# Canaphite a new sodium calcium phosphate hydrate from the Paterson area, New Jersey

**Donald R. Peacor** Department of Geological Sciences University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

Pete J. Dunn Department of Mineral Sciences Smithsonian Institution Washington, DC 20560

William B. Simmons University of New Orleans New Orleans, Louisiana 70148

Frederick J. Wicks Department of Earth Sciences Dept. of Mineralogy and Geology Royal Ontario Museum 100 Oueen's Park Toronto, Ontario, Canada

### ABSTRACT

Canaphite, CaNa<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>•3H<sub>2</sub>O, is monoclinic, space group Pa, with unit cell parameters a = 10.529(5), b = 8.48(1), c = 5.673(4)Å,  $\beta = 106.13(6)^{\circ}$ , V = 486.4(9)Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2. Microprobe analysis yielded  $Na_2O = 16.5$ , MgO = 0.2, CaO = 17.5,  $P_2O_5 = 43.4$ ,  $H_2O$  (by DTA-TGA) = 21.8, sum = 99.4 weight %, which corresponds to  $CaNa_2H_2(PO_4)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$ . Canaphite occurs as colorless, prismatic, lustrous, monoclinic crystals, elongate on [001], tabular on  $\{010\}$  and composed of the forms  $\{010\}$ ,  $\{001\}$ and {100}. Physical properties include: density 2.24 g/cm<sup>3</sup> (2.27 calc.); hardness (Mohs) approximately 2; white streak; and perfect {010} cleavage. The strongest lines in the X-ray powder pattern are (d, I/Io, hkl): 8.47 80 010; 5.44 80 001; 4.36 70 210, 201; 3.06 100 311, 211; 2.608 90 321. Canaphite occurs as clusters of colorless crystals coating stilbite, purportedly from Haledon, New Jersey. The name is for the chemical composition.

# INTRODUCTION

The new species described herein was first noted by the late L. Neal Yedlin and preserved in his micromount collection as an unknown. His micromount collection was bequeathed to the Smithsonian Institution and, during the curation of this collection, the crystals we describe were called to our attention by Herbert Corbett. Because the prismatic, well-formed crystals have a unique appearance, and because they had not previously been observed by us in examination of zeolite assemblages, we initially suspected that this might be a new species. We therefore obtained X-ray powder diffraction data and a qualitative microprobe analysis; these preliminary investigations confirmed that it is a new species and our subsequent study has validated this observation and led to its characterization.

The new phase is named canaphite in allusion to the chemical composition, calcium sodium hydrogen phosphate. The new mineral and the name were approved prior to publication by the Commission on New Minerals and Mineral Names, I.M.A. Type material is preserved in the Smithsonian Institution under catalog #160286.

# **CRYSTALLOGRAPHY**

#### Morphology

Canaphite occurs only as lustrous, euhedral, prismatic crystals elongate on [001] and tabular on {010}. The forms present are  $\{010\}, \{100\}$  and  $\{001\}$  with  $\{010\}$  dominant. Individual crystals

The Mineralogical Record, volume 16, November-December, 1985

are up to 0.5 mm long. A representative cluster of canaphite crystals is shown in Figure 1.

# X-ray crystallography

Crystals were studied using Weissenberg and precession techniques which showed that canaphite is monoclinic with extinctions consistent with space groups Pa or P2/a. Three-dimensional intensity data were measured in preparation for a crystal-structure analysis. These were analyzed for centrosymmetry using the N(Z)test, showing that canaphite is acentric and therefore has space group Pa (R. L. Freed, personal communication). Powder X-ray diffraction data are given in Table 1. They were obtained using a 114.6-mm diameter Gandolfi camera, CuKα radiation, Si as an internal standard, and a polycrystalline specimen. The lattice parameters were refined using these data, and are: a = 10.529(5)Å, b = 8.48(1)Å, c = 5.673(4)Å,  $\beta = 106.13(6)^{\circ}$ .



Figure 1. Radiating cluster of canaphite crystals exhibiting typical morphology for this species. The largest crystal is approximately 100  $\mu$ m in width.

## CHEMISTRY

Canaphite was chemically analyzed using an ARL-SEMQ electron microprobe utilizing an operating voltage of 15 kV and a sample current of 0.025 µA, measured on brass. The standards used were montgomeryite (Ca, Mg, P) and maricite (Na). A wavelengthdispersive microprobe scan indicated the absence of any elements with atomic number greater than 9, except those reported herein. Water was determined by DTA-TGA, which indicated a total H<sub>2</sub>O content of 21.8 weight %. The resultant combined analysis yields  $Na_2O = 16.5$ , MgO = 0.2, CaO = 17.5,  $P_2O_5 = 43.4$ ,  $H_2O = 21.8$ , total = 99.4 weight %. Unit cell contents, calculated using the unit cell parameters and the observed density, yield  $Ca_{2.05}Na_{3.49}Mg_{0.03}$  $H_{4,39}(PO_4)_{4,01} \cdot 5.73H_2O$ , or ideally,  $CaNa_2H_2(PO_4)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$  with Z = 2.

