# Shulamitite Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFe<sup>3+</sup>AlO<sub>8</sub> – a new perovskite-related mineral from Hatrurim Basin, Israel

VICTOR V. SHARYGIN<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, BILJANA LAZIC<sup>3</sup>, THOMAS M. ARMBRUSTER<sup>3</sup>, MIKHAIL N. MURASHKO<sup>4</sup>, RICHARD WIRTH<sup>5</sup>, IRINA O. GALUSKINA<sup>6</sup>, EVGENY V. GALUSKIN<sup>6</sup>, YEVGENY VAPNIK<sup>7</sup>, SERGEY N. BRITVIN<sup>8,9</sup> and ALLA M. LOGVINOVA<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> V.S.Sobolev Institute of Geology and Mineralogy, Siberian Branch of the RAS, prosp. Akademika Koptyuga 3, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

<sup>2</sup> Department of Geology and Geophysics, Novosibirsk State University, Pirogova Str. 2, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia \*Corresponding author, e-mail: sharygin@igm.nsc.ru

<sup>3</sup> Mineralogical Crystallography, Institute of Geological Sciences, University of Bern, Freiestrasse 3, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland

<sup>4</sup> ZAO "Systematic Mineralogy", 11-line, 44–76, S.-Petersburg 199178, Russia

<sup>5</sup> Helmholtz Centre Potsdam, GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Section 3.3, Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany

<sup>6</sup> Department of Geochemistry, Mineralogy and Petrography, Faculty of Earth Sciences, University of Silesia, Będzińska 60, 41-200 Sosnowiec, Poland

<sup>7</sup> Department of Geological and Environmental Sciences, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, POB 653, Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel

<sup>8</sup> Department of Crystallography, Faculty of Geology, St. Petersburg State University, University Emb. 7/9, St. Petersburg 199034, Russia

<sup>9</sup> Nanomaterials Research Center, Kola Science Center of the RAS, Fersman Str. 18, Apatity 184200, Russia

**Abstract:** Shulamitite, ideally Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFe<sup>3+</sup>AlO<sub>8</sub>, is a mineral intermediate between perovskite CaTiO<sub>3</sub> and brownmillerite Ca<sub>2</sub>(Fe,Al)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. It was discovered as a major mineral in a high-temperature larnite-mayenite rock from the Hatrurim Basin, Israel. Shulamitite is associated with larnite, F-rich mayenite, Cr-containing spinel, ye'elimite, fluorapatite, and magnesioferrite, and retrograde phases (portlandite, hematite, hillebrandite, afwillite, foshagite and katoite). The mineral forms reddish brown subhedral grains or prismatic platelets up to 200 µm and intergrowths up to 500 µm. The empirical formula of the holotype shulamitite (mean of 73 analyses) is (Ca<sub>2.992</sub>Sr<sub>0.007</sub>LREE<sub>0.007</sub>)(Ti<sub>0.981</sub>Zr<sub>0.014</sub>Nb<sub>0.001</sub>)(Fe<sup>3+</sup><sub>0.947</sub>Mg<sub>0.022</sub>Cr<sub>0.012</sub>Fe<sup>2+</sup><sub>0.012</sub>Mn<sub>0.001</sub>)(Al<sub>0.658</sub>Fe<sup>3+</sup><sub>0.288</sub>Si<sub>0.054</sub>)O<sub>8</sub>. The X-ray diffraction powder-pattern (MoKα-radiation) shows the strongest lines {d [Å](*I*<sub>obs</sub>)} at: 2.677(100), 2.755(40), 1.940(40), 11.12(19), 1.585(17), 1.842(16), 1.559(16), 3.89 (13), 1.527(13). The unit-cell parameters and space group are: *a* = 5.4200(6), *b* = 11.064(1), *c* = 5.5383(7) Å, *V* = 332.12(1) Å<sup>3</sup>, *Pmma*, *Z* = 2. The calculated density is 3.84 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. The crystal structure of shulamitite has been refined from X-ray single-crystal data to *R*1 = 0.029 %. No partitioning among octahedral sites was found for Ti and Fe<sup>3+</sup> in the structure of shulamitite, these cations are randomly distributed among all octahedra indicating an example of "valency-imposed double site occupancy". The strong bands in the Raman spectrum of shulamitite are at: 238, 250, 388, 561, and 742 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Shulamitite from the Hatrurim Basin crystallized under combustion metamorphism conditions characterized by very high temperatures (1150–1170 °C) and low pressures (high-*T*-region of the spurite-merwinite facies). Chemical data for shulamitite and its Fe-analog from other metacarbonate occurrences (natural and anthropogenic) are given here.

**Key-words:** shulamitite, Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, new mineral, perovskite, brownmillerite, crystal structure, Raman spectroscopy, Hatrurim Formation, Israel.

# 1. Introduction

The natural Al-rich analog of the orthorhombic synthetic phase  $Ca_3 TiFe_2^{3+}O_8$ , an intermediate member between perovskite  $CaTiO_3$  and brownmillerite  $Ca_2(Fe,Al)_2O_5$ , named shulamitite, was discovered in larnite rocks from

the Hatrurim Basin, one of the combustion-metamorphism complexes of the Hatrurim Formation in Israel (Gross, 1977).

Synthetic Fe-rich compounds based on the perovskite and brownmillerite (perovskite-like) structure are intensively studied in material sciences due to their superconductivity and ion-conductivity properties. The phase  $Ca_3 TiFe_2^{3+}O_8$ (Grenier phase) was firstly synthesized in the middle 1970s (Grenier *et al.*, 1976, 1977). At present, three synthetic phases intermediate between perovskite CaTiO<sub>3</sub> and brownmillerite  $Ca_2(Fe,Al)_2O_5$  are known to date:  $Ca_4Ti_2Fe_2O_{11}$  (Gonzáles-Calbet & Valet-Regí, 1987),  $Ca_3TiFe_2^{3+}O_8$  (Grenier *et al.*, 1976; Rodrígues-Carvajal *et al.*, 1989), and  $Ca_5TiFe_2Al_2O_{13}$  (Marinho & Glasser, 1984).

Shulamitite Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFe<sup>3+</sup>AlO<sub>8</sub> was approved by the CNMNC IMA as a new mineral species in June 2011 (IMA #2011-016). The mineral name is given in honor of Dr. Shulamit Gross (1923–2012), emeritus member of the Geological Survey of Israel and famous Miss "Mottled Zone". The name of this reddish brown mineral is also related to biblical Shulamit, red-haired sweetheart of King Solomon. Shulamitite is major to accessory mineral in high-temperature metacarbonate larnite rocks of the Hatrurim Basin, Israel. The type sample of a larnite-mayenite rock containing abundant shulamitite (sample number M4-218, see Sharygin et al., 2008) is deposited in the Mineralogical Museum of St.-Petersburg State University (number 1/19465) and in the Central Siberian Geological Museum of V.S. Sobolev Institute of Geology and Mineralogy, Novosibirsk (number VII-87/1). Previously this mineral was described in the Hatrurim larnite rocks as an intermediate phase X, Ca<sub>3</sub>Ti(Fe,Al)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (Sharygin et al., 2008). A phase close to Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> was analyzed in carbonate-silicate rocks occurring as xenoliths in ignimbrites of the Upper-Chegem volcanic structure, Kabardino-Balkaria, Northern Caucasus, Russia (Galuskin et al., 2008, 2011). However, this natural phase (Fe-analog of shulamitite) is not yet registered as a new mineral species.

The Hatrurim Basin is a famous mineral locality (Gross, 1977; Vapnik *et al.*, 2006). It is type locality for bayerite, bentorite, ye'elimite, grossite, hatrurite, nagelschmidtite (Gross & Heller, 1963; Gross, 1977, 1980, 1984; Weber & Bischoff, 1994) and barioferrite (Murashko *et al.*, 2011). In this paper we provide a detailed description of shulamitite.

# **2.** Geological background for the Hatrurim Basin

All occurrences of combustion rocks in the Hatrurim Formation (also known as "Mottled Zone") are located along the framing of the Jordanian-Dead Sea transform fault (Gross, 1977) and are composed of brecciated sediments (mainly carbonate-rich) and metamorphic rocks of the spurrite-merwinite facies. They occur in Israel, Jordan and Palestine. The Hatrurim Basin (approximately 5 km to southeast from Arad, latitude – 31° 12′ 45″ N, longitude – 35° 14′ 43″ E, c.a. 50 km<sup>2</sup>, Bentor & Vroman, 1960) is the largest combustion complex of the Hatrurim Formation on the territory of Israel.

The rocks of the Hatrurim Formation in the Hatrurim Basin are the discordant cover of the Late Cretaceous carbonate, siliceous, and phosphoritic rocks of the

Mishash Formation. The predominant metamorphic rocks at the Hatrurim Basin are spurrite rocks that are often localized immediately above the carbonate horizons of the section basement – the Ghareb and Mishash Formation (Gross, 1977; Burg et al., 1991, 1999; Sokol et al., 2007). Larnite rocks occur locally in the north and central parts of the complex. In the lower, middle, and upper parts of the section they form isolated bodies (up to several tens of meters long and a few meters thick) among hydrothermally altered rocks or in the direct contact with other metamorphic units. Melilite hornfelses are ubiquitous and mainly form lenses and subhorizontal sheet bodies in the section basement. Paralavas, high-temperature fused rocks, are scarce and represented by diopside-anorthite rocks (T > 1000 °C) in "olive unit" and schorlomite-pseudowollastonite-melilite species (T > 1200 °C) in melilite hornfels bodies (Sharygin et al., 2006; Vapnik et al., 2007; Sokol et al., 2008).

