (Å)**</ct–φ>	Ideal composition (<i>apfu</i>)
		Cations			
<i>M</i> (1)	50.3(1)			2.187	
<i>M</i> (2)	50.6(1)	Mn _{4.03} Fe ²⁺ _{2.71} Mg _{0.25} Zn _{0.01}		2.174	
<i>M</i> (3)	50.1(1)	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2.161	
<i>M</i> (4)	24.78(9)			2.146	
ΣM	175.78		174.51		Mn ₇
D	63.3(2)	Zr _{1.11} Ti _{0.82} Nb _{0.06} Mg _{0.01}	65.02	2.002	Zr ₂
^[13] A(1)	35.8(2)	K _{1.78} Sr _{0.02} Cs _{0.01} □ _{0.19}	35.13	3.326	K ₂
A(2)	1.65	□ _{1.85} Na _{0.15}	1.65	2.29	\square_2
ΣΑ	37.45	K _{1.78} Na _{0.15} Sr _{0.02} Cs _{0.01} □ _{0.04}	36.78		K ₂
^[10] B	15.40(9)	Na _{0.88} Ca _{0.12}	12.08	2.646	Na
		Anions and H ₂ C) groups		
^[4] X ⁰ D		O _{2 00}	0		O ₂
$^{[3]}X^{O_{A}}(1,2)$		(OH) _{3.68} F _{0.32}			(OH)₄
XPD		$F_{1,00}$			F
$^{[1]}W_{A}$		$\Box_{1.85}(H_2O)_{0.15}$			\square_2

TABLE 7. REFINED SITE-SCATTERING VALUES AND ASSIGNED SITE-POPULATIONS FOR LAVEROVITE

* Coordination number is given for non-[6]-coordinated sites; ** Ct = cation, $\varphi = 0$, OH, F, H₂O.

Na-W_A bond-length of 2.15 Å is the shortest bondlength compared to other A(2)- ϕ (ϕ = O, F) bond lengths in heyerdahlite: 2.344-2.498 Å. The short Na-W_A bond-length of 2.15 Å allows the O atom of an H_2O group to provide Na at the A(2) site with a significant bond-valence compared to the aggregate bond-valences from five other anions. Similar disorder at the A site has been reported for nafertisite, ideally $Na_3Fe^{2+}_{10}Ti_2(Si_6O_{17})_2O_2(OH)_6F(H_2O)_2$ (Cámara et al. 2014), which is topologically related to the astrophyllite-supergroup minerals. At the A(2) site, Na is coordinated by four O atoms, with <Na-O> = 2.464 Å, the \tilde{X}^{P}_{D} anion (F_{0.86}O_{0.14}), with Na– X^{P}_{D} = 2.309 Å, and an H₂O group at the W_A site, with Na- $W_A = 2.21$ Å, the shortest (Na- ϕ) bond-length, where $\varphi = O, F, H_2O.$

Gagné & Hawthorne (2016) reported bond-length distributions for alkali and alkaline-earth metals bonded to oxygen and gave the shortest recorded ^[6]Na–O bond-length as 2.129 Å.

For the A(2) site in laverovite, the shortest Na–W_A bond-length is 2.060(8) Å, *i.e.*, it is very short. However, we did not constrain the Na–W_A distance at 2.129 Å, as the A(2) and W_A sites are only 7.5% occupied by Na and H₂O and hence the refined coordinates of Na and O atoms at the A(2) and W_A sites are not very accurate.

The Ideal Formula of Laverovite

The general formula for the kupletskite-group (astrophyllite-supergroup) mineral laverovite is of the form $A_2BC_7D_2(T_4O_{12})_2X^O{}_{D2}X^O{}_{A4}X^P{}_{D}W_{A2}$ (Table 1). For the ideal formula of laverovite, we sum the ideal compositions of the following sites: $C_7 = Mn_7$; $D = {}^{[6]}Zr$; T = Si; $A_2 = K_2$; B = Na; $(X^O{}_D)_2 = O_2$; $(X^O{}_A)_4 =$ (OH)₄; $X^P{}_D = F$, $(W_A)_2 = \Box_2$ (Table 7). Hence the ideal formula of laverovite is $K_2NaMn_7Zr_2(Si_4O_{12})_2 O_2(OH)_4F$.

