Effects of hydration on the structure and compressibility of wadsleyite, β -(Mg₂SiO₄)

CHRISTOPHER M. HOLL,^{1,*} JOSEPH R. SMYTH,¹ STEVEN D. JACOBSEN,² AND DANIEL J. FROST³

¹Department of Geological Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309, U.S.A. ²Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, U.S.A. ³Bayerisches Geoinstitut, Universität Bayreuth, Bayreuth 95440, Germany

ABSTRACT

A suite of pure magnesian wadsleyite (β -Mg₂SiO₄) samples containing 0.005, 0.38, 1.18, and 1.66 wt% H₂O was studied by single-crystal X-ray diffraction to determine the effects of hydration on cation ordering and crystal symmetry. Separate compressibility experiments were carried out to 9.6 GPa to determine the effects of hydration on isothermal equations of state. Crystal-structure refinements at ambient conditions show cation vacancies order onto the M3 site. The most hydrous sample (1.6 wt% H₂O) displayed monoclinic symmetry with $\beta = 90.090(7)^\circ$, whereas the samples with lower content were statistically orthorhombic. The density of wadsleyite decreases with increasing water content at STP according to the empirical relation, $\rho = 3.470(2) - 0.046(2) C_{H_2O} \text{ g/cm}^3$ (with C_{H_2O} in wt% H₂O). Bulk moduli and pressure derivatives of wadsleyite are $K_{T0} = 173(5)$ GPa, $K_0^i = 4.1(15)$ for 0.005 wt% H₂O; $K_{T0} = 161(4)$ GPa, $K_0^i = 5.4(11)$ for 0.38 wt% H₂O; $K_{T0} = 158(4)$ GPa, $K_0^i = 4.2(9)$ for 1.18 wt% H₂O; and $K_{T0} = 154(4)$ GPa, $K_0^i = 4.9(11)$ for 1.66 wt% H₂O. Variation of the bulk modulus of wadsleyite with water content is non-linear, which may be attributable to softening of the structure by ordering of vacancies onto two non-equivalent M3 sites (M3a and M3b) and an accompanying dilution of orthorhombic symmetry.

Keywords: Wadsleyite, bulk modulus, equation of state, nominally anhydrous minerals, mantle Transition Zone

INTRODUCTION

Wadsleyite (β -Mg₂SiO₄) is the stable polymorph of olivine in the mantle Transition Zone from 410 to 525 km depth. The olivine-wadsleyite (α - β) transition of Mg₂SiO₄ is thought to produce the seismic discontinuity at 410 km (e.g., Ringwood 1975; Jeanloz and Thompson 1983; Bina and Wood 1987). Wadsleyite is a sorosilicate, isostructural with spinelloid III in the Ni-aluminosilicate system (Moore and Smith 1970; Akaogi and Navrotsky 1984). Because of the presence of an underbonded oxygen site (O1) not bonded to Si, Smyth (1987) predicted that wadsleyite could incorporate significant amounts of water as hydroxyl. Further theoretical studies based on crystal-chemical models (Smyth 1994) predicted that up to 3.3 wt% H₂O could be accommodated by fully protonating the non-silicate oxygen. The presence of variable amounts of hydroxyl in laboratory-synthesized wadsleyite samples was confirmed by Raman and infrared spectroscopy (McMillan et al. 1991; Young et al. 1993).

Inoue et al. (1995) synthesized hydrous wadsleyite at 15.5 GPa and 1200 °C containing 3.1 wt% H₂O (determined by secondary ion mass spectrometry, SIMS), close to the theoretical limit of 3.3 wt% H₂O. The water storage capacity of wadsleyite coexisting with hydrous melt decreases above ~1300 °C, but re-

mains as high as ~1 wt% H₂O at 1400 °C and 15 GPa (Demouchy et al. 2005). Because of the unusually high water storage capacity of β -Mg₂SiO₄, knowledge of the elastic properties of hydrous wadsleyite is needed to constrain the potential hydration state of the mantle Transition Zone from geophysical observation (e.g., van der Lee and Wiens 2006).

The incorporation of water as hydroxyl into wadsleyite and other nominally anhydrous minerals (NAMs) generally requires divalent cation vacancies, which can strongly influence physical properties such as elasticity (e.g., Jacobsen 2006) and rheology (e.g., Karato 2006). Water affects melting and phase relations (e.g., Inoue 1994; Hirschmann 2006; Komabayashi 2006), the depth and pressure interval of phase transitions (e.g., Wood 1995; Smyth and Frost 2002; Chen et al. 2002; Frost and Dolejš 2007), electrical conductivity (e.g., Karato 1990; Huang et al. 2005), strain rates and viscosity (e.g., Hirth and Kohlstedt 1996; Mei and Kohlstedt 2000), and shear strength (e.g., Jung and Karato 2001; Kavner 2003).

Because the mass of liquid-water equivalent that may be stored or recycled through the solid mantle could amount to several oceans, the incorporation of water into mantle minerals has implications for understanding the crust-mantle evolution and regulation of ocean levels (Drake and Righter 2002; Bercovici and Karato 2003). Hydrogen has an indefinite atomic radius resulting in geochemical properties that are strongly pressure and temperature dependent. Smyth et al. (2006) and Mosenfelder et al. (2006) showed that the solubility of OH in olivine increases with

^{*} Present address: Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, U.S.A. E-mail: chrish@earth.northwestern.edu

pressure and temperature, reaching a maximum of about one weight percent H₂O at 12 GPa and 1250 °C, suggesting that hydrogen is more geochemically compatible at conditions near the 410 km discontinuity. Therefore, H may be exchanged between the upper mantle and Transition Zone without severe limitations imposed by dehydration melting, maintaining a deep water cycle between the Transition Zone and the surface (e.g., Smyth and Jacobsen 2006). Hydrogen is probably the least well-constrained compositional variable in current geochemical models of the mantle.

