Jahnsite-(CaFeMg), a new mineral from Tom's quarry, South Australia: description and crystal structure

PETER ELLIOTT $1,2,*$

¹ Department of Earth Sciences, School of Physical Sciences, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia ² South Australian Museum, North Terrace, Adelaide, South Australia 5000, Australia

*Corresponding author, e-mail: peter.elliott@adelaide.edu.au

Abstract: Jahnsite-(CaFeMg) is a new member of the whiteite–jahnsite group from Tom's Quarry, Kapunda, South Australia, Australia where it occurs as a low-temperature secondary mineral. Jahnsite-(CaFeMg) forms brownish orange prismatic crystals to 0.2 mm in length. Crystals are transparent, have a vitreous lustre and a good cleavage on {001}. The streak is white. The Mohs hardness is \sim 4, the tenacity is brittle and the fracture is splintery. The measured density is 2.76(4) g/cm³ and the calculated density is 2.772 g/cm³. Optically jahnsite-(CaFeMg) is biaxial negative with $\alpha = 1.629(4)$, $\beta = 1.658(4)$, $\gamma = 1.677(4)$ and $2V_{\text{calc}} = 76.8^{\circ}$. Electron-microprobe analyses provided: Na₂O 0.65, CaO 4.74, Al₂O₃ 0.06, Fe₂O₃ 20.18, FeO 9.85, MgO 6.47, MnO 5.02, P₂O₅ 34.41, H₂O_{calc} 19.46 (from crystal-structure analysis), total 100.84 wt.%. The empirical formula (based on 26 oxygen atoms) is: $(Ca_{0.70}Na_{0.17}Mn^{2+}_{0.16})_{\Sigma1.03}Fe^{2+}_{1.00}(Mg_{1.33}Mn^{2+}_{0.43}Fe^{3+}_{0.24})_{\Sigma2.00}(Fe^{3+}_{1.99}Al_{0.01})_{\Sigma2.00}(PO_4)_{4.01}(OH)_{2.10}H_2O_{7.88}$. Jahnsite-(CaFeMg) is monoclinic, $P2/a$, $a = 14.975(5)$, $b = 7.1645(14)$, $c = 9.928(2)$ Å, $\beta = 110.65(3)$ °, $V = 996.7(5)$, Å³ and $Z = 2$. The eight strongest lines in the powder diffraction pattern are $[d(\hat{A})(I)(hk)]$: 9.339(100)(001); 4.923(20)(21–1, 111, 310); 3.562(20)(400); 3.518(20)(112, 40–2); 3.453(20)(202); 2.965(20)(221); 2.839(35)(5-1-1); 2.592(20)(203). The crystal structure has been refined using a single crystal twinned on {001} to R1 = 0.0517 on the basis of 1616 observed reflections with $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$ and confirms a whiteite–jahnsite-type structure.

Key-words: jahnsite; new mineral; Tom's quarry; Kapunda; crystal structure; phosphate; whiteite–jahnsite group.

Introduction

Phosphate rock was discovered in the Koonunga Hill area, 10 km E of Kapunda, South Australia, Australia in 1904 (Jack, 1919). Tom's quarry, one of several deposits in the area, has been worked intermittently to the present day. The deposits are low-grade phosphorites derived by leaching of weakly phosphatic limestones or low-grade primary phosphorites during the late Tertiary and the Quaternary (Johns, 1976). The phosphorite in the deposits is highly ferruginous and is overlain by limonitic laterite. Tom's quarry is the largest and most mineralogically diverse of the deposits and collecting over the past 40 years has produced a diverse range of mostly well-crystallized phosphate minerals including cacoxenite, fluellite, leucophosphite, meurigite-Na, minyulite, natrodufrénite–dufrénite, ushkovite, wavellite xanthoxenite. It is the type locality for peisleyite (Pilkington et al., 1982; Mills et al., 2011) and kapundaite (Mills *et al.*, 2010). A description of the mineralogy of the deposit is provided by Elliott et al. (2014).

