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#### Abstract

Schlegelite is a new bismuth molybdate arsenate from the dumps of the Pucher Richtschacht, near Schneeberg, Saxony, Germany. Associated minerals are quartz, petitjeanite, pucherite, bismuth and sillenite. Schlegelite forms dense spherical aggregates that are composed of small lath-like crystals up to 0.3 mm in length. Crystallographic forms are $\{010\}$ (dominant), $\{001\}$ and $\{100\}$; the elongation is parallel to [100]. The colour is yellow, the streak is pale yellow; Vickers hardness is $\mathrm{VHN}_{25}=$ $285 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$, corresponding to a Mohs' hardness of $31 / 2$; good cleavage parallel to $\{010\}$ and $\{001\}$. Schlegelite is biaxial negative, $2 \mathrm{~V}=40(5)^{\circ}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}=2.22, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{y}}=2.255$ (calc.), and $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{z}}=2.26$ (calculated from reflectance data); non-pleochroic; orientation $\mathrm{X}=$ $a, \mathrm{Y}=b, \mathrm{Z}=c$. Electron-microprobe analyses gave $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 70.20, \mathrm{PbO} 0.48, \mathrm{CaO} 0.05, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 0.51, \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 15.38, \mathrm{~V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 0.21, \mathrm{MoO}_{3}$ 12.13 , total $98.96 \mathrm{wt} .-\%$. The empirical formula based on 24 oxygen atoms is $\mathrm{Bi}_{6.78} \mathrm{~Pb}_{0.05} \mathrm{Ca}_{0.02} \mathrm{O}_{3.51}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{1.90}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{0.16}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{4}\right)_{0.05}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{3.01}$, the idealized formula is $\mathrm{Bi}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{3}$. Schlegelite is orthorhombic, space group Pnca, with $a=5.299(1), b=16.133(4)$, $c=23.948(5) \AA, \mathrm{V}=2047.2(7) \AA^{3}, Z=4, \mathrm{D}_{\text {calc }}=7.23 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. The crystal structure refinement converged for 2378 reflections and 166 variable parameters at $\mathrm{R} 1=0.045$. The atomic arrangement is characterized by ribbons of Bi atoms shortly linked by oxooxygen atoms parallel to [100]. The ribbons are connected to $\mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{MoO}_{4}$ tetrahedra forming layers parallel to (001). The chemical composition of schlegelite is remarkable as minerals containing both the anion groups, arsenate and molybdate, are rare; furthermore, Bi arsenates with a second anion group were found only occasionally. The mineral name is for Fritz Schlegel, a dedicated mineral collector and finder of the new species, in recognition of his contributions to the mineral assemblage of the Schneeberg area in Saxony, Germany.
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## Introduction

In the course of investigations of minerals from the Schneeberg area in Saxony, Germany, a single specimen with a few spherical aggregates was found that could not be identified by means of X-ray powder diffraction data. According to preliminary micro-chemical tests it was considered a new bismuth arsenate. Subsequent electronmicroprobe analyses showed also molybdenum to be an additional major constituent. Trials to investigate singlecrystals with various X-ray techniques (Weissenberg and Buerger film methods, conventional 4-circle diffractometer) failed. The small size as well as the poor crystal quality did not allow to determine unit-cell metrics or any structural features. In 1994 it was decided to postpone the description as a new mineral species until new finds of more suitable material would be available. Unfortunately, further samples of this probably very rare material have not been discovered until now. Nonetheless, a new attempt was started in 2002 by using very small crystal fragments of the
original material, which could now be measured successfully by means of a four-circle X-ray diffractometer equipped with a CCD area detector, revealing not only the crystal symmetry and cell metrics but also the complete crystal structure information.

The new mineral was named schlegelite in honour of the dedicated mineral collector Fritz Schlegel (born in 1938) in recognition of his contributions to the knowledge about the mineral assemblage of the Schneeberg area in Saxony, Germany (Schlegel et al., 1992; Schlegel, 2000, 2002). He is not only the finder of this new mineral; he discovered also a number of other recently described new mineral species in this area, such as brendelite (Krause et al., 1998), cobaltlotharmeyerite (Krause et al., 1999), nickellotharmeyerite (Krause et al., 2001), schneebergite, nickelschneebergite (Krause et al., 2002a), neustädtelite, and cobaltneustädtelite (Krause et al., 2002b). Both, mineral and mineral name have been approved by the IMA Commission on New Minerals and Mineral Names (\#2003051). Type material is preserved in the collection of the

[^0]Table 1. Physical data of schlegelite.

| Morphology | $2 / m 2 / m 2 / m,\{010\},\{100\},\{001\}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Habit | tabular on $\{010\}$, elongated $/ /[100]$ |
| Twinning | none observed |
| Lustre | adamantine |
| Diaphaneity | transparent to translucent |
| Colour | yellow |
| Streak | pale yellow |
| Fluorescence | none |
| Hardness | Mohs $31 / 2, \mathrm{VHN}_{25}=285(20) \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |
| Tenacity | brittle |
| Cleavage | $\{010\}$ good, $\{001\}$ good |
| Fracture | uneven |
| Density (calc.) | $7.23 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| Optical character | negative |
| $\mathrm{n}^{1}$ | 2.22 |
| $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{y}}$ (calc.) $)^{2}$ | 2.255 |
| $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{z}}{ }^{1}$ | 2.26 |
| $2 \mathrm{~V}{ }^{3}$ | $40(5)^{\circ}$ |
| Dispersion | not determined |
| Pleochroism | none |
| Orientation | $\mathrm{X}=a, \mathrm{Y}=b, \mathrm{Z}=c$ |

${ }^{1}$ Calculated from reflectance data.
${ }^{2}$ Calculated from $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{z}}$ and 2 V .
${ }^{3}$ Calculated from extinction curves using spindle-stage measurements.
"Staatliches Museum für Mineralogie und Geologie", Dresden, Germany, under catalogue number 19625Sa(MMG).

## Occurrence

Schlegelite has been discovered on a small specimen from the dumps of the Pucher Richtschacht, approximately 3.6 km south-west of the centre of Schneeberg, Saxony, Germany. The type specimen was found in 1988 and consists mainly of coarse quartz. It was approximately $20 \times$ $40 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ in size and was broken into several pieces; one of them showed a few spherical schlegelite aggregates grown within a small vug. The dense aggregates have a maximum diameter of 1 mm and are composed of small lath-like crystals up to 0.3 mm in length. These can only be observed on fracture surfaces since the schlegelite aggre-

Table 2. Reflectance data ${ }^{1}$ of schlegelite.

