



Article

New arsenate minerals from the Arsenatnaya fumarole, Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka, Russia. XII. Zubkovaite, Ca₃Cu₃(AsO₄)₄

Igor V. Pekov^{1*}, Inna S. Lykova¹, Atali A. Agakhanov², Dmitry I. Belakovskiy², Marina F. Vigasina¹, Sergey N. Britvin³, Anna G. Turchkova¹, Evgeny G. Sidorov⁴ and Katharina S. Scheidl⁵

¹Faculty of Geology, Moscow State University, Vorobievy Gory, 119991 Moscow, Russia; ²Fersman Mineralogical Museum of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Leninsky Prospekt 18-2, 119071 Moscow, Russia; ³Department of Crystallography, St Petersburg State University, University Embankment 7/9, 199034 St Petersburg, Russia; ⁴Institute of Volcanology and Seismology, Far Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Piip Boulevard 9, 683006 Petropavlovsk-Kamchatsky, Russia; and ⁵Institute of Mineralogy and Crystallography, University of Vienna, Althanstrasse 14 (UZA 2), A-1090 Vienna, Austria

Abstract

The new mineral zubkovaite, $Ca_3Cu_3(AsO_4)_4$, was found in the Arsenatnaya fumarole at the Second scoria cone of the Northern Breakthrough of the Great Tolbachik Fissure Eruption, Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka, Russia. It is associated with anhydrite, svabite, hematite, johillerite, tilasite, fluorophlogopite, sanidine and aphthitalite. Zubkovaite occurs as coarse prismatic crystals up to 0.01 mm × 0.01 mm × 0.2 mm combined in radiating aggregates or crusts. The mineral is transparent, bright sky-blue, turquoise-coloured or light bluish-green, with vitreous lustre. It is brittle, with imperfect cleavage. The Mohs' hardness is ca 3. D_{calc} is 4.161 g cm⁻³. Zubkovaite is optically biaxial (–), α = 1.747(5), β = 1.774(5), γ = 1.792(5) and $2V_{meas}$ = 75(10)°. Chemical composition (wt.%, electron microprobe) is: CaO 19.22, CuO 27.37, As₂O₅ 52.54, SO₃ 0.67, total 99.80. The empirical formula based on 16 O apfu is $Ca_{2.96}Cu_{2.97}(As_{3.945}S_{0.07})_{\Sigma_{4.015}}O_{16}$. Zubkovaite is monoclinic, C2, a = 16.836(3), b = 5.0405(8), c = 9.1173(17) Å, β = 117.388(13)°, V = 687.0(2) Å³ and Z = 2. The strongest reflections of the powder XRD pattern [d,Å (I) (hkl)] are: 7.44 (100) ($\bar{2}$ 01), 3.727 (79) (400, $\bar{2}$ 02, $\bar{3}$ 11), 3.334 (92) ($\bar{1}$ 12), 2.914 (73) (311), 2.765 (50) ($\bar{6}$ 01, $\bar{6}$ 02), 2.591 (96) ($\bar{3}$ 13) and 2.521 (53) (020). The crystal structure is unique for minerals. It was solved from single-crystal X-ray diffraction data to R = 7.19%. The structure contains trimers of Cu^{2+} -centred polyhedra (consisting of one distorted square CuO_4 in the core and two distorted square pyramids CuO_5) and two crystallographically independent $As^{5+}O_4$ tetrahedra playing different roles: $As(2)O_4$ tetrahedra link neighbouring trimers into ribbons whereas $As(1)O_4$ tetrahedra link adjacent ribbons into heteropolyhedral layers; Ca cations are located in the interlayer space. The mineral is named in honour of the Russian crystallographer and crystal chem

Keywords: zubkovaite, new mineral, calcium copper arsenate, crystal structure, fumarole sublimate, Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka (Received 14 February 2019; accepted 19 April 2019; Accepted Manuscript published online: 31 May 2019; Associate Editor: David Hibbs)

Introduction

This paper is part of the series of articles containing descriptions of new arsenate minerals from the Arsenatnava fumarole of the Tolbachik volcano at the Kamchatka Peninsula, Far-Eastern Region, Russia. Fourteen new arsenate species from this fumarole have already been characterised: yurmarinite Na₇(Fe³⁺,Mg, Cu)₄(AsO₄)₆ (Pekov et al., 2014a), two polymorphs of Cu₄O (AsO₄)₂, ericlaxmanite and kozyrevskite (Pekov et al., 2014b), popovite Cu₅O₂(AsO₄)₂ (Pekov et al., 2015a), structurally related shchurovskyite K₂CaCu₆O₂(AsO₄)₄ dmisokolovite and K₃Cu₅AlO₂(AsO₄)₄ (Pekov et al., 2015b), katiarsite KTiO(AsO₄) (Pekov et al., 2016a), melanarsite K₃Cu₇Fe³⁺O₄(AsO₄)₄ (Pekov et al., 2016b), pharmazincite KZnAsO₄ (Pekov et al., 2017), arsenowagnerite Mg₂(AsO₄)F (Pekov et al., 2018b), arsenatrotitanite NaTiO(AsO₄) (Pekov et al., 2019a), pair of isostructural

