Hatertite, Na₂(Ca, Na)(Fe³⁺, Cu)₂(AsO₄)₃, a new alluaudite-group mineral from Tolbachik fumaroles, Kamchatka peninsula, Russia

SERGEY V. KRIVOVICHEV^{1,*}, Lidiya P. VERGASOVA², Stanislav K. FILATOV¹, Dmitry S. RYBIN¹, SERGEY N. BRITVIN¹ and Vladimir V. ANANIEV²

¹Department of Crystallography, St. Petersburg State University, University Emb. 7/9, 199034 St. Petersburg, Russia *Corresponding author, e-mail: skrivovi@mail.ru

²Institute of Volcanology and Seismology, Russian Academy of Sciences, 9 Bulvar Pyipa, 683006 Petropavlovsk-

Kamchatsky, Russia

Abstract: Hatertite, ideally Na₂(Ca, Na)(Fe³⁺, Cu)₂(AsO₄)₃, was found in a fumarole of the North Breach of the Great fissure Tolbachik volcano eruption (1975-1976), Kamchatka Peninsula, Russia. The mineral occurs as individual, prismatic and tabular, honey-yellow crystals up to 0.3 mm across. It has a vitreous luster and yellow streak. Hatertite is monoclinic, $C^{2/c}$, a = 12.590(2), b =12.993(3), c = 6.700(2)Å, $\beta = 113.72(2)^{\circ}, V = 1003.4(3)$ Å³, $Z = 4, D_{calc} = 4.06$ g/cm³. The eight strongest lines of the powder X-ray diffraction pattern are $[d_{obs}$ in Å (1) (hkl)]: 6.493(25)(020); 3.628 (25)(131); 3.204(39)(12,131); 3.065(18)(002); 2.976(28)(312, 12, 12)); 3.065(18)(002); 2.976(28)(312, 12)); 3.065(18)(12)); 3.065(1 $\overline{222}$; 2.830(100)(240), 2.632(36)($\overline{132}$); 1.647(19)($\overline{204}$,640). Hatertite is optically positive, $\alpha = 1.820(3)$, $\beta = 1.825(3)$, $\gamma = 1.833(3)$, $2V_{\text{meas.}} = 60(10)^{\circ}$, $2V_{\text{calc.}} = 77^{\circ}$. The orientation is Y = b. The chemical composition determined by the electron-microprobe analysis is as follows (wt.%): Na₂O 8.49, K₂O 2.41, MnO 1.64, CaO 7.06, Fe₂O₃ 11.15, ZnO 2.05, CuO 8.10, Al₂O₃ 2.22, As₂O₅ 55.67, total 98.79. The empirical formula (based on 12 O apfu) is (Na_{0.47}K_{0.32})(Na_{0.84}Ca_{0.16}) (Ca_{0.62}Na_{0.19}Zn_{0.16}Mn_{0.14}) $(Fe^{3+}_{0.44}Cu_{0.32}Al_{0.13}Na_{0.11})_2(As_{1.01}O_4)_3$. A general crystal chemical formula for hatertite should be written as $NaNa(Ca_{1-x}M^+_x)(Fe^{3+}_{1+x}M^{2+}_{1-x})(AsO_4)_3$, where 0.5 > x > 0, M^+ is an unspecified monovalent cation, and M^{2+} is an unspecified monovalent cation, and M^{2+} is an unspecified monovalent cation. divalent cation. The crystal structure was solved by direct methods and refined to an agreement index R1 = 0.028 on the basis of 751 independent observed reflections. Hatertite is a new arsenate member of the alluaudite group. Its structure is based upon chains of edge-sharing octahedra running along [-101] and linked by $T(2)O_4$ tetrahedra into layers parallel to (010). The layers are further interlinked through $T(1)O_4$ tetrahedra to form a three-dimensional octahedral-tetrahedral framework with the A(1) and A(2)' sites in the interstices. The mineral was named in honor of Prof. Frédéric Hatert (b. 1973), University of Liège, Belgium, for his contributions to the mineralogy and crystal chemistry of alluaudite-group minerals.

Key-words: hatertite, new mineral, arsenate, alluaudite group, volcanic fumaroles, Tolbachik, Kamchatka peninsula, Russia, crystal structure.

Introduction

Arsenates constitute one of the most diverse and rich classes of minerals, which has been studied intensively within the last few years (Chukanov *et al.*, 2011; Pekov *et al.*, 2011, 2012; Yang *et al.*, 2011; Callegari *et al.*, 2012; Cooper *et al.*, 2012; Hawthorne *et al.*, 2012; Meisser *et al.*, 2012; Mills *et al.*, 2012; Neuhold *et al.*, 2012; Starova *et al.*, 2012). Most arsenates found in nature are secondary minerals formed in oxidation zones of mineral deposits, where arsenic is derived from alteration of primary sulphides. There are more than 400 natural arsenates, of which about 350 contain water as an essential mineral-forming component. A diverse association of anhydrous arsenate minerals had been described from fumaroles of the Great Fissure Tolbachik Eruption (GFTE; 1975–76, Kamchatka peninsula, Russia). Six novel arsenate

mineral species have been first reported from this locality: alarsite, AlAsO₄ (Semenova *et al.*, 1994), bradaczekite, NaCu₄(AsO₄)₃ (Filatov *et al.*, 2001), coparsite, Cu₄O₂[(As, V)O₄]Cl (Vergasova *et al.*, 1999), filatovite, K[(Al, Zn)₂(As, Si)₂O₈] (Vergasova *et al.*, 2004), lammerite- β , Cu₃(AsO₄)₂ (Starova *et al.*, 2012), and urusovite, CuAlAsO₅ (Vergasova *et al.*, 2000).