Laverovite, ideally $K_2NaMn_7Zr_2(Si_4O_{12})_2O_2$ (OH)₄F, is a Mn analogue of zircophyllite, ideally

D–HA	D–H	HA	DA	∠DHA
	(Å)	(Å)	(Å)	(°)
$X^{O}_{A}(1)-H(1)O(8)a$	0.98(1)	2.82(3)	3.455(4)	123(3)
$X^{O}_{A}(1)-H(1)O(12)$	0.98(1)	2.90(3)	3.493(4)	120(3)
$X^{O}_{A}(2)-H(2)O(6)b$	0.98(1)	2.90(3)	3.506(4)	121(2)
$X^{O}_{A}(2)-H(2)O(9)c$	0.98(1)	2.88(3)	3.504(4)	122(2)

TABLE 8. HYDROGEN BONDING IN LAVEROVITE

a: -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; b: x + 1, y, z; c: -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

TABLE 9. SELECTED BOND-VALENCE VALUES* FOR LAVEROVITE

Atom**	M(1–4)	D	A(1)	A(2)	В	Σ
X ^o D	0.96	1.01				1.97
$X^{O}_{A}(1)$	1.10					1.10
$X^{O}_{A}(2)$	1.12					1.12
X ^P D		$0.25^{x2 ightarrow}$	$0.07^{x2 ightarrow}$	$0.02^{x2 \rightarrow}$	$0.09^{x2 ightarrow}$	0.86

* Bond-valence parameters (*vu*) are from Brown (1981), except Brown & Altermatt (1985) for Zr–F;

** $M_7 = Mn_4Fe^{2+}_3$; $D = Zr_{0.55}Ti_{0.45}$; $A(1) = K_{0.90}\Box_{0.10}$; $A(2) = Na_{0.08}\Box_{0.92}$; B = Na; $X^O_D = O$; $X^O_A(1,2) = OH$; $X^P_D = F$.

K₂NaFe²⁺₇Zr₂(Si₄O₁₂)₂O₂(OH)₄F (Kapustin 1972, 1973, Sokolova & Hawthorne 2016). Zircophyllite, K₂NaFe²⁺₇Zr₂(Si₄O₁₂)₂O₂(OH)₄F, was redefined as an astrophyllite-group mineral (IMA 15B, Sokolova *et al.* 2018a).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to reviewers Fernando Cámara and Marco Ciriotti and Associate Editor Henrik Friis for very useful comments, as they greatly improved the



FIG. 3. General view of the crystal structure of laverovite. Mn-dominant M and Zr-dominant D octahedra are magenta and green, respectively; Si tetrahedra are orange; K atoms at the A(1) site are shown as large green spheres; Na atoms at A(2) and B sites are shown as medium navy blue spheres; F atoms (X^P_D site) and O atoms of OH groups (X^O_A sites) are shown as small yellow and turquoise spheres, respectively; H atoms of OH groups are shown as small gray spheres; H₂O groups (W_A site) are shown as medium red spheres; the unit cell is shown with thin black lines.

manuscript. We thank David Herbert (Department of Chemistry, University of Manitoba) for use of the Bruker D8 QUEST ECO CMOS diffractometer for collection of the single-crystal X-ray data for laverovite, and Mark A. Cooper for processing the singlecrystal data. This work was supported by a Graduate Fellowship from the University of Manitoba to Maxwell C. Day; a Discovery Grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada to Frank C. Hawthorne; and by Innovation Grants from the Canada Foundation for Innovation to Frank C. Hawthorne.