Published compressibility studies of hydrous wadslevite are limited to one composition with 2.5 wt% H₂O (SIMS) using powder X-ray diffraction to 8.5 GPa (Yusa and Inoue 1997), who reported an isothermal bulk modulus (K_{T0}) as 155(2) GPa, with pressure derivative (K') fixed at 4.3; significantly lower than K_{T0} = 170-173 GPa, determined in studies of anhydrous wadsleyite by Zha et al. (1997), Li et al. (1998), Hazen et al. (2000), and the current study. Smyth et al. (1997) described a hydrous (2.3 wt% H₂O) iron-bearing (Fo₉₅) wadsleyite with monoclinic I2/m symmetry, but did not report its equation of state. Smyth et al. (1997) attributed the dilution of symmetry from orthorhombic to monoclinic in hydrous Fe-bearing wadsleyite as resulting from possible ordering of cations and vacancies within two non-equivalent M3 sites. Kudoh and Inoue (1999) reported monoclinic pure-Mg wadsleyite with 2.5 wt% H₂O and suggested that monoclinic symmetry resulted from stacking arrangement of Mg-vacant structural modules. The data of Jacobsen et al. (2005) show a trend of increasing β -angle with water content up to 90.125(3)° at 1.06 wt% H₂O, however, Kohn et al. (2002) report orthorhombic symmetry in iron-free wadsleyite with ~ 1.5 wt% H₂O.

We have undertaken a systematic study of iron-free hydrous wadsleyites with varying water contents to determine the effects of incorporated hydrogen on their structures and elastic properties. Samples in this study were synthesized at 14 GPa and 1300 °C using starting materials with consistent cation ratios so that the only major compositional variable was H. Variation in observed properties can therefore be reasonably attributed to differences in water content alone. Unit-cell parameters from well-characterized single-crystal samples were collected to precisely determined volumes of hydration and to identify deviation from orthorhombic symmetry. Crystal structure refinements were performed to observe systematic changes in the structure with water content and determine the degree of vacancy ordering. Single-crystal static compression experiments were performed to determine axial and bulk compression, and to relate trends in these values to the observed structural changes. The ultimate goal is to develop a systematic understanding of the relationships between composition, structure, and elastic properties of hydrous wadsleyite, which can be applied to interpreting seismic observations of the Earth's mantle.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Synthesis

All three hydrous samples in this study were synthesized using the 1200 ton Sumitomo multi-anvil press at Bayerisches Geoinstitut, Universität Bayreuth, Germany. Starting materials consisted of mixtures of forsterite (Mg_2SiO_4), and brucite ($MgOH_2$), with additional MgO and SiO_2 added in proportions to produce a slight silica excess and the desired water concentrations. Final water contents for the three starting material batches (unadjusted for silica excess) were 5.0, 2.5, and 1.2 wt%. Starting materials were sealed in welded 2 mm diameter Pt capsules, and loaded in 18 mm sintered-MgO octahedra with a stepped LaCrO3 heaters. The press used 32 mm WC cubes with 8 mm corner truncations. Synthesis conditions were 14 GPa and 1300 °C to simulate upper Transition Zone conditions; pressure was maintained for 20 h with a nominal 12 h heating cycle. Starting water concentrations and results of synthesis runs are presented in Table 1. Sample SS0401 contained roughly equal quantities of wadsleyite and clinoenstatite + quenched melt; samples SS0402 and SS0403 contained traces (>5%) of clinoenstatite and quenched melt, with melt concentrated at the capsule ends. Water contents in wadsleyite were determined using the b/a axial ratio calibration of Jacobsen et al. (2005). The water content for SS0403 was verified by polarized FTIR spectroscopy using the calibration of Libowitzky and Rossman (1997) and found to be in excellent agreement. The other two samples produced total absorption of IR radiation at the principal O-H stretching frequencies for wadsleyite, therefore only minimum water contents could be determined by FTIR absorption for these samples. Because there is no absolute calibration for water in wadsleyite, uncertainties in water content were estimated at 10% for plotting and fitting purposes. For comparative and normalization purposes, we also performed structure refinements of a nominally anhydrous sample (WS3056) from the study of Jacobsen et al. (2005).

Ambient condition XRD

Peak-position centering was carried out using a Siemens/Bruker P4 diffractometer with a dual scintillation point detector and an 18 kW rotating Mo anode source with single-crystal graphite monochromator. Calibration using a ruby standard was performed for each analysis to adjust for small shifts in the effective $MoK\alpha_1$ - $K\alpha_2$ mixed wavelength induced by the monochromator geometry. For unit-cell parameter determination, eight equivalent positions of at least 12 unique reflections were centered and the cell constants determined from linear and non-linear least squares refinements of the centered peak positions. Unit-cell parameters are listed in Table 2.

Intensity data were collected on a Bruker P4 diffractometer equipped with a Bruker APEX II CCD area detector with v. 2.0 controller software on the same 18 kW generator. Phi and omega scans were performed at set 2θ intervals, covering all of reciprocal space to at least 75° in 20 with at least fourfold redundancy for the hydrous samples, and to 55° in 2θ for the nearly anhydrous sample WS3056. Resolution for WS3056 was limited due to the small size of the crystal. An automated absorption correction routine was performed by the APEX II control software during data reduction. Refinements were performed using the program SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick 1997) available in the WinGX software package (Farrugia 1999). Scattering factors used in the refinements for Mg2+, Si4+, and O1- were from Cromer and Mann (1968), and those for O2- were from Tokonami (1965). Various modeling schemes for oxygen were used, with oxygen modeled as entirely O2- ions or as various mixtures of O2- and O1-. Hydrogen positions were not modeled in the final refinements, as previous attempts produced high degrees of ambiguity in H positional coordinates and displacement parameters, and adversely affected precision of nearby oxygen positions. Instead, H positions were inferred from systematic structural variation between samples with various water contents. Intensity data collection parameters and structure refinement statistics are listed in Table 2.