Most of spurrite and larnite rocks are intensely altered by low-temperature retrograde processes. They are significantly replaced by calcite, aragonite, hydrated calcium silicates and sulfates, zeolites, hydrogarnets. During these processes, identical to hydration of the Portland cement composites, fragments of combustion rocks gradually become similar to pebbles or cobbles surrounded by multilayer crusts of replacement products. Such rocks were earlier described as "pseudo-conglomerates" (Gross, 1977; Burg *et al.*, 1991, 1999).

The ancient combustion complexes of the Hatrurim Formation, in particular the Hatrurim Basin (1–16 Ma), were earlier considered to be the products of areal combustion of bituminous chalk rocks of the Ghareb Formation (Burg *et al.*, 1991, 1999 and references herein; Gur *et al.*, 1995). The combustion front in subhorizontal continuous sediments was believed to reach a depth of 80–120 m. But this model contradicts with numerous geological, physical and geochemical data, because this scenario of burning is unlikely in terms of combustion physics as it rules out oxygen access to fuel particles at depth.

Most facts (in particular, the coexistence of high-temperature anhydrous rocks and low-temperature hydrothermal rocks in the Hatrurim Basin) is satisfactorily explained by the model of mud volcanism and related hydrocarbon gas combustion (Sharygin et al., 2006, 2008; Sokol et al., 2007, 2008; Vapnik et al., 2007). This is a process with a decrease in abnormally high pressure in beds of oil and gas provinces as a result of the ejection of gas hydrocarbons, water, and slush rock mass (mud breccia) to the surface. On repetitious explosion, gases can spontaneously ignite and burn for a long period, thus inducing combustion transformations of rocks. Later the same process was reconstructed for Nabi Musa, another locality in the Hatrurim Formation (Sokol et al., 2010, 2011, 2012). Combustion metamorphic rocks of the Hatrurim Basin derived from a terrigenouscarbonate protolith with different contents of clay material. The P-T formation conditions correspond to the spuritemerwinite facies (P < 25 bars, T = 700-1200 °C).

Despite of different opinions on genesis, the larnite rocks of the Hatrurim Basin are considered to be the products of the highest-temperature (900–1200 °C) solidstate reactions during combustion metamorphism (Bentor *et al.*, 1963; Kolodny, 1979; Matthews & Gross, 1980; Sokol *et al.*, 2005; Zateeva *et al.*, 2007; Sharygin *et al.*, 2008).

### 3. Analytical methods

The morphology and composition of shulamitite and associated minerals were investigated using JEOL JSM-35, JEOL JSM6380LA (V.S.Sobolev Institute of Geology and Mineralogy (IGM), Novosibirsk) and Philips/FEI ESEM XL30/EDAX (Faculty of Earth Sciences, University of Silesia) scanning microscopes and "Camebax-micro", JEOL JXA-8100 (IGM) and CAMECA SX100 (Institute of Geochemistry, Mineralogy and Petrology, University of Warsaw) electron microprobes. The composition of shulamitite was determined at an accelerating voltage of 15–20 kV, with probe current of 20-50 nA using natural and synthetic standards: wollastonite (Ca, Si), diopside (Mg), hematite (Fe), rutile and ilmenite (Ti), zircon (Zr), Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Cr), orthoclase (Al), albite (Na), LiNbO<sub>3</sub> (Nb), V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (V), spessartine (Mn), Sr-glass (Sr), Y<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub> (Y), NaLa (MoO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (La), LiCe(WO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Ce). Precisions for major and minor elements were better than 2 and 5 rel.%, respectively. Data reduction was performed using a PAP routine. Overlap corrections were done for the following elements:  $CrK\beta$  – MnK $\alpha$ , SiK $\alpha$  – SrL $\alpha$ .

Reflectance values for shulamitite were measured in the 400–700 nm range in air using a microscope–spectrophotometer MSFP-2 (A.A. Trofimuk Institute of Petroleum Geology and Geophysics, Novosibirsk) and a Si standard. The measured values were repeated for 16 individual grains.

Structural data of shulamitite were collected with an APEX II SMART single-crystal diffractometer (University of Bern), MoK $\alpha$ ,  $\lambda = 0.71073$ , graphite monochromator, operated at 50 kV and 35 mA. Data were processed using the SAINT suite of software (Bruker, 2011). An empirical absorption correction using SADABS software (Sheldrick, 1996) was applied. The structure was solved by direct methods using the program SHELX97 (Sheldrick, 2008). X-ray powder diffraction data for selected shulamitite grains were obtained using a Stoe IPDS II image plate diffractometer, Gandolfi method (St. Petersburg State University). Parameters were: MoK $\alpha$ , 45 kV, 30 mA, 200 mm detector-to-sample distance, exposure 60 min. Data were processed with Stoe X-Area 1.42 and Stoe WinXPOW 2.08 program packages.

Raman spectra of single crystals of shulamitite were recorded using a Dilor XY spectrometer equipped with a 1800 line mm<sup>-1</sup> grating monochromator, a charge-coupled device, Peltier-cooled detector and an Olympus BX40 confocal microscope at Bayerisches Geoinstitute, University of Bayreuth, Germany. The incident laser excitation was provided by a water-cooled argon laser source operating at 514.5 nm. The power at the exit of a  $100 \times$ objective lens varied from 30 to 50 mW. Raman spectra were recorded in backscattering geometry in the range  $100-4000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and with a resolution of 2 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Collection times of 20 s and accumulations of 5 scans were chosen. The monochromator was calibrated using the Raman scattering line of a silicon plate (520.7 cm<sup>-1</sup>).

The HRTEM study of FIB-milled foils with shulamitite was performed with a TECNAI F20 X-Twin transmission electron microscope with a field emission gun FEG as electron source at Helmholtz Centre Potsdam, GFZ (Wirth, 2009). The point resolution is 0.25 nm and the lattice resolution is 0.102 nm with an information limit of 0.14 nm. The TEM is equipped with a GATAN Tridiem imaging filter GIF<sup>TM</sup> and all HRTEM images were acquired as energy-filtered images applying a 10 eV window to the zero-loss peak. A careful correction of astigmatism of the objective lens was performed using the Digital Micrograph software package.

## 4. Sample description

Abundant shulamitite was discovered in a cobble  $(10 \times 10)$  $\times$  20 cm, M4-218, holotype sample) from "pseudo-conglomerate" in the central part of the Hatrurim Basin. This cobble is irregularly colored (from reddish-gray to brown) due to uneven distribution of Fe-oxide phases (spinel, shulamitite). The weathering crust is up to 5 mm and represented by hydrated Ca-silicates and ettringite. Unaltered part of the cobble is deeply dense and finegrained. Larnite, mayenite (F-bearing species, a potentially new mineral), shulamitite, Cr-containing spinel, and sulphate-bearing fluorapatite are the major primary phases (Fig. 1, Table 1). Ye'elimite and magnesioferrite are minor and accessory minerals. The assemblage brownmillerite + unidentified K-Fe-Cu-Ni-rich sulfides + fluorapatite rarely occurs as inclusions (up 5  $\mu$ m) in larnite and mayenite. Portlandite, hematite, hillebrandite, afwillite, foshagite and katoite (from Ca<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>(SiO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>1.5</sub>(OH)<sub>6</sub> to  $Ca_3Al_2(OH)_{12}$ ) represent a retrograde assemblage and are mainly confined to small vugs between grains of the primary minerals.

Shulamitite is the main Ca-ferrite of the perovskitebrownmillerite series in the holotype sample. There its abundance is up to 20 vol.%. In other larnite rocks of the Hatrurim Basin it is associated either with Fe-rich perovskite or with brownmillerite (Sharygin *et al.*, 2008) and is less frequent (1-3 vol.%).

# 5. Morphology, optical and physical properties of shulamitite

Shulamitite from the holotype sample forms xenomorphic or subhedral grains (50–200  $\mu$ m) and their intergrowths (up to 500  $\mu$ m, Fig. 1). Larnite, mayenite and spinel occur as inclusions in shulamitite. Individual prismatic platelets (50–200  $\mu$ m) and star-like aggregates (twins, up to 200  $\mu$ m) are also common (Fig. 2). Prismatic crystals have

The color of shulamitite is reddish brown. In thin section, the color varies from reddish brown to yellow brown. Under reflected light shulamitite is gray to light gray and shows characteristic yellow brown internal reflections. Bireflectance and anisotropy are weak, pleochroism is distinct from gray to light gray. The reflectance data for the mineral are given in Table 2. The color of the powdered mineral is light brown. Shulamitite has adamantine to submetallic luster. Its hardness is 6-7 (Mohs), microhardness VHN<sub>100</sub> = 683-977 kg/mm<sup>2</sup>, mean 866 kg/mm<sup>2</sup>. Cleavage is good on (010) and imperfect on (001) and (100), fracture is even to uneven. Density was not measured because of small grain size and common inclusions. Density in the range 3.84-3.865 g/cm<sup>3</sup> was calculated from unit-cell dimensions, refined or assigned scattering power at structural sites and results of electron-microprobe analyses.

Shulamitite is weakly resistant to weathering or retrograde alteration, sometimes transforming into a hydrated phase CaTiFe<sup>3+</sup>AlO<sub>8</sub>  $\cdot$  2–3H<sub>2</sub>O (Fig. 3). In the vugs this hydrated oxide, occasionally with shulamitite relics, is associated with other retrograde minerals (portlandite, hematite, katoite and various calcium hydrosilicates).