| $\lambda$ | Air | Air | Oil | Oil |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(\mathrm{nm})$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\max }$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\min }$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\max }$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\min }$ |
| 400 | 17.82 | 16.93 | 5.10 | 4.70 |
| 420 | 17.14 | 16.30 | 4.81 | 4.45 |
| 440 | 16.76 | 15.69 | 4.59 | 4.36 |
| 460 | 16.35 | 15.40 | 4.52 | 4.28 |
| 470 (COM) | 16.20 | 15.31 | 4.43 | 4.21 |
| 480 | 16.05 | 15.23 | 4.34 | 4.15 |
| 500 | 15.85 | 14.89 | 4.27 | 4.04 |
| 520 | 15.59 | 14.78 | 4.11 | 3.99 |
| 540 | 15.47 | 14.56 | 3.98 | 3.90 |
| 546 (COM) | 15.41 | 14.53 | 3.96 | 3.86 |
| 560 | 15.26 | 14.50 | 3.99 | 3.80 |
| 580 | 15.12 | 14.35 | 3.84 | 3.64 |
| 589 (COM) | 15.06 | 14.21 | 3.83 | 3.64 |
| 600 | 14.99 | 14.03 | 3.82 | 3.64 |
| 620 | 14.82 | 13.96 | 3.72 | 3.63 |
| 640 | 14.80 | 13.76 | 3.71 | 3.52 |
| 650 (COM) | 14.76 | 13.74 | 3.68 | 3.51 |
| 660 | 14.73 | 13.73 | 3.66 | 3.53 |
| 680 | 14.54 | 13.51 | 3.52 | 3.43 |
| 700 | 14.59 | 13.60 | 3.58 | 3.39 |

${ }^{1}$ Standard SiC; immersion oil DIN 58.884; LEITZ ORTHOPLAN microscope, combined with a photometer similar to that described by Bernhardt (1987).
gates are overgrown by a thin coating of quartz (approximately $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness). A few yellow petitjeanite aggregates are on top of the coating. This proves the successive crystallisation of (1) schlegelite, (2) quartz and (3) petitjeanite. A formation under supergene or hydrothermal conditions might be possible but could not be verified from the present investigations. At least the presence of the three elements arsenic, molybdenum and vanadium in their highest naturally occurring valence states besides oxooxygen atoms indicates a highly oxidising environment during the formation of the schlegelite paragenesis. Other associated minerals on the original specimen are pucherite and bismuth; the latter is seen as a hint that schlegelite is an alteration product from native ores. In addition, sillenite occurs around the bismuth grains as small colourless to pale yellow xenomorphic masses. It was identified by means of

Table 3. Electron-microprobe analyses ${ }^{1}$ of schlegelite and petitjeanite.

| constituent | schlegelite ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | petitjeanite ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | wt. \% | range | std. dev. | wt.\% | range | std. dev. |
| CaO | 0.05 | 0.00-0.09 | 0.02 | 0.41 | 0.19 - 0.90 | 0.33 |
| PbO | 0.48 | 0.14-0.83 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.25-0.59 | 0.13 |
| $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 70.20 | 69.28-71.78 | 0.62 | 78.91 | 78.55-79.38 | 0.36 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 0.51 | 0.27-0.72 | 0.12 | 8.21 | 6.08-11.25 | 2.39 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 0.21 | 0.06-0.56 | 0.11 | 6.26 | $2.32-9.26$ | 2.93 |
| $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 15.38 | 14.47-16.51 | 0.52 | 5.63 | 4.98-6.31 | 0.46 |
| $\mathrm{MoO}_{3}$ | 12.13 | 11.29-13.34 | 0.58 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (calc.) |  |  |  | 1.04 |  |  |
| Total | 98.96 |  |  | 100.87 |  |  |

[^1]its high bismuth content and its X-ray powder diffraction pattern; the refined lattice parameter $a$ is $10.175(1) \AA$.

## Physical properties

Physical and optical properties are summarized in Table 1; reflectance values are compiled in Table 2. The optical axial angle 2 V and the orientation were derived from extinction measurements using a spindle stage; the orientation with respect to the crystallographic axes was checked by single-crystal X-ray investigations. The refractive indices $n_{x}$ and $n_{z}$ were calculated from the mean values of the reflectance data in air and in oil at 590 nm using the Fresnel formula and neglecting absorption; $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{y}}$ was calculated from $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{z}}$, and 2 V (meas.). The value for the birefringence $(\Delta \mathrm{n}=0.04)$ derived from the reflectance data was confirmed during the spindle-stage measurements.

## Chemical composition

An electron-microprobe analysis of schlegelite (Table 3) was obtained from a polished and carbon-coated section of the same aggregate that yielded the crystal fragment used for the structure analysis. The main components of the new mineral are $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{MoO}_{3}$. The occurrence of Mo as a main constituent seems to be rather uncommon for the Schneeberg mineral assemblage. However, it should be mentioned that the Schneeberg area is the type locality for koechlinite, $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{6}$, (Schaller, 1916; Hyršl, 1992). In addition, a few minor finds of molybdenite, $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$, have also been reported (Massanek \& Michalski, 2005).

The idealized formula of schlegelite is $\mathrm{Bi}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ $\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{3}$. Small amounts of Ca and Pb substitute for Bi ; also small amounts of phosphate and vanadate substitute for arsenate. No other elements with atomic numbers greater than 8 could be detected. The empirical formula based on 24 oxygen atoms is $\mathrm{Bi}_{6.78} \mathrm{~Pb}_{0.05} \mathrm{Ca}_{0.02} \mathrm{O}_{3.51}$ $\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{1.90}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{0.16}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{4}\right)_{0.05}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{3.01}$. The compatibility index according to the Gladstone-Dale relationship (Mandarino, 1981) is -0.043 , which is rated as good. Substitutions involving ( $\mathrm{Bi}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Ca}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{As}, \mathrm{V}$ ) are common for lead and bismuth minerals of the Schneeberg area; compare for example petitjeanite (Table 3; Krause et al., 1993) and brendelite (Krause et al., 1998). To achieve charge balance a coupled substitution involving $(\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Ca})^{2+}$ on the $\mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ sites and $\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)^{2-}$ on the $\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)^{3-}$ sites is assumed. End member compositions of a solid solution series in case of Mo:(As,P,V) ratios greater than 2:3 may be formulated as: $\mathrm{Bi}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{3} \leftrightarrow " \mathrm{Bi}_{6}(\mathrm{~Pb}, \mathrm{Ca}) \mathrm{O}_{4}$ $\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{2}\left[\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)\right] "$. In case of $\mathrm{Mo}:(\mathrm{As}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{V})$ ratios below $2: 3$ an oxo/hydroxo substitution might be a possible mechanism to achieve charge balance.

## X-ray investigation

X-ray powder diffraction data for schlegelite are compiled in Table 4. The differences between observed and

Table 4. X-ray powder diffraction data ${ }^{1}$ of schlegelite.
$\left.\begin{array}{|rrrrrr|}\hline h & k & l & \mathrm{~d}_{\text {calc }} & \mathrm{I}_{\text {calc }} & \mathrm{d}_{\text {obs }} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 2 & 11.974 & 8 & 12.018 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 8.067 & 8 & 8.072 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 6.690 & 6 & 6.691 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 5.987 & 1 & 5.983 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 5.247 & 2 & 5.244 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 4.926 & 2 & 4.923 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 4.845 & 2 & 4.837 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 4.808 & 2 & 4.803 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 4.641 & 5 & 4.645 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & 4.460 & 7 & 4.459 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 4.355 & 4 & 4.349 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 4.258 & 3 & \text { not obs.2} \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & 3.968 & 5 & 3.969 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 & 3.822 & 2 & 3.825 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 3.728 & 1 & 93.729 \\ 0 & 3 & 5 & 3.577 & 2 & 3.577 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 3.412 & 95 & 3.413 \\ 1 & 0 & 6 & 3.188 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 & 3.181 & 2 & 3.190 \\ 1 & 1 & 6 & 3.128 & 2 & 3.125 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 & 2.993 & 35 & 2.996 \\ 1 & 2 & 6 & 2.965 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 2.965 & 100\end{array}\right\}$
${ }^{1}$ Philips PW 1710 powder diffractometer, $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha$ radiation; internal standard: $\mathrm{Si} ; \mathrm{I}_{\text {calc }}$ was calculated with the programme LAZY PULVERIX (Yvon et al., 1977); reflections with $\mathrm{I}_{\text {calc }} \geq 2$ are listed. Observed intensities are not corrected for texture effects. ${ }^{2}$ Overlap due to quartz impuritiy.