In 2012, specimens containing prismatic to thin bladed crystals of jahnsite-(NaFeMg) were collected from a

highly weathered goethite-rich area at the eastern end of Tom's Quarry. One specimen, however, contained crystals with a blocky habit and chemical analysis showed these to be a new, CaFeMg member of the jahnsite group. Minerals of the whiteite–jahnsite group have the general formula $XM1M2_2M3_2(PO_4)_4(OH)_2 \cdot 8H_2O$, where $M3 =$ $Fe³⁺$ and Al (for the jahnsite and whiteite end-members, respectively); $X = Ca$, Na and Mn and the M1 and M2 sites are occupied by Mn, Fe, Mg and Zn. The new mineral and name have been approved by the Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification of the International Mineralogical Association (IMA 2013–111). The holotype specimen is housed in the mineral collection of the South Australian Museum, Adelaide, South Australia (registration number G34045).

Occurrence

Jahnsite-(CaFeMg) is part of an assemblage of secondary phosphate minerals that have a sedimentary origin. Deposition of secondary phosphates has occurred near surface at ambient temperatures and has been largely controlled by the availability of cations in groundwaters rather than changes in physical conditions (Segnit et al., 1981). The earliest formed mineral was fluorapatite and the phosphate mineral assemblages have resulted from its breakdown in the presence of acidic Fe- and Al-bearing solutions. Segnit et al. (1981) noted that, in general, the Fe-bearing phosphates (beraunite, natrodufrénite–dufrénite and rockbridgeite) were deposited early in the sequence and the alkali-bearing phosphates formed late in the sequence.

Appearance, physical and optical properties

Jahnsite-(CaFeMg) occurs as blocky to short prismatic crystals lining small cavities and as intergrowths with jahnsite-(NaFeMg) filling veins in a matrix comprising goethite and minor fluorapatite. No other species are directly associated with jahnsite-(CaFeMg) but other cavities on the type specimen contain crystals of natrodufrenite. Individual crystals of jahnsite-(CaFeMg) are up to 0.2 mm in length and 0.15 mm across. The mineral is brownish orange, the streak is white, crystals are transparent and the lustre is vitreous. It has a Mohs hardness of \sim 4 and a good $\{001\}$ cleavage. Tenacity is brittle and the fracture is splintery.

The mineral shows no fluorescence, either under SW or LW ultraviolet radiations. The density, measured using the sink-float method in a sodium polytungstate–water mixture, is 2.76(4) g/cm^3 , which compares well with the value of 2.772 g/cm³ calculated from the empirical formula and the unit-cell dimensions derived from the crystal-structure analysis.

Jahnsite-(CaFeMg) is biaxial negative, with α 1.629(4), β 1.658(4), γ 1.677(4). As an interference figure was not able to be obtained 2V was not measured, but $2V_{\text{calc}}$ =

Table 1. Compositional data for jahnsite-(CaFeMg).

Constituent	$Wt.\%$	Range	Standard deviation
Na ₂ O	0.65	$0.20 - 1.23$	0.36
CaO	4.74	$3.67 - 6.19$	0.85
Al_2O_3	0.06	$0.00 - 0.20$	0.05
$Fe2O3$ *	20.18	18.82-23.36	1.35
$FeO*$	9.85	5.87–12.52	2.21
MgO	6.47	$5.73 - 7.63$	0.48
MnO	5.02	$4.08 - 5.55$	0.37
P_2O_5	34.41	33.27-36.16	0.85
H_2O^{**}	19.46		
Total	100.84		

Note: Number of analysis = 17.

*Fe₂O₃ and FeO contents are calculated assuming that the $M2$ site is occupied by Mg, Mn and Fe3+ and the $M3$ site is occupied by Fe³⁺ and Al. **H2O calculated from the crystal structure analysis.