In this paper, we report on the occurrence, properties and crystal structure of hatertite, another new arsenate mineral from Tolbachik fumaroles. Hatertite is a new member of the alluaudite group. The mineral was named in honor of Prof. Frédéric Hatert (b. 1973), Chercheur qualifié du FNRS, University of Liège, Belgium, for his contributions to the mineralogy and crystal chemistry of alluaudite-group minerals (Hatert *et al.*, 2000, 2005; Hatert *et al.*, 2006; Hatert, 2004, 2006, 2008; Rondeux & Hatert, 2010). Both the mineral and the mineral name were approved

by the Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification of the International Mineralogical Association (proposal 2012-048). Type material is deposited at the Mineralogical Museum, St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia (catalogue no. 1/19536).

Locality and occurrence

The GFTE is the largest basaltic eruption in modern history; its geology had been described in detail by Fedotov (1984). The GFTE was active in 1975–1976 and consisted of two breaches (North and South) and seven cones. Beginning in the late 1970s, fumarolic activity of the GFTE resulted in the crystallization of a unique mineral assemblage, with 39 new mineral species discovered to date. Crystals of hatertite were found in 1983 in products of fumarolic activity on the second cinder cone of the North breach of GFTE. The gas temperature in the fumarole where it was found was 410–420 °C.

Hatertite was found in close association with ponomarevite, piypite, dolerophanite, euchlorine, sylvite, lammerite, johillerite, urusovite, bradaczekite, filatovite, hematite and tenorite. The mineral occurs as well-formed transparent yellow crystals up to 0.3 mm in maximal dimension, slightly elongated along [101] and flattened on {010} (Fig. 1a, b). The dominant forms are {010}, {100}, {001}, {011}, and {-201} (Fig. 1c).

Chemical composition

The chemical composition of hatertite was studied on three grains by means of a Cameca SX-50 electron microprobe

Table 1. Chemical composition of hatertite.

Constituent	wt.%	Range	Stand. dev.	Standard used
Na ₂ O	8.49	8.20-8.70	0.16	plagioclase
MnO	1.64	1.52-1.76	0.20	rhodonite
CaO FeaOa	7.06	6.30–8.86 9.60–11.95	0.87 0.64	plagioclase
ZnO	2.05	1.76–2.75	0.26	gahnite
CuO Al ₂ O ₃	8.10 2.22	6.65–9.68 1.93–2.43	0.87 0.22	dolerophanite plagioclase
As ₂ O ₅ Total	55.67 98.79	54.29–56.42 98.02–99.38	0.78 0.37	lammerite

operated at 20 kV and 30 nA, with a 5 μ m beam diameter. The results of the analyses are summarized in Table 1. No elements other than those mentioned in Table 1 were detected.

The empirical formula of hatertite, calculated on the basis of 12 oxygens, is $(Na_{0.47}K_{0.32})$ $(Na_{0.84}Ca_{0.16})$ $(Ca_{0.62}Na_{0.19}Zn_{0.16}Mn_{0.14})$ $(Fe^{3+}_{0.44}Cu_{0.32}Al_{0.13}Na_{0.11})_2$ $(As_{1.01}O_4)_3$. The simplified formula is $Na_2(Ca, Na)$ $(Fe^{3+}, Cu)_2(AsO_4)_3$.

Physical and optical properties

Hatertite is honey-yellow, with a vitreous luster and a yellow streak. The mineral is very brittle and transparent. No cleavage had been observed. Hardness could not be measured due to the extreme brittleness of the mineral. The calculated density is 4.060 g/cm³ (based on the empirical



Fig. 1. Photographs of crystals of hatertite taken in plane-polarized light (a) and with crossed polars (b), and a scheme of hatertite crystal morphology (c).

chemical formula). The mineral does not fluoresce in either short- or long-wave ultraviolet radiation.

Hatertite is optically positive, $\alpha = 1.820(3)$, $\beta = 1.825(3)$, $\gamma = 1.833(3)$, $2V_{\text{meas.}} = 60(10)^{\circ}$, $2V_{\text{calc.}} = 77^{\circ}$. The orientation is Y = b, further measurements were not possible. No pleochroism has been observed. The value of compatibility constant is -0.082 (poor). The reasons for the poor compatibility are unclear. Mandarino (2006) discussed the Gladstone-Dale compatibility relationships for arsenates and noted 16 species with fair and 13 species with poor compatibility, bradaczekite among the latter.

Powder X-ray diffraction data

Powder X-ray diffraction data were collected using a STOE Image Plate Diffraction System II (Gandolfi mode with Mo-*K* α). Data (in Å) are listed in Table 2. Unit-cell parameters refined from the powder data are as follows: monoclinic, a = 12.590(2), b = 12.993(3), c = 6.700(2) Å, $\beta = 113.72(2)^{\circ}$, V = 1003.4(3) Å³, Z = 4. The diagnostic lines of the X-ray powder diffraction pattern are [d_{obs} in Å (I) (hkl)]: 6.493(25)(020); 3.628 (25)($\overline{131}$); 3.204(39) ($\overline{112}$,131); 3.065(18)(002); 2.976(28)($\overline{312}$, $\overline{222}$); 2.830 (100)(240), 2.632(36)($\overline{132}$); 1.647(19)($\overline{204}$,640).

Crystal structure

Experimental procedure

The crystal of hatertite was mounted on a Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer with a crystal-to-detector distance of 5 cm. A hemisphere of three-dimensional data was collected using Mo- $K\alpha$ X-radiation and frame widths of 0.3° in ω , with 90 s used to acquire each frame. The unit-cell dimensions were refined on the basis of 2776

Table 2. X-ray powder-diffraction pattern for hatertite*.