Table 5. Single-crystal X-ray data collection and structure refinements of schlegelite.

| $a[\AA]$ | 5.302(1) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $b$ [ $\AA$ ] | 16.154(3) |
| $c[\AA]$ | 23.981(5) |
| V [ $\left.\AA^{3}\right]$ | 2056.1(7) |
| Z | $4\left\{\mathrm{Bi}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{3}\right\}$ |
| space group | Pnca (no. 60) |
| crystal dimensions [ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ] | $8 \times 42 \times 130$ |
| range of data collection [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | $3^{\circ}<2 \vartheta<60^{\circ}$ |
| number of frames | 840 |
| scan time [ $\mathrm{s}^{\circ}$ ] | 260 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{Mo} K_{\alpha}\right)\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 66 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=\Sigma \mid \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}($ mean $) \mid / \Sigma \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}$ | 0.092 |
| $R 1=\Sigma\left(\| \| \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\left\|-\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right\|\right\|\right) / \Sigma\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right\|$ | 0.045 |
| $w \mathrm{R} 2=\left[\Sigma w\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2 / \Sigma} w\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$ | 0.114 |
| extinction parameter | 0.00004(1) |
| absorption correction | multi-scan method |
| measured reflections | 21914 |
| observed unique reflections (n) | 3022 |
| reflections with $F_{o}>4 \sigma\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ | 2378 |
| variable parameters (p) | 166 |
| GooF $=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right] /(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{p})\right\}^{0.5}$ | 1.069 |
| $\max \Delta / \sigma$ | $\leq 0.001$ |
| final difference Fourier map [ $\AA^{\AA}-3$ ] | -3.49 to +4.45 |

NONIUS KappaCCD four-circle diffractometer equipped with a CCD detector and a $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diameter capillary-optics collimator, Mo tube, graphite monochromator, $\varphi$-scans for distinct $\omega$-angles, $\Delta \varphi=2^{\circ} /$ frame, frame size: binned mode, $621 \times 576$ pixels, detector-to-sample distance: 30 mm ; range of data collection: $-7 \geq h \leq 7$, $-22 \leq k \leq 22,-33 \leq l \leq 33$. Corrections for background, Lorentzpolarization and absorption effects. Programmes COLLECT (Nonius, 1999), DENZO-SMN (Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997), SHELXS-97, SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 1997a,b). Neutral-atomic complex scattering functions (Wilson, 1992).
$w=1 /\left\{\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{0}{ }^{2}\right)+[0.050 * \mathrm{P}]^{2}+75 * \mathrm{P}\right\} ; \mathrm{P}=\left(\left[\max \left(0, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)\right]+2 * \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$.
calculated intensities are most probably due to preferred orientation. Unit-cell parameters refined from powder data are $a=5.299(1), b=16.133(4), c=23.948(5) \AA, \mathrm{V}=$ $2047.2(7) \AA^{3}$. This is slightly smaller than the cell parameters derived from the single-crystal investigations (Table 5) and might be due to minor differences in the chemical composition (e.g. $\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{V}$ ).

Single-crystal data collections were performed on two thin, lath-like samples of schlegelite. The results are very similar; during this paper only the results from the refinement yielding the smaller standard deviations for the structural parameters are considered. Details on the data collection are compiled in Table 5. The intensity distribution indicated orthorhombic symmetry, the space group was found from the extinction rules to be Pnca (no. 60). The Bi atoms as well as the centres of the $\mathrm{XO}_{4}$ tetrahedra ( $X=\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{As}$ ) were located by direct methods; successive Fourier and difference Fourier maps revealed the positions of the oxygen atoms. For all atoms anisotropic displacement parameters were allowed to vary. The electron density in the final difference Fourier map amounts -4.50 to $+5.57 \mathrm{e}^{-} \AA^{-3}$; the highest peaks are in the surrounding of the Bi atoms. From the refinement of the site occupation factors it is evident that the sites $X 1$ and $X 2$ are occupied predominantly by Mo atoms. This is in agreement with the size and shape of the coordination polyhedra around these positions (see below). The sites $X 3$ and $X 4$ contain the arsenic atoms and small amounts of phosphorus and vanadium as well as molybdenum in case of a Mo surplus. Different refinement models using distinct scattering functions for the atom sites $X 3$ and $X 4$ were applied. However, the results did not significantly deviate from a full occupation with As atoms. Consequently, for the final refinement neutral-atomic complex scattering functions of As were

Table 6. Atomic coordinates and anisotropic displacement parameters for schlegelite (e.s.d.'s in parentheses).