*Author for correspondence: Igor V. Pekov, Email: igorpekov@mail.ru Cite this article: Pekov I.V., Lykova I.S., Agakhanov A.A., Belakovskiy D.I., Vigasina M.F., Britvin S.N., Turchkova A.G., Sidorov E.G. and Scheidl K.S. (2019) New arsenate minerals from the Arsenatnaya fumarole, Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka, Russia. XII. Zubkovaite, Ca₃Cu₃(AsO₄)₄. *Mineralogical Magazine* 83, 879–886. https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2019.33

© Mineralogical Society of Great Britain and Ireland 2019

minerals edtollite $K_2NaCu_5Fe^{3+}O_2(AsO_4)_4$ and alumoedtollite $K_2NaCu_5AlO_2(AsO_4)_4$ (Pekov *et al.*, 2019*b*), and anatolyite $Na_6(Ca,Na)(Mg,Fe^{3+})_3Al(AsO_4)_6$ (Pekov *et al.*, 2019*c*).

In this paper we characterise the new mineral zubkovaite, Ca₃Cu₃(AsO₄)₄, (Cyrillic: 3yδκοβαμτ) named in honour of the Russian crystallographer and crystal chemist Natalia Vital'evna Zubkova (born 1976), Associate Professor at the Faculty of Geology of Lomonosov Moscow State University, a specialist in the structural mineralogy. She has studied crystal structures of more than 160 minerals and is a co-author of descriptions of 101 new mineral species approved by the International Mineralogical Association (IMA). Dr. Zubkova has made a significant contribution to the mineralogy of arsenates and the mineralogy of the fumarolic formation. Structures of 34 natural arsenates (including 16 copper-bearing species) have been first solved by Dr. Zubkova, or with her participation as a co-author. She has studied the crystal structures of 64 minerals from fumaroles of the Tolbachik and Bezymyannyi volcanoes at Kamchatka.

Both new mineral and its name have been approved by the IMA Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification (IMA2018–008). The type specimen is deposited in the systematic collection of the Fersman Mineralogical



Fig. 1. Blue clusters and interrupted crusts of zubkovaite (intergrown with svabite: see Fig. 3) covered by aqua-transparent, colourless anhydrite coatings and associated with iron-black hematite and minor blue-violet johillerite. The holotype specimen, catalogue number 96202. Field of view width: 5.8 mm. Photo: I.V. Pekov & A.V. Kasatkin




Fig. 2. Radiating aggregate of coarse long prismatic crystals of zubkovaite with massive anhydrite crust (in the left part of the photograph). The holotype specimen, catalogue number 96202. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) secondary electron (SE) image.

Museum of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, with the catalogue number 96202.


Occurrence, mineral associations and morphology

The Arsenatnaya fumarole is located at the summit of the Second scoria cone of the Northern Breakthrough of the Great Tolbachik Fissure Eruption (55°41′N, 160°14′E, 1200 m asl). This scoria cone is a monogenetic volcano formed in 1975 (Fedotov and Markhinin, 1983). Arsenatnaya is one of the largest fumaroles at Tolbachik, and is the most interesting for its unique mineralogy. The general description of this active, hot fumarole including the characterisation of zonation in distribution of mineral assemblages is given by Pekov *et al.* (2014*a*, 2018*a*).

The holotype specimen of zubkovaite was found by us in July 2015 at the northern area of the Arsenatnaya fumarole, at the depth of 1.5 m under day surface. The temperature in this area, measured using a chromel–alumel thermocouple at the time of collecting, was ~400°C. Additional samples were collected in July 2018 from another mineral association at the depth of 1 m under day surface. We consider that zubkovaite was deposited directly from volcanic gas as a sublimate or, more likely, crystallised as a result of the interaction between fumarolic gas and basalt scoria at the temperatures not lower than 400°C. Basalt seems the most probable source of Ca which has low volatility in volcanic gases (Symonds and Reed, 1993).

Zubkovaite was found in two mineral assemblages in the polymineralic zone of Arsenatnaya (Pekov et al., 2018a). In the holotype material, it is associated closely with anhydrite, svabite, hematite, johillerite, tilasite, fluorophlogopite, As-bearing potassic feldspar and aphthitalite. Zubkovaite occurs here as coarse long-prismatic, sometimes lath-like crystals up to 0.01 mm \times 0.01 mm \times 0.01 mm \times 0.2 mm, typically slightly split and cavernous. They are combined in radiating clusters, isolated or forming interrupted crusts up to 1 cm \times 1.5 cm in area and up to 0.3 mm thick on the surface of basalt scoria altered by fumarolic gas, and typically partially replaced by aggregates of fluorophlogopite, potassic feldspar and hematite. The new mineral commonly forms intimate intergrowths with svabite and aggregates of these arsenates are coated by anhydrite crusts (Figs 1, 2 and 3).