#### 6. Chemical composition of shulamitite

Holotype shulamitite (sample M4-218) does not essentially vary in chemical composition (Table 3). The mineral is practically uniform within individual grains and their core-to-rim variations are negligible. Its empirical formula (means of 73 analyses) is  $(Ca_{2.992}Sr_{0.007}LREE_{0.007})(Ti_{0.981}Zr_{0.014}Nb_{0.001})$  (Fe<sup>3+</sup><sub>0.947</sub>Mg<sub>0.022</sub>Cr<sub>0.012</sub> Fe<sup>2+</sup><sub>0.012</sub>Mn<sub>0.001</sub>) (Al<sub>0.658</sub>Fe<sup>3+</sup><sub>0.288</sub> Si<sub>0.054</sub>)O<sub>8</sub>. In general, the Ti content of holotype shulamitite is close to 1 apfu and its average formula is Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFe(Al<sub>0.7</sub>Fe<sub>0.3</sub>)O<sub>8</sub>. The contents of minor elements are insignificant (in apfu): Si – up to 0.06; Zr, Cr and Mg – up to 0.02; Sr and REE – up to 0.01.

However, shulamitite from other larnite rocks of the Hatrurim Basin differs from the holotype in higher Al and occasionally Cr (Table 3). In addition, some compositions show the predominance of Fe<sup>3+</sup> over Al<sup>3+</sup> at the tetrahedral site. Nominally such compositions are related to the Fe-analog of shulamitite Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFe(Fe,Al)O<sub>8</sub> assuming the possible existence of the solid solution Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFeAlO<sub>8</sub>-Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFeFeO<sub>8</sub>. It should be noted that shulamitie at Hatrurim Basin is common in mayenite-containing larnite rocks; whereas the Fe-analog was found only in ye'elimite-bearing larnite rocks (Sharygin *et al.*, 2008).

#### 7. Crystal structure

The single-crystal X-ray study yielded the orthorhombic symmetry: a = 5.4200(6), b = 11.064(1), c = 5.5383(7) Å, V = 332.12(1) Å<sup>3</sup>. The shulamitite structure was refined to R = 0.0290 in space group *Pmma* (no. 51) (Tables 4–7, Figs. 4–5). Shulamitite has a G-type structure (Grenier

Fig. 1. General view of larnite-mayenite rock from the Hatrurim Basin containing shulamitite (holotype sample M4-218). Framed area shows shulamitite grains magnified on Figures B–C. Symbols: Shu – shulamitite, May – mayenite, Ap – fluorapatite, Yel – ye'elimite, Spl – Cr-containing spinel, Lar – larnite, H – hydrated phases in vugs.

following forms: {100}, {010} and {001}, rhombic prisms are minor. The simple (in 90°) and complex (in 90°,  $45^{\circ}$  and  $60^{\circ}$ ) twinning is common for shulamitite (Fig. 2 C–F).



	Larnite	Mayenite	Spinel				Apatite	
n	7	13	1	1	1	1	1	4
			с	r	с	r		
(wt%)								
SO <sub>3</sub>	n.d.	n.d.					7.41	4.77
$P_2O_5$	1.79	n.d.					28.43	32.47
$V_2O_5$							0.40	0.36
SiO <sub>2</sub>	32.79	0.54	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	5.78	3.90
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.17	0.02	0.08	0.18	0.12	0.13	n.d.	n.d.
$Cr_2O_3$	n.d.		11.98	3.55	6.39	1.72		
$Al_2O_3$	0.24	45.41	40.57	45.04	46.51	47.27	n.d.	n.d.
$Fe_2O_3$		2.79	20.97	22.97	18.81	24.04		
FeO	0.03		4.87	7.61	5.13	4.18	0.05	0.04
MnO	n.d.	0.02	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.12	n.d.	n.d.
MgO	n.d.	n.d.	21.33	19.85	21.56	22.36	n.d.	n.d.
NiO			0.08	0.08	0.06	0.10		
ZnO			0.30	0.30	0.33	0.33		
CaO	64.17	44.68	0.39	0.50	0.37	0.49	55.11	55.48
SrO	0.22	0.12					0.49	0.36
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.39	0.04						
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.28	0.02					n.d.	n.d.
F		2.77					3.67	3.76
Cl		0.08					n.d.	n.d.
$H_2O^*$		4.60					0.04	0.01
$O = (F, Cl)_2$		1.18					1.55	1.58
Total <i>Calculated on</i>	100.08	99.88	100.67	100.18	99.38	100.74	99.83	99.57
Anions	4		4	4	4	4		
Cations S <sup>6+</sup>		26	3	3	3	3	10 Ca 0.936	10 Ca 0.600
P <sup>5+</sup>	0.044						4.050	4.601
V <sup>5+</sup>							0.045	0.040
Si	0.940	0.134					0.973	0.652
Ti	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.004	0.003	0.003		
Cr			0.259	0.076	0.136	0.036		
Al	0.008	13.351	1.307	1.445	1.477	1.478		
Fe <sup>3+</sup>		0.524	0.431	0.471	0.381	0.480		
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	0.001		0.111	0.173	0.116	0.093	0.007	0.006
Mn		0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.003		
Mg			0.869	0.806	0.866	0.885		
Ni			0.002	0.002	0.001	0.002		
Zn			0.006	0.006	0.007	0.006		
Ca	1.970	11.941	0.011	0.015	0.011	0.014	9.945	9.959
Sr	0.004	0.017					0.048	0.035
Na	0.022	0.021						
Κ	0.010	0.005						
F		2.187					1.953	1.990
Cl		0.033						
OH		0.100					0.047	0.010
$H_2O$		3.780						

Table 1. Main minerals associated with shulamitite in larnite-mayenite rock (holotype sample M4-218), Hatrurim Basin.

n – average of analyses, c, r – core and rim of grain, n.d. – not detected. \* – H<sub>2</sub>O in mayenite is calculated from ideal formula Ca<sub>12</sub>Al<sub>14</sub>O<sub>32</sub>{(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub>}<sub>6</sub> (Galuskin *et al.*, 2012), where H<sub>2</sub>O = 6-(F,Cl) in apfu, OH (apfu) is calculated from charge balance. H<sub>2</sub>O in fluorapatite is calculated from formula as (OH) = 2-F (in apfu). 10 Ca – formula based on total cations in the Ca site. Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and FeO in spinel are calculated from charge balance.

*et al.*, 1976) intermediate between the perovskite (P) and brownmillerite (B) structure. In analogy to the high- $T_c$ superconductors in the system Y–Ba–Cu–O, shulamitite has a three-fold superstructure of cubic perovskite:  $\mathbf{a}_c \times \sqrt{2}$ , 3  $\mathbf{a}_c$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_c \times \sqrt{2}$ . The structure of synthetic Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (Rodrígues-Carvajal *et al.*, 1989) has been refined from Xray powder data in the acentric space group  $Pcm2_1$  (no. 26). *Pmma* (standard setting) is the centrosymmetric counterpart of  $P2_1ma$  (no. 26), which is related to the standard setting  $Pcm2_1$  by interchange of **a** and **c**. The major difference between the ordered (acentric) and disordered (centrosymmetric) model is an ordered arrangement of chains formed by Fe<sup>3+</sup>O<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra in the acentric structure (Fig. 4). In the disordered structure of shulamitite there



Fig. 2. Individual crystals (A-B) and star-like aggregates (C-F) of shulamitite, Hatrurim Basin (holotype sample). Symbols see Fig. 1.

nm	$R_{\rm max}/R_{\rm min}$	nm	$R_{\rm max}/R_{\rm min}$
400	14.6/13.4	560	13.1/12.2
420	13.6/12.5	580	12.5/11.7
440	13.3/12.2	589	12.3/11.6
460	12.9/11.9	600	12.2/11.6
470	12.6/11.7	620	12.2/11.5
480	12.5/11.6	640	12.3/11.5
500	12.6/11.6	650	12.3/11.4
520	12.3/11.4	660	12.3/11.4
540	12.2/11.4	680	11.9/11.3
546	12.4/11.6	700	11.7/11.2

Table 2. Reflectance values for shulamitite.

Bold - interpolated values.

are two randomly distributed orientations of  $(Al,Fe^{3+})$  chains (Fig. 5). It should be mentioned that besides fully ordered ( $P2_1ma$ ) or disordered (Pmma) structure a more complex ordering within the tetragonal layers is possible, for example with low or no correlation between adjacent sheets, as found in many brownmillerite compounds (Krüger *et al.*, 2011). However, our structural data do not allow distinguishing the origin of these two orientations as result of 50:50 twinning of the acentric model or as statistical disorder. As a consequence of the assumed disorder the tetrahedrally coordinated site (Fe2,Al2) and O2 are only half occupied (Table 5). In addition, the interspace



Fig. 3. In vugs shulamitite is replaced by a hydrated phase CaTiFe<sup>3+</sup>AlO<sub>8</sub>·2-3H<sub>2</sub>O (holotype sample, Hatrurim Basin). H-Shu – replaced shulamitite, Afw – afwillite, Kat – katoite, Prt – portlandite, other symbols see Figs. 1–2.

(viewed along **b**) between the corner-sharing ( $Fe^{3+}$ ,Ti) octahedra in the ordered structure has a trapezoid cross-section whereas the corresponding cross-section in the disordered structure is almost quadratic (Fig. 4).