Ι	$d_{\rm meas}$, Å	$d_{\text{calc}}, \text{\AA}$	h k l	Ι	$d_{\rm meas}$, Å	$d_{\text{calc}}, \text{\AA}$	h k l
25	6.493	6.503	020	4	2.157	2.156	350
16	5.754	5.781	200	8	2.053	2.073	532
14	4.329	4.321	220	8	1.993	1.987	352
11	3.882	3.903	311	8	1.964	1.951	$62\bar{2}$
5	3.692	3.695	310	5	1.862	1.875	152
25	3.628	3.630	13 Ī	12	1.829	1.84	332
39	3.204	3.210	$11\bar{2}$	2	1.789	1.789	$1 \ 7 \ \overline{1}$
		3.183	131	5	1.726	1.732	642
18	3.065	3.065	00 2	19	1.647	1.658	640
28	2.976	2.997	31 2			1.656	$20\bar{4}$
		2.956	22	7	1.589	1.591	372
100	2.830	2.834	240	9	1.548	1.555	512
4	2.715	2.722	402	7	1.525	1.531	172
36	2.632	2.632	13 2	9	1.467	1.478	$44\bar{4}$
1	2.505	2.511	422	3	1.441	1.445	800
4	2.323	2.323	$24\bar{2}$	6	1.382	1.386	$04\bar{4}$
5	2.261	2.277	510				

*Eight most intense lines are shown in bold.

Table 3. Crystal data, data collection, and structure refinement parameters for hatertite.

Crystal data	
Temperature	293 K
Radiation, wavelength	Mo- <i>K</i> α, 0.71073 Å
Crystal system	monoclinic
Space group	C2/c
Unit-cell dimensions a, b, c (Å), β ((12.640(2), 13.007(2), (12.640(2), 13.007(2)), (12.640(2)), (12.640(2)), (12.640(2)), (12.640(2))), (12.640(2)), (12.640(2))), (12.640(2)), (12.640(2))), (12.640(2))), (12.640(2))), (12.640(2))), (12.640(2))), (12.640(2))), (12.640(2))), (12.640(2))), (12.640(2))), (12.640(2))), (12.640(2))), (12.640(2))), (12.640(2))), (12.640(2))), (12.640(2))), (12.640(2)))), (12.640(2)))), (12.640(2))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))
	6.700(1) 113.828(3)
Unit-cell volume ($Å^3$)	1007.6(3)
Ζ	4
Calculated density (g/cm^3)	4.06
Absorption coefficient (mm^{-1})	13.66
Crystal size (mm ³)	0.18 imes 0.14 imes 0.10
Data collection	
θ range (°)	2.36 – 28.1 ¹
h, k, l ranges	$-9 \rightarrow 16, -16 \rightarrow 16,$
	$-8 \rightarrow 8$
Total reflections collected	2869
Unique reflections (R_{int})	1137 (0.046)
Unique reflections $F > 4\sigma(F)$	751
•	
Structure refinement	
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2
Weighting coefficients a, b	0.0005, 0
Extinction coefficient	0.00000(5)
Data/restraints/parameters	1137/0/99
$R_1 [F > 4\sigma(F)], wR_2$	0.028, 0.038
$[F > 4\sigma(F)],$	
R_1 all, wR_2 all	0.037, 0.039
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	0.753
Largest diff. peak and hole (e $Å^{-3}$)	0.659, -0.691

reflections (Table 3). The systematic absences of reflections are consistent with the space-group C2/c. The data were reduced using the Bruker program SAINT. A semiempirical absorption-correction based upon the intensities of equivalent reflections was applied using the Bruker program SADABS, and the data were corrected for Lorentz, polarisation, and background effects.

The Bruker SHELXTL system of programs was used for the refinement of the crystal structure on the basis of F^2 . The structure was refined on the basis of atom coordinates determined by Hatert et al. (2000) for the structure of $Na_{0.5}Li_{0.5}MnFe_2(PO_4)_3$. Occupancies of the cation positions were refined from the experimental site-scattering factors in accordance with the empirical chemical composition. Refinement of all atom-position parameters, allowing for the anisotropic displacement of all atoms, and the inclusion of a refinable weighting-scheme of the structure factors, resulted in a final agreement index (R1) of 0.028, calculated for the 751 unique observed reflections (|Fo| > $4\sigma F$), and a goodness-of-fit (S) of 0.753. The final atom parameters are listed in Table 4, and selected interatomic distances are given in Table 5. Site-scattering factors and assignment of cation positions are listed in Table 6. Observed and calculated structure factors are available as Supplementary Material attached to this article on the

Atom	BVS*	x	У	2	$U_{ m eq}$	U_{11}	U_{22}	U_{33}	U_{23}	U_{13}	U_{12}
A(1)	1.12	1/2	0	0	0.0321(11)	0.0394(19)	0.0189(17)	0.0205(16)	0.003(1)	-0.0061(14)	-0.0042(13)
$A(2)^{\prime}$	0.56	0	-0.0080(2)	1/4	0.0466(13)	0.0217(19)	0.047(2)	0.052(2)	0	-0.0049(16)	0
M(1)	2.14	0	0.26401(12)	1/4	0.0219(6)	0.0239(11)	0.0180(10)	0.0284(11)	0	0.0154(9)	0
M(2)	2.49	0.27324(7)	0.65670(6)	0.35841(12)	0.0147(3)	0.0162(5)	0.0148(5)	0.0130(4)	-0.0004(4)	0.0058(4)	0.0006(4)
T(1)	5.12	0	-0.27594(6)	1/4	0.0166(2)	0.0221(5)	0.0149(4)	0.0102(4)	0	0.0038(4)	0
T(2)	5.04	0.24467(5)	-0.10591(4)	0.13632(8)	0.01722(16)	0.0228(3)	0.0146(3)	0.0116(3)	0.0006(3)	0.0043(3)	0.0021(3)
0(1)	2.05	0.4476(3)	0.7007(2)	0.5259(4)	0.0208(9)	0.027(2)	0.024(2)	0.0107(18)	-0.0049(15)	0.0066(18)	0.0000(18)
0(2)	1.96	0.0971(3)	0.6447(2)	0.2211(5)	0.0308(10)	0.033(2)	0.024(2)	0.034(2)	-0.0136(17)	0.013(2)	0.0025(18)
0(3)	2.04	0.3262(3)	0.6661(2)	0.1044(5)	0.0235(9)	0.032(2)	0.016(2)	0.0160(18)	-0.0015(15)	0.0038(18)	-0.0053(17)
0(4)	2.11	0.1161(3)	0.4083(2)	0.3061(4)	0.0235(9)	0.027(2)	0.023(2)	0.0193(19)	0.0061(17)	0.0087(18)	0.0052(19)
O(5)	1.91	0.2306(3)	0.8200(2)	0.3333(5)	0.0214(9)	0.031(2)	0.022(2)	0.0159(18)	0.0035(16)	0.0144(19)	0.0018(17)
O(6)	2.01	0.3187(3)	0.5072(2)	0.3732(5)	0.0322(10)	0.044(3)	0.024(2)	0.0260(19)	-0.0005(17)	0.011(2)	-0.0178(19)
*calcul	tted using b	ond-valence par	rameters taken fron	ו Brese & O'Keef	fe (1991); the Na	$1^{+}-0^{2-}$ curve w	as used for the /	4(1)-O and A(2)-	O bonds; the Ca ²	+-0 ²⁻ , Fe ³⁺ -0 ²⁻	and As ^{5+-O²⁻}
V SAVIU	were need fo	The $M(1)_{-}$ O Λ	$M(2)$ -O and T_{-} O ho	nds respectively	Relatively low R	VS values for t	he $A(2)$ ' and MC	 cites are exula 	ined hv their miv	ed-cation occupa:	ncies (Table 6)