In the samples collected in 2018 zubkovaite was found in association with aphthitalite, johillerite, nickenichite, bradaczekite,






Fig. 3. Clusters of zubkovaite (1) and svabite (2) coated by anhydrite (3); anhydrite crusts (which look like veinlets in the section) are also observed around separate svabite individuals and zubkovaite aggregates: (a) polished cross-section; (b) its magnified fragment. The holotype specimen, catalogue number 96202. SEM back-scattered electron images. Field of view width: (a) 1.5 mm; (b) 0.35 mm.

Mineralogical Magazine 881




Fig. 4. Aggregate of coarse short prismatic crystals of zubkovaite. SEM (SE) image.

hatertite, tilasite, hematite, cassiterite and As-bearing potassic feldspar. The new mineral forms aggregates (up to 0.5 mm across) consisting of coarse, distorted, sometimes skeletal, short prismatic crystals (Fig. 4) or grains irregular in shape up to 0.05 mm in size. They overgrow bradaczekite and johillerite crystal clusters and usually are embedded in aphthitalite crusts.

Physical properties and optical characteristics

Zubkovaite is transparent, bright sky-blue (the holotype), turquoise-coloured or light bluish-green. Streak is light bluish.

Lustre is vitreous. The mineral is brittle. One direction of imperfect cleavage was observed under the microscope. Parting was not observed. The fracture is uneven. The Mohs' hardness is \sim 3. Density calculated using the empirical formula is 4.161 g cm⁻³.

Zubkovaite is optically biaxial (-), $\alpha = 1.747(5)$, $\beta = 1.774(5)$ and $\gamma = 1.792(5)$ (589 nm). $2V_{\rm meas} = 75(10)^{\circ}$ (estimated by the conoscopical figure on the section perpendicular to the optical axis) and $2V_{\rm calc} = 77^{\circ}$. Dispersion of optical axes is weak with r > v. Pleochroism is distinct: Z (blue) > Y (pale blue) > X (very pale bluish, almost colourless).

Raman spectroscopy

The Raman spectrum of the holotype specimen of zubkovaite (Fig. 5) was obtained using an EnSpectr R532 spectrometer with a green laser (532 nm) at room temperature. The output power of the laser beam was \sim 7 mW. The spectrum was processed using the EnSpectr expert mode program in the range 100 to 4000 cm⁻¹ with a resolution of 6 cm⁻¹. The diameter of the focal spot on the sample was \sim 5 μ m. The spectrum was obtained for a randomly oriented crystal.

The strongest bands in the region 750–950 cm⁻¹ correspond to As⁵⁺–O stretching vibrations of AsO₄³⁻ anions. Bands in the region 380–550 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to bending vibrations of AsO₄ tetrahedra and Cu²⁺–O stretching vibrations. Bands with frequencies lower than 350 cm⁻¹ correspond to Ca–O stretching vibrations and lattice modes. The absence of bands with frequencies higher than 950 cm⁻¹ (excepting ones belonging to admixed anhydrite: marked with asterisk in Fig. 5) indicates the absence of groups with O–H, C–H, C–O, N–H, N–O and B–O bonds in zubkovaite.




Fig. 5. The Raman spectrum of zubkovaite; bands corresponding to admixed anhydrite are marked with an asterisk.

Table 1. Powder X-ray diffraction data (d in Å) of zubkovaite.