High-pressure XRD

Lattice parameters of the hydrous wadsleyite samples were determined at pressures up to ~10 GPa by single-crystal X-ray diffraction in a diamond anvil cell using at least eight unique reflections and their symmetry equivalents in both positive and negative 20 positions. A modified Merrill-Bassett type diamond anvil cell (Hazen and Finger 1977) with 500 μm culets and hardened steel seats giving a 60° optical aperture was used. The 20 range was approximately $\pm 10{-}30^\circ$ for both ruby and wadsleyite centered peaks. Crystals were oriented and polished parallel to (111) to allow well-distributed observations in reciprocal space, and to minimize differences in axial compression due to non-hydrostatic conditions. A methanol-

TABLE 1. Starting material water concentrations, resulting phases, and resulting wadsleyite water contents for the three synthesis runs

	59.11112515 14115								
	Starting wt% H ₂ O	Observed phases	Wadsleyite wt% H ₂ O						
SS04(01 5.0	~50% wadsleyite (to 150 μ m), ~50% clinoenstatite + melt	1.66						
SS04(02 2.5	>95% wadsleyite (to 300 µm) <5% clinoenstatite + melt	1.18						
SS04(03 1.2	>95% wadsleyite (to 400 µm), <5% clinoenstatite + melt	0.38						

		P		-
Sample	SS0401	SS0402	SS0403	WS3056
wt% H ₂ O	1.66	1.18	0.38	0.005
Space group	l2/m	Imma or I2/m	Imma	Imma
a (Å)	5.6807 (3)	5.6862 (4)	5.6951 (3)	5.7008 (5)
b (Å)	11.5243 (6)	11.5023 (13)	11.4628 (7)	11.4407 (12)
c (Å)	8.2515 (6)	8.2526 (6)	8.2565 (9)	8.2582 (7)
β (°)	90.090 (7)	90.013 (9)	90.001 (9)	90.000 (9)
V (Å ³)	540.20 (5)	539.75 (6)	538.99 (6)	538.61 (7)
Maximum 20 scanned	80°	75°	80°	55°
No. of reflections	4295	3603	5046	2236
No. unique	790	745	1039	354
No. unique with $l > 4\sigma$	639	604	886	295
R _{int}	0.0288	0.0272	0.0346	0.0403
$R_{\rm f}$ for $l > 4\sigma$	0.0271	0.0353	0.0298	0.0268
R _f for all data	0.0352	0.0424	0.0366	0.0359
GoF for all data	0.979	1.324	1.351	1.261

TABLE 2. Unit-cell symmetry, ambient condition unit-cell parameters, and data collection parameters for wadslevite

ethanol-water mixture (16:3:1) was used as the pressure medium. Pressure in the cell was determined using the equation of state of quartz (Angel et al. 1997). Ambient condition analysis was performed to check crystal quality and to determine starting unit-cell parameters. The least hydrous samples (WS3056, SS0403) displayed orthorhombic (*Imma*) symmetry, whereas the most hydrous (SS0401) displayed monoclinic symmetry (*I2/m*) with β-angles ranging from 90.09(1) to 90.16(1)°. The crystal with $\beta = 90.09(1)^\circ$ possessed low mosaicity and produced the lowest cell parameter uncertainties, and therefore was selected for high-pressure study in the DAC. Sample SS0402 displayed variable symmetry among different crystals, although deviation from orthorhombic symmetry was only slightly greater than the uncertainty in the measurement.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Lattice parameters

Room-pressure unit-cell parameters were measured both outside of the DAC for comparison to previous studies (Table 2) and inside the DAC before the addition of the pressure-transmitting medium for equation of state fitting. Parameters were refined in the monoclinic cell (I2/m) for SS0401 and in the orthorhombic cell *Imma* for samples SS0402, SS0403, and WS3056. Cell volumes vs. water content from the current study and from Jacobsen et al. (2005) are plotted together in Figure 1a. A linear regression to the current data set gives

$$V = 538.62(5) + 0.95(5) \times C_{\rm H_{2}O} \,\text{\AA}^3$$

where $C_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ is the water content in wt% H₂O determined from b/a axial ratios (Jacobsen et al. 2005). The volume increase of 0.17% per wt% H₂O in wadsleyite is similar to that in olivine, reported as 0.18% per wt% H₂O (Smyth et al. 2006). For an idealized structure with exactly one-quarter of M3 sites vacant and 3.3 wt% H₂O, V = 541.76 Å³ and $\rho = 3310$ kg/m³. In comparison to thermal expansion, hydrating wadsleyite to 1 wt% H₂O has approximately the same effect on volume as a temperature increase of 550 °C, using the thermal expansion coefficient determined by Inoue et al. (2004). However, the variation of volume with water content in the current study is offset from values determined by Jacobsen et al. (2005). Figure 1a illustrates the problem of comparing absolute volumes measured using different instruments and experimental procedures. A preferable method compares lattice parameters normalized to anhydrous values (Fig. 1b), which allows us to combine the current sample suite with those from Jacobsen et al. (2005) into a merged data



FIGURE 1. Unit-cell volumes vs. water content for Fo_{100} hydrous wadsleyite (**a**). Solid symbols represent the current study, open symbols are data from Jacobsen et al. (2005). Estimated 10% uncertainty in water contents is shown. The data from Jacobsen et al. (2005) were collected on different instruments than in the current study, so direct comparison of absolute volumes is not warranted. Alternatively, all data were normalized against the near-anhydrous value for each set and plotted in **b** to directly compare the relative volumetric and axial expansions. Triangles = SS0401, SS0402, and SS0403 from current study; squares = data from Jacobsen et al. (2005); circles = samples BT1 and BT4 from Kohn et al. (2002).

set. Variation of density with water content was calculated for the entire suite of samples in this manner, resulting in a best-fit to the merged data (Fig. 2):

$$\rho = 3.470(2) - 0.046(2) C_{\text{H}_{2}\text{O}} \text{ g/cm}^3$$

where $C_{\rm H_2O}$ is water content in wt% H₂O.

Smyth et al. (1997) observed monoclinic symmetry with β angles up to 90.397(9)° in iron-bearing (Fo₉₄) hydrous wadsleyite with 2.25 wt% H₂O. Violation of orthorhombic symmetry was attributed to ordering of H and Mg onto non-equivalent M3 sites normally related by a mirror plane perpendicular to the **a**-axis in the nominal structure. Vacancies in the M3 sites are introduced by substitution of H (which is thought to reside along the O-O edges and not in the M sites themselves) and trivalent (Fe³⁺) cations for Mg (in the case of Fe-bearing wadsleyite). In iron-



FIGURE 2. Density vs. water content for Fo_{100} hydrous wadsleyite. Solid symbols represent the current study, open symbols are data from Jacobsen et al. (2005). Estimated 10% uncertainty in water contents is shown. The best-fit line is shown for the merged data sets.

free wadsleyite, monoclinic symmetry was confirmed by Kudoh and Inoue (1999). If monoclinic symmetry is due to vacancy ordering onto two non-equivalent M3 sites upon quenching, the two sites would have differing compressibilities, and increasing pressure would increase the β angle. Therefore, β angles were refined for SS0401 at high pressure in the diamond cell to track changes in the β angle with increasing pressure, however, no systematic variation was observed. Also, as discussed below, the crystals show signs of strain due to polysynthetic twinning on the orthorhombic cell which further limits the precision of β -angle measurement.