Table 4. Fractional coordinates, displacement parameters $({\rm \AA}^2)$, and bond-valence sums (BVS, valence units) for atoms in the structure of hatertite.

T(2)-O(6)	1.664(3)	A(2)'-O(6)	2.636(3) 2x
<i>T</i> (2)-O(3)	1.688(3)	A(2)'-O(6)	2.738(4) 2x
<i>T</i> (2)-O(5)	1.700(3)	A(2)'-O(1)	2.858(4) 2x
< T(2)-O>	1.676	A(2)'-O(3)	3.029(4) 2x
		< A(2)'-O>	2.816
<i>M</i> (2)-O(6)	2.019(3)		

1.674(3) 2x 1.688(3) 2x

1.681

1.653(3)

2.042(4)

2.068(3)

2.108(3)

2.108(3)

2.182(3)

2.088

Table 5. Selected bond lengths (Å) in the structure of hatertite.

A(1)-O(4) A(1)-O(2)

A(1)-O(4)

< A(1) - O >

M(1)-O(4)

M(1)-O(1)

M(1)-O(3)

< M(1)-O >

2.313(3) 2x 2.403(3) 2x

2.608(3) 2x

2.318(3) 2x

2.350(3) 2x

2.381(3) 2x

2.350

2.441

GSW website of the journal: http://eurjmin.geoscience-world.org/.

Structure description

The structure of hatertite contains six symmetrically independent cation sites, numbered in this work according to the nomenclature proposed by Hatert et al. (2000). The A(1) and A(2)' sites are predominantly occupied by Na. All the K present in the mineral is found in the A(2)' site; this is reflected in a rather large coordination polyhedron, which can be described as an octahedron flattened along one of its threefold axes (Fig. 2d). The A(1) site, which contains 13 % Ca, is coordinated by O atoms to form a coordination polyhedron known as a gyrobifastigium (Fig. 2e) (Casanova et al., 2005). The M(1) and M(2)sites have octahedral coordination. Two adjacent $M(2)O_6$ octahedra share an edge to form a $[M(2)_2O_{10}]$ dimer. The dimers are linked by the $M(1)O_6$ polyhedra to form chains running along [-101] (Fig. 2c). The chains are linked by $T(2)O_4$ tetrahedra into layers parallel to (010) (Fig. 2a). The layers are further interlinked through $T(1)O_4$ tetrahedra into a three-dimensional octahedral-tetrahedral framework with the A(1) and A(2)' sites in the framework cavities (Fig. 2b).

Crystal-chemical formula

The crystal-chemical formula derived from the crystalstructure analysis and calculated on the basis of a comparison between the site-scattering of cation sites (Table 6) and results of microprobe analysis can be written as $(Na_{0.55}K_{0.30})(Na_{0.87}Ca_{0.13})(Ca_{0.60}Na_{0.27}Mn_{0.13})$ (Fe³⁺_{0.45} $Cu_{0.20}Al_{0.19}Zn_{0.16})_2(AsO_4)_3$. This formula is in reasonably good agreement with the empirical chemical formula of hatertite given above. Hatertite is defined as a mineral with Ca dominant in the M(1) site and Fe³⁺ dominant in

T(1)-O(2)T(1)-O(1)

< T(1)-O>

T(2)-O(4)

M(2)-O(2)

M(2)-O(3)

M(2)-O(5)

M(2)-O(1)

M(2)-O(5)

< M(2) - O >

Site	SC	SOF	SSF _{exp} [e ⁻]	Assigned occupancy	$SSF_{calc} [e^{-}]$
$\overline{A(1)}$	Na	1.134(8)	12.47(9)	Na _{0.87} Ca _{0.13}	12.17
A(2)'	Na	1.104(8)	12.14(9)	$Na_{0.55}K_{0.30}$	11.76
M(1)	Ca	0.943(4)	18.86(8)	$Ca_{0.60}Na_{0.27}Mn_{0.13}$	18.22
<i>M</i> (2)	Fe	0.919(2)	23.89(5)	${\rm Fe}^{3+}{}_{0.45}{\rm Cu}_{0.20}{\rm Al}_{0.19}{\rm Zn}_{0.16}$	24.32

Table 6. Refined site-scattering factors and assignment for cation sites in the structure of hatertite*.

*SC = scattering curve used to refine site occupancy; SOF = refined site-occupation factor; SSF_{exp} and SSF_{calc} = experimental and calculated site-scattering factors.