$I_{\rm obs}$	$d_{ m obs}$	I _{calc} *	d_{calc}^{**}	hkl	
100	7.44	2, 100	7.474, 7.463	200, 201	
36	4.771	34	4.776	110	
8	4.532	3, 3	4.547, 4.540	201, 202	
12	4.401	14	4.407	111	
4	4.198	2	4.209	4 01	
38	4.036	31	4.048	002	
9	3.869	6	3.872	111	
79	3.727	26, 20, 40	3.737, 3.732, 3.725	400, 402, 311	
5	3.543	3	3.544	310	
92	3.334	95	3.339	Ī12	
29	3.017	14, 13	3.024, 3.020	202, 203	
73 11	2.914 2.883	5, 2, 71 9	2.920, 2.915, 2.915	401, 403, 311	
48	2.789	9 46	2.886 2.790	112 511	
5 0	2.765	26, 19		601, 602	
3	2.705	1, 2	2.766, 2.764 2.709, 2.699	512, 003	
9 6	2.703 2.591	93	2.705 , 2.055	313	
30	2.571	30	2.571	510	
53	2.571	69	2.520	020	
11	2.390	7, 1, 9	2.394, 2.388, 2.388	513, 220, 221	
6	2.320	8	2.317	312	
37	2.229	29, 6	2.231, 2.229	203, 204	
3	2.166	4	2.166	712	
8	2.124	4, 6	2.129, 2.123	711, 601	
9	2.088	1, 7	2.089, 2.088	420, 422	
4	2.071	4	2.074	314	
2	2.055	2	2.057	713	
6	2.036	1, 4	2.038, 2.036	801, 803	
7	2.022	1, 7	2.027, 2.024	514, 004	
2	1.967	2	1.966	710	
15	1.936	13, 6	1.936, 1.935	222, 223	
3	1.908	3, 2	1.908, 1.906	421, 423	
44	1.865	7, 15, 10, 19	1.869, 1.866, 1.863, 1.862	800, 804, 621, 622	
7	1.820	8	1.823	4 05	
7	1.753	5, 1	1.759, 1.751	<u>9</u> 12	
1	1.732	2	1.731	913	
3	1.707	3	1.708	515	
3	1.695	1, 3	1.697, 1.694	315, 911	
3	1.689	3, 2	1.688, 1.687	<u>42</u> 2, 4 24	
16	1.671	3, 19, 2	1.674, 1.671, 1.670	10.0.3, 223, 224	
9	1.639	8, 2	1.639, 1.635	914, 715	
2	1.623	3	1.623	621	
15	1.609	14, 3, 4, 1	1.608, 1.608, 1.607, 1.606	10.0.1, 331, 115, 10.0.4	
10	1.577	5, 6, 1, 9	1.578, 1.578, 1.576, 1.572	024, 910, 513, 132	
2	1.552	3	1.552	314	
9	1.533	14	1.532	712	
5	1.522	7	1.520	331	
4	1.518	1, 3	1.516, 1.516	132, 603	
4	1.513	3, 1	1.513, 1.512	606, 404	
16	1.501	9, 6, 14	1.502, 1.501, 1.500	531, 820, 824	
18	1.468	7, 23, 9, 8	1.469, 1.469, 1.465, 1.463	115, 333, 530, 11.1.3	
7	1.457	1, 1, 7, 1, 2	1.460, 1.457, 1.457, 1,456, 1.455	802, 622, 806, 625, 516	
4	1.442	5	1.442	225	
5	1.438	5	1.435	911 716, 533	
4	1.430	1, 5	1.431, 1.429	716, 533 11.1.4, 316	
3 2	1.427	1, 1 4	1.428, 1.426	332	
2 4	1.414 1.397	2, 2	1.413 1.397, 1.395	$\frac{332}{11.1.1}$, $\frac{10.2.3}{11.1.1}$	
1	1.386	2, 2 1, 1, 1	1.388, 1.387, 1.383	133, 821, 12.0.2	
11	1.357		1.360, 1.356, 1.356	155, 821, 12.0.2 10.0.6, 11.1.5, 10.2.1	
		1, 2, 12 1, 2, 14, 3	1.350, 1.356, 1.356	334, 514, Ī16, Ī33	
10					
10 3	1.351 1.343	4	1.342	713	

^{*}For the calculated pattern, only reflections with intensities ≥1 are given; **for the unit-cell parameters calculated from single-crystal data. The strongest lines are given in bold

Chemical composition

The chemical composition of zubkovaite was determined using a Jeol 733 electron microprobe instrument (wavelength-dispersive

spectroscopy mode, acceleration voltage of 20 kV, a beam current of 20 nA and a 3 μ m beam diameter). The following standards were used: wollastonite (Ca), Cu (Cu), InAs (As) and ZnS (S).

Mineralogical Magazine 883

Table 2. Crystal data, data collection information and structure refinement details for zubkovaite.

Crystal data	
Formula	$Ca_3Cu_3(AsO_4)_4$
Crystal size (mm)	$0.01 \times 0.01 \times 0.03$
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, C2
Temperature (K)	293(2)
a, b, c (Å)	16.836(3), 5.0405(8), 9.1173(17)
β (°)	117.388(13)
V (Å ³)	687.0(2)
Z	2
Calculated density (g cm ⁻³)	4.161
Absorption coefficient μ (mm ⁻¹)	15.375
Data collection	
Crystal description	Coarse prismatic, sky-blue
Diffractometer	STOE StadiVari
Radiation type, wavelength (Å)	$MoK\alpha$, $\lambda = 0.71073$
θ range (°)	2.52-32.54
No. measured, unique and observed	3068, 1756, 1085
$[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	
R _{int}	0.0931
h, k, l range	$-24 \le h \le 23, -7 \le k \le 7, -13 \le l \le 9$
Refinement	
R_1	0.0719
$WR_{2all}(F^2)$	0.2210
GoF	1.020
Number of refined parameters	120
Weighting scheme	$1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1312P)^2 + 0.0000P],$ $P = [\max(F_o)^2 + 2(F_c)^2]/3$
$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} / \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} (e^{-}/\mathring{A}^{3})$	2.022 / -2.006

Contents of other elements with atomic numbers higher than carbon are below detection limits.

The chemical composition of the holotype sample of zubkovaite (average of 7 spot analyses, in wt.%; ranges are in parentheses) is: CaO 19.22 (18.71–19.74), CuO 27.37 (27.13–27.73), As_2O_5 52.54 (51.26–53.17), SO_3 0.67 (0.00–2.14), total 99.80.

The empirical formula calculated on the basis of 16 O atoms per formula unit is $Ca_{2.96}Cu_{2.97}(As_{3.945}S_{0.07})_{\Sigma 4.015}O_{16}$. The simplified formula is $Ca_3Cu_3(AsO_4)_4$ (Z=2), which requires CaO 19.41, CuO 27.54, As_2O_5 53.05, total 100.00 wt.%.