Structure refinements

Refined atomic positions, cation site occupancy factors, and displacement parameters are presented in Tables 3 and 4. Selected interatomic distances and polyhedral parameters are presented in Table 5. Refined parameters for WS3056 are in agreement with Hazen et al. (2000) for anhydrous wadsleyite. Constraining occupancy factors to unity (Table 3) did not alter the refinement statistics or position parameters significantly. Refinements with oxygen modeled entirely as O2- resulted in lower Rf values than for those in which oxygen was modeled as a mixture of O²⁻ and O1- ions. These refinements resulted in occupancy factors in better agreement with measured water contents; therefore, results presented here are based on refinements using only O²⁻ scattering factors for oxygen. Additionally, it was assumed that no Si occupied octahedral sites. Using these assumptions, all three samples show full occupancy of the M1 and M2 sites. Nearly all vacancies were ordered onto the M3 site, with vacancy occurring on 13.6%, 11.0%, and 3.9% of available M3 sites for SS0401, SS0402, and SS0403, respectively. The tetrahedral site is fully occupied within statistical uncertainties for all compositions studied. From these results, hydration of wadsleyite appears to be almost entirely accomplished by vacancy on the M3 site, with disorder onto other sites unimportant over this range of water contents. Sample SS0401 was refined in I2/m, with the M3 site split into two non-equivalent sites (M3a and M3b) at (1/4, 0.123, $\frac{1}{4}$ and $(\frac{3}{4}, 0.377, \frac{1}{4})$. Occupancy was slightly higher on M3a

TABLE 3. Atomic position coordinates and occupancy factors for wadslevite

Sample		SS0401	SS0402	SS0403	WS3056
wt% H ₂ O		1.66	1.18	0.38	0.005
M1	x/a				
	y/b	0	0	0	0
	z/c	0	0	0	0
M2	x/a	-0.00014(17)	0	0	0
	y/b	1/4	1⁄4	1⁄4	1⁄4
	z/c	0.97051(11)	0.97034(11)	0.97005(8)	0.97088(19)
M3	x/a	1/4	1/4	1/4	1/4
	y/b	0.12299(9)	0.12426(7)	0.12634(3)	0.12750(8)
	z/c	1/4	1⁄4	1⁄4	1/4
M3b	x/a	3/4			
	y/b	0.37703(10)			
	z/c	1/4			
Si	x/a	0.00000(9)	0	0	0
	y/b	0.12083(4)	0.12059(4)	0.12012(2)	0.11990(6)
	z/c	0.61574(5)	0.61601(6)	0.61649(4)	0.61705(10)
01	x/a	0.0002(3)	0	0	0
	y/b	1/4	1/4	1⁄4	1⁄4
	z/c	0.2237(2)	0.2214(3)	0.21929(15)	0.2161(4)
02	x/a	0.0002(3)	0	0	0
	y/b	1/4	1/4	1⁄4	1/4
	z/c	0.7163(2)	0.7160(2)	0.71641(14)	0.7165(4)
03	x/a	-0.0003(2)	0	0	0
	y/b	0.01245(12)	0.98816(11)	0.98937(6)	0.98993(16)
	z/c	0.74375(14)	0.25591(14)	0.25617(10)	0.2554(3)
04	x/a	0.2605(2)	0.26118(15)	0.26184(10)	0.2617(3)
	y/b	0.12355(11)	0.12338(8)	0.12297(3)	0.12277(11)
	z/c	0.99419(15)	0.99381(10)	0.99324(7)	0.99216(19)
O4b	x/a	0.7389(2)			
	y/b	0.37644(11)			
	z/c	0.99419(15)			
s.o.f.					
M1 (×¼)		1.0	1.01.0	1.0	
M2 (×¼)		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
M3a (×1⁄2)		0.871 (2)	0.8900(17)	0.9614(12)	1.0
M3b		0.857(2)			
Si (×½)		1.000 (4)	1.0	1.0	1.0
Notes: SS0	401 is	reported in the a	Iternate setting	space group <i>D</i>	2/ <i>m</i> with origin

Notes: SS0401 is reported in the alternate setting space group I2/m with origin shift ($\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}$).

than on M3b, supporting the proposal by Smyth et al. (1997) that the break in orthorhombic symmetry is due to preference for one site of the nonequivalent M3 pair.

Polyhedral edges were examined to identify systematic changes in edge length with water content. The majority of edges displayed lengthening with hydration, consistent with volume expansion of vacant site polyhedra due to the absence of the attracting charge on the site. Four edge lengths were observed to decrease with increasing water content, consistent with the results of Jacobsen et al. (2005). Compared to the anhydrous structure, the shared O4-O4 edges on M1 and M2, and the O1-O1, O1-O4, and O3-O3 edges on M3 decrease systematically by 0.4%, 0.7%, 1.2%, and 0.3% per 1 wt% H₂O, respectively, over the range in water content studied. As noted by Jacobsen et al. (2005), systematic shortening of an octahedral edge with increasing water content suggests a favorable position for H since the positive charge of the H ion mitigates the repulsion between adjacent O ions. However, possible H positions are not limited to the shortened edges, as shown by analyses of FTIR absorption spectra (Jacobsen et al. 2005; Kohn et al. 2002) in which O-H stretching mode absorption peaks are assigned to various other edges in the structure. The current results, however, do appear to indicate a strong preference for protonation along edges associated with O1, supporting the claim by Smyth (1987) that O1 is a preferred site for protonation in the structure due to