Fig. 2. Layer of FeO_6 (cross-hatched), CaO_6 (dark-gray) and AsO₄ (gray) polyhedra in the structure of hatertite (a) is based upon chains of FeO_6 and CaO_6 polyhedra (c). The layers are linked into three-dimensional framework with Na1 and Na2 (shown as circles) in channels (b). The Na1 and Na2 sites are coordinated by six and eight anions, respectively (c).

the M(2) site. The charge-balancing mechanism in the studied sample is controlled by the coupled $Ca^{2+} \leftrightarrow Na^+$ and $Fe^{3+} \leftrightarrow Cu^{2+}$ substitutions. A general crystal chemical formula for hatertite should be written as NaNa($Ca_{1-x}M^+_x$)($Fe^{3+}_{1+x}M^{2+}_{1-x}$)(AsO₄)₃, where 0.5 > x > 0, M^+ is an unspecified monovalent cation, and M^{2+} is an unspecified divalent cation. Recently, we located another sample of hatertite, where $M^{2+} = Mg^{2+}$ and the content of Cu^{2+} is negligible. This sample is currently under investigation.

Discussion

Hatertite belongs to the alluaudite mineral group, which at present includes nine arsenate species (Table 7). Unfortunately, each of these minerals has been reported

with different cell settings and atom names. In order to homogenize the coordinates setting and to allow for easy comparison between different structures, we have transformed all reported structure models for the alluauditegroup arsenate minerals to a reference model that corresponds to the set of coordinates determined by Hatert et al. (2000) for the structure of $Na_{0.5}Li_{0.5}MnFe_2(PO_4)_3$. The corresponding unit-cell transformation matrices, translation matrices and renumbering schemes for the O atoms are given in Table 8 (note that, after application of the corresponding matrices, atom coordinates still have to be transformed to a reference site by a symmetry operator of the C2/c space group). Table 9 contains reference-model coordinates of atoms comprising octahedral-tetrahedral frameworks in the structures of minerals listed in Table 7. Transformation of the structure data to the reference models allowed to unambigously assign extra-framework

Mineral name	Chemical formula	Space group	<i>a</i> (Å)	b (Å)	c (Å)	β (°)	Ref.
arseniopleite	(Ca, Na)(Na, Pb)Mn ₃ (AsO ₄) ₃	C2/c	12.132	13.036	6.811	113.3	1
bradaczekite	$NaCu_4(AsO_4)_3$	C2/c	12.053	12.432	7.253	117.8	2
caryinite	(Na, Pb)(Ca, Na)Ca(Mn, Mg) ₂ (AsO ₄) ₃	C2/c	12.419	13.147	6.855	114.1	3
hatertite	$Na_2(Ca, Na)(Fe^{3+}, Cu)_2(AsO_4)_3$	C2/c	12.640	13.007	6.700	113.8	4
johillerite	Na Cu(Mg, Zn) ₃ (AsO ₄) ₃	C2/c	11.882	12.760	6.647	112.8	5
5		C2/c	11.882	12.739	6.752	113.6	6
keyite	$Cd_2Cu_3(Zn, Cu)_4(AsO_4)_6(H_2O)_2$	C2/c	12.544	12.780	6.840	113.5	7
nickenichite	$NaCaCu(Mg, Fe)(AsO_4)_3$	C2/c	11.882	12.760	6.647	112.8	8
o'danielite	$Na(Zn, Mg)Zn_2H_2(AsO_4)_3$	C2/c	12.113	12.445	6.793	112.9	5
yazganite	$NaFe_2(Mg, Mn)(AsO_4)_3(H_2O)$	C2/c	12.181	12.807	6.6391	112.4	9

Table 7. Crystallographic data for arsenate minerals from the alluaudite group.

References: (1) Tait & Hawthorne (2003); (2) Krivovichev *et al.* (2001); (3) Ercit (1993); (4) this work; (5) Keller & Hess (1988); (6) Tait & Hawthorne (2004); (7) Cooper & Hawthorne (1996); (8) Auernhammer *et al.* (1993); (9) Sarp & Cerný (2005).

Table 8. Transformation modes of unit cells and atom coordinates for alluaudite-group arsenate minerals reported in the original works to the reference model that corresponds to the set of coordinates given by Hatert *et al.* (2000) for $Na_{0.5}Li_{0.5}MnFe_2(PO_4)_3$.

Mineral	Ref.	Cell transformation matrix	Translation matrix	Renumbering scheme for the O sites (old \rightarrow new)
arseniopleite	1	-1 0-1/0-1 0/1 0 0	0 0 0	$1 \rightarrow 1, 2 \rightarrow 2, 3 \rightarrow 3, 4 \rightarrow 4, 5 \rightarrow 5, 6 \rightarrow 6$
bradaczekite	2	1 0 0/0 1 0/0 0 1	0 1/2 0	$6 \rightarrow 1, 2 \rightarrow 2, 5 \rightarrow 3, 3 \rightarrow 4, 4 \rightarrow 5, 1 \rightarrow 6$
caryinite	3	-1 0-1/0 1 0/1 0 0	0 0 1/2	$1 \rightarrow 1, 2 + 3^* \rightarrow 2, 4 \rightarrow 3, 5 \rightarrow 4, 6 \rightarrow 5, 7 \rightarrow 6$
johillerite	4	-1 0-1/0 1 0/1 0 0	0 0 1/2	$6 \rightarrow 1, 3 \rightarrow 2, 4 \rightarrow 3, 2 \rightarrow 4, 5 \rightarrow 5, 1 \rightarrow 6$
keyite	5	-1 0-1/0-1 0/0 0 1	$\frac{1}{4}\frac{3}{4}\frac{1}{2}$	$5 \rightarrow 1, 3 \rightarrow 2, 4 \rightarrow 3, 6 \rightarrow 4, 1 \rightarrow 5, 2 \rightarrow 6$
nickenichite	6	1 0 0/0 1 0/0 0 1	000	$2 \rightarrow 1, 1 \rightarrow 2, 6 \rightarrow 3, 3 \rightarrow 4, 4 \rightarrow 5, 6 \rightarrow 6$
o'danielite	7	1 0 0/0-1 0/0 0 1	0 0 0	$6 \rightarrow 1, 3 \rightarrow 2, 4 \rightarrow 3, 2 \rightarrow 4, 5 \rightarrow 5, 1 \rightarrow 6$
yazganite	8	1 0 0/0-1 0/0 0 1	0 0 0	$6 \rightarrow 1, 3 \rightarrow 2, 4 \rightarrow 3, 2 \rightarrow 4, 5 \rightarrow 5, 1 \rightarrow 6$

*Splitted site.