X-ray crystallography and crystal structure

Powder X-ray diffraction data of zubkovaite (Table 1) were collected with a Rigaku R-AXIS Rapid II single-crystal diffractometer equipped with cylindrical image plate detector (radius 127.4 mm) using Debye-Scherrer geometry, CoKα radiation (rotating anode

 $\textbf{Table 4.} \ \, \textbf{Selected interatomic distances (\mathring{\textbf{A}}) in the crystal structure of zubkovaite.}$

As(1)-O(8)	1.623(9)	As(2)-O(5)	1.625(9)
As(1)-O(1)	1.652(15)	As(2)-O(3)	1.653(15)
As(1)-O(6)	1.658(13)	As(2)-O(4)	1.686(13)
As(1)-O(7)	1.731(17)	As(2)-O(2)	1.750(15)
<as(1)-o></as(1)-o>	1.666	<as(2)-o></as(2)-o>	1.679
Ca(1)-O(8)	2.212(18) ×2	Cu(1)-O(2)	1.919(18) ×2
Ca(1)-O(4)	2.297(14) ×2	Cu(1)-O(3)	1.987(15) ×2
Ca(1)-O(7)	2.48(2) ×2	<cu(1)-o></cu(1)-o>	1.953
<ca(1)-o></ca(1)-o>	2.330		
		Cu(2)-O(6)	1.903(15)
Ca(2)-O(5)	2.302(17)	Cu(2)-O(4)	1.928(15)
Ca(2)-O(3)	2.315(16)	Cu(2)-O(2)	2.021(16)
Ca(2)-O(1)	2.526(17)	Cu(2)-O(1)	2.150(16)
Ca(2)-O(7)	2.534(15)	Cu(2)-O(7)	2.157(16)
Ca(2)-O(6)	2.69(5)	<cu(2)-o></cu(2)-o>	2.032
Ca(2)-O(2)	2.710(18)		
Ca(2)-O(6)	2.77(5)		
Ca(2)-O(5)	2.85(2)		
<ca(2)-o></ca(2)-o>	2.587		

Table 5. Bond-valence calculations* for zubkovaite

	Ca(1)	Ca(2)	Cu(1)	Cu(2)	As(1)	As(2)	Σ
O(1)		0.22		0.28	1.36		1.86
O(2)		0.13	0.52 ^{×2↓}	0.40		1.05	2.10
O(3)		0.39	0.43 ^{×2↓}			1.36	2.18
O(4)	0.41 ^{×2↓}			0.51		1.24	2.16
O(5)		0.40				1.47	1.96
		0.09					
O(6)		0.14		0.55	1.34		2.14
		0.11					
O(7)	0.25 ^{×2↓}	0.22		0.27	1.10		1.84
O(8)	0.52 ^{×2↓}				1.48		2.00
Σ	2.36	1.70	1.90	2.01	5.28**	5.12	

*Bond-valence parameters were taken from Brese and O'Keeffe (1991); **slight overcharge is probably caused by partial substitution of As^{5+} for S^{6+} (see Table 1).

with VariMAX microfocus optics), 40 kV, 15 mA, and exposure of 12 min. Angular resolution of the detector is $0.045^{\circ}2\theta$ (pixel size 0.1 mm). The data were integrated using the software package Osc2Tab (Britvin et al., 2017). The monoclinic unit-cell parameters calculated from the powder data are: a = 16.841 (9), b = 5.044(1), c = 9.110(5) Å, $\beta = 117.33(4)^{\circ}$ and V = 687.5(5) Å³.

Single-crystal X-ray studies of zubkovaite (the crystal was separated from the holotype sample) were carried out using a STOE

Table 3. Atom coordinates (x, y, z) and thermal displacement parameters $(U, Å^2)$ for zubkovaite.