TABLE 4. Anisotropic displacement parameters for wadsleyite

Sample		SS0401	SS0402	SS0403	WS3056
M1	11.	0.0137(6)	0.0122(5)	0.0075(3)	0.0050(8)
	U ₂₂	0.0069(5)	0.0080(4)	0.0075(3)	0.0030(0)
	U22	0.0165(5)	0.0146(5)	0.0080(3)	0.0086(8)
	U_{23}^{-33}	0.0028(3)	0.0013(3)	0.00026(16)	-0.0008(5)
	U_{13}^{23}	-0.0007(4)	0	0	0
	U_{12}	-0.0005(4)	0	0	0
	U_{eq}	0.0124(3)	0.0116(2)	0.00676(13)	0.0053(4)
M2	U_{11}	0.0085(5)	0.0098(4)	0.0064(3)	0.0057(8)
	U_{22}	0.0047(4)	0.0066(4)	0.00495(14)	0.0020(6)
	U_{33}	0.0076(4)	0.0073(4)	0.0050(2)	0.0073(8)
	U_{23}	0	0	0	0
	U_{13}	0.0000(3)	0	0	0
	U_{12}	0	0	0	0
142	U _{eq}	0.0069(2)	0.0079(2)	0.00544(12)	0.0050(4)
M3	U_{11}	0.0067(6)	0.0075(3)	0.0054(2)	0.0045(6)
	U ₂₂	0.0106(6)	0.0110(4)	0.00718(19)	0.0043(6)
	U ₃₃	0.0078(5)	0.0076(3)	0.0061(2)	0.0073(7)
	U ₂₃	_0.0005(3)	-0.0007(2)	_0.00084(15)	_0.0012(4)
	U ₁₃	-0.0005(5)	-0.0007(2)	-0.00004(13)	-0.0012(4)
	U	0.0087(4)	0.0087(2)	0.00621(13)	0.0054(4)
M3b	U_{11}	0.0071(6)	0.0007(2)	0.00021(13)	0.005 1(1)
	U ₂₂	0.0120(6)			
	U_{33}	0.0086(5)			
	U_{23}^{33}	0			
	$U_{13}^{}$	0.0003(4)			
	U_{12}	0			
	U_{eq}	0.0093(4)			
Si	U_{11}	0.0063(3)	0.0069(2)	0.0043(16)	0.0035(5)
	U_{22}	0.0065(3)	0.0068(2)	0.00411(13)	0.0025(4)
	U_{33}	0.0072(3)	0.0062(2)	0.00377(15)	0.0052(5)
	U_{23}	-0.00023(17)	-0.0001(2)	0.00002(7)	-0.0002(3)
	U_{13}	0.0000(17)	0	0	0
	U ₁₂	0.00017(19)	0	0	0
01	Ueq	0.00666(19)	0.00665(14)	0.00406(9)	0.0037(3)
01	U ₁₁	0.0068(10)	0.0001(8)	0.0047(5)	0.0040(16)
	U ₂₂	0.0091(9)	0.0099(7)	0.0052(4)	0.0052(15)
	U ₃₃	0.0100(8)	0.0099(8)	0.0003(3)	0.0050(15)
	U ₁₂	0.0008(6)	0	0	0
	U_{12}^{13}	0	0	0	0
	U _{eq}	0.0086(4)	0.0086(3)	0.00544(19)	0.0048(6)
02	U_{11}	0.0090(10)	0.0092(9)	0.0070(5)	0.0072(16)
	U_{22}	0.0070(8)	0.0074(7)	0.0035(3)	0.0019(12)
	U_{33}	0.0068(8)	0.0061(7)	0.0037(5)	0.0062(15)
	U_{23}	0	0	0	0
	U_{13}	0.0007(6)	0	0	0
	U_{12}	0	0	0	0
01	U _{eq}	0.0076(4)	0.0076(3)	0.00471(19)	0.0051(6)
03	U ₁₁	0.0088(8)	0.0096(7)	0.0065(4)	0.0068(13)
	U ₂₂	0.0090(6)	0.0081(5)	0.0048(3)	0.0021(9)
	U ₃₃	0.0005(0)	0.0071(0)	0.0049(3)	0.0005(12)
	U ₂₃	0.0003(4)	0	0	0
	U ₁₃	-0.0002(5)	0	0	0
	U	0.0087(3)	0.0083(3)	0.00538(15)	0.0051(5)
04	U_{11}	0.0075(7)	0.0067(4)	0.0044(3)	0.0048(8)
-	U ₂₂	0.0056(7)	0.0079(4)	0.0051(2)	0.0027(7)
	U ₃₃	0.0085(6)	0.0077(4)	0.0052(2)	0.0077(9)
	U ₂₃	0.0006(4)	0.0002(3)	0.00020(15)	-0.0002(5)
	$U_{13}^{}$	0.0002(4)	0.0011(3)	0.00089(18)	0.0000(5)
	U_{12}	0.0006(5)	0.0003(3)	-0.00016(12)	-0.0004(5)
	$U_{\rm eq}$	0.0072(3)	0.00744(18)	0.00491(12)	0.0051(4)
O4b	U_{11}	0.0074(7)			
	U_{22}	0.0067(7)			
	U ₃₃	0.0080(7)			
	U ₂₃	-0.0009(4)			
	U ₁₃	-0.0006(4)			
	U ₁₂	0.0011(5)			
	U _{eq}	0.0074(3)			

underbonding on the non-silicate oxygen. The M-site polyhedra expand with hydration by 0.5%, 0.3%, and 0.2% per 1 wt% H_2O for M1, M2, and M3, respectively, and the Si tetrahedron expands by 0.2% per 1 wt% H_2O .

Axial compression

Table 6 lists unit-cell parameters for hydrous wadsleyite at pressures up to 9.6 GPa. Linear compressibilities were obtained by calculating linear fits to axial strain vs. pressure. Compressibilities parallel to crystallographic axes (β_a , β_b , β_c) are 0.00147(8), 0.00183(11), and 0.00218(3) GPa⁻¹, respectively, for SS0401; 0.00163(6), 0.00178(3), and 0.00228(3) GPa⁻¹ for SS0402; and 0.00163(6), 0.00159(2), and 0.00220(3) GPa⁻¹, respectively for SS0403. Compression is strongly anisotropic with the c-axis approximately 40% more compressible than the a- and b-axes for SS0403 (~0.4 wt% H₂O), consistent with previous compression studies of anhydrous wadsleyite (Hazen et al. 1990, 2000) and predictive models (Kiefer et al. 2001). With the incorporation of axial compression data from Hazen et al. (2000) and Yusa and Inoue (1997) for near-anhydrous and 2.5 wt% H₂O samples, respectively, all axes show increasing compressibility with increasing water content (Fig. 3), although the compressibility increase may be lesser at higher water contents. For the most hydrous sample studied (SS0401, ~1.6 wt% H₂O), the caxis is nearly 50% more compressible than the **a**-axis, but only 20% more compressible than the b-axis. A possible explanation of the increase in b-axis compressibility is the expansion of the axis with hydration, shown in Figure 1b, due to lengthening of the shared O1-O3 edges of M3 corresponding to the H-bonds. Mitigation of O-O repulsion by these hydrogen bonds may facilitate compression in the preferred overall H-bond direction in the crystal. The a- and c-axes reach limits to compressibility at lower water concentrations,