In general, the structural data for both shulamitite and synthetic Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (Rodrígues-Carvajal *et al.*, 1989)

Sample	1 M4-218	s.d.	Range	2	3	4	5	6	7 M4-251	8 M5-32	9 H-201	10 M5-31
n	20			17	18	16	1	1	7	5	2	10
(wt%)												
SiO <sub>2</sub>	0.80	0.08	0.65-0.97	0.92	0.80	0.83	0.72	0.82	0.55	0.65	0.60	1.07
TiO <sub>2</sub>	20.39	0.23	19.93-20.76	20.19	20.29	20.13	20.03	19.86	20.41	19.91	18.59	17.85
$ZrO_2$	0.49	0.06	0.33-0.59	0.46	0.45	0.40	0.46	0.46	0.49	0.55	0.35	0.41
Nb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>				0.04		0.04	n.d.	0.02	0.02	0.05		0.07
$Cr_2O_3$	0.30	0.09	0.17-0.42	0.22	0.20	0.25	0.29	0.15	0.30	3.37	0.45	0.35
$Al_2O_3$	8.82	0.24	7.93-9.13	8.28	8.85	8.71	8.43	8.63	9.97	9.69	4.85	5.62
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	25.04	0.75	23.94-27.40	25.58	25.60	25.70	26.54	25.98	23.66	21.55	32.27	31.65
FeO	0.11	0.08	0.00-0.28	0.36	0.37	0.04		0.02	0.17			
MnO					n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.05	0.05
MgO	0.22	0.06	0.08-0.33	0.25	0.26	0.19	0.15	0.15	0.12	0.27	0.12	0.20
CaO	43.75	0.22	43.39-44.14	42.85	42.95	43.60	43.62	43.35	43.42	43.21	42.48	42.61
SrO				0.21	0.20	0.15	0.13	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.17
$Y_{2}O_{3}$				0.04						n.d.		n.d.
$La_2O_3$				0.13					0.08	0.19		0.14
$Ce_2O_3$				0.15					0.11	0.19		0.18
Na <sub>2</sub> O				0.03	0.03	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.		0.05		0.04
Total	99.92	0.39	99.20-100.78	99.71	100.00	100.04	100.37	99.64	99.52	99.89	100.03*	100.41
Formulae calculate	d on 6 cat	ions a	nd 8 oxygens									
Si	0.052			0.059	0.051	0.053	0.046	0.053	0.035	0.042	0.040	0.070
Al	0.669			0.634	0.672	0.661	0.639	0.658	0.758	0.734	0.377	0.433
Fe <sup>3+</sup>	0.279			0.307	0.276	0.286	0.314	0.289	0.207	0.224	0.583	0.497
Tetrahedra	1.000			1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Ti	0.988			0.986	0.985	0.975	0.970	0.967	0.991	0.964	0.921	0.879
Zr	0.015			0.014	0.014	0.012	0.014	0.015	0.015	0.017	0.011	0.013
Nb				0.001		0.001		0.001	0.001	0.001		0.002
Cr	0.015			0.011	0.010	0.013	0.015	0.007	0.015	0.171	0.024	0.018
Fe <sup>3+</sup>	0.934			0.942	0.966	0.960	0.971	0.977	0.941	0.819	1.016	1.060
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	0.006			0.020	0.020	0.002		0.001	0.009			
Mn											0.003	0.003
Mg	0.021			0.024	0.025	0.018	0.014	0.014	0.012	0.026	0.012	0.019
Octahedra	1.979			1.998	2.020	1.981	1.984	1.982	1.984	1.998	1.991*	1.994
Ca	3.021			2.982	2.969	3.012	3.011	3.010	3.003	2.978	3.001	2.988
Sr				0.008	0.008	0.006	0.005	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.006
Y + REE				0.008					0.005	0.010		0.008
Na				0.004	0.003					0.006		0.004
Polyhedra	3.021			3.002	2.980	3.018	3.016	3.017	3.016	3.002	3.009	3.006
End-members												
Ca <sub>3</sub> TiFeAlO <sub>8</sub>	66.92			63.33	67.24	66.08	63.95	65.84	75.80	73.43	37.67	43.28
Ca <sub>3</sub> TiFeFeO <sub>8</sub>	27.91			30.72	27.62	28.58	31.42	28.86	20.68	22.39	58.36	49.69
Ca <sub>3</sub> Ti(Fe,Mg)SiO <sub>8</sub>	5.17			5.95	5.14	5.34	4.63	5.30	3.52	4.18	3.97	7.03

Table 3. Chemical composition of shulamitite and its Fe-analog from the Hatrurim Basin, Israel.

n – number of analyses; V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, NiO and K<sub>2</sub>O are below detection limits ( $\ll 0.01$  wt%). Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and FeO are calculated from charge balance. All alumina is considered to be in the tetrahedral site. 1–6 – holotype shulamitite: 1 – composition for the crystals used for structural research; 2–6 – compositions analyzed by different elemental suite; 5–6 – core and rim of individual crystal; 7–8 – shulamitite from other samples; 9–10 – Fe-analog of shulamitite. M4-218, M4-251, M5-32 – larnite-mayenite rocks; H-201, M5-31 – larnite-ye'elimite rocks (see Sharygin *et al.*, 2008). \* – totals include 0.07 wt% ZnO and 0.004 apfu Zn.

show that there is no preferred octahedral site for Ti, and the main cations  $Fe^{3+}$  and Ti are randomly distributed in all octahedra. In this sense both phases are examples of "valency-imposed double site occupancy" (Hatert & Burke, 2008). Site occupancy refinements for octahedral and tetrahedral sites in shulamitite indicate that the majority of Al has tetrahedral coordination and Al is the dominant tetrahedral cation. In addition, ca. 10% of the octahedra are occupied by light elements such as Al and minor Mg. Structural data for shulamitite yield the composition  $\begin{array}{l} Ca_{3}TiFe^{3+}{}_{0.80}(Al,Mg)_{0.20}(Al_{0.62}Fe^{3+}{}_{0.38})O_8 \mbox{ (Table 5) very close to the result obtained by microprobe data $Ca_{3.021}Ti_{0.987} \mbox{ Zr}_{0.015}Fe^{3+}{}_{0.934}Mg_{0.021}Cr_{0.015}Fe^{2+}{}_{0.006}(Al_{0.669}Fe_{0.279}Si_{0.052})O_8 \mbox{ (analysis 1, Table 3). The X-ray diffraction powder-pattern is listed in Table 8. } \end{array}$ 

According to the CaTiO<sub>3</sub>–Ca<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> phase diagram (Becerro *et al.*, 1999, 2000, 2002), the phase Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (Grenier *et al.*, 1976; Rodrígues-Carvajal *et al.*, 1989; Cherepanova & Tsybulya, 2008) should be stoichiometric without vacancies. Any deviations from Ti = 1 (apfu)

|--|

Temperature	296 (2) K
Theta range for data collection	to 31.47°
Index ranges	$-6 \le h 7, -16 \le k \le 15, -7 \le l \le 7$
Reflections collected	3785
Independent reflections	$605 [R_{(int)} = 0.033]$
Crystal size	$0.02 \times 0.04 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$
Crystal system	Orthorhombic
Space group	Pmma
Unit cell dimensions	a = 5.4200 (6) Å
	b = 11.064 (1) Å
	c = 5.5383 (7) Å
Volume	$332.12(1) Å^{3}$
Ζ	2
Number of refined variables	52
Density (calculated)	$3.84 \text{ g/cm}^3$
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	1.294
Final <i>R</i> indices	533 data: $I > 2\sigma(I)$ , $R_1 = 0.0290$
	605 all data $R1 = 0.0343$ , $wR2 = 0.0644$

Table 5. Atom coordinates,  $U_{eq.}$  (Å<sup>2</sup>) and site occupancies for the average structure of shulamitite.

Site	Atom	x	у	Z	$U_{ m eq}$	Occupancy
Ti1	Ti	0.2500	0.17018 (5)	0.23942 (11)	0.0062 (2)	0.50
Fe1	Fe					0.400 (6)
Al1	Al					0.100 (6)
Fe2	Fe	0.1998 (3)	0.5000	0.1794 (3)	0.0064 (6)	0.188 (4)
Al2	Al					0.312 (4)
Ca1	Ca	-0.2500	0.31440 (7)	0.27827 (14)	0.0126 (2)	1
Ca2	Ca	0.2500	0.0000	-0.2663(2)	0.0139 (3)	1
01	0	0.2500	0.3599 (3)	0.3214 (5)	0.0183 (7)	1
O2	0	0.1362 (11)	0.5000	-0.1110(10)	0.0148 (12)	0.50
03	0	0.0000	0.1521 (2)	0.5000	0.0118 (6)	1
O4	0	0.2500	0.0000	0.1722 (7)	0.0130 (8)	1
O5	Ο	0.0000	0.2023 (3)	0.0000	0.0143 (6)	1

Table 6. Anisotropic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>) for shulamitite.