References: (1) Tait & Hawthorne (2003); (2) Krivovichev *et al.* (2001); (3) Ercit (1993); (4) Tait & Hawthorne (2004); (5) Cooper & Hawthorne (1996); (6) Auernhammer *et al.* (1993); (7) Keller & Hess (1988); (8) Sarp & Cerný (2005).

cations to the A(1) and A(2) positions according to the scheme developed by Hatert et al. (2000) (Table 10). The following conclusions concerning site preferences by different cations can be made. In alluaudite-group arsenate minerals, Na⁺ obviously tends to occupy the A(2)' site (as in hatertite), but can also be incorporated into the A(1) site (similar observations were made by Hatert et al. (2000) for alluaudite-type phosphates). The Cu^{2+} cations demonstrate high affinity to the A(1)' site, but can also be found in the A(2) site (as in keyite). Calcium can be incorporated into the A(1) and A(1)'' sites. The A(2)' site is able to host H₂O groups, but in a disordered arrangement: in both hydrated alluaudite-type arsenates, keyite and yazganite, H₂O molecules are slightly shifted from the A(2)' site to the 8f site with 50 %-occupancy. According to Hatert et al. (2000), H atoms of protonated phosphate anions in alluaudite-type structures occupy the A(1)' sites, which is likely to be the case for arsenate members of the group as well. Unfortunately, the H atom coordinates for o'danielite, the only natural alluaudite-group arsenate with protonated arsenate groups, have not been reported in the literature.

From the data listed in Table 10, it can be seen clearly that hatertite has a unique distribution of dominant cations over structure sites, which is in total agreement with its status of a separate mineral species.

Among nine minerals listed in Table 7, four (johillerite, nickenichite, bradaczekite and hatertite) have been found in Tolbachik fumaroles. Johillerite, nickenichite and bradaczekite contain Cu as an essential mineral-forming component. Hatertite also contains significant but subordinate amount of Cu in the M(2) site. Its formation is most probably associated with the increasing deficit of Cu in local geochemical environments.

Acknowledgements: We gratefully acknowledge constructive criticism of two anonymous reviewers, Chief Editor Reto Gieré and Managing Editor Christian Chopin. This work was supported for D.S.R. and S.V.K. by the Russian Federal Grant-in-Aid Program 'Cadres' (state contract no. 16.740.11.0490), RFBR (13-05-00684) and internal grant of St. Petersburg State University (no. 3.38.83.2012). Single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurements were performed in the X-ray Diffraction Resource Centre of St.Petersburg State University.

ies	
inal	
ord	
CO CO	
the	
d tc	
are	
Juic	
s cc	
eral	
min	
ate	
sen	
p ar	
rou	
te-9	
ipn	
llua	
le a	
of tł	
res (
ctur	
stru	
the	
in	
vork	
new	
frai	
lral	
hec	
etra	
ral-1	
hedi	
ctal)3.
he c	04
of tl	e ₂ (J
(0^{4})	AnF
X	0.5N
tes (i,sLi
lina	Nac
orc	stic
n cc	nthe
atoı	r sy
of) foi
sets	00
del	. (2
om	t al.
-aot	art e
erei	Hate
Ref	by F
.6	ed l
ıble	port
$T_{\tilde{a}}$	re

Site		NLM	AP	BZ	CY	Hſ	КҮ	HN	OD	ΥZ
M(1)	x	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	y	2641	2649	2651	2650	2604	2464	2616	2807	2673
	. 12	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500
M(2)	x	2803	2834	2802	2771	2854	2746	2857	2926	2808
	y	6528	6559	6563	6575	6553	6428	6558	6588	6560
	. N	3687	3719	3602	3620	3737	3663	3756	3796	3671
T(1)	x	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	y	7127	7117	7228	7164	7144	7223	7118	6905	7201
	. N	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500	2500
T(2)	x	2418	2313	2275	2342	2305	2443	2327	2207	2427
	y	8919	8881	8829	8906	8856	8862	8871	8851	8929
	. N	1330	1237	1215	1283	1250	1357	1243	1144	1290
0(1)	X	4525	4617	4570	4565	4636	4580	4614	4657	4532
	y	7164	7153	7029	7083	7145	7050	7160	7346	7044
	. N	5324	5286	5365	5340	5232	5240	5200	5278	5241
0(2)	x	987	1042	1030	981/973**	1062	1000	1085	1141	1071
	y	6375	6255	6230	6272/6401**	6201	6280	6232	6059	6373
	2	2386	2523	2582	2572/2049**	2626	2600	2619	2681	2441
0(3)	x	3284	3393	3544	3356	3412	3190	3397	3462	3282
	y	6643	9699	6672	6674	6701	0699	6706	6768	6783
	N	1022	1164	1214	1201	1195	1100	1189	1243	1126
O(4)	x	1221	1202	1181	1214	1161	1120	1169	1304	1146
	y	3994	3970	3893	4076	3909	4000	3933	4076	4089
	N	3096	3165	3237	3116	3131	2990	3150	3343	3117
O(5)	x	2244	2183	2212	2227	2156	2250	2162	2122	2254
	y	8221	8179	8075	8208	8113	8150	8141	8122	8186
	2	3180	3202	3080	3246	3190	3280	3215	3161	3303
O(6)	x	3148	3391	3328	3365	3321	3200	3325	3506	3291
	y	5023	4989	5053	5021	5030	5040	5042	5033	5081
	N,	3772	3943	3820	3885	3858	3820	3887	4033	3890
*Legend: NLM **Site is split in	$I = Na_{0.5}L$ nto two ha	i _{0.5} MnFe ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ ; <i>i</i> If-occupied sites.	AP = arseniople	ite; BZ = bradac	zekite; CY = caryinite; J	H = johillerite; K	T = keyite; NH =	= nickenichite; O	D = o'danielite;	YZ = yazganite.