Bulk compression

Pressure-volume data were fitted to second and third-order Birch-Murnaghan equations of state using the program EoSFit (Angel 2001). For all fits, V_0 was refined with initial value as the room-pressure volume determined by X-ray diffraction in the DAC before the addition of the pressure medium. Weighting was based on reported uncertainties for both pressure and volume



FIGURE 3. Linear compressibility parallel to crystallographic axes for wadsleyite. Squares = \mathbf{a} -axis; triangles = \mathbf{b} -axis, circles = \mathbf{c} -axis. Solid symbols represent the current study; open symbols near 0 wt% are data from Hazen et al. (2000); open symbols at 2.5 wt% are from Yusa and Inoue (1997). Best-fit lines were added to aid in comparing slopes of the data.

Sample SS0401 SS0402 SS0403 WS3056 Sample SS0401 SS0402 SS0403 WS3056 M1 M1-04b 2.0540(13) 2.0540(13) 2.0540(13) 2.0527(15) 2.0500(13) N Ave. angle 1.6501 1.6501 1.6507 1.6503 2.0527 2.0520 2.0933 1.05331 1.0532 1.0531 1.0532 2.0526 2.0933 1.05331 1.05331 1.05331 1.05331 1.05331 1.05331 1.05331 1.05331 1.054 1.044 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>5 1 7</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>, ,</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>			5 1 7			, ,						
Mit Mit <th>Sample</th> <th>2</th> <th>SS0401</th> <th>SS0402</th> <th>SS0403</th> <th>WS3056</th> <th>Sample</th> <th>`</th> <th>SS0401</th> <th>SS0402</th> <th>SS0403</th> <th>WS3056</th>	Sample	2	SS0401	SS0402	SS0403	WS3056	Sample	`	SS0401	SS0402	SS0403	WS3056
M1 M1-04 av4 2.0548(3) 2.0527(5) 2.0500(13) Si Ave. bond 1.6521 1.6521 1.6521 1.6521 1.6521 1.6521 1.6521 1.6521 1.6521 1.6521 1.6521 1.6521 2.6932 2.6932 2.6932 2.6932 2.6932 2.6932 2.6932 2.6932 2.6932 2.6932 2.6932 2.6932 2.6932 2.6932 2.2954 4.80 1.00 1.004 <th1.004< th=""> <th1.004< th=""> <th1.004< t<="" td=""><td>VVL70 FI2C</td><td>,</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.10</td><td>0.36</td><td>0.005</td><td></td><td>, , , ,</td><td>1.00</td><td>1.10</td><td>0.56</td><td>0.005</td></th1.004<></th1.004<></th1.004<>	VVL70 FI2C	,	1.00	1.10	0.36	0.005		, , , ,	1.00	1.10	0.56	0.005
Ave. edge 2.0590 2.0590 2.6920 2.2930 2.2930 2.2930 2.2930 2.2930 2.2930 2.2930 2.2930 2.2930 2.2930 2.2937 2.2944 Ave. angle 10.8 10.8 10.8 10.8 10.8 10.8 10.4 1.004	M1	M1-04a ×4	2.0540(13)	2.0548(9)	2.0527(5)	2.0500(13)	Si	Ave. bond	1.6521	1.6514	1.6507	1.6503
M1-O3 ×2 2.1193(13) 2.1163(12) 2.1190(8) 2.1123(21) Ave. endge 109.393 109.303 100.34 109.103 </td <td></td> <td>M1-04b</td> <td>2.0566(13)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Ave. edge</td> <td>2.6950</td> <td>2.6939</td> <td>2.6927</td> <td>2.6920</td>		M1-04b	2.0566(13)					Ave. edge	2.6950	2.6939	2.6927	2.6920
Ave. bond 2.0766 2.0733 2.0748 2.0708 V 2.303 2.300 2.297 2.294 Ave. angle 108 108 108 108 108 TQE 1.004 1.004 1.004 1.004 V 11.866 11.842 11.831 11.756 PolyeetHall 1.004 2.8458 2.8450 2.8450 2.8450 2.8450 2.8450 2.8450 2.8451 2.8117 2.8450 2.8214 2.8117 2.8024		M1-O3 ×2	2.1193(13)	2.1163(12)	2.1190(8)	2.1123(21)		Ave. angle	109.391	109.39	109.393	109.393
Ave. edge Ave. edge V 2.9350 2.937 2.930 2.9272 TAV 13.715 14.129 14.114 14.922 Ave. edge V 11.866 11.842 11.831 11.756 1.004 1.005 1.0304 1.042 1.014 0.042 1.014 0.042 1.014 1.017 1.011 1.011 1.0111 1.0111 1.0111 1.0111 1.0111		Ave. bond	2.0766	2.0753	2.0748	2.0708		V	2.303	2.300	2.297	2.294
Ave. angle V 11.86 108 108 108 108 TQE 1.004 1.014 1.004 1.		Ave. edge	2.9356	2.9337	2.9330	2.9272		TAV	13.715	14.129	14.114	14.972