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{23}$ | $U_{13}$ | $U_{12}$ | $U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bi1 | 0.25 | 0.0 | $0.82100(3)$ | $0.0263(4)$ | $0.0333(4)$ | $0.0181(3)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $0.0049(3)$ | $0.0259(2)$ |
| Bi2 | $0.20136(11)$ | $0.17526(3)$ | $0.31301(2)$ | $0.0231(3)$ | $0.0257(3)$ | $0.0227(3)$ | $-0.0023(2)$ | $0.0031(2)$ | $-0.0020(2)$ | $0.0238(2)$ |
| Bi3 | $0.30289(10)$ | $0.16056(3)$ | $0.56105(2)$ | $0.0181(3)$ | $0.0240(3)$ | $0.0216(2)$ | $0-0.0021(2)$ | $0.0011(2)$ | $-0.0017(2)$ | $0.0213(1)$ |
| Bi4 | $0.25882(10)$ | $0.32375(3)$ | $0.44420(2)$ | $0.0228(3)$ | $0.0215(3)$ | $0.0199(2)$ | $0.0002(2)$ | $-0.0015(2)$ | $-0.0017(2)$ | $0.0214(2)$ |
| Mo1 | 0.25 | 0.0 | $0.07488(7)$ | $0.0153(7)$ | $0.0178(7)$ | $0.0186(7)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $0.0001(6)$ | $0.0172(3)$ |
| Mo2 | 0.25 | 0.0 | $0.67760(7)$ | $0.0216(8)$ | $0.0173(8)$ | $0.0181(7)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $-0.0031(6)$ | $0.0190(3)$ |
| As3 | 0.25 | 0.0 | $0.42911(8)$ | $0.0173(9)$ | $0.0167(8)$ | $0.0156(8)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $-0.0040(7)$ | $0.0165(4)$ |
| As4 | $0.2509(3)$ | $0.16929(8)$ | $0.17204(5)$ | $0.0194(6)$ | $0.0195(7)$ | $0.0149(6)$ | $0.0009(4)$ | $0.0016(5)$ | $0.0045(5)$ | $0.0179(3)$ |
| O11 | $0.146(2)$ | $-0.0785(7)$ | $0.0312(4)$ | $0.031(6)$ | $0.030(6)$ | $0.030(6)$ | $-0.003(4)$ | $0.004(5)$ | $-0.003(5)$ | $0.030(2)$ |
| O12 | $-0.025(2)$ | $0.0481(6)$ | $0.1072(4)$ | $0.028(5)$ | $0.018(5)$ | $0.028(5)$ | $0.005(4)$ | $0.010(4)$ | $-0.004(4)$ | $0.025(2)$ |
| O21 | $0.029(2)$ | $0.0383(6)$ | $0.7251(4)$ | $0.024(5)$ | $0.021(5)$ | $0.030(5)$ | $0.004(4)$ | $-0.004(4)$ | $0.005(4)$ | $0.025(2)$ |
| O22 | $0.400(2)$ | $0.1008(6)$ | $0.6553(4)$ | $0.023(5)$ | $0.025(5)$ | $0.019(4)$ | $0.002(4)$ | $-0.007(4)$ | $-0.012(4)$ | $0.023(2)$ |
| O31 | $0.025(2)$ | $-0.0473(7)$ | $0.3899(4)$ | $0.040(7)$ | $0.027(6)$ | $0.026(5)$ | $0.006(4)$ | $-0.014(5)$ | $-0.013(5)$ | $0.031(3)$ |
| O32 | $0.383(2)$ | $-0.0743(6)$ | $0.4695(4)$ | $0.026(5)$ | $0.030(5)$ | $0.016(4)$ | $-0.002(4)$ | $-0.003(4)$ | $0.0112(4)$ | $0.024(2)$ |
| O41 | $0.420(2)$ | $0.2337(7)$ | $0.2139(4)$ | $0.028(5)$ | $0.038(6)$ | $0.013(4)$ | $0.001(4)$ | $0.004(4)$ | $-0.012(5)$ | $0.026(2)$ |
| O42 | $0.452(2)$ | $0.1013(7)$ | $0.1438(4)$ | $0.040(7)$ | $0.038(6)$ | $0.017(5)$ | $-0.002(4)$ | $0.002(4)$ | $0.020(5)$ | $0.032(3)$ |
| O43 | $0.047(2)$ | $0.1261(7)$ | $0.2166(4)$ | $0.046(7)$ | $0.026(6)$ | $0.021(5)$ | $0.000(4)$ | $0.010(5)$ | $-0.011(5)$ | $0.031(2)$ |
| O44 | $0.102(2)$ | $0.2249(6)$ | $0.1231(4)$ | $0.025(5)$ | $0.014(5)$ | $0.026(5)$ | $0.005(4)$ | $-0.012(4)$ | $0.000(4)$ | $0.022(2)$ |
| O1 | $0.495(2)$ | $0.2481(6)$ | $0.4980(4)$ | $0.019(4)$ | $0.030(5)$ | $0.014(4)$ | $0.003(4)$ | $0.002(3)$ | $0.001(4)$ | $0.021(2)$ |
| O2 | $0.116(2)$ | $0.2231(6)$ | $0.3972(4)$ | $0.024(5)$ | $0.024(5)$ | $0.017(4)$ | $-0.003(4)$ | $0.009(4)$ | $-0.007(4)$ | $0.022(2)$ |

${ }^{1}$ The anisotropic displacement parameters are defined as: $\exp \left[-2 \pi^{2} \Sigma^{3}{ }_{\mathrm{i}=1} \Sigma^{3}{ }_{\mathrm{j}=1} U_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathbf{a}^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{i}} \mathbf{a}^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{j}} h_{\mathrm{i}} h_{\mathrm{j}}\right]$; equivalent isotropic displacement parameter according to Fischer \& Tillmanns (1988).
applied for the $X 3$ and $X 4$ sites and of Mo for the $X 1$ and $X 2$ sites. Final structure parameters are given in Table 6, some interatomic bond distances and bond angles in Table 7.

## Results and discussion

There are each four crystallographically independent Bi and $X$ atoms in the crystal structure of schlegelite. The cations Bil, Mo1, Mo2, and As3 are located on the twofold axis running parallel to [001] (Fig. 1). The atoms Bi2, $\mathrm{Bi} 3, \mathrm{Bi} 4$, and As4 as well as all the oxygen atoms are on general positions. Ten crystallographically different O atoms belong to the $\mathrm{XO}_{4}$ groups; two oxo-oxygen atoms are coordinated only to the Bi atoms.

## b



Fig. 1. The crystal structure of schlegelite in a projection parallel to [100]. At the top $\mathrm{MoO}_{4}$ tetrahedra are shown whereas at the bottom the distorted $\mathrm{MoO}_{6}$ octahedra are indicated (programme ATOMS, Dowty 1999).

Table 7. Interatomic bond lengths, common $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ edge lengths shared between the coordination figures around the Bi atoms (in $\AA$ ) bond angles (in ${ }^{\circ}$ ) and distorsion parameters (Fleet, 1976) for schlegelite.