Site	A(1)	A(1)'	A(1)''	A(2)	A(2)'	A(2)''	<i>M</i> (1)	<i>M</i> (2)*
Wyckoff site	4b	4e	8f	4a	4e	8f	4e	8f
Coordinates	½00	$0 y \frac{1}{4} (y \sim \frac{1}{2})$	$x y z (x \sim \frac{1}{2}, y, z \sim 0)$	000	$0 y \frac{1}{4} (y \sim 0)$	$x y z (x, y, z \sim 0)$	0 y ¼	x y z
Arseniopleite			Ca**		Na		Mn	Mn
Bradaczekite		Cu			Na		Cu	Cu
Caryinite			Ca**		Na		Ca	Mn
Hatertite	Na				Na		Ca, M^+	Fe^{3+}, M^{2+}
Johillerite		Cu			Na		Mg	Mg
Keyite		Cu		Cu**	H ₂ O***		Cď	Zn
Nickenichite	Ca**	Cu**			Na		Mg	Mg
O'danielite					Na		Zn	Zn
Yazganite	Na				H ₂ O***		Mg	Fe ³⁺

Table 10. Assignment of cation sites for arsenate minerals of the alluaudite group.

*M⁺ and M²⁺ are unspecified mono- and divalent cations, respectively.

**Site-occupation factor is 50 % or less.

***Site is split into two adjacent half-occupied 8f sites.

References

- Auernhammer, M., Effenberger, H., Hentschel, G., Reinecke, T., Tillmanns, E. (1993): Nickenichite, a new arsenate from the Eifel, Germany. *Mineral. Petrol.*, 48, 153–166.
- Brese, N.E. & O'Keeffe, M. (1991): Bond-valence parameters for solids. Acta Crystallogr., B47, 192–197.
- Callegari, A.M., Boiocchi, M., Ciriotti, M.E., Balestra, C. (2012): Coralloite, Mn²⁺Mn³⁺₂(AsO₄)₂(OH)₂·4H₂O, a new mixed valence Mn hydrate arsenate: crystal structure and relationships with bermanite and whitmoreite mineral groups. *Am. Mineral.*, **97**, 727–734.
- Casanova, D., Llunell, M., Alemany, P., Alvarez, S. (2005): The rich stereochemistry of eight-vertex polyhedra: a continuous shape measures study. *Chem. Eur. J.*, **11**, 1479–1494.
- Chukanov, N.V., Pekov, I.V., Jonsson, E., Zubkova, N.V., Filinchuk, Y.E., Belakovskiy, D.I., Pushcharovsky, D.Yu. (2011): Langbanshyttanite, a new low-temperature arsenate mineral with a novel structure from Långban, Sweden. *Eur. J. Mineral.*, 23, 675–681.
- Cooper, M.A. & Hawthorne, F.C. (1996): The crystal structure of keyite, Cu²⁺₃(Zn, Cu²⁺)₄Cd₂(AsO₄)₆(H₂O)₂, an oxysalt mineral with essential cadmium. *Can. Mineral*, **34**, 623–630.
- Cooper, M.A., Abdu, Y.A., Ball, N.A., Hawthorne, F.C., Back, M.E., Tait, K.T., Schlüter, J., Malcherek, T., Pohl, D., Gebhard, G. (2012): Ianbruceite, ideally [Zn₂(OH)(H₂O)(AsO₄)](H₂O)₂, a new arsenate mineral from the Tsumeb mine, Otjikoto (Oshikoto) region, Namibia: description and crystal structure. *Mineral. Mag.*, **76**, 1119–1131.
- Ercit, T.S. (1993): Caryinite revisited. *Mineral. Mag.*, 57, 721–727.
- Fedotov, S.A.. ed (1984): *The great fissure Tolbachik eruption*. Nauka, Moscow.
- Filatov, S.K., Vergasova, L.P., Gorskaya, M.G., Krivovichev, S.V., Ananiev, V.V. (2001): Bradaczekite, NaCu₄(AsO₄)₃, a new mineral species from the Tolbachik Volcano, Kamchatka Peninsula, Russia. *Can. Mineral*, **39**, 1115–1119.
- Hatert, F. (2004): The crystal chemistry of lithium in the alluaudite structure: a study of the $(Na_{1-x}Li_x)_{1.5}Mn_{1.5}(PO_4)_3$ solid solution (x = 0 to 1). *Mineral. Petrol.*, **81**, 205–217.