76.8°; no dispersion was noted. Pleochroism is weak; X very pale grey, Y orange-pink, Z pale orange; absorption $Y \geq Z \geq X$. A Gladstone-Dale calculation gave a compatibility index of 0.021, which is classed as excellent (Mandarino, 1981).

Chemical composition

Chemical analyses were carried out using a Cameca SXFive electron microprobe (energy-dispersive spectroscopy mode, 20 kV, 20 nA and 5 μm beam diameter). The following standards and X-ray lines were used: albite (NaKα), wollastonite (CaKα), almandine (FeKα, MgKα, Al $K\alpha$), rhodonite (Mn $K\alpha$), and hydroxylapatite (P $K\alpha$). No additional element with atomic number ≥9 was detected in amounts >0.05 wt% oxide. Data were processed using the φ (ρ z) correction procedure of Pouchou & Pichoir (1985). One individual crystal and two grains of vein material were analysed. As is common with whiteite–jahnsite minerals, which frequently show pronounced compositional zonation (Kampf et al., 2008; Grey et al., 2010; Yakovenchuk et al., 2012), the vein material comprises two compositional zones; jahnsite-(CaFeMg) and jahnsite- (NaFeMg) with a ratio of \sim 1:1 and with a sharp interface between the zones, as shown by backscattered-electron (BSE) images. The analysed crystal showed no evidence of chemical zoning and comprised only jahnsite-(CaFeMg). Analytical data for the crystal are given in Table 1. The empirical formula unit, based on 26 oxygen atoms per formula unit (pfu) and with site assignments using the approach of Moore & Ito (1978) for whiteite–jahnsitegroup minerals is $(Ca_{0.70}Na_{0.17}Mn^{2+}_{0.16})\Sigma_{1.03}Fe^{2+}_{1.00}$
 $(Mg_{1.33}Mn^{2+}_{0.43}Fe^{3+}_{0.24})\Sigma_{2.00}(Fe^{3+}_{1.99}Al_{0.01})\Sigma_{2.00}(PO_4)_{4.01}$ $(OH)_{2.10}H_2O_{7.88}.$

X-ray powder diffraction data

Powder X-ray diffraction (Table 2) data were collected using a Rigaku Hiflux Homelab diffractometer (CuKα X-radiation, $\lambda = 1.541870$ Å). Intensities were visually estimated and the calculated intensities were obtained from the structural model. Unit-cell parameters refined using the Le Bail profile-fitting method (Le Bail et al., 1988; Hunter, 1998) starting from the unit-cell parameters determined from single-crystal techniques are $a = 14.985(2), b = 7.150(1), c = 9.877(2)$ Å, $\beta =$ 110.583(3)°, $V = 990.8$ (2) \mathring{A}^3 .

Crystal structure

A crystal of jahnsite-(CaFeMg), $0.06 \times 0.035 \times$ 0.03 mm in size, was attached to a glass fibre and mounted on an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur E diffractometer equipped with an Eos CCD detector. Intensity

Table 2. X-ray powder diffraction data for jahnsite-(CaFeMg).