Site	$U_{11}$	$U_{22}$	$U_{33}$	$U_{23}$	$U_{13}$	$U_{12}$
Ti, Fe, All	0.0043 (3)	0.0086 (3)	0.0056 (3)	0.0006 (2)	0.000	0.000
Al, Fe2	0.0073 (14)	0.0041 (6)	0.0078 (6)	0.000	-0.0012(5)	0.000
Ca1	0.0202 (4)	0.0088 (4)	0.0088 (4)	-0.0002(3)	0.000	0.000
Ca2	0.0164 (6)	0.0119 (5)	0.0133 (5)	0.000	0.000	0.000
01	0.0326 (19)	0.0116 (13)	0.0108 (13)	0.0017 (10)	0.000	0.000
O2	0.019 (3)	0.012 (3)	0.013 (2)	0.000	-0.004(2)	0.000
03	0.0112 (14)	0.0107 (12)	0.0134 (12)	0.000	0.0035 (11)	0.000
04	0.019 (2)	0.0100 (18)	0.0097 (17)	0.000	0.000	0.000
05	0.0138 (15)	0.0155 (13)	0.0137 (12)	0.000	-0.0024 (12)	0.000

should lead to appearance of intergrowths/domains of two phases. The holotype shulamitite as an Al-substituted analog of  $Ca_3TiFe_2O_8$  is very close to stoichiometric composition in respect to the Ti content. In addition, HRTEM study shows that holotype shulamitite is homogeneous and does not contain any intergrowths with other phases (Fig. 6).

The following main bands are observed in the Raman spectrum of shulamitite (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1501 (overtone), 802, 742, 561, 498, 388, 290, 238, 145, 110 (Fig. 7). There are no bands in the OH region. The modes lower than 400 cm<sup>-1</sup> seem to correspond to the vibrations of the CaO<sub>8</sub> polyhedra and (Fe<sup>3+</sup>,Ti)O<sub>6</sub> octahedra. The modes in the 450–600 and

Site			Site		
Ti, Fel	$\begin{array}{c} O4\\ 2\times O5\\ 2\times O3\\ O1\end{array}$	1.9194 (10) 1.9288 (7) 1.9896 (5) 2.148 (2)	Cal	$\begin{array}{c} 01 \\ 02 \\ 2 \times 05 \\ 2 \times 03 \\ 2 \times 01 \end{array}$	2.273 (3) 2.336 (3) 2.3979 (16) 2.5626 (19) 2.7668 (7)
Al, Fe2	2 × 01 O2 O2	1.759 (3) 1.838 (6) 1.860 (6)	Ca2	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{O4} \\ 4\times\mathrm{O3} \\ 2\times\mathrm{O4} \\ 4\times\mathrm{O5} \end{array}$	2.429 (4) 2.5189 (18) 2.7596 (8) 3.003 (2)

Table 7. Selected interatomic distances (Å) for shulamitite.



Fig. 4. Crystal structure of shulamitite in space group Pmma (A–B) in comparison with synthetic Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (C), space group  $Pcm2_1$  (Rodrígues-Carvajal *et al.*, 1989). Unit-cell outlines are presented by solid lines. In A–B the two different arrangements of Al-dominant tetrahedra in shulamitite are shown.



Fig. 5. (001) view of the crystal structures of shulamitite showing the overlay of two orientations of chains of Al-dominant tetrahedra extending along the a axis.

700–900 cm<sup>-1</sup> range are related to the bending and stretching vibrations of the (Al,Fe<sup>3+</sup>)O<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra.

## 8. Origin of shulamitite at the Hatrurim Basin

The larnite rocks in the Hatrurim Basin are strongly variable in mineral composition (Gross, 1977, 1984; Sharygin et al., 2008). In general, these larnite rocks are the products of the highest-temperature (900–1200 °C) solid-state reactions during combustion metamorphism (Kolodny, 1979; Matthews & Gross, 1980; Sharygin et al., 2008) corresponding to the HT-region of the spurrite-merwinite facies. However, the absence of reliable indicator minerals or assemblages does not give a possibility to provide a finer subdivision of this temperature interval. According to the CaTiO<sub>3</sub>-Ca<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> phase diagram (Becerro et al., 1999, 2000, 2002), the minimum formation temperature for some Hatrurim Basin rocks was estimated from the Fe-perovskite-shulamitite paragenesis as 1170-1200 °C (Sharygin et al., 2008). Nevertheless, the upper temperature limit for the Hatrurim rocks containing shulamitite and its Feanalog is not yet known. This may roughly be estimated as 1200-1210 °C based on experimental data (Scarlett et al., 2004). In Ca-rich systems with SiO<sub>2</sub> various Ca-bearing ferrites and aluminoferrites (brownmillerite, CaFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, etc.) are stable up to 1200-1210°C, and with increasing temperature they will react with SiO<sub>2</sub> or Ca-silicates to form Ca-silicoferrites or Ca-aluminosilicoferrites of the aenigmatite-rhönite-dorrite group (Scarlett et al., 2004).

Measured	1	Calculated	ł				Measured C		Calculate	Calculated			
d (Å)	Ι	<i>d</i> (Å)	Ι	h	k	l	<i>d</i> (Å)	Ι	<i>d</i> (Å)	Ι	h	k	l
11.12	19	11.07	14	0	1	0	1.842	16	1.845	28	0	6	0
5.55	11	5.54	9	0	2	0			1.837	6	2	2	2
4.99	5	4.99	2	0	1	1			1.765	4	0	2	3
		3.93	3	0	2	1	1.758	2	1.761	1	1	0	3
3.89	13	3.89	3	1	0	1			1.735	1	0	5	2
3.697	5	3.690	1	0	3	0			1.722	1	2	3	2
3.190	2	3.185	1	1	2	1	1.717	2	1.716	1	2	5	0
3.071	7	3.079	7	0	3	1	1.658	5	1.662	7	0	3	3
2.853	3	2.793	30	0	0	2			1.640	1	2	5	1
		2.768	1	0	4	0	1.585	17	1.589	28	1	3	3
2.755	40	2.750	43	2	0	0	1.559	16	1.561	22	3	3	1
2.677	100	2.678	100	1	3	1			1.539	10	0	6	2
		2.637	1	2	1	0			1.535	1	2	0	3
		2.493	1	0	2	2	1.527	13	1.526	13	2	6	0
		2.483	3	1	0	2			1.522	2	0	7	1
2.475	10	2.480	12	0	4	1			1.519	1	3	0	2
		2.438	1	2	2	0			1.486	2	1	4	3
2.420	2	2.423	3	1	1	2	1.476	4	1.481	2	1	6	2
		2.385	1	2	1	1			1.480	1	2	2	3
2.253	8	2.256	11	1	4	1	1.464	4	1.465	4	1	7	1
		2.234	5	2	2	1			1.462	2	3	4	1
2.224	8	2.227	29	0	3	2			1.462	1	2	5	2
2.192	7	2.187	33	2	3	0	1.412	3	1.418	5	2	3	3
		2.060	1	1	3	2			1.396	5	0	0	4
		2.058	1	0	5	1	1.385	4	1.385	2	0	1	4
2.034	3	2.037	4	2	3	1			1.378	1	1	5	3
		1.966	1	0	4	2	1.375	4	1.376	1	0	7	2
1.940	40	1.947	73	2	0	2			1.367	1	2	7	0
		1.938	1	2	4	0			1.359	2	3	5	1
		1.925	10	1	5	1	1.357	6	1.358	10	4	0	0
		1.917	4	2	1	2							
		1.848	3	1	4	2							

Table 8. X-ray diffraction powder data of shulamitite (nine strongest lines are bolded).

Calculated intensities and *d*-spacings were obtained using CCDC Mercury 2.4 software based on fractional atomic coordinates of shulamitite and cell parameters refined from its powder data: a = 5.431 (3), b = 11.07 (1), c = 5.585 (7) Å.

However, these specific phases are not found in larnite rocks of the Hatrurim Basin.

Dorrite and "malakhovite" (Si-poor members of the aenigmatite-rhönite-dorrite group, Grew *et al.*, 2008) have been observed in the contact reaction zone between metacarbonate rock and basic paralava from the burned dumps of coal mines in the Chelyabinsk coal basin (Chesnokov *et al.*, 1993; Chesnokov, 1997). A dorrite-like phase was also identified in a melilite-larnite rock from the Nabi Musa combustion complex, Hatrurim Formation (Sokol *et al.*, 2011). Barioferrite BaFe<sub>12</sub>O<sub>19</sub>, CaFe<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub> ("grandiferrite") and Si-rich srebrodolskite-brownmillerite and Fe-perovskite were found in natural Si-undersaturated buchites (paralavas) from Želénky, Czech Republic (Žáček *et al.*, 2005).

# 9. Other occurrences of shulamitite and its Fe-analog

Since shulamitite and its Fe-analog have been found at the Hatrurim Basin, Israel (Sharygin *et al.*, 2008) and the

Upper Chegem volcanic structure, Kabardino-Balkaria, Russia (Galuskin *et al.*, 2008, 2011), these phases were also described in other metacarbonate rocks around the world. These occurrences are natural (metacarbonate xenoliths in alkali basalts) or technogenic (metacarbonate fragments in burned dumps of coal mines) in origin (Niedermayr *et al.*, 2011; Sharygin, 2011, 2012; Sharygin & Wirth, 2012).

Such metacarbonate rocks are commonly zoned in mineral composition and shulamitite and its Fe-analog are mainly confined to zones near the contact with alkali basalt or pelitic clinker/basic paralava (Sharygin *et al.*, 1999; Niedermayr *et al.*, 2011; Sharygin, 2011, 2012; Sharygin & Wirth, 2012). These phases are associated with perovskite, brownmillerite and/or srebrodolskite. However, there are no any direct contacts between brown-millerite-srebrodolskite and perovskite (Sharygin *et al.*, 2008; Sharygin, 2011, 2012; Sharygin & Wirth, 2012), and there is no contradiction with phase relations in the pseudobinary series CaTiO<sub>3</sub>–Ca<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (Prasanna & Navrotsky, 1994; Becerro *et al.*, 1999, 2000, 2002; Cherepanova & Tsybulya, 2008). Figure 8 shows the



Fig. 6. HRTEM image for holotype shulamitite, Hatrurim Basin.