- (2006): Na_{1.50}Mn_{2.48}Al_{0.85}(PO₄)₃, a new synthetic alluauditetype compound. *Acta Crystallogr.*, C62, i1–i2.
- Hatert, F., Keller, P., Lissner, F., Antenucci, D., Fransolet, A.-M. (2000): First experimental evidence of alluaudite-like phosphates with high Li-content: The $(Na_{1-x}Li_x)MnFe_2(PO_4)_3$ series (x = 0 to 1). *Eur. J. Mineral.*, **12**, 847–857.
- Hatert, F., Rebbouh, L., Hermann, R.P., Fransolet, A.-M., Long, G.J., Grandjean, F. (2005): Crystal chemistry of the hydrothermally synthesized $Na_2(Mn_{1-x}Fe_x^{2+})_2Fe^{3+}(PO_4)_3$ alluauditetype solid solution. *Am. Mineral.*, **90**, 653–662.
- Hatert, F., Fransolet, A.-M., Maresch, W.V. (2006): The stability of primary alluaudites in granitic pegmatites: an experimental investigation of the $Na_2(Mn_{2-2x} Fe_{1+2x})(PO_4)_3$ system. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, **152**, 399–419.
- Hawthorne, F.C., Cooper, M.A., Abdu, Y.A., Ball, N.A., Back, M.E., Tait, K.T. (2012): Davidlloydite, ideally Zn₃(AsO₄)₂(H₂O)₄, a new arsenate mineral from the Tsumeb mine, Otjikoto (Oshikoto) region, Namibia: description and crystal structure. *Mineral. Mag.*, **76**, 45–57.
- Keller, P. & Hess, H. (1988): Die Kristallstrukturen von O'Danielit, Na(Zn, Mg)₃H₂(AsO₄)₃ und Johillerit, Na(Mg, Zn)₃Cu(AsO₄)₃. *N. Jb. Mineral. Mh.*, **1988**, 395–404.
- Krivovichev, S.V., Filatov, S.K., Burns, P.C. (2001): The Jahn-Teller distortion of copper coordination polyhedra in the alluaudite structural type: Crystal structure of bradaczekite, NaCu₄(AsO₄)₃. *Zap. Vseross. Mineral. Obshch.*, **130**(5), 1–8 (in Russian).
- Mandarino, J. (2006): The Gladstone-Dale compatibility of arsenate minerals. *Period. Mineral.*, **75**, 167–174.
- Meisser, N., Brugger, J., Krivovichev, S., Armbruster, T., Favreau, G. (2012): Description and crystal structure of maghrebite, MgAl₂(AsO₄)₂(OH)₂ · 8H₂O, from Aghbar, Anti-Atlas, Morocco: first arsenate in the laueite mineral group. *Eur. J. Mineral.*, 24, 717–726.
- Mills, S.J., Kampf, A.R., McDonald, A.M., Favreau, G., Chiappero, P.-J. (2012): Foretite, a new secondary arsenate mineral from the Cap Garonne mine, France. *Mineral. Mag.*, **76**, 769–775.

- Neuhold, F., Kolitsch, U., Bernhardt, H.-J., Lengauer, C.L. (2012): Arsenohopeite, a new zinc arsenate mineral from the Tsumeb mine, Namibia. *Mineral. Mag.*, **76**, 603–612.
- Pekov, I.V., Chukanov, N.V., Zadov, A.E., Roberts, A.C., Jensen, M.C., Zubkova, N.V., Nikisher, A.J. (2011): Eurekadumpite, (Cu, Zn)₁₆(TeO₃)₂(AsO₄)₃Cl(OH)₁₈ · 7H₂O, a new supergene mineral species. *Geol. Ore Dep.*, **53**, 575–582.
- Pekov, I.V., Levitskiy, V.V., Krivovichev, S.V., Zolotarev, A.A., Bryzgalov, I.A., Zadov, A.E., Chukanov, N.V. (2012): New nickel-uranium-arsenic mineral species from the oxidation zone of the Belorechenskoye deposit, Northern Caucasus, Russia: I. Rauchite, Ni(UO₂)₂(AsO₄)₂·10H₂O, a member of the autunite group. *Eur. J. Mineral.*, 24, 913–922.
- Rondeux, M. & Hatert, F. (2010): An X-ray Rietveld and infrared spectral study of the $Na_2(Mn_{1-x}M^{2+}_{x})Fe^{2+}Fe^{3+}(PO_4)_3$ (x = 0 to 1 and $M^{2+} = Mg$, Cd) alluaudite-type solid solutions. *Am. Mineral.*, **95**, 844–852.
- Sarp, H. & Černý, R. (2005): Yazganite, NaFe³⁺₂(Mg, Mn)(AsO₄)₃·H₂O, a new mineral: its description and crystal structure. *Eur. J. Mineral.*, **17**, 367–374.
- Semenova, T.F., Vergasova, L.P., Filatov, S.K., Anan'ev, V.V. (1994): Alarsite AlAsO₄, a new mineral from volcanic sublimates. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk.*, **338**, 501–505.
- Starova, G.L., Vergasova, L.P., Filatov, S.K., Britvin, S.N., Anan'ev, V.V. (2012): Lammerite-β, Cu₃(AsO₄)₂, a new mineral from fumaroles of the Great Fissure Tolbachik eruption, Kamchatka Peninsula, Russia. *Geol. Ore Dep.*, **54**, 565–569.

- Tait, K.T. & Hawthorne, F.C. (2003): Refinement of the crystal structure of arseniopleite: confirmation of its status as a valid species. *Can. Mineral.*, **41**, 71–77.
- Tait, K.T. & Hawthorne, F.C. (2004): Johillerite from Tolbachik, Kamchatka peninsula, Russia: crystal-structure refinement and chemical composition. *Can. Mineral.*, 42, 717–722.
- Vergasova, L.P., Starova, G.L., Krivovichev, S.V., Filatov, S.K., Ananiev, V.V. (1999): Coparsite, Cu₄O₂[(As, V)O₄]Cl, a new mineral species from the Tolbachik Volcano, Kamchatka Peninsula, Russia. *Can. Mineral.*, **37**, 911–914.
- Vergasova, L.P., Filatov, S.K., Gorskaya, M.G., Molchanov, A.A., Krivovichev, S.V., Ananiev, V.V. (2000): Urusovite, Cu[AlAsO₅], a new mineral from the Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka, Russia. *Eur. J. Mineral.*, **12**, 1041–1044.
- Vergasova, L.P., Krivovichev, S.V., Britvin, S.N., Burns, P.C., Ananiev, V.V. (2004): Filatovite, K[(Al, Zn)₂(As, Si)₂O₈], a new mineral species from the Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka peninsula, Russia. *Eur. J. Mineral.*, 16, 533–536.
- Yang, H., Jenkins, R.A., Downs, R.T., Evans, S.H., Tait, K.T. (2011): Rruffite, Ca₂Cu(AsO₄)₂·2H₂O, a new member of the roselite group, from Tierra Amarilla, Chile. *Can. Mineral.*, 49, 877–884.

Received 22 February 2013 Modified version received 28 March 2013 Accepted 10 April 2013