| Bil-O12 ${ }^{\text {vii, } i}$ | 2.237(10) |  | Bi2-O22 ${ }^{\text {vi }}$ | 2.139(9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bil-O42ii, vi | 2.428(11) |  | Bi2-O41iii | 2.193(10) |
| $\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{O} 21{ }^{0, v}$ | 2.659(10) |  | Bi2-O2 | 2.210(9) |
| Bil-O43i, vii | 2.731(11) |  | Bi2-O43 | 2.581(11) |
| $\mathrm{O} 12{ }^{v i i}-\mathrm{Bi} 1-\mathrm{O} 12^{i}$ | 79.2(6) |  | Bi2-O41 | 2.812(10) |
| O12 ${ }^{\text {vii- }}$ - $\mathrm{Bi} 1-\mathrm{O} 42^{i i}$ | 67.6(4) |  | $\mathrm{Bi} 2-\mathrm{O} 21{ }^{\text {vii }}$ | 2.959(10) |
| O12 ${ }^{\text {vii- }} \mathrm{Bi} 1-\mathrm{O} 42^{\text {vi }}$ | 81.1(4) |  | Bi2-O44iv | 3.072(10) |
| $\mathrm{O} 12{ }^{i}-\mathrm{Bi} 1-\mathrm{O} 42^{i i}$ | 81.1(4) |  | Bi2-O31 ${ }^{v}$ | 3.132(12) |
| $\mathrm{O} 12{ }^{i}-\mathrm{Bi} 1-\mathrm{O} 42^{\text {vi }}$ | 67.6(4) |  | O22 ${ }^{v i}$ - $\mathrm{Bi} 2-041{ }^{1 i i}$ | 88.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 42^{i i}-\mathrm{Bil}-\mathrm{O} 42{ }^{v i}$ | 139.3(5) |  | $\mathrm{O} 22{ }^{v i}-\mathrm{Bi} 2-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 73.7(4) |
|  |  |  | O41iii-Bi2-O2 | 84.0(4) |
| Bi3-O2 ${ }^{\text {vii }}$ | 2.189(9) |  | $\mathrm{Bi} 4-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 2.121(10) |
| Bi3-O32ii | 2.293(10) |  | Bi4-O1 | 2.177(10) |
| Bi3-O1 | 2.311(10) |  | Bi4-O1vi | 2.318 (10) |
| Bi3-O22 | 2.515(9) |  | Bi4-O44iv | 2.552(9) |
| Bi3-O1vi | 2.584(10) |  | Bi4-O11 ${ }^{\text {ix }}$ | 2.667(11) |
| Bi3-O44viii | 2.610(10) |  | Bi4-O12 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 2.672(10) |
| $\mathrm{Bi} 3-\mathrm{O} 32{ }^{v}$ | 2.785(10) |  | Bi4-O11 ${ }^{x}$ | 2.731(11) |
| Bi3-031 ${ }^{i}$ | 2.785(11) |  | Bi4-O42ii | 2.929(11) |
| O 2 vii-Bi3-O32ii | 82.9(4) |  | O2-Bi4-O1 | 95.2(4) |
| O 2 vii- $\mathrm{Bi} 3-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 71.4(4) |  | O2-Bi4-O1vi | 72.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 32{ }^{i i}-\mathrm{Bi} 3-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 80.0(4) |  | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Bi} 4-\mathrm{O} 1^{v i}$ | 72.3(2) |
| ${\mathrm{Bi} 3 \mathrm{O}_{3} \ldots . \mathrm{Bi}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}}$ | O1-O2 | 2.629(14) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Bi2O}_{8} \ldots . \mathrm{Bi3O}_{8}$ | O2-O22 | 2.609(13) | $\mathrm{O} 31-\mathrm{O} 44$ | 3.754(14) |
| $\mathrm{Bi2O}_{8} \ldots . \mathrm{Bi4O}_{8}$ | O2-O44 | 2.745(13) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Bi3O}_{8} \ldots . \mathrm{Bi3O}_{8}$ | O1-O32 | 2.989(14) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Bi3O}_{8} \ldots . \mathrm{Bi4O}_{8}$ | O1-O1 | 2.654(10) | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{O} 44$ | 2.993(14) |
| $\mathrm{Bi4O}_{8} \ldots . \mathrm{Bi4O}_{8}$ | O1-O11 | 3.009(15) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{BilO}_{8} \ldots . \mathrm{Bi2O}_{8}$ | O21-O43 | 3.398(15) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{BilO}_{8} \ldots . \mathrm{Bi4O}_{8}$ | O12-O42 | 3.035(15) |  |  |
| Mo1-O110,v | 1.738(11) |  | Mo2-O210, $v$ | 1.751(10) |
| Mol-O120, $v$ | 1.825(10) |  | Mo2-O220, $v$ | 1.892(10) |
| Mo1-O420, v | 2.564(11) |  | Mo2-O31i,vii | 2.310(11) |
| O110-Mol-O11v | 105.7(7) |  | O210-Mo2-O21 ${ }^{v}$ | 98.8(6) |
| O110, $v-\mathrm{Mol-O12}{ }^{0 . v}$ | 108.3(5) |  | O210, v-Mo2-0220,v | 99.4(5) |
| O110, $v-\mathrm{Mol-O12} 2^{v .0}$ | 101.5(5) |  | O210,v-Mo2-022 ${ }^{\text {v/0 }}$ | 101.8(5) |
| O120-Mol-O12 ${ }^{v}$ | 129.6(7) |  | O220-Mo2-O22 ${ }^{\nu}$ | 147.2(6) |
| As3-O32 ${ }^{0, v}$ | 1.698(10) |  | As4-043 | 1.675(10) |
| As3-0310,v | 1.703(10) |  | As4-042 | 1.676(10) |
| O32 ${ }^{0}$-As3-O32 ${ }^{\nu}$ | 110.3(6) |  | As4-044 | 1.682(9) |
| O32 ${ }^{0, v}$ - $\mathrm{As} 3-\mathrm{O} 31^{0, v}$ | 109.9(6) |  | As4-041 | 1.703(10) |
| O32 ${ }^{0, v}$-As3-O31 ${ }^{\text {v/0 }}$ | 106.9(5) |  | O43-As4-O42 | 113.4(6) |
| O31 ${ }^{0}-\mathrm{As} 3-\mathrm{O} 31{ }^{v}$ | 113.80(8) |  | O43-As4-O44 | 111.2(6) |
|  |  |  | O43-As4-O41 | 102.7(5) |
|  |  |  | O42-As4-O44 | 111.8(5) |
|  |  |  | O42-As4-O41 | 107.7(6) |
|  |  |  | O44-As4-O41 | 109.6(5) |
|  | $\sigma_{\text {Tet }}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{o c t}^{2}$ | $\Delta_{\text {tet }}^{2}$ | $\Delta_{\text {oct }}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{MolO}_{4} / \mathrm{MolO}_{6}$ | 110.0 | 240.4 | 1.000596 | 1.72 |
| $\mathrm{Mo} 2 \mathrm{O}_{4} / \mathrm{Mo} 2 \mathrm{O}_{6}$ | 371.3 | 100.7 | 1.001498 | 1.81 |
| $\mathrm{As3O}_{4}$ | 5.4 |  | 1.000002 |  |
| ${\mathrm{As} 4 \mathrm{O}_{4}}$ | 14.7 |  | 1.000045 |  |

Symmetry code: not specified and ${ }^{0} x, y, z ;{ }^{i}-x,-y,-z+1 ;{ }^{i i}-x+1,-y$, $-z+1$; iii $x-1 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z+1 / 2$; ${ }^{\text {iv }} x+1 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z+1 / 2 ;{ }^{v}-x$ $+1 / 2,-y, z ;$ vi $x-1 / 2, y,-z+1$; vii $x+1 / 2, y,-z+1$; viii $x,-y+1 / 2, z+1 / 2$; $i x-x+1 / 2, y+1 / 2, z+1 / 2 ; x-x, y+1 / 2,-z+1 / 2$
The distortion parameters (bond-angle variance $\sigma^{2}$ and mean-square relative deviation from average bond length $\Delta^{2}$ ) are defined as $\sigma_{\text {tet }}^{2}=\frac{1}{5} \Sigma_{i=1}^{6}\left(\theta_{i}-109.47^{\circ}\right)^{2}$ and $\Delta_{\text {tet }}=\frac{1}{4} \Sigma_{i=1}^{4}\left(l_{i} / l\right)^{2}$ for a tetrahedron ( $\sigma_{\text {tet }}^{2}=0$ and $\Delta_{\text {tet }}=1.0$ in case of an ideal tetrahedron) $\sigma_{o c t}^{2}=\frac{1}{11} \Sigma_{i=1}^{12}\left(\theta_{i}-90^{\circ}\right)^{2}$ and $\Delta_{\text {oct }}=\frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^{6}(l i / \bar{l})^{2} \quad$ for an octahedron $\sigma_{o c t}^{2}=0$ and $\Delta_{\text {oct }}=1.0$ in case of an ideal octahedron) $\theta_{i}, l_{i}$ and $\bar{l}$ are the individual bond angles, the individual and mean bond lengths (Fleet, 1976).