Fig. 7. Raman spectrum of shulamitite (holotype sample).

complex relationships of these phases with perovskite and brownmillerite in a metacarbonate xenolith from alkali basalt, Bellerberg volcano, E. Eifel, Germany. Representative compositions of shulamitite and its Feanalog from these localities are given in Table 9 and all compositional data are shown in Fig. 9.

In general, broad variations in the content of  $Fe^{3+}$  and Al strongly suggest the existence of the Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFeAlO<sub>8</sub> –Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFeFeO<sub>8</sub> solid solution. The holotype mineral is close to Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFe(Al<sub>0.7</sub>Fe<sub>0.3</sub>)O<sub>8</sub>, whereas other compositions from the Hatrurim Basin and other localities show broader variations. The majority of compositions contains SiO<sub>2</sub> (up to 1–2 wt%), ZrO<sub>2</sub> (up 1 wt%), MgO (up to 0.3 wt%), SrO (up to 2 wt%), sometimes Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (up to 4.5 wt%), MnO and LREE<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (up to 0.5 wt%) (Sharygin *et al.*, 2008; Sharygin, 2011, 2012; Sharygin & Wirth,



Fig. 8. Shulamitite and its Fe-analog replacing perovskite in a metacarbonate xenolith from alkali basalt (sample E-2011), Bellerberg, E.Eifel, Germany. Prv – perovskite, Fe-Shu – Fe-analog of shulamitite; Per – periclase. Other symbols see in Fig. 1. Points 1–3 see in Table 9.

2012). The "50% rule" was used to subdivide shulamitite and Fe-analog in Fig. 9. However, by analogy with the brownmillerite solid solution (Fukuda & Ando, 2002; Redhammer et al., 2004; Krüger et al., 2009, 2011) the possible existence of two polymorphs and phase transition in the field of Fe-rich compositions should be considered. Unfortunately, there are no experimental data for the system Ca<sub>3</sub>TiAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>-Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, and the existence of two polymorphs of Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFe(Fe,Al)O<sub>8</sub> is only assumed due to the similarity in the crystal structures of brownmillerite and shulamitite (presence of octahedral and tetrahedral layers). Compositions richest in Al (Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFeAlO<sub>8</sub> > 90mole %, Table 9) are found in metacarbonate rock of the Chelyabinsk coal basin, S. Urals, Russia, whereas Fe-richest species (Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFeFeO<sub>8</sub> > 80 mole %) occur in metacarbonate xenoliths of E. Eifel, Germany, and Chegem, N. Caucasus, Russia (Fig. 9). Compositions with Al > 1 apfu have not been reported.

Some compositions of shulamitite and its Fe-analog vary in the Ti content (>1.1 and < 0.9 apfu, Fig. 9). According to the phase diagram CaTiO<sub>3</sub>–Ca<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (Becerro *et al.*, 1999, 2000, 2002), any deviations from Ti = 1 (apfu) should lead to intergrowths/domains of either Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> + Ca<sub>4</sub>Ti<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>11</sub> or Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> + brownmillerite. This may be possible in natural and technogenic phases like in cement clinkers (Gloter *et al.*, 2000), but all studied shulamitite and its Fe-analog did not show the presence of two micrometersized phases. Thus, the Ti deviation may be related to a limited isomorphic substitution in the octahedral sites  $2Fe^{3+}$  $\leftrightarrow$  Ti<sup>4+</sup>+(Mg,Fe)<sup>2+</sup> or more complex isomorphism involving both octahedral and tetrahedral sites: <sup>IV</sup>(Fe,Al)<sup>3+</sup>+3 <sup>VI</sup>Fe<sup>3+</sup>  $\leftrightarrow$  <sup>IV</sup>Si<sup>4+</sup>+VI Ti<sup>4+</sup>+2<sup>VI</sup>(Mg,Fe)<sup>2+</sup>.

Sample	1 E-2011 1	2 E-2011 1	3 E-2011 1	4 M7-184 2	5 M7-184 19	6 E-2-1 1	7 E-2-1 1	8 K-2009 7	9 42-17g 12	10 42-17g 2	11 M-4 1	12 M-4 4
(wt%)												
SiO	0.20	0.32	0.48	0.48	0.71	0.57	0.71	0.61	0.50	0.62	0.67	0.37
$T_{1}O_{2}$	20.40	20.63	20.23	21.37	20.08	20.73	18.81	20.30	20.83	10.62	18.67	20.23
7rO	20.40	20.03	20.23	0.17	20.98	20.75 nd	0.12	20.30	20.85	0.45	0.31	20.23
$Nh_{1}O_{2}$	0.09	0.04	0.00	0.17	0.03	0.10	0.12	0.07	0.46	0.45	0.51	0.41
$Cr \Omega$	0.10	0.10	0.17	0.20 n d	n d	0.19	0.17 nd	n d	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00
$V_1O_2$	0.17	0.15	0.15	n.u.	0.13	0.12	n.u.	0.05	0.20 n d	0.05 n d	0.02	0.00
V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	8 18	6.41	3 13	6.05	3 21	6 12	3 65	5.41	11.u. 11.70	5.51	4 30	3 55
$F_{12}O_3$	26.40	28.20	32.12	26.35	30.74	27.30	34.34	30.31	20.32	30.35	33 52	31.05
$F_{2}O_{3}$	20.50	0.04	0.03	0.14	0.51	0.03	0.03	50.51	0.11	0.01	55.52	0.48
MnO	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.14	0.31	0.05	0.03	0.12	0.11 n d	0.01 n d	nd	0.40 n d
MaO	0.20	0.22	0.22	0.92	0.38	0.10 n d	0.22 n d	0.12	n.u. 0.22	n.u. 0.07	n.u.	n.d.
MgO ZnO	0.00	0.17 nd	0.17	0.71	0.30	n.u.	n.u.	0.21	0.55	0.07	II.u.	n.u.
	12.04	11.u. 42.55	41.80	12.25	11 61	11.U.	11.U.	12.06	12 60	10 72	41.21	41.10
CaO SO	42.82	42.33	41.69	42.55	41.04	45.04	41.08	42.80	45.08	42.75	41.21	41.10
SIU	0.39	0.52	0.59	0.27	0.55	0.49	0.41	0.37	0.12	0.10	1.41	1.29
$La_2O_3$								II.U.	0.20	0.15		
$Ce_2O_3$	0.02	,	0.02			0.00	1	n.a.	0.18	0.17		
$Na_2O$	0.03	n.a.	0.02	00.00	00.26	0.02	n.d.	100.40	00.00	00.05	100.22	00.00
Total	99.50	99.50	99.57	99.99	99.36	99.18	100.14	100.40	98.80	99.95	100.33	99.60
Formulae calculated	d on 6 cat	ions and a	8 oxygens									
Si	0.019	0.021	0.032	0.031	0.047	0.037	0.047	0.004	0.032	0.041	0.044	0.025
Al	0.650	0.497	0.270	0.533	0.253	0.498	0.286	0.417	0.893	0.427	0.337	0.281
Fe <sup>3+</sup>	0.331	0.482	0.698	0.436	0.700	0.465	0.667	0.543	0.075	0.532	0.619	0.694
Tetrahedra	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Ti	0.998	1.019	1.015	1.043	1.055	1.025	0.940	0.997	1.005	0.973	0.932	1.021
Zr	0.003	0.001	0.002	0.005	0.001		0.004	0.002	0.015	0.014	0.010	0.013
Nb	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.008	0.005	0.006	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.002
V					0.007			0.003			0.010	0.005
Cr	0.008	0.008	0.007			0.006			0.002	0.002	0.001	0.003
Fe <sup>3+</sup>	0.960	0.917	0.915	0.854	0.846	0.892	1.051	0.948	0.906	0.971	1.056	0.919
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.008	0.029	0.002	0.001		0.006	0.001		0.027
Mn	0.011	0.012	0.012	0.051	0.021	0.010	0.013	0.007				
Mg	0.006	0.017	0.017	0.069	0.030			0.021	0.032	0.007		
Zn	0.002		0.002									
Octahedra	1.994	1.981	1.977	2.038	1.994	1.941	2.014	1.981	1.978	1.970	2.010	1.990
Ca	2.988	2.999	2.998	2.952	2.985	3.038	2.970	3.005	3.008	3.017	2.936	2.959
Sr	0.015	0.020	0.023	0.010	0.021	0.019	0.016	0.014	0.005	0.006	0.054	0.050
La + Ce									0.009	0.007		
Na	0.003		0.002			0.002						
Polyhedra	3.006	3.019	3.023	2.962	3.006	3.059	2.986	3.019	3.022	3.030	2.990	3.009
End-members												
Ca <sub>3</sub> TiFeAlO <sub>8</sub>	65.03	49.66	26.98	53.24	25.27	49.78	28.59	41.72	89.29	42.72	33.66	28.08
Ca <sub>3</sub> TiFeFeO <sub>8</sub>	33.06	48.22	69.84	43.63	69.99	46.48	66.67	54.29	7.53	53.23	61.90	69.43
Ca <sub>3</sub> Ti(Fe,Mg)SiO <sub>8</sub>	1.91	2.12	3.18	3.13	4.74	3.74	4.74	3.99	3.18	4.05	4.44	2.49
5 ( 1) 6) 50 8			- · -	- · -								

Table 9. Chemical composition of shulamitite and its Fe-analog from E.Eifel and other localities.