Special care was taken to measure water using a Mettler TAI thermoanalyzer equipped with an Inficon IO 200 quadrapole mass spectrometer for the determination of gases evolved during heating. The sample lost 4.2 weight % during the 3 hour period prior to analysis in which the instrument was pumped down and the vacuum established. During this period the temperature was 21° C and the pressure was reduced from approximately  $1x10^{-3}$  to  $1x10^{-7}$ torr. At the end of the 3 hours the sample was still losing weight at a rate of 0.7 weight % per hour when the analytical run was initiated. A water loss of 17.6 weight % was identified by mass spectrometer, occurring between 80° and 430° C. This was composed of a major loss with a maximum rate of loss at 200° C and a smaller loss with a maximum rate of loss at 310° C. The total water loss was  $21.8 \pm 1.0$ weight %, and no direct loss of H<sub>2</sub> was observed. Between 800° and 1445° C the sample lost a further 47.2 weight % and melted, but O<sub>2</sub> and minor  $CO_2$  were the only evolved gases that could be identified with the mass spectrometer.

The loss of 4.2 weight % water under vacuum represents 0.75 H<sub>2</sub>O per formula and suggests that some of the water is fairly loosely bound in the canaphite structure. The two-stage water loss between 80° and 430° C is difficult to interpret because there is a considerable overlap between the two events; however the approximate ratio of the two peaks is 5.5:1. This represents approximate losses of 2.75 H<sub>2</sub>O followed by 0.5 H<sub>2</sub>O per formula. The large loss near the end of the thermogram was associated with melting of the sample and was produced by oxygen and the boiling off of unknown elements that were deposited on the walls of the vacuum column as the temperature decreased along the path to the spectrometer.

# PHYSICAL AND OPTICAL PROPERTIES

Canaphite is colorless and transparent. The hardness could not be measured precisely, but is approximately 2 (Mohs). The density, determined using heavy liquid techniques, is 2.24 g/cm<sup>3</sup> (2.27  $g/cm^3$  calc.); luster is vitreous on cleavage and fracture surfaces. There is an {010} cleavage which is perfect and easily produced; two other poor cleavages having the apparent indices {100} and {001} were observed in crushed fragments using the optical microscope. There is no fluorescence in either longwave or shortwave ultraviolet radiation.

Optically, canaphite is biaxial (-), with indices of refraction  $\alpha = 1.496(2), \beta = 1.504(2), \gamma = 1.506(4); 2V = 52(5)^{\circ}, (52.9^{\circ} \text{ calc.}),$ in sodium light. There is no pleochroism. Orientation is Z = b,  $X\Lambda c = 25^{\circ}$ . No dispersion is discernible.

#### **OCCURRENCE**

We have no direct evidence of the precise geologic occurrence of canaphite. The mineral was found on specimens of stilbite in the mineral collection of the late L. Neal Yedlin, which were labeled "Haledon, N.J. 8-19-66" in his handwriting. There is no attached rock matrix. The stilbite rests on quartz.

This quartz has numerous rectangular cavities, quite similar to those found in other samples from the Watchung Mountains. Similar molds have been attributed to pre-existing anhydrite and

60	5.06	5.06	200	1	1.840	
40	4.60	4.60	$11\overline{1}$	10	1.784	
		4.58	011			
70	4.36	4.36	201	10	1.725	
		4.34	210	5	1.715	
40	3.94	3.91	120	40	1.688	
60	3.87	3.88	211	10	1.659	
		3.85	111	10	1.640	
40	3.38	3.35	121			
		3.35	021			
60	3.28	3.28	201			
				5	1.600	
100	3.06	3.06	211	5	1.573	
		3.08	311	10	1.535	
60	2.748	2.737	$20\overline{2}$	5	1.525	
2	2.691	2.690	112	2	1.496	
90	2.608	2.608	321			
20	2.529	2.529	400	2	1.474	
30	2.482	2.472	$41\overline{1}$	5	1.454	
5	2.462	2.467	230	2	1.439	
40	2.387	2.383	312	10	1.421	
30	2.307	2.299	$22\overline{2}$	5	1.403	
20	2.207	2.206	421	10	1.382	
20	2.161	2.161	202	2	1.320	
30	2.097	2.094	212	10	1.269	
20	1.998	1.002	132			
5	1.975	1.976	$14\overline{1}$			
		1.975	041			
						-

Table 1. Powder diffraction data for canaphite.

hkl

010

110

001

d(Calc)

8.48

6.50

5.45

 $I/I_o$ 

80

20

80

d(Obs)

8.47

6.49

5.44

d(Obs)

1.927

1.897

1.877

 $I/I_o$ 

10

5

5

glauberite by Schaller (1932) and further discussion was provided by Peters and Peters (1978). These molds lend further credence to the attribution of at least the general locality to the Paterson area. Hence, the only available evidence for the type locality is that gleaned from Mr. Yedlin's notations. Inasmuch as he was a careful collector, noted for taking pains with attribution of provenance, there is a high probability that the locality given is correct.

Haledon, New Jersey, is in the Watchung Mountains of northern New Jersey. The most likely site within Haledon is Braen's quarry where minerals have been collected in recent years. The quarries in the local Triassic traprocks are noted localities for zeolites and the occurrence of stilbite in the area is expected. For a review of the minerals found in the local quarries, the reader is referred to Peters and Peters (1978).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are indebted to Robert Ramik of the Royal Ontario Museum for obtaining the DTA-TGA analysis, and to Thomas A. Peters for helpful discussions concerning the locality. We take special note of the contribution of the late L. Neal Yedlin (1908-1977) in recognizing the uniqueness of this mineral and in preserving it for subsequent investigations, and of Herbert Corbett for calling it to our attention.

#### REFERENCES

- PETERS, T. A., and PETERS, J. J. (1978) Famous mineral localities: Paterson, New Jersey. Mineralogical Record, 9, 157-179.
- SCHALLER, W. T. (1932) The crystal cavities of the New Jersey zeolite region. U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin, 832, 1-90. 父

The Mineralogical Record, volume 16, November-December, 1985