Table 8. Bond valence analysis for schlegelite calculated with the parameters given by Brese \& O'Keeffe (1991).

|  | O11 | O12 | O21 | O22 | O31 | O32 | O41 | O42 | O43 | O44 | O1 | O2 | $\Sigma \mathrm{V}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bil |  | $0.67{ }^{2 x} \rightarrow$ | $0.21^{2 x} \rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  | $0.40{ }^{2 x} \rightarrow$ | $0.18{ }^{2 x} \rightarrow$ |  |  |  | 2.93 |
| Bi2 |  |  | 0.10 | 0.88 | 0.06 |  | $\underset{4}{0.76}+0.1$ |  | 0.27 | 0.07 |  | 0.72 | 2.99 |
| Bi3 |  |  |  | 0.32 | 0.15 | $0.58+0.15$ |  |  |  | 0.25 | $0.55+0.26$ | 0.77 | 3.02 |
| Bi4 | $0.21+0.18$ | 0.21 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.10 |  | 0.29 | $0.79+0.54$ | 0.92 | 3.24 |
| Mo1 | 1.58 2x $\rightarrow$ | $1.25{ }^{2 x} \rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  | $0.17{ }^{2 x} \rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  | 5.99 |
| Mo2 |  |  | $1.52 \mathrm{2x} \rightarrow$ | $1.042 \mathrm{x} \rightarrow$ | $0.34{ }^{2 x} \rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.80 |
| As3 |  |  |  |  | $1.21{ }^{2 x} \rightarrow$ | $1.19{ }^{2 x} \rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.79 |
| As4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.19 | 1.28 | 1.28 | 1.26 |  |  | 5.01 |
| $\Sigma \mathrm{v}$ | 1.96 | 2.13 | 1.83 | 2.23 | 1.74 | 1.94 | 2.09 | 1.95 | 1.72 | 1.86 | 2.14 | 2.41 |  |

Table 9. Principal mean square atomic displacements $U$ for schlegelite.

| Bi1 | 0.0358 | 0.0239 | 0.0181 | O11 | 0.038 | 0.027 | 0.026 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bi2 | 0.0289 | 0.0228 | 0.0197 | O12 | 0.038 | 0.025 | 0.012 |
| Bi3 | 0.0257 | 0.0204 | 0.0176 | O21 | 0.032 | 0.027 | 0.015 |
| Bi4 | 0.0244 | 0.0206 | 0.0192 | O22 | 0.039 | 0.019 | 0.010 |
| Mo1 | 0.0186 | 0.0178 | 0.0153 | O31 | 0.056 | 0.021 | 0.016 |
| Mo2 | 0.0232 | 0.0181 | 0.0157 | O32 | 0.040 | 0.017 | 0.015 |
| As3 | 0.0210 | 0.0156 | 0.0130 | O41 | 0.046 | 0.022 | 0.011 |
| As4 | 0.0243 | 0.0153 | 0.0142 | O42 | 0.059 | 0.021 | 0.016 |
| O1 | 0.031 | 0.020 | 0.013 | O43 | 0.053 | 0.024 | 0.015 |
| O2 | 0.035 | 0.019 | 0.011 | O44 | 0.038 | 0.017 | 0.010 |



Fig. 2. The stereochemistry of the Bi atoms in schlegelite. (a) Interatomic bond distances are given in $\AA$. The tetragonal antiprisms $\mathrm{BilO}_{8},{\mathrm{Bi} 2 \mathrm{O}_{8}}$ and $\mathrm{Bi3O}_{8}$ as well as the $\mathrm{Bi4O}_{8}$ cube are in projections parallel to their approximate four-fold axes. (b) The $\left[\mathrm{Bi}_{4}\right]$ tetrahedra are edge-connected to rows parallel [100]. The central tetrahedron surrounds the O 1 atom; the O 2 atom is located at a face of the branched $\left[\mathrm{Bi}_{4}\right]$ tetrahedron (programme ATOMS, Dowty 1999).

The Bi atoms have a one-sided coordination to account for the space requirements of their lone-pair electrons (Fig. 2a). The Bil atom exhibits a $[2+2+4]$ coordination with $\mathrm{Bil}-\mathrm{O}=2.237 \AA, 2 \mathrm{x}$, and $2.428 \AA, 2 \mathrm{x}$; four further ligands have $\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{O}=2.659 \AA, 2 \mathrm{x}$ and $2.731 \AA, 2 \mathrm{x}$. The atoms $\mathrm{Bi} 2, \mathrm{Bi} 3$ and Bi 4 have three ligands with short $\mathrm{Bi}-$ O bond distances ranging from 2.121 to $2.318 \AA$, the coordination is completed by each five additional ligands between 2.515 and $3.132 \AA$. Further O atoms have $\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{O}$ distances $\geq 3.582 \AA$ indicating only weak interactions. The coordination figure around the Bil atom is solely formed by oxygen atoms belonging to $\mathrm{XO}_{4}$ tetrahedra. For the other Bi sites the oxo-oxygen atoms O 1 and O 2 are involved in the coordination; as usually found, the oxo-oxygen atoms are predominantly shortly bounded ligands. The coordination figures $\left[\mathrm{BilO}_{8}\right],\left[\mathrm{Bi} 2 \mathrm{O}_{8}\right],\left[{\left.\mathrm{Bi} 3 \mathrm{O}_{8}\right] \text { are distorted tetrag- }}\right.$ onal antiprisms whereas $\left[\mathrm{Bi}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}\right]$ forms an approximate cube.

The bond valence sums for the atoms $\mathrm{Bi} 1, \mathrm{Bi} 2$ and Bi 3 in schlegelite are balanced considering eight neighbours each; only the Bi4 atom is somewhat overestimated (Brese \& O'Keeffe, 1991; Table 8). The calculations are based on the formula $v_{i j}=\exp \left[\left(\mathrm{R}_{i j}-\mathrm{d}_{i j}\right) / \mathrm{b}\right]$; Brese \& O'Keeffe (1991) suggested $\mathrm{b}=0.37$ to be valid for a very large variety of cations bound to different anions and they fitted the parameters $\mathrm{R}_{i j}$ to obtain $v_{i}=\Sigma_{j} v_{i j}$ and $v_{j}=\Sigma_{i} v_{i j} ; v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are the valences of cations and anions, respectively. However, this generalization causes an overestimation of the short and an underestimation of the long $\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths (Table 8). Such systematic deviations are responsible for some erroneous bond-valence sums frequently found for the O atoms coordinating Bi atoms with a pronounced steric activity of the lone-pair electrons. Using always the same value of $b$ gives no proper credit to the changes in the interatomic bond character in case of a variable environment of a single kind of atoms. Bi is a very critical atom because it may exhibit steric active lone-pair electrons like in schlegelite, but also regular coordinations are known ( $c f$. schneebergite and nickelschneebergite, $\mathrm{Bi} M_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{2}\left[\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(\mathrm{OH})\right], M=$ $\mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}$, Krause et al. (2002a), where the Bi ${ }^{[6+2]}$ atoms have site symmetry $2 / m$ and $\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths range only from $2.386(8)$ to $2.439(6) \AA$ for the six atoms in the first coordination sphere).