References

- AGAKHANOV, A.A., PAUTOV, L.A., UVAROVA, Y.A., SOKOLOVA, E., HAWTHORNE, F.C., & KARPENKO, V.YU. (2008) Nalivkinite, Li₂NaFe²⁺₇Ti₂(Si₈O₂₄)O₂(OH)₄F, a new mineral of the astrophyllite group from the Darai-Pioz massif, Tadjikistan. New Data on Minerals 43, 5–12.
- AGAKHANOV, A.A., PAUTOV, L.A., SOKOLOVA, E., ABDU, Y.A., & KARPENKO, V.YU. (2016) Two astrophyllite-supergroup minerals, bulgakite and nalivkinite: bulgakite, a new mineral from the Darai-Pioz alkaline massif, Tajikistan and revision of the crystal structure and chemical formula of nalivkinite. *Canadian Mineralogist* 54, 33–48.
- BELOV, N.V. (1963) Essays on structural mineralogy. XIV. 97. Ba,Fe-titanosilicate - bafertisite. 98. Anion role of Ti and Zr. Titanates, zirconates, titanosilicates, zirconosilicates. *Mineralogicheskii Sbornik L'vovskogo Geologicheskogo Obshchestva* N17, 22–29 (in Russian).
- BELOV, N.V. (1976) *Essays on structural mineralogy*. Nedra, Moscow, Russia, 346 pp. (in Russian).
- BROWN, I.D. (1981) The bond valence method: an empirical approach to chemical structure and bonding. *In* Structure and Bonding in Crystals II (M. O'Keeffe & A. Navrotsky, eds.). Academic Press, New York City, New York (1–30).
- BROWN, I.D. & ALTERMATT, D. (1985) Bond–valence parameters obtained from a systematic analysis of the inorganic crystal structure database. *Acta Crystallographica* **B41**, 244–247.
- CÁMARA, F., SOKOLOVA, E., ABDU, Y., & HAWTHORNE, F.C. (2010) The crystal structures of niobophyllite, kupletskite-(Cs) and Sn-rich astrophyllite; revisions to the crystal chemistry of the astrophyllite-group minerals. *Canadian Mineralogist* **48**, 1–16.
- CÁMARA, F., SOKOLOVA, E., ABDU, Y.A., & HAWTHORNE, F.C. (2014) Nafertisite, $Na_3Fe^{2+}{}_{10}Ti_2(Si_6O_{17})_2O_2(OH)_6$ $F(H_2O)_2$, from Mt. Kukisvumchorr, Khibiny alkaline massif, Kola peninsula, Russia: Refinement of the crystal structure and revision of the chemical formula. *European Journal of Mineralogy* **26**, 689–700.
- GAGNÉ, O. & HAWTHORNE, F.C. (2016) Bond-length distributions for ions bonded to oxygen: alkali and alkaline-earth metals. *Acta Crystallographica* **B72**, 602–625.

- KAMPF, A.R., ROSSMAN, G.R., STEELE, I.M., PLUTH, J.J., DUNNING, G.E., & WALSTROM, R.E. (2010) Devitoite, a new heterophyllosilicate mineral with astrophyllite-like layers from eastern Fresno County, California. *Canadian Mineralogist* 48, 29–40.
- KAPUSTIN, YU.L. (1972) Zircophyllite the zirconium analogue of astrophyllite. Zapiski Vsesoyuznogo Mineralogicheskogo Obshchestva 101(4), 459–463 (in Russian).
- KAPUSTIN, YU.L. (1973) Zircophyllite, the zirconium analogue of astrophyllite. *International Geology Review* 15, 621– 625.
- KHOMYAKOV, A.P., CÁMARA, F., SOKOLOVA, E., HAWTHORNE, F.C., & ABDU, Y. (2011) Sveinbergeite, Ca(Fe²⁺₆Fe³⁺) Ti₂(Si₄O₁₂)₂O₂(OH)₅(H₂O)₄, a new astrophyllite-group mineral species from the Larvik plutonic complex, Oslo region, Norway: description and crystal structure. *Mineralogical Magazine* **75**, 2687–2702.
- MANDARINO, J.A. (1981) The Gladstone-Dale relationship. IV. The compatibility concept and its application. *Canadian Mineralogist* 41, 989–1002.
- NICKEL, E.H., ROWLAND, J.F., & CHARETTE, D.J. (1964) Niobophyllite - the niobium analogue of astrophyllite; a new mineral from Seal Lake, Labrador. *Canadian Mineralogist* 8, 40–52.
- PIILONEN, P.C., LALONDE, A.E., MCDONALD, A.M., & GAULT, R.A. (2000) Niobokupletskite, a new astrophyllite-group mineral from Mont Saint-Hilaire, Québec, Canada: description and crystal structure. *Canadian Mineralogist* 38, 627–639.
- PIILONEN, P.C., MCDONALD, A.M., & LALONDE, A.E. (2001) Kupletskite polytypes from the Lovozero massif, Kola peninsula, Russia: kupletskite-1A and kupletskite-Ma2b2c. *European Journal of Mineralogy* 13, 973–984.
- POUCHOU, J.L. & PICHOIR, F. (1985) 'PAP' φ(ρZ) procedure for improved quantitative microanalysis. *In* Microbeam Analysis (J.T. Armstrong, ed.). San Francisco Press, San Francisco, California (104–106).
- SEMENOV, E.I. (1956) Kupletskite, a new mineral of the astrophyllite group. *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR* 108, 933–936 (in Russian).
- SHELDRICK, G.M. (2008) A short history of SHELX. Acta Crystallographica A64, 112–122.
- SOKOLOVA, E. (2012) Further developments in the structure topology of the astrophyllite-group minerals. *Mineralogical Magazine* 76, 863–882.
- SOKOLOVA, E. & CÁMARA, F. (2008) Re-investigation of the crystal structure of magnesium astrophyllite. *European Journal of Mineralogy* 20, 253–260.
- SOKOLOVA, E. & HAWTHORNE, F.C. (2016) The crystal structure of zircophyllite, K₂NaFe²⁺₇Zr₂(Si₄O₁₂)₂O₂ (OH)₄F, an astrophyllite-supergroup mineral from Mont Saint-Hilaire, Québec, Canada. *Canadian Mineralogist* 54, 1539–1547.