Site	X	у	Z	U_{eq}	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
As(1)	0.34113(11)	0.4774(10)	0.46183(17)	0.0249(4)	0.0237(7)	0.0253(7)	0.0247(6)	-0.002(2)	0.0102(5)	0.0000(19)
As(2)	0.59376(10)	0.9790(9)	0.93510(16)	0.0218(4)	0.0211(7)	0.0209(7)	0.0229(6)	0.002(2)	0.0096(5)	0.0000(18)
Cu(1)	0	-0.0274(17)	0	0.0231(5)	0.0219(11)	0.0177(11)	0.0299(11)	0	0.0120(9)	0
Cu(2)	0.61954(13)	0.9791(14)	0.2945(2)	0.0309(5)	0.0215(9)	0.0440(11)	0.0260(8)	0.001(3)	0.0099(7)	0.002(3)
Ca(1)	1/2	0.965(3)	1/2	0.0253(10)	0.0243(19)	0.028(3)	0.0243(16)	0	0.0118(14)	0
Ca(2)	0.2329(2)	-0.029(3)	0.1936(4)	0.0394(10)	0.0270(16)	0.066(3)	0.0266(13)	-0.009(5)	0.0130(12)	-0.007(5)
O(1)	0.354(1)	0.393(3)	0.6466(17)	0.030(3)	0.025(7)	0.021(6)	0.036(7)	-0.004(5)	0.009(6)	-0.004(5)
O(2)	0.5811(10)	0.178(3)	0.0801(17)	0.030(3)	0.022(7)	0.043(9)	0.026(6)	-0.001(6)	0.013(5)	-0.007(6)
O(3)	0.6024(9)	0.669(3)	0.0007(17)	0.027(3)	0.018(6)	0.028(7)	0.035(7)	-0.004(5)	0.013(5)	0.007(6)
O(4)	0.5032(9)	0.032(5)	0.7519(16)	0.039(7)	0.017(5)	0.07(2)	0.027(5)	-0.001(8)	0.012(5)	-0.008(8)
O(5)	0.6844(8)	0.859(4)	0.940(2)	0.050(5)	0.027(8)	0.044(10)	0.055(10)	0.007(7)	0.000(8)	0.010(8)
O(6)	0.2366(9)	0.481(9)	0.3120(15)	0.051(5)	0.021(6)	0.091(13)	0.035(6)	-0.01(2)	0.007(5)	0.00(2)
O(7)	0.3546(11)	0.136(3)	0.4676(18)	0.036(4)	0.029(8)	0.034(9)	0.033(7)	-0.002(6)	0.006(6)	0.001(6)
O(8)	0.4014(14)	0.640(5)	0.396(2)	0.059(7)	0.070(16)	0.069(14)	0.041(9)	-0.039(12)	0.029(10)	-0.025(9)




Fig. 6. Crystal structures of zubkovaite (a) and synthetic Ca₃Cu₃(AsO₄)₄ (b, drawn after Osterloh and Müller–Buschbaum, 1994). The unit cells are outlined.




Fig. 7. Trimers of Cu–centred polyhedra in the crystal structures of zubkovaite (a) and synthetic Ca₃Cu₃(AsO₄)₄ (b, drawn after Osterloh and Müller–Buschbaum, 1994).




Fig. 8. Ribbon formed by the trimers of Cu-centred polyhedra linked via As(2)O₄ tetrahedra in the crystal structure of zubkovaite. For legend see Fig. 6.

Mineralogical Magazine 885




Fig. 9. Heteropolyhedral Cu–As–O layers in the crystal structures of zubkovaite (a) and synthetic Ca₃Cu₃(AsO₄)₄ (b, drawn after Osterloh and Müller–Buschbaum, 1994). For legend see Fig. 6.

StadiVari diffractometer equipped with a Dectris PILATUS 300K pixel detector. The measured crystal was small (0.01 mm \times 0.01 mm \times 0.03 mm) and irregular in shape (distorted prismatic).

The crystal structure was solved by direct methods and refined with the use of SHELX-97 software package (Sheldrick, 2015) to R = 0.0719. The crystal data and the experimental details are given in Table 2, coordinates and displacement parameters of atoms in Table 3, selected interatomic distances in Table 4 and bond-valence calculations in Table 5. The crystallographic information file has been deposited with the Principal Editor of *Mineralogical Magazine* and is available as Supplementary material (see below).

The crystal structure of zubkovaite is shown in Fig. 6a. The mineral is monoclinic, space group C2; the studied crystal demonstrates twinning by merohedry Class I (Nespolo and Ferraris, 2000), with twin domains ratio 65/35. The structure is based on trimers of Cu²⁺-centred polyhedra. Each trimer consists of one Cu(1)-centred distorted square CuO₄ [the Cu(1)-O distances lie in the range of 1.919(18)-1.987(15) Å] in the core and two Cu(2)-centred distorted square pyramids CuO₅ [the Cu(2)-O distances lie in the range of 1.903(15)-2.157(16) Å] (Fig. 7a). Two crystallographically independent As atoms play different roles: distorted As⁵⁺(2)O₄ tetrahedra link neighbouring trimers into ribbons running along the b axis (Fig. 8) whereas distorted As⁵⁺(1)O₄ tetrahedra link adjacent ribbons into heteropolyhedral layers coplanar to $(\bar{2}01)$ (Fig. 9a). Restraints were applied to the As(1)-O(8) and As(2)-O(5) distances at the final stage of refinement. Ca cations are located in the interlayer space (Fig. 6a).

Discussion

Among minerals, zubkovaite is unique in terms of structure. Its synthetic chemical analogue $Ca_3Cu_3(AsO_4)_4$ studied by Osterloh and Müller-Buschbaum (1994) is structurally similar but not identical to the mineral. Both are monoclinic with close unit-cell dimensions but the mineral adopts space group C2 whereas the synthetic phase crystallises in space group $P2_1/a$ and has a somewhat denser structure (Table 6). The general motifs of both structures are close, however, details of atomic arrangement in zubkovaite and synthetic $Ca_3Cu_3(AsO_4)_4$ are different, which

Table 6. Comparative data for zubkovaite and synthetic Ca₃Cu₃(AsO₄)₄.