The four crystallographically different $\mathrm{XO}_{4}$ tetrahedra split into two groups with respect to their shape, size and scattering power of the central atom. To sum up, the $X 1 \mathrm{O}_{4}$

Fig. 3. (a) The connection of the Bi atoms in schlegelite in a projection approximaely parallel to [010]; parts of the neighbouring $\mathrm{XO}_{4}$ tetrahedra are shown. (b) $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{Bi}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{14}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}$ column in $\mathrm{PbBi}_{12} \mathrm{Mo}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{34}$ (Enjalbert et al., 1997) in a projection on (010). Part of this column is marked and shown in (c) in a projection approximately parallel to [100]. Bi and oxooxygen atoms are shown as large and small circles, respectively; O atoms of $\mathrm{XO}_{4}$ tetrahedra are not separately marked (programme ATOMS, Dowty 1999).

and $\mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ tetrahedra are larger and stronger distorted as compared to the $X 3 \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and the $X 4 \mathrm{O}_{4}$ tetrahedra going along with an occupation by predominantly Mo and As atoms, respectively. The coordination figures around the two Mo ${ }^{[4]}$ atoms exhibit two short and two long Mo- O bond lengths, the difference is $5.0 \%$ within the $\mathrm{MolO}_{4}$ and $8.1 \%$ within the $\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ polyhedron. As usual, the stronger distorted polyhedron exhibits larger average bond lengths: The average $<$ Mol[4]- $\mathrm{O}>$ bond length of $1.782 \AA$ is slightly shorter as compared to $<\mathrm{Mo} 2[4]-\mathrm{O}>$ which amounts $1.822 \AA$. In addition, for the Mo 1 and Mo 2 atoms some interactions with each two additional ligands are evident; these Mo-O distances of 2.564 and $2.310 \AA$ correlate negatively with the average $<\mathrm{Mo}^{[4]-} \mathrm{O}>$ bond lengths. Formal bond-valence calculations indicate significant contributions even of these further ligands (Table 8). Their steric influence cause the large deviation of the O-Mo1/Mo2-O bond angles from that expected for a regular tetrahedron: 129.6 and $147.2^{\circ}$ were observed at the Mo atoms between the two longer bonds within each tetrahedron; the other $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Mo} 1 / \mathrm{Mo} 2-\mathrm{O}$ bond angles are only in the range from 98.8 to $108.3^{\circ}$. The additional ligands complete the coordination figures in the area of the more separated ligands. Considering all six neighbours, both coordination figures can be regarded alternatively as strongly distorted octahedra as supported by comparison of the bond-angle variances $\sigma_{\text {tet }}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{o c t}^{2}$ (Table 7). In general, the values are very large for both, the tetrahedral and the octahedral coordination figure indicating that both descriptions fit only poorly with the environment. However, for the coordination figures around the Mol atom $\sigma_{\text {tet }}^{2}<\sigma_{o c t}^{2}$ whereas $\sigma_{\text {tet }}^{2}>\sigma_{o c t}^{2}$ for that around the Mo2 atom. Consequently, the bond angles of the Mo2 atoms match with the description as a $\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ octahedron rather than the description as a $\mathrm{Mo} 2 \mathrm{O}_{4}$ tetrahedron. However, the bond lengths (cf. the bond-length distortions given in Table 7) as well as the bond valences (Table 8) indicate a predominantly tetrahedral coordination with markedly interactions to two further ligands in both cases.

The sizes of the $X 3 \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and the $X 4 \mathrm{O}_{4}$ tetrahedra agree with the expectation for an occupation predominantly by As atoms. The average bond length $\langle X 3-\mathrm{O}\rangle$ of $1.701 \AA$ is slightly longer whereas the $X 4 \mathrm{O}_{4}$ tetrahedron with $<X 4$ O> of $1.684 \AA$ accords with the expectations for a pure $\mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ tetrahedron. The chemical analyses revealed small amounts of P and V ; it is assumed that these elements substitute at the $X 3$ and $X 4$ sites. Solid solution for the central atoms of the $X 3 \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and the $X 4 \mathrm{O}_{4}$ tetrahedra is supported by the analysis of the principal mean-square displacements of the anisotropic displacement parameters (Table 9). The oxygen atoms O31, O32, O41, O42, O43, and O44 exhibit stronger anisotropies as compared to all the other atoms. It has to be mentioned that the anisotropies of the central atoms $X 3$ and $X 4$ are in the same range as those of the Mol and Mo2 atoms. It indicates that the size of the tetrahedron varies according to the space requirement of the respective central atom. The accuracy of the structure determination does not allow a detailed refinement of the scattering power at the $X$ sites considering minor substitutions.

The atoms $\mathrm{Bi} 2, \mathrm{Bi} 3$ and Bi 4 are closely connected to each other via the oxo-oxygen atoms. Considering solely these Bi atoms, they are at the corners of edge sharing tetradehra forming ribbons in [100], i.e., the direction of the elongation of the lath-like crystals. As shown in Fig. 2b, the central tetrahedra formed by each two Bi 3 and Bi 4 atoms are more or less regular. They surround the O 1 atoms forming $\left[\mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{Bi}_{4}\right]$ tetrahedra with $\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Bi}$ angles between $101.4(4)^{\circ}$ and $118.6(5)^{\circ}$. The branched [ $\left.\mathrm{Bi}_{2}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Bi} 4 \mathrm{Bi} 2\right]$ tetrahedra are rather flattened. The O 2 atom is in the Bi2-Bi3-Bi4 face within limits of error; the three $\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Bi}$ angles are $112.4(4)^{\circ}, 114.4(4)^{\circ}$ and $133.1(4)^{\circ}$ (sum 359.9 ${ }^{\circ}$ ).

The $\mathrm{Bi}^{[3]}{ }^{[3]} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Bi} 4\left[{ }^{[3]} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right.$ groups are connected via an $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ edge to a dimer. These dimers are corner-linked among each other and with the $\mathrm{Bi} 2\left[{ }^{[3]} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right.$ groups forming $\mathrm{Bi}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ rods (two O atoms belong to molybdate tetrahedra, four to arsenate tetraheda and four represent oxo-oxygen
atoms); a $\mathrm{Bi}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ rod (partly with the linked $\mathrm{XO}_{4}$ tetrahedra) is shown in Fig. 3a. Including the additional neighbours around the Bi atoms an extensive connection is observed (see Table 7). These rods are corner-connected among each other by the O 41 atoms only. As a result, layers parallel to (010) are formed, which are connected by $\mathrm{XO}_{4}$ tetrahedra to a three-dimensional net-work.