213

- SOKOLOVA, E., CÁMARA, F., HAWTHORNE, F.C., & CIRIOTTI, M.E. (2017a) The astrophyllite supergroup: Nomenclature and classification. *Mineralogical Magazine* 81, 143–153.
- SOKOLOVA, E., CÁMARA, F., HAWTHORNE, F.C., SEMENOV, E.I., & CIRIOTTI, M.E. (2017b) Lobanovite, $K_2Na(Fe^{2+}_4Mg_2Na)Ti_2(Si_4O_{12})_2O_2(OH)_4$, a new mineral of the astrophyllite supergroup and its relation to magnesioastrophyllite. *Mineralogical Magazine* **81**, 175–181.
- SOKOLOVA, E., CÁMARA, F., HAWTHORNE, F.C., & CIRIOTTI, M.E. (2018a) Redefinition of zircophyllite, ideally K₂NaMn₇Zr₂(Si₄O₁₂)₂O₂(OH)₄F, a kupletskite-group mineral of the astrophyllite supergroup (in accord with IMA 15-B) as an astrophyllite-group mineral, ideally K₂NaFe²⁺₇Zr₂(Si₄O₁₂)₂O₂(OH)₄F (IMA 17-D). *Canadian Mineralogist* 56, 3–5.
- SOKOLOVA, E., DAY, M.C., HAWTHORNE, F.C., & KRISTIANSEN, R. (2018b) Heyerdahlite, Na₃Mn₇Ti₂(Si₄O₁₂)₂O₂(OH)₄F (H₂O)₂, a new mineral of the astrophyllite supergroup from the Larvik Plutonic complex, Norway: description and crystal structure. *Mineralogical Magazine* 82, 243– 255.

- STEPANOV, A.V., BEKENOVA, G.K., LEVIN, V.L., SOKOLOVA, E.V., HAWTHORNE, F.C., & DOBROVOLSKAYA, E.A. (2012) Tarbagataite, (K,D)₂(Ca,Na)(Fe²⁺,Mn)₇Ti₂(Si₄O₁₂)₂O₂ (OH)₄(OH,F), a new astrophyllite-group mineral species from the Verkhnee Espe deposit, Akjailyautas Mountains, Kazakhstan: Description and crystal structure. *Canadian Mineralogist* **50**, 159–168.
- WEIBYE, P.C. (1848) Beiträge zur topographischen Mineralogie Norwegens. Archiv für Mineralogie, Geognosie Bergbau und Hüttenkunde 22, 465–544 (in German).
- WILSON, A.J.C., Ed. (1992) International Tables for Crystallography. Volume C: Mathematical, physical and chemical tables. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands.
- YEFIMOV, A.F., DUSMATOV, V.D., GANZEYEV, A.A., & KATAYEVA, Z.T. (1971) Cesium kupletskite, a new mineral. Doklady of the Academy of Sciences U.S.S.R., Earth Sciences 197, 140–143.
- Received December 4, 2018. Revised manuscript accepted January 24, 2019.