	Zı	bkovaite	Synthetic Ca ₃ Cu ₃ (AsO ₄) ₄
Formula		Cu ₃ (AsO ₄) ₄	Ca ₃ Cu ₃ (AsO ₄) ₄
Crystal system	Mo	noclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	C2		P2 ₁ /a
a (Å)	16.	336(3)	16.609(9)
b (Å)	5.04	105(8)	5.056(4)
c (Å)	9.1	173(17)	8.950(6)
β (°)	117	.388(13)	117.08(5)
$V(\mathring{A}^3)$	687	.0	669.2
Z	2		2
$D_{\rm calc.}$ (g cm ⁻³)	4.10	5	4.30
Strongest reflections of the powder	Measured	Calculated	Calculated*
X-ray diffraction pattern:	7.44, 100	7.463, 100, <u>2</u> 01	7.335, 98, 201
d (Å), I, hkl	3.727, 79	3.725, 40, 311	4.784, 41, 110
	3.334, 92	3.334, 95, 112	3.705, 51, $\bar{3}$ 11, 400
	2.914, 73	2.915, 71, 311	3.311, 100, 112
	2.789, 48	2.790, 46, 511	2.896, 71, 311
	2.765, 50	2.766, 26, 601	2.889, 51, 401
	2.591, 96	2.595, 93, 313	2.768, 50, 511
	2.521, 53	2.520, 69, 020	2.561, 90, 313, 213
			2.553, 71, 510
			2.528, 64, 020
Reference	This work		Osterloh and Müller-Buschbaum (1994

^{*}From JCPDS-ICDD #82-982 - powder diffraction file from the International Centre for Diffraction Data, http://www.icdd.com/

results in the differences in geometry of the trimers (Fig. 7) and an overall high degree of distortion of the structure of zubkovaite in comparison with that of synthetic $Ca_3Cu_3(AsO_4)_4$ (Figs 6 and 9). Probably this causes merohedral microtwinning of the mineral.

Zubkovaite and its synthetic chemical analogue reported by Osterloh and Müller-Buschbaum (1994) can be considered as two modifications of Ca₃Cu₃(AsO₄)₄ related closely structurally. However, they may be distinguished clearly using powder X-ray diffraction data. The measured and calculated powder X-ray diffraction patterns of zubkovaite are very close to one another and differ from that of synthetic Ca₃Cu₃(AsO₄)₄ in both positions (d values) and intensities of many reflections (Tables 1 and 6). This difference is the most demonstrative in the position of one of the strongest reflections located in low-angle region, namely $\bar{2}01$: $d_{\text{meas}} = 7.44$ and $d_{\text{calc}} = 7.46$ Å for zubkovaite and $d_{\text{calc}} =$ 7.335 Å for synthetic Ca₃Cu₃(AsO₄)₄. The refinement of the crystal structure of zubkovaite based on the structure model for synthetic Ca₃Cu₃(AsO₄)₄ given by Osterloh and Müller-Buschbaum (1994) proved to be unstable most likely due to the high degree of the structural distortion, which made it impossible for a structure to be solved in a centric space group.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Vasiliy O. Yapaskurt for assistance in obtaining SEM (SE) images. We thank Peter Leverett and two anonymous referees for valuable comments. This study was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, grant no. 17-05-00179. The technical support by the SPbSU X-Ray Diffraction Resource Center in the powder XRD study is acknowledged.

Supplementary material. To view supplementary material for this article, please visit https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2019.33

References

- Brese N.E. and O'Keeffe N.E. (1991) Bond-valence parameters for solids. Acta Crystallographica, 47, 192–197.
- Britvin S.N., Dolivo-Dobrovolsky D.V. and Krzhizhanovskaya M.G. (2017) Software for processing the X-ray powder diffraction data obtained from the curved image plate detector of Rigaku RAXIS Rapid II diffractometer. *Zapiski Rossiiskogo Mineralogicheskogo Obshchestva*, **146**, 104–107 [in Russian].
- Fedotov S.A. and Markhinin Y.K. (editors) (1983) *The Great Tolbachik Fissure Eruption*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 341 pp.
- Nespolo M. and Ferraris G. (2000) Twinning by syngonic and metric merohedry. Analysis, classification and effects on the diffraction pattern. *Zeitschrift für Kristallographie*, **215**, 77–81.
- Osterloh D. and Müller-Buschbaum H. (1994) Zur kenntnis eines Calcium-Kupfer-Orthoarsenats: Ca_{1.5}Cu_{1.5}(AsO₄)₂. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, **206**, 155–158.
- Pekov I.V., Zubkova N.V., Yapaskurt V.O., Belakovskiy D.I., Lykova I.S., Vigasina M.F., Sidorov E.G. and Pushcharovsky D.Yu. (2014a) New arsenate minerals from the Arsenatnaya fumarole, Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka, Russia. I. Yurmarinite, Na₇(Fe³⁺,Mg,Cu)₄(AsO₄)₆. Mineralogical Magazine, 78, 905–917.