Notes: n - number of analyses; Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, NiO and K<sub>2</sub>O were not determined. Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and FeO are calculated from charge balance. All alumina is considered to be in the tetrahedral site. 1-7 - metacarbonate xenoliths in alkali basalt, Bellerberg, E.Eifel, Germany: 1-3 - shulamitite and Feanalog in association with perovskite (author's data, see points 1-3 in Fig. 8); 4-5 - shulamitite and Fe-analog of shulamitite in association with perovskite and brownmillerite; 8 - Fe-analog of shulamitite in association with brownmillerite; 8 - Fe-analog of shulamitite in association with brownmillerite; 8 - Fe-analog of shulamitite in association with brownmillerite from a metacarbonate xenolith in olivine nephelinite, KlöcOh, Styria, Austria (author's data); 9-10 - shulamitite and is Fe-analog in association with perovskite from a metacarbonate xenolith in olivine rock in the contact with parabasalt, burned dump of mine 42, Kopeisk, Chelyabinsk coal basin, Russia (Sharygin, 2012); 11-12 - Fe-analog of shulamitite in association with brownmillerite (11) and perovskite (12) from a metacarbonate rock, burned dump of the Kalinin mine, Donetsk, Donets coal basin, Ukraine (Sharygin, 2011).

# 10. Concluding remarks

The broad compositional variations for shulamitite and its Fe-analog strongly suggest the existence of the isomorphic series  $Ca_3TiFeAlO_8-Ca_3TiFeFeO_8$ . The appearance of

minerals of this series in metacarbonate rocks around the world possibly will be an indicator of high temperature ( $\leq 1200$  °C) of their formation. The association shulamitite (or Fe-analog) + Fe-perovskite may be used for temperature estimation of high-*T* metacarbonate rocks. The



Fig. 9. Compositional variations of shulamitite and its Fe-analog from the Hatrurim Basin, Israel and other localities. Solid squares – holotype shulamitite (sample M4-218); open squares – shulamitite and its Fe-analog from other Hatrurim larnite rocks; open circles – shulamitite and its Fe-analog from metacarbonate xenoliths in alkali basalts, Bellerberg, E.Eifel, Germany; solid circles – shulamitite and its Fe-analog from a metacarbonate xenolith in alkali basalt, Klöch, Styria, Austria; solid triangles – Fe-analog of shulamitite from metacarbonate xenoliths in ignimbrites, Upper-Chegem volcanic structure, Kabardino-Balkaria, Russia; open triangles – shulamitite and its Fe-analog from a metacarbonate rock, burned dump of mine 42, Kopeisk, Chelybinsk coal basin, Russia; open rhombus – Fe-analog of shulamitite from a metacarbonate rock, burned dump of the Kalinin mine, Donetsk, Donets coal basin, Ukraine. New author's data and data from Kolitsch & Brandstätter in Niedermayr *et al.* (2011), Sharygin (2011, 2012), Sharygin & Wirth (2012), and Sharygin *et al.* (2008) were used for plots.

presence of these phases is quite possible in the contact zones between trappean basalts and carbonate strata within platforms.

Acknowledgements: The first author thanks L.N. Pospelova, E.N. Nigmatulina and N.S. Karmanov for help in microprobe analysis and scanning microscopy at the IGM, Novosibirsk. M.P.Mazurov (IGM) and A.N. Fomin (IPGG, Novosibirsk) helped with measurement of microhardness and refraction data for shulamitite. We are grateful to B. Ternes, W. Schüller (Germany), W. Trattner, W. Postl (Austria) and I.V. Pekov (Russia) for donating the samples of metacarbonate xenoliths from alkali basalts. The manuscript was improved through comments and suggestions from the handling editor S.V. Krivovichev and two anonymous reviewers. This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant 12-05-00057) and the Russian Ministry of Science and Education (grant 2012-1.5-12-000-1006-001).

## References

- Becerro, A.I., McCammon, C., Langenhorst, F., Seifert, F., Angel, R.J. (1999): Oxygen-vacancy ordering in CaTiO<sub>3</sub>–CaFeO<sub>2.5</sub> perovskites: from isolated defects to infinite sheets. *Phase Trans.*, **69**, 133–146.
- Becerro, A.I., Carpenter, M.A., Boffa Ballaran, T., Seifert, F. (2000): Hard mode spectroscopy of CaTiO<sub>3</sub>–CaFeO<sub>2.5</sub> perovskites. *Phase Trans.*, **71**, 161–172.
- Becerro, A.I., Redfern, S.A.T., Carpenter, M.A., Knight, K.S., Seifert, F. (2002): Displacive phase transitions in and strain analysis of Fe-doped CaTiO<sub>3</sub> perovskites at high temperatures by neutron diffraction. J. Solid State Chem., 167, 459–471.
- Bentor, Y.K. & Vroman, A. (1960): The geological map of Israel 1:100000, Sheet 16 – Mount Sedom (with explanatory text). *Geol. Surv. Isr.*, Jerusalem.
- Bentor, Y.K., Gross, S., Heller, L. (1963): High temperature minerals in non-metamorphosed sediments in Israel. *Nature*, 199, 478–479.
- Bruker. (2011): SMART and SAINT-Plus (version 6.01). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI.
- Burg, A., Starinsky, A., Bartov, Y., Kolodny, Ye. (1991): Geology of the Hatrurim Formation ("Mottled Zone") in the Hatrurim basin. *Isr. J. Earth Sci.*, **40**, 107–124.
- Burg, A., Kolodny, Ye., Lyakhovsky, V. (1999): Hatrurim-2000: the "Mottled Zone" revisited, forty years later. *Isr. J. Earth Sci.*, 48, 209–223.
- Cherepanova, S.V. & Tsybulya, S.V. (2008): Peculiarities of the X-ray diffraction of oxygen-deficient perovskite-related materials with partial vacancy ordering. Z. Kristallogr., 27, Suppl., 5–12.
- Chesnokov, B.V. (1997): Silicooxides in products of burning in dumps of coal pits. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk.*, **356**, 3, 374–375.
- Chesnokov, B.V., Vilisov, V.A., Bazhenova, L.F., Bushmakin, A.F., Kotlyarov, V.A. (1993): New minerals from burned spoil-heaps of the Chelyabinsk coal basin (fifth report). *Ural. Mineral. Sbornik.*, 2, 3–36 (in Russian).

- Fukuda, K. & Ando, H. (2002): Determination of the *Pcmn/Ibm2* phase boundary at high temperature in the system Ca<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-Ca<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, **85**, 1300–1303.
- Galuskin, E.V., Gazeev, V.M., Armbruster, T., Zadov, A.E., Galuskina, I.O., Pertsev, N.N., Dzierżanowski, P., Kadiyski, M., Gurbanov, A.G., Wrzalik, R., Winiarski, A. (2008): Lakargiite CaZrO<sub>3</sub>: a new mineral of the perovskite group from the North Caucasus, Kabardino-Balkaria, Russia. Am. Mineral., 93, 1903–1910.
- Galuskin, E.V., Galuskina, I.O., Gazeev, V.M., Dzierżanowski, P., Prusik, K., Pertsev, N.N., Zadov, A.E., Bailau, R., Gurbanov, A.G. (2011): Megawite, CaSnO<sub>3</sub>: a new perovskite-group mineral from skarns of the Upper Chegem caldera, Kabardino-Balkaria, Northern Caucasus, Russia. *Mineral. Mag.*, **75**, 2563–2572.
- Galuskin, E.V., Grew, E.S., Galuskina, I.O., Armbruster, T., Bailau, R. (2012): The mayenite supergroup: A reexamination of mayenite and related minerals. *in* "Abstracts of the European Mineralogical Conference". Goethe University, Frankfurt on Main, Vol. 1, EMC2012-54-2, CD-Edition.
- Gloter, A., Ingrin, J., Bouchet, D., Scrivener, K., Colliex, C. (2000): TEM evidence of perovskite-brownmillerite coexistence in the Ca(Al<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>)O<sub>2.5</sub> system with minor amounts of titanium and silicon. *Phys. Chem. Minerals.*, **27**, 504–513.
- Gonzáles-Calbet, J.M. & Valett-Regí, M. (1987): A new perovskitetype compound: Ca<sub>4</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>11</sub>. *J. Solid State Chem.*, **68**, 266–272.
- Grenier, J.-C., Darriet, J., Pouchard, M., Hagenmuller, P. (1976): Mise en evidence d'une nouvelle famille de phases de type perovskite lacunaire ordonnée de formule A<sub>3</sub>M<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (AMO<sub>2.67</sub>). *Mat. Res. Bull.*, **11**, 1219–1226.
- Grenier, J.-C., Schiffmacher, G., Caro, P., Pouchard, M., Hagenmuller, P. (1977): Etude par diffraction X et microscopie électronique du système CaTiO<sub>3</sub>–CaFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. *J. Solid State Chem.*, 20, 365–379.
- Grew, E.S., Hålenius, U., Pasero, M., Barbier, J. (2008): Recommended nomenclature for the sapphirine and surinamite groups (sapphirine supergroup). *Mineral. Mag.*, **72**, 839–876.
- Gross, S. (1977): The mineralogy of the Hatrurim Formation, Israel. *Geol. Surv. Isr. Bull.*, **70**, 80 p.
- (1980): Bentorite, a new mineral from the Hatrurim area, West of the Dead Sea, Israel. Isr. J. Earth Sci., 29, 81–84.
- (1984): Occurrence of ye'elimite and ellestadite in an unusual cobble from the "pseudo-conglomerate" of the Hatrurim Basin, Israel. *Geol. Surv. Isr., Current Research 1983–84*, 1–4.
- Gross, S. & Heller, L. (1963): A natural occurrence of bayerite. *Mineral. Mag.*, **33**, 723–724.
- Gur, D., Steinitz, G., Kolodny, Y., Starinsky, A., McWilliams, M. (1995): <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar dating of combustion metamorphism ("Mottled Zone", Israel). *Chem. Geol.*, **122**, 171–184.
- Hatert, F. & Burke, E.A.J. (2008): The IMA-CNMNC dominant-constituent rule revisited and extended. *Can. Mineral.*, 46, 717–728.
- Kolodny, Y. (1979): Natural cement factory: a geological story. *in* "Cement Production and Use", J. Skalny, ed. Franklin Pierce College, Rindge, New Hampshire, 203–216.
- Krüger, H., Kahlenberg, V., Petricek, V., Phillipp, F., Wertl, W. (2009): High-temperature structural phase transition in Ca<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> studied by in-situ X-ray diffraction and transmission electron microscopy. J. Solid State Chem., **182**, 1515–1523.
- Krüger, H., Stöber, S., Welberry, T.R., Withers, R.L., Gerald, J.D.F. (2011): Stacking faults and superstructures in a layered brownmillerite. *Acta Crystallogr.*, **B67**, 476–485.