V 11.866 11.842 11.831 11.756 OV 13.9952 13.862 14.2918 15.608 Polyhedral edges M2 M2-01 2.090(2) 2.072(2) 2.0583(14) 2.029(4) 03-04a(2) 3.0539 3.0501 3.0469 3.0436 M2-04a x4 2.068(215) 2.098(20) 2.098(13) 2.101(4) 03-04a(1) 2.8491 2.8401 2.8214 2.8171 Ave. edge 2.9483 2.0985 2.0872 2.0982 0.044-04 2.8401 2.8214 2.8171 Ave. edge 2.9483 2.0945 2.0947 10.660 10.06 1.066 1.076 2.02-044 3.0917 3.0955 3.0936 3.0866 OAV 21.401 2.0177 2.023(3) 2.0177(8) 01-044 2.8116 2.9924 2.9837 M3 M3-01 ×2 2.0497(15) 2.0177(8) 2.0177(8) 01-041 2.8172 2.9924 2.9933 M3-03 ×2 2.1097(15) 2.1152(11) 2.12023		Ave. angle	108	108	108	108		TQE	1.004	1.004	1.004	1.004
OAV 13.952 13.862 14.2918 15.608 Polyhedral edges OQE 1.004 1.005 1.005 1.005 1.005 1.001 2.8451 2.8458 2.8403 2.8400 M2-01 2.090(2) 2.0981(9) 2.0917(13) 0.3-0461(1) 2.8451 2.8458 2.8401 3.0469 3.0436 M2-04b 2.0880(15) - 0.098(20) 2.0945(13) 2.101(4) 0.3-0461(1) 2.8494 2.8411 2.8121 M2-04b 2.0893 2.0878 2.0875 2.0828 0.404-04(1) 2.8494 2.8214 2.8117 Ave. angle 106.427 106.472 106.607 M2 01-04b 2.8117 2.0024 3.0917 3.0955 3.0866 OAV 21.401 21.117 2.0794 17.6632 02-04b 3.0917 3.0955 3.0936 3.0866 M3-01×2 2.049415 2.0157(6) 2.1218(16) 2.1310(16) M3a 01-01 2.8772 2.9824 2.9837		V	11.866	11.842	11.831	11.756						
OQE 1.0044 1.005 1.005 1.005 1.005 1.005 2.8451 2.8458 2.8458 2.8503 2.8400 M2 042042×4 2.090(2) 2.072(2) 2.0583(14) 2.0291(13) 03-04b(1) 2.0452 3.0501 3.0469 3.0436 M2-04x 2.0880(15) 2.0981(2) 2.0917(13) 03-04b(1) 3.0542 2.8717 2.8817 2.8817 2.8817 2.8924 2.9924 2.9924 2.9924 2.9924 2.9924 2.9927 2.9924 2.9924 2.9937 Ave. edge 2.9483 2.9465 2.9465 2.9417 106.607 M2 04-04(2) 2.9172 2.8024 2.7980 2.7893 V 12.052 12.027 12.023 1.1978 01-044 2.8117 3.0955 3.0936 3.0866 OQE 1.006 1.006 1.006 1.005 02-04h 3.0917 3.0955 3.0936 3.0866 OQE 1.0060 1.006 1.005 2.11916 </td <td></td> <td>OAV</td> <td>13.9952</td> <td>13.862</td> <td>14.2918</td> <td>15.608</td> <td>Polyhed</td> <td>ral edges</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		OAV	13.9952	13.862	14.2918	15.608	Polyhed	ral edges				
M2 M2-01 2.090(2) 2.072(2) 2.0583(14) 2.0291(7) 0.3-04a(1) 2.8413 2.8482 2.8503 2.8400 M2-04 2.0862(15) 2.0991(9) 2.0930(5) 2.0917(13) 0.3-04a(2) 3.0539 3.0539 3.0469 3.0436 M2-04b 2.0880(15) 2.0988(2) 2.0945(13) 2.101(4) 0.4-04(1) 2.8449 2.8401 2.8214 2.8121 Ave. bond 2.0893 2.0878 2.0828 04-04(2) 2.8470 2.8924 2.9824 2.9824 2.9827 Ave. angle 106.477 106.450 106.607 M2 01-04a 2.8117 2.8024 2.7980 2.7893 OAV 2.1401 2.1117 2.0734 1.76632 02-04a 3.0917 3.0955 3.0936 3.0866 M3-01 ×2 2.0499(15) 2.0417(6) 2.04241(6) 2.9142 2.9112 2.9122 2.9112 M3-04 ×2 2.1117(12) 2.1126(6) 2.1310(16) M3a 01-01 2.8761		OQE	1.0044	1.004	1.005	1.005						
M2 M2-04 2.090(2) 2.072(2) 2.0583(14) 2.029(4) 03-044(2) 3.0539 3.0501 3.0469 3.0436 M2-04a 2.0880(15) 2.0891(9) 2.0930(5) 2.0917(13) 03-04b(1) 2.8485 2.8421 2.8121 2.8425 2.9921 2.9982(2) 2.9981(2) 2.9981(2) 2.9981(2) 2.9981(2) 2.9981(2) 2.9981(2) 2.9981(2) 2.9981(2) 2.9981(2) 2.9981(2) 2.9981(2) 2.9981(2) 2.9981(2) 2.9981(2) 2.9981(2) 2.9981(2) 2.9981(2) 2.9981(2) 2.9981(2) 2.9982(2) 2.9981(2) 2.97893 2.9982(2) 2.9981(2) 2.97893 3.0966 3.0936 3.0966 3.0936 3.0966 3.0971(2) 2.099(1) 2.0177(6) 2.0270(3) 2.0177(6) 2.0204(1) 2.9922 2.9112 2.9122 2.9112 M3.0 M3-01×2 2.0499(15) 2.0117(6) 2.0250(3) 2.0177(6) 2.0204(2) 2.9122 2.9121 2.9121 2.9121 2.9121 2.9121 2.9121 2.9121							M1	O3-O4a(1)	2.8451	2.8458	2.8503	2.8400
M2-04a x4 2.0802(15) 2.0930(5) 2.0917(13) O3-04b(1) 2.8485 M2-02 2.0980(15) 0.0982(2) 2.0945(13) 2.101(4) 04-04(1) 2.8495 2.8481 2.8211 2.8297 2.9702 2.9824 2.9837 Ave. edge 2.9483 2.9455 2.9463 2.9417 2.8024 2.8024 2.7920 2.9824 2.9837 Ave. angle 106.427 106.450 106.472 106.607 M2 01-04a 2.8117 2.8024 2.7980 2.7833 OAV 21.401 21.117 20.794 17.6632 02-04a 3.0917 3.0955 3.0936 3.0866 OAV 21.401 21.1152(11) 2.101(6) 2.1243(16) - - - - - - - 2.9912 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9122 2.9112 2.9112 2.9122 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 <td< td=""><td>M2</td><td>M2-O1</td><td>2.090(2)</td><td>2.072(2)</td><td>2.0583(14)</td><td>2.029(4)</td><td></td><td>O3-O4a(2)</td><td>3.0539</td><td>3.0501</td><td>3.0469</td><td>3.0436</td></td<>	M2	M2-O1	2.090(2)	2.072(2)	2.0583(14)	2.029(4)		O3-O4a(2)	3.0539	3.0501	3.0469	3.0436