- Pekov I.V., Zubkova N.V., Yapaskurt V.O., Belakovskiy D.I., Vigasina M.F., Sidorov E.G. and Pushcharovsky D.Yu. (2014b) New arsenate minerals from the Arsenatnaya fumarole, Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka, Russia. II. Ericlaxmanite and kozyrevskite, two natural modifications of Cu₄O (AsO₄)₂. Mineralogical Magazine, 78, 1527–1543.
- Pekov I.V., Zubkova N.V., Yapaskurt V.O., Belakovskiy D.I., Vigasina M.F., Sidorov E.G. and Pushcharovsky D.Yu. (2015a) New arsenate minerals from the Arsenatnaya fumarole, Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka, Russia. III. Popovite, Cu₅O₂(AsO₄)₂. Mineralogical Magazine, 79, 133–143.
- Pekov I.V., Zubkova N.V., Belakovskiy D.I., Yapaskurt V.O., Vigasina M.F., Sidorov E.G. and Pushcharovsky D.Yu. (2015b) New arsenate minerals from the Arsenatnaya fumarole, Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka, Russia. IV. Shchurovskyite, K₂CaCu₆O₂(AsO₄)₄, and dmisokolovite, K₃Cu₅AlO₂(AsO₄)₄. Mineralogical Magazine, 79, 1737–1753.
- Pekov I.V., Yapaskurt V.O., Britvin S.N., Zubkova N.V., Vigasina M.F. and Sidorov E.G. (2016a) New arsenate minerals from the Arsenatnaya fumarole, Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka, Russia. V. Katiarsite, KTiO(AsO₄). Mineralogical Magazine, 80, 639–646.
- Pekov I.V., Zubkova N.V., Yapaskurt V.O., Polekhovsky Yu.S., Vigasina M.F., Belakovskiy D.I., Britvin S.N., Sidorov E.G. and Pushcharovsky D.Yu. (2016b) New arsenate minerals from the Arsenatnaya fumarole, Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka, Russia. VI. Melanarsite, K₃Cu₇Fe³⁺O₄(AsO₄)₄. Mineralogical Magazine, 80, 855–867.
- Pekov I.V., Yapaskurt V.O., Belakovskiy D.I., Vigasina M.F., Zubkova N.V. and Sidorov E.G. (2017) New arsenate minerals from the Arsenatnaya fumarole, Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka, Russia. VII. Pharmazincite, KZnAsO₄. Mineralogical Magazine, 81, 1001–1008.
- Pekov I.V., Koshlyakova N.N., Zubkova N.V., Lykova I.S., Britvin S.N., Yapaskurt V.O., Agakhanov A.A., Shchipalkina N.V., Turchkova A.G. and Sidorov E.G. (2018a) Fumarolic arsenates – a special type of arsenic mineralization. European Journal of Mineralogy, 30, 305–322.
- Pekov I.V., Zubkova N.V., Agakhanov A.A., Yapaskurt V.O., Chukanov N.V., Belakovskiy D.I., Sidorov E.G. and Pushcharovsky D.Yu. (2018b) New arsenate minerals from the Arsenatnaya fumarole, Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka, Russia. VIII. Arsenowagnerite, Mg₂(AsO₄)F. Mineralogical Magazine, 82, 877–888.
- Pekov I.V., Zubkova N.V., Agakhanov A.A., Belakovskiy D.I., Vigasina M.F., Yapaskurt V.O., Sidorov E.G., Britvin S.N. and Pushcharovsky D.Y. (2019a) New arsenate minerals from the Arsenatnaya fumarole, Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka, Russia. IX. Arsenatrotitanite, NaTiO(AsO₄). Mineralogical Magazine, 83, 453–458.
- Pekov I.V., Zubkova N.V., Agakhanov A.A., Ksenofontov D.A., Pautov L.A., Sidorov E.G., Britvin S.N., Vigasina M.F. and Pushcharovsky D.Yu. (2019b) New arsenate minerals from the Arsenatnaya fumarole, Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka, Russia. X. Edtollite, K₂NaCu₅Fe³⁺O₂(AsO₄)₄, and alumoedtollite, K₂NaCu₅AlO₂(AsO₄)₄. Mineralogical Magazine, 83, 485–495.
- Pekov I.V., Lykova I.S., Yapaskurt V.O., Belakovskiy D.I., Turchkova A.G., Britvin S.N., Sidorov E.G. and Scheidl K.S. (2019c) New arsenate minerals from the Arsenatnaya fumarole, Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka, Russia. XI. Anatolyite, Na₆(Ca,Na)(Mg,Fe³⁺)₃Al(AsO₄)₆. Mineralogical Magazine, 83, 633–638.
- Sheldrick G.M. (2015) Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. Acta Crystallographica, C71, 3–8.
- Symonds R.B. and Reed M.H. (1993) Calculation of multicomponent chemical equilibria in gas-solid-liquid systems: calculation methods, thermochemical data, and applications to studies of high-temperature volcanic gases with examples from Mount St. Helens. American Journal of Science, 293, 758–864.