- Marinho, M.B. & Glasser, F.P. (1984): Polymorphism and phase changes in the ferrite phase of cements induced by titanium substitution. *Cement Concrete Res.*, 14, 360–368.
- Matthews, A. & Gross, Sh. (1980): Petrologic evolution of the "Mottled Zone" (Hatrurim) metamorphic complex of Israel. *Isr. J. Earth Sci.*, **29**, 93–106.
- Murashko, M.N., Chukanov, N.V., Mukhanova, A.A., Vapnik, Ye., Britvin, S.N., Krivovichev, S.V., Polekhovsky, Y.S., Ivakin, I.D. (2011): Barioferrite BaFe<sup>3+</sup><sub>12</sub>O<sub>19</sub>: a new mineral species of the magnetoplumbite group from the Hatrurim Formation in Israel. *Geol. Ore Deposits*, **53**, 7, 558–563.
- Niedermayr, G., Auer, C., Bernhard, F., Brandstätter, F., Gröbner, J., Hammer, V.M.F., Knobloch, G., Koch, G., Kolitsch, U., Konzett, J., Leikauf, B., Löffler, E., Postl, W., Prasnik, H., Prayer, A., Pristacz, H., Sabor, M., Seemann, R., Stehlik, H., Thinschmidt, A., Walter, F. (2011): Neue Mineralfunde aus Österreich LX. *Carinthia II*, 201./121., 135–186.
- Prasanna, T.S.R. & Navrotsky, A. (1994): Energetics in the brownmillerite-perovskite pseudobinary Ca<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-CaTiO<sub>3</sub>. J. Mat. Res., 9, 12, 3121–3124.
- Redhammer, G.J., Tippelt, G., Roth, G., Amthauer, G. (2004): Structural variations in the brownmillerite series  $Ca_2(Fe_{2-x}Al_x)O_5$ : single-crystal X-ray diffraction at 25 °C and high-temperature powder diffraction (25°C  $\leq T \leq 1000$  °C). *Am. Mineral.*, **89**, 405–420.
- Rodrígues-Carvajal, J., Valett-Regí, M., González-Calbet, J.M. (1989): Perovskite threefold superlattices: a structure determination of the A<sub>3</sub>M<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> phase. *Mat. Res. Bull.*, 24, 423–430.
- Scarlett, N.V.Y., Pownceby, M.I., Madsen, I.C., Christensen, A.N. (2004): Reaction sequences in the formation of silico-ferrites of calcium and aluminum in iron ore sinter. *Metall. Mater. Trans. B.*, **35B**, 929–936.
- Sharygin, V.V. (2011): Lakargiite and minerals of the perovskitebrownmillerite series in metacarbonate rocks from Donetsk burned dumps. *Proc. Donetsk Nat. Techn. Univer. Min. Geol. Ser.*, **15**, 192, 114–125 (in Russian).
- (2012): Minerals of the Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFeAlO<sub>8</sub> Ca<sub>3</sub>TiFeFeO<sub>8</sub> series in natural and technogenic pyrometamorphic systems. *in* "The Mineralogy of Technogenesis-2012", S.S. Potapov, ed. Institute of Mineralogy, Uralian Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, Miass, 29–49 (in Russian).
- Sharygin, V.V. & Wirth, R. (2012): Shulamitite and its Fe-analog in metacarbonate xenoliths from alkali basalts, E. Eifel, Germany. *in* "Abstracts of 29<sup>th</sup> international conference ore potential of alkaline, kimberlite and carbonatite magmatism", School "Alkaline magmatism of the Earth", Sudak - Mosow, OUTI GEOKHI RAS, 97–99, http://alkaline. web.ru/2012/abstracts.htm
- Sharygin, V.V., Sokol, E.V., Nigmatulina, E.N., Lepezin, G.G., Kalugin, V.M., Frenkel', A.E. (1999): Mineralogy and petrography of technogenic parabasalts from the Chelyabinsk browncoal basin. *Geol. Geofiz.*, 40, 6, 896–915.
- Sharygin, V.V., Vapnik, Ye., Sokol, E.V., Kamenetsky, V.S., Shagam, R. (2006): Melt inclusions in minerals of schorlomite-rich veins of the Hatrurim Basin, Israel: composition and homogenization temperatures. *in* "ACROFI I program with abstracts", P. Ni and Z. Li, eds. Nanjing University PH, China. 189–192.

- Sharygin, V.V., Sokol, E.V., Vapnik, Y. (2008): Minerals of the pseudobinary perovskite-brownmillerite series from combustion metamorphic larnite rocks of the Hatrurim Formation (Israel). *Russ. Geol. Geophys.*, **49**, 10, 709–726.
- Sheldrick, G.M. (1996): SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- (2008): A short history of SHELX. Acta Crystallogr., A64, 112–122.
- Sokol, E.V., Maksimova, N.V., Nigmatulina, E.N., Sharygin, V.V., Kalugin, V.M. (2005): Combustion Metamorphism. Izd. SO RAN, Novosibirsk. 284 p. (in Russian).
- Sokol, E.V., Novikov, I.S., Vapnik, Ye., Sharygin, V.V. (2007): Gas fire from mud volcanoes as a trigger for the appearance of hightemperature pyrometamorphic rocks of the Hatrurim Formation (Dead Sea area). *Dokl. Earth Sci.*, **413A**, 3, 474–480.
- Sokol, E.V., Novikov, I.S., Zateeva, S.N., Sharygin, V.V., Vapnik, Ye. (2008): Pyrometamorphic rocks of the spurrite-merwinite facies as indicators of hydrocarbon discharge zones (the Hatrurim Formation, Israel). *Dokl. Earth Sci.*, **420**, 4, 608–614.
- Sokol, E., Novikov, I., Zateeva, S., Vapnik, Ye., Shagam, R., Kozmenko, O. (2010): Combustion metamorphic rocks as indicators of fossil mud volcanism: New implications for the origin of the Mottled Zone, Dead Sea rift area. *Basin Res.*, 22, 414–438.
- Sokol, E.V., Gaskova, O.L., Kokh, S.N., Kozmenko, O.A., Seryotkin, Y.V., Vapnik, Y., Murashko, M. (2011): Chromatite and its Cr<sup>3+</sup>- and Cr<sup>6+</sup>-bearing precursor minerals from the Nabi Musa Mottled Zone complex, Judean Desert. *Am. Mineral.*, 96, 659–674.
- Sokol, E.V., Kozmenko, O.A., Kokh, S.N., Vapnik, Y. (2012): Gas reservoirs in the Dead Sea area: evidence from chemistry of combustion metamorphic rocks in the Nabi Musa fossil mud volcano. *Russ. Geol. Geophys.*, 53, 8, 745–762.
- Vapnik, Y., Sokol, E., Murashko, M., Sharygin, V. (2006): The enigma of Hatrurim. *Mineral. Almanac.*, 10, 69–77.
- Vapnik, Y., Sharygin, V.V., Sokol, E.V., Shagam, R. (2007): Paralavas in combustion metamorphic complex at the Hatrurim Basin, Israel. *in* "Geology of Coal Fires: Case Studies from Around the World", G. Stracher, ed., *GSA Rev. Eng. Geol.*, XVIII, 133–153.
- Weber, D. & Bischoff, A. (1994): Grossite (CaAl<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub>) a rare phase in terrestrial rocks and meteorites. *Eur. J. Mineral.*, 6, 591–594.
- Wirth, R. (2009): Focused Ion Beam (FIB) combined with SEM and TEM: advanced analytical tools for studies of chemical composition, microstructure and crystal structure in geomaterials on a nanometer scale. *Chem. Geol.*, **261**, 217–229.
- Žáček, V., Skála, R., Chlupáčová, M., Dvorák, Z. (2005): Ca–Fe<sup>3+</sup>rich Si-undersaturated buchite from Želénky, North-Bohemian brown coal basin, Czech Republic. *Eur. J. Mineral.*, **17**, 623–633.
- Zateeva, S.N., Sokol, E.V., Sharygin, V.V. (2007): Specificity of pyrometamorphic minerals of the ellestadite group. *Geol. Ore Deposits*, **49**, 8, 792–805.

Received 31 July 2012 Modified version received 31 August 2012 Accepted 11 September 2012