$I_{\rm obs}$	$d_{\rm obs}$		$I_{\rm calc}$	$d_{\rm calc}$	\boldsymbol{h}	\boldsymbol{k}	\boldsymbol{l}
100	9.339		100	9.290	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\,1$
5	7.070		$\overline{4}$	7.165	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
5	6.380		$\overline{4}$	6.379	1	1	$\mathbf{0}$
10	5.699		21	5.704	$\,1\,$	-1	-1
20	4.923	{	$\overline{4}$	4.963	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$^{-1}$
			23	4.904	$\,1\,$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
5	4.812		$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$	4.834	\overline{c}	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{1}$
10	4.666		$\overline{4}$	4.645	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{0}$	\overline{c}
15	4.094		5	4.080	$\,1$	-1	$\overline{2}$
10	3.931	{	$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$	3.937	\overline{c}	-1	-2
			$\overline{4}$	3.913	3	$\mathbf{1}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
5	3.745		10	3.743	$\mathbf{0}$	\overline{c}	$\boldsymbol{0}$
20	3.562		39	3.555	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
20	3.518	{	$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$	3.503	$\,1\,$	$\mathbf{1}$	\overline{c}
			$\overline{4}$	3.497	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{2}$
20	3.453		$\overline{4}$	3.440	\overline{c}	$\boldsymbol{0}$	\overline{c}
5	3.346		$\overline{4}$	3.364	$\mathbf{1}$	\overline{c}	-1
10	3.290		$\overline{4}$	3.294	3	$\mathbf{1}$	$\,1$
15	3.279		20	3.276	\overline{c}	\overline{c}	$\boldsymbol{0}$
5	3.182		$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$	3.178	$\,1$	\overline{c}	$\,1$
	3.108		$\overline{4}$	3.097	\overline{c}	$\mathbf{1}$	\overline{c}
20	2.965		12	2.952	\overline{c}	\overline{c}	$\mathbf 1$
15	2.886		$\overline{7}$	2.878	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	-3
35	2.839		44	2.837	5	-1	-1
5	2.626		6	2.625		$\,1$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
20	2.592		21	2.588		$\mathbf{0}$	3
5	2.492		8	2.482	$\begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{array}$	$\mathbf{0}$	-4
5	2.426		6	2.427		-2	-3
			6	2.418	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	\overline{c}
5	2.354		$\overline{4}$	2.356	\overline{c}	-1	-4
5	2.333		$\overline{4}$	2.330	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$
			$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	2.052	6	$\boldsymbol{0}$	-4
			$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	2.025	$\,1$	-2	-4
5	1.999		6	1.997	\overline{c}	$\overline{0}$	-5
	1.971		16	1.968	$\overline{7}$	$\mathbf{1}$	-3
			5	1.951	$\boldsymbol{0}$	\overline{c}	$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$
5	1.953		9	1.949	\overline{c}	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	\overline{c}
			$\overline{4}$	1.947	\overline{c}	-3	-3
10	1.922		9	1.911	\overline{c}	-1	-5
5	1.877		20	1.871	5	3	-1
5	1.821		18	1.823	7	$\overline{2}$	-1

Note: Observed intensities estimated visually.

Calculated intensities were obtained using the program LAZY PULVERIX (Yvon et al., 1977); only reflections with $I_{\text{calc}} > 4$ were considered.

data were collected at room temperature using MoKα X-radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) and a detector distance of 46.43 mm. The data collection and processing conditions are summarized in Table 3. Unit-cell dimensions were determined by least-squares refinement of 857 reflections in the θ range 2.84–28.84° and are given in Table 3, together with information pertaining to data collection and structure refinement. The data were processed using the CrysAlisPro program (Oxford Diffraction, 2009) and corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. An empirical absorption correction was applied using the SCALE3 ABSPACK algorithm, as implemented in CrysAlisPro. Structure refinement was

Fig. 1. The crystal structure of jahnsite-(CaFeMg) viewed along [010]. The X site is shown as grey circles. The unit cell is outlined. All structure drawings were completed using ATOMS (Shape Software, 1997).

Fig. 2. The crystal structure of jahnsite-(CaFeMg) viewed perpendicular to (001) . The X site is shown as grey circles.

initiated in space group $P2/a$ using the coordinates of Moore & Araki (1974) and refined using SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 2008). The R index converged to a value of 5.45 % for a model including anisotropic displacement parameters for all atoms. Crystals of whiteite–jahnsite group minerals are invariably twinned on (001) and the twin matrix $[1 \ 0 \ 0/0 \ -1 \ 0/0.468 \ 0 \ -1]$ was confirmed using ROTAX in the WinGX suite of programs (Farrugia, 1999). A SHELX HKLF 5 reflection file was created and refinement resulted in refined twin