Topologically, the connection of the atom Bil within the crystal structure is distinct; it is not shortly linked to any other Bi atom. The Bil atoms are only connected to the other three Bi atoms via the second coordination sphere of the Bi 2 and Bi 4 atoms. Considering [8] coordination around all four Bi atoms, they form a three-dimensional network. However, in $z \sim 1 / 4$ and $3 / 4$ only $\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{O}$ distances longer than $2.50 \AA$ are observed which cause the good cleavage parallel to (001) (Fig. 1). The $X$ atoms are in tetrahedral cavities within this framework; they maintain the loose connection in $\sim x y^{1 / 4}$ and $\sim x y^{3 / 4}$. Considering [6] coordination around the Mo1 and Mo2 atoms, each of the $\mathrm{MolO}_{6}$ octahedra is corner connected to two $\mathrm{As}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ tetrahedra forming Mo1As4 $\mathrm{O}_{12}$ groups. In contrast, the $\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ octahedra are corner connected to $\mathrm{As} 3 \mathrm{O}_{4}$ tetrahedra forming $\mathrm{Mo} 2{\mathrm{As} 3 \mathrm{O}_{8} \text { chains. }}^{\text {ch }}$

Minerals containing both, arsenate and molybdate anion groups, are rare. Up to now only a few examples have been mentioned: betpakdalite, $\mathrm{Ca}_{2} \mathrm{MgFe}^{3+}{ }_{3} \mathrm{Mo}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{28}(\mathrm{OH})$ $\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 23 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, which was recently reinvestigated by Cooper \& Hawthorne (1999), sodium betpakdalite, formula given as $(\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Ca})_{3} \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{6} \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{28} \cdot 15 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Skvortsova et al., 1971), molybdofornacite, $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})$ $\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)$ (Medenbach et al., 1983) and its unnamed phosphate analogue (Nickel \& Hitchen, 1994), and obradovicite, formula given as $\mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{Na}) \mathrm{CuFe}^{3+}{ }_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)$ $\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{5} \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Finney et al., 1986). Arsenite groups besides molybdate were found in vajdakite, $\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \mathrm{As}^{3+} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Ondruš et al., 2002) and biehlite, $\mathrm{Sb}^{3+}{ }_{1.79} \mathrm{As}^{3+}{ }_{0.21} \mathrm{MoO}_{6}$ (Adiwidjaja et al., 2000). The only well described bismuth-molybdate found in nature so far is koechlinite, $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{6}$ (Pertlik \& Zemann, 1982, Theobald et al., 1984, Teller et al., 1984). It forms sheets of corner-sharing $\mathrm{MoO}_{6}$ octahedra alternating with sheets of corner sharing $\mathrm{Bi}[2+4] \mathrm{O}_{6}$ polyhedra; both are linked by O atoms. Here the Mo atom is coordinated in a [4+2] coordination, but the bond-length distribution and shape of the coordination figure is rather an octahedron as compared to the $\mathrm{Mo}^{[4+2]} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ polyhedra in schlegelite. Chiluite mentioned as $\mathrm{Bi}_{6} \mathrm{Te}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{21}$ by Xiuzhen Yong et al. (1989) needs further investigations. Bismuth arsenates were found more frequently, for a number of these minerals the crystal structure was described during the last years: rooseveltite and tetrarooseveldite, $\mathrm{BiAsO}_{4}$ (Bedlivy \& Mereiter, 1982a; Mooney 1958), preisingerite, $\mathrm{Bi}_{3} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ (Bedlivy \& Mereiter, 1982b), atelestite, $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ and mixite, $\mathrm{BiCu}_{6}(\mathrm{OH})_{6}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{3} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Mereiter \& Preisinger, 1986; see also Krause et al., 1997), paganoite, $\mathrm{NiBi}^{3+} \mathrm{As}^{5+} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (Roberts et al., 2001), walpurgite and orthowalpurgite, $\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Bi}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Mereiter, 1982; Krause et al., 1995), schneebergite and nickelschneebergite, $\mathrm{Bi} M_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{2}\left[\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(\mathrm{OH})\right], M=\mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}$, (Krause et al., 2002a), neustädtelite, $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{2}$,
cobaltneustädtelite and medenbachite, $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{FeMO}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{2}$, $M=\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Co}$ (Krause et al., 2002b). It should be mentioned that these are oxo-, oxo-hydroxo-, or hydroxoarsenates; none of them was described to include a second anion group.

Only synthetically known is the compound $\mathrm{PbBi}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{14}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{5}$ (Enjalbert et al., 1997a). The crystal structure consists of $\left(\mathrm{Bi}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{14}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}$ columns linked by Pb atoms and $\mathrm{MoO}_{4}$ tetrahedra. These $\left(\mathrm{Bi}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{14}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}$ columns (Fig. 3b) show some topological similarities with that in $\mathrm{Bi}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{14}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right){ }_{4}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{4}\right)$ (Enjalbert et al., 1997b), $\mathrm{Bi}\left(\mathrm{Bi}_{12-\mathrm{x}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Te}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{O}_{14}\right) \mathrm{Mo}_{4-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{V}_{1+\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{O}_{20}(0 \leq x<2.5)$ (Castro et al., 1998), and $\gamma(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{MoO}_{6}$ (Bégué et al., 2000). The $\left(\mathrm{Bi}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{14}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}$ columns in $\mathrm{PbBi}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{14}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{5}$ can be described as formed by two $\mathrm{Bi}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ rods of schlegelite rotated around a twofold axis parallel to the direction of the rods and linked by six oxygen atoms (Fig. 3b,c). The oxygen atoms of schlegelite shortly bonded to Bi atoms and belonging to $\mathrm{XO}_{4}$ tetrahedra are shared between three Bi atoms in $\mathrm{PbBi}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{14}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{5}$; they become oxo-oxygen atoms. Some torsion of the $\mathrm{BiO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{BiO}_{4}$ figures cause that $\mathrm{Bi}_{6}$ octahedra are formed in $\mathrm{PbBi}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{14}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{5}$ whereas $\mathrm{Bi}_{4}$ tetrahedra in schlegelite.
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[^0]:    *E-mail: we.krause@t-online.de

[^1]:    ${ }_{1} 20 \mathrm{kV}, 10 \mathrm{nA}$, beam diameter $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; standards: andradite (Ca), mimetite ( $\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{As}$ ), $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}(\mathrm{Bi}), \mathrm{AlPO}_{4}(\mathrm{P}), \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{V})$, Mo (Mo).
    ${ }^{2}$ Mean of 27 analyses; empirical formula based on 24 O atoms: $\left(\mathrm{Bi}_{6.78} \mathrm{Ca}_{0.02} \mathrm{~Pb}_{0.05}\right)_{\Sigma 6.85} \mathrm{O}_{3.51}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{1.90}\left[\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{3.01}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{0.16}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{4}\right)_{0.05}\right]_{\Sigma 3.22}$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mean of 6 analyses; empirical formula based on 10 O atoms: $\left(\mathrm{Bi}_{2.92} \mathrm{Ca}_{0.06} \mathrm{~Pb}_{0.02}\right)_{\Sigma 3.00}(\mathrm{OH})_{1.00} \mathrm{O}_{0.94}\left[\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{1.00}\left(\mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right)_{0.42}\left(\mathrm{VO}_{4}\right)_{0.59}\right]_{\Sigma 2.01}$.