Table 4. Fractional atomic coordinates and displacement parameters for jahnsite-(CaFeMg).

and

coordinates

atomic

Fractional

4.

displacement

jahnsite-(CaFeMg)

 \mathbf{g} ers

paramet

	Crystal data		
Space group	P2/a		
a, b, c (A)	$14.975(5)$, 7.1645(14), 9.928(2)		
$V(A^3)$, Z	$996.7(5)$, 2		
F(000)	953.0		
μ (mm ⁻¹)	3.02		
Absorption correction	multi-scan, T_{min} , T_{max} = 0.70, 1.00		
Crystal dimensions (mm)	$0.06 \times 0.035 \times 0.03$		
	Data collection		
Diffractometer	Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur E		
Temperature (K)	293		
Radiation	Mo $K\alpha$, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å		
Crystal detector distance (mm)	46.43		
Rotation axis, width $(°)$	ω , 2.0		
Total number of frames	231		
Collection time per degree (s)	100		
θ range (\degree)	2.84-28.90		
h,k,l ranges	$-18 \rightarrow 18, -4 \rightarrow 9, -11 \rightarrow 13$		
Total reflections measured	4300		
Unique reflections	4300 $(R_{\text{int}} = 0.0581)$		
	Refinement		
Refinement on	F^2		
$R1^*$ for $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$	5.17 %		
wR2 [†] for all F_0^2	13.41 %		
Reflections used $F_0^2 > 4\sigma(F_0^2)$	1616		
Number of parameters refined	178		
GooF	0.635		
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}}$	0.000		
ΔP_{max} , ΔP_{min} (e/Å)	$1.519, -0.628$		

Table 3. Crystal data, data collection and refinement details.

components of $0.08:0.92$ and $R1 = 5.17$ %. The positions of H atoms could not be located in difference-Fourier maps. Refined coordinates and anisotropic-displacement factors are listed in Table 4, selected interatomic distances are given in Table 5, and a bondvalence table is shown as Table 6.

Chains of corner-sharing M3 octahedra (Fe2 and Fe3) which are decorated by bridging $P1O₄$ and P2O₄ groups extend in the *b*-direction (Figs. 1 and 2). The chains are linked in the *a*-direction by X polyhedra, forming slabs parallel to (100). The Fe1 $(M1)$ octahedron shares edges with two P1O₄ tetrahedra. Slabs link in the c-direction via Mg $(M2)$ octahedra which share corners with $P1O₄$ and $P2O₄$ tetrahedra and by hydrogen bonding.

The X site is coordinated by eight O^{2} anions to form a distorted square antiprism. The X–O distances are very similar to those observed in the structures of jahnsite- (NaFeMg) (Kampf et al., 2008) and jahnsite-(CaMnMg) (Moore & Araki, 1974), with four X –O bond distances in the range $2.37-2.50$ Å and two longer X -O bond distances at 2.78 Å. The mean bond distance for the X site confirms occupancy by predominantly large cations and the observed site-scattering, 18.20(8) electrons, is consistent with the population of $Ca_{0.70}$, Na_{0.17,}Fe²⁺_{0.13} from the chemical analysis. The valence sum is significantly less than 2.0 (Table 3) in agreement with partial occupancy by Na.

The assignments of Mg to the M2 sites (Mg1 and Mg2), Fe^{2+} to the Fe1 site and Fe^{3+} to the $\overline{M3}$ sites

Ca	O ₁	$2.371(5) \times 2$	Fe ₂	O7	$1.989(4) \times 2$
	O5	$2.500(5) \times 2$		OН	$2.007(4) \times 2$
	O7	$2.502(4) \times 2$		O ₃	$2.029(4) \times 2$
	O ₃	$2.782(5) \times 2$		$$	2.008
	$<$ Ca $-$ O>	2.539			
			Fe ₃	OН	$1.998(4) \times 2$
Fe1	O5	$2.052(5) \times 2$		O8	$2.000(5) \times 2$
	O4	$2.135(4) \times 2$		O4	$2.033(4) \times 2$
	O ₁	$2.200(5) \times 2$		$$	2.010
	$$	2.129			
			P ₁	O ₂	1.493(5)
Mg1	O6	$2.025(4) \times 2$		O ₃	1.528(4)
	OW ₂	$2.065(5) \times 2$		O1	1.564(5)
	OW3	$2.094(5) \times 2$		O4	1.571(4)
	$<$ Mg-O>	2.061		P -O>	1.539
Mg2	O ₂	$2.041(6) \times 2$	P ₂	O6	1.516(5)
	OW4	$2.098(5) \times 2$		O8	1.524(5)
	OW1	$2.123(6) \times 2$		O5	1.540(5)
	$<$ Mg $-$ O $>$	2.087		O7	1.542(4)
				P –O>	1.531
	Possible hydrogen bonds				
	$OH-H1OW2$	3.068		OW3-H6OW1	2.94
$OW1-H205$		2.814		OW3-H7O2	2.866
$OW1-H3OH$		3.116		OW4-H8O6	2.766
OW2-H4OW4		3.078		OW4-H9O8	3.042
$OW2-H5O1$		2.650			

Table 5. Selected interatomic distances (Å) and possible hydrogen bonds for jahnsite-(CaFeMg).

(Fe2 and Fe3) is borne out by the bond lengths and valence balance sums. Site-scattering values for the Mg1 and Mg2 sites, $18.63(6)$ electrons and $14.60(6)$ electrons respectively, suggests greater presence of $Fe³⁺$ and Mn^{2+} at the Mg1site. The mean bond distance for the M1 site, 2.129 Å, is greater than the corresponding value for jahnsite-(NaFeMg) of 2.049 Å (Kampf et al., 2008), consistent with occupancy of the site by $Fe²⁺$ rather than $Fe³⁺$ as in jahnsite-(NaFeMg). The valence sums for the M2 sites (Mg1 and Mg2) are greater than 2.0 suggesting the possibility of some occupancy of these sites by trivalent cations.

Although the H atoms associated with the OH and H_2O groups could not be located, stereochemistry and incident bond-valence requirements indicate that the hydrogen bond scheme (Table 5) would be expected to be identical to that in jahnsite-(NaFeMg) (Kampf et al., 2008). Hydrogen bonds are directed towards undersaturated anions O1, O2, O5, O6 and O8 as well as OW1, OW2 and OW4.

Acknowledgements: The specimen containing jahnsite- (CaFeMg) was kindly provided by Vince Peisley. Ben Wade of Adelaide Microscopy, The University of Adelaide is thanked for assistance with the microprobe analysis. Reviewers Igor Pekov and Tony Kampf are also thanked for suggested improvements to the manuscript.

References

- Brese, N.E. & O'Keeffe, M. (1991): Bond-valence parameters for solids. Acta Cryst., B47, 192–197.
- Brown, I.D. & Altermatt, D. (1985): Bond–valence parameters obtained from a systematic analysis of the inorganic crystal structure database. Acta Cryst., B41, 244–247.
- Elliott, P., Peisley, V., Mills, S.J. (2014): The phosphate deposits of South Australia. Aust. J. Mineral., 17, 3–32.
- Farrugia, L.J. (1999): WinGX suite for small-molecule single-crystal crystallography. J. Appl. Crystallogr., 32, 837–838.
- Grey, I.E., Mumme, W.G., Neville, S.M., Wilson, N.C., Birch, W.D. (2010): Jahnsite-whiteite solid solutions and associated minerals in the phosphate pegmatite at Hagendorf-Süd, Bavaria, Germany. Mineral. Mag., 74, 969–978.
- Hunter, B.A. (1998): Rietica A Visual Rietveld Program. Commission on powder diffraction newsletter, 20, 21.
- Jack, R.L. (1919): The phosphate deposits of South Australia. Geol Surv. S. Aust., Bull., 7.
- Johns, R.K. (1976): Phosphate, South Australia. in "Economic geology of Australia and Papua New Guinea, 4, Industrial minerals and rocks", C.L. Knight, ed. Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Monograph Series, 8, 282–285.
- Kampf, A.R., Steele, I.M., Loomis, Th.A. (2008): Jahnsite- (NaFeMg), a new mineral from the Tip Top mine, Custer County, South Dakota: Description and crystal structure. Am. Mineral., 93, 940–945.
- Le Bail, A., Duroy, H., Fourquet, J.L. (1988): Ab-initio structure determination of $LiSbWO₆$ by X-ray powder diffraction. Mater. Res. Bull., 23, 447–452.
- Mandarino, J.A. (1981): The Gladstone-Dale relationship: Part IV: The compatibility concept and its application. Can. Mineral., 19, 441–450.
- Mills, S.J., Birch, W.D., Kampf, A.R., Christy, A.G., Pluth, J.J., Pring, A., Raudsepp, M., Chen, Yu-S. (2010): Kapundaite, a new phosphate species from Toms quarry, South Australia: description and structural relationship to mélonjosephite. Am. Mineral., 95, 754–760.
- Mills, S.J., Ma, C., Birch, W.D. (2011): A contribution to understanding the complex nature of peisleyite. Mineral. Mag., 75, 2733–2737.
- Moore, P.B. & Araki, T. (1974): Jahnsite, CaMn²⁺Mg₂ $(H_2O)_8Fe_2^{3+}(OH)_2[PO_4]_4$: A novel stereoisomerism of ligands about octahedral corner-chains. Am. mineral., 59, 964–973.
- Moore, P.B. & Ito, J. (1978): I. Whiteite, a new species, and a proposed nomenclature for the jahnsite-whiteite complex series. II. New data on xanthoxenite. III. Salmonsite discredited. Mineral. Mag., 42, 309–323.
- Oxford Diffraction. (2009): CrysAlis PRO. Oxford Diffraction Ltd., Yarnton, England.
- Pilkington, E.S., Segnit, E.R., Watts, J.A. (1982): Peisleyite, a new sodium aluminium sulphate phosphate. Mineral. Mag., 46, 449–452.
- Pouchou, J.L. & Pichoir, F. (1985): "PAP" $\phi(\rho Z)$ procedure for improved quantitative microanalysis. in "Microbeam analysis", J.T. Armstrong, ed. San Francisco Press, California, 104–106.
- Segnit, E.R., Watts, J.A., Peisley, V. (1981): Mineralogy of the rock phosphate deposit at Moculta, South Australia. Aust. Mineral., 35, 179–186.
- Shape Software. (1997): ATOMS for Windows and Macintosh V 4.0. Kingsport, Tennessee, USA.
- Sheldrick, G.M. (2008): A short history of SHELX. Acta Cryst., A64, 112–122.
- Yakovenchuk, V.N., Keck, E., Krivovichev, S.V., Pakhomovsky, Y.A., Selivanova, E.A., Mikhailova, J.A., Chernyatieva, A.P., Ivanyuk, G.Yu. (2012): Whiteite-(CaMnMn), CaMnMn₂Al₂ $[PO₄]$ ₄ $(OH)₂ · 8H₂O$, a new mineral from the Hagendorf-Süd granitic pegmatite, Germany. Mineral. Mag., 76, 2761–2771.
- Yvon, K., Jeitschko, W., Parthé, E. (1977): LAZY PULVERIX, a computer program, for calculating X-ray and neutron diffraction powder patterns. J. Appl. Crystallogr, 10, 73–74

Received 14 March 2016 Modified version received 18 April 2016 Accepted 22 April 2016