M2-O4b 2.0880(15) O3-O4b(1) 3.0542 M2-O2 2.098(20) 2.0985(20) 2.0985(20) 2.0945(13) 2.101(4) O4-O4(1) 2.892 Ave. bond 2.0893 2.0878 2.0875 2.0828 O4-O4(1) 2.8947 2.9702 2.9824 2.9837 Ave. engle 10.6477 10.6450 10.6472 10.6472 10.6472 10.6472 10.6472 10.6472 10.6472 10.6472 10.6472 10.6472 10.6472 10.6472 10.6677 M2 01-O4a 2.8117 2.8024 2.9827 2.9702 2.9824 2.9837 M3a M3-O1×2 2.049(15) 2.017(6) 2.0253(3) 2.017(8) O1-O4a 2.8117 2.8024 2.9837 2.9837 M3a M3-O1×2 2.049(15) 2.0117(6) 2.0253(3) 2.017(8) O4-O4(1) 2.9627 2.9702 2.9824 2.9837 M3a M3-O1×2 2.049(15) 2.01152(11) 2.1201(6) 2.1243(16) M3a 01-O1 2.8703		M2-04a ×4	2.0862(15)	2.0891(9)	2.0930(5)	2.0917(13)		O3-O4b(1)	2.8485			
M2-O2 2.098(2) 2.0988(20) 2.0945(13) 2.101(4) O4-O4(1) 2.8494 2.8401 2.8214 2.8121 Ave. bond 2.0893 2.0875 2.0828 O4-O4(1) 2.8494 2.9702 2.9824 2.9837 Ave. angle 106.427 106.450 106.472 106.607 M2 01-O4a 2.8117 2.8024 2.7980 2.7893 V 12.052 12.027 12.023 11.958 01-O4a 2.8117 3.0955 3.0936 3.0866 OQE 1.006 1.006 1.005 02-O4b 3.0917 3.0955 3.0936 3.0866 M3a M3-O1×2 2.0497(15) 2.0417(6) 2.0250(3) 2.0177(8)		M2-O4b	2.0880(15)		,	,		03-04b(1)	3.0542			
Ave. bond 2.0878 2.0875 2.0828 04-04(2) 2.9627 2.9702 2.9824 2.9837 Ave. edge 2.9483 2.9465 2.9463 2.9411 Ave. edge 2.9483 2.9467 2.9627 2.9702 2.9824 2.9837 Ave. edge 2.9483 2.9465 2.9463 2.9411 Ave. edge 2.9483 2.9467 2.8024 2.7893 V 12.052 12.027 12.023 11.958 01-04b 2.8116		M2-02	2.098(2)	2 0988(20)	2 0945(13)	2,101(4)		04-04(1)	2.8494	2.8401	2.8214	2.8121
Ave. edge 2.9483 2.9465 2.9463 2.9411 Ave. argle 106.427 106.450 106.472 106.647 106.607 M2 01-04a 2.8116 2.8024 2.7980 2.7893 OQE 1.0060 1.006 1.006 1.006 0.006 0.004 2.9112 2.9122 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9114 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9112 2.9114 2.9113 2.9113 2.9113		Ave bond	2.090(2)	2.0978	2.0975	2.0828		04-04(2)	2.9627	2,9702	2,9824	2 9837
Ave: angle 106.427 106.450 106.472 106.607 M2 01-04a 2.8117 2.8024 2.7980 2.7893 V 12.052 12.027 12.023 11.958 01-04a 2.8116			2,0095	2.0070	2.0075	2.0020		0.0.(2)	210 027	2.07.02	20021	217007
Nickarige No. 102,12 100,00 No. 11,958 O1-O4b 2,8116 Local Local <thlocal< th=""> Local Loca</thlocal<>		Ave angle	106.427	106.450	106 472	106 607	M2	01-04a	2 8117	2 8024	2 7980	2 7893
V 12.032 12.033 17.6632 02.04a 3.0915 3.0936 3.0866 QQE 1.0060 1.006 1.006 1.005 02-04b 3.0917 3.0955 3.0936 3.0866 M3a M3-01×2 2.0499(15) 2.0417(6) 2.0250(3) 2.0177(8) 04-04(1) 2.9627 2.9702 2.9824 2.9837 M3-03×2 2.1097(15) 2.1152(11) 2.1201(6) 2.1243(16) <td></td> <td>NVC. ungic</td> <td>12.052</td> <td>12 027</td> <td>12 022</td> <td>11 059</td> <td>1112</td> <td>01-04h</td> <td>2.0117</td> <td>2.0021</td> <td>2.7 900</td> <td>2.7075</td>		NVC. ungic	12.052	12 027	12 022	11 059	1112	01-04h	2.0117	2.0021	2.7 900	2.7075
OAV 21.401 21.117 20.794 17.052 O2-04a 3.0571 3.0573 3.0500 3.0500 M3a M3-O1 ×2 2.0499(15) 2.0117(6) 2.02177(8) O4-04(1) 2.9627 2.9702 2.9824 2.9837 M3-O3 ×2 2.1097(15) 2.1152(11) 2.1218(6) 2.1243(16) O4-04(2) 2.9128 2.9122 2.9112 M3-O4 ×2 2.1117(12) 2.1152(9) 2.1218(6) 2.1310(16) M3a O1-O1 2.8703 2.8821 2.8924 2.9033 Ave. bond 2.0955 2.9950 2.9910 O1-O41 2.8117 2.8024 2.7980 2.7980 2.7983 Ave. angle 107.016 106.929 106.725 106.594 O1-O4(2) 3.0626 3.0806 3.0977 3.1223 V 12.096 12.093 12.053 12.072 O3-O3 2.8818 2.8448 2.8494 2.8518 OAV 16.405 1.005 1.006 1.007 O3-O4(1) 3.0552 <td< td=""><td></td><td>0.01</td><td>12.052</td><td>12.027</td><td>12.023</td><td>176622</td><td></td><td>02-043</td><td>3 0017</td><td>3 0055</td><td>3 0036</td><td>3 0866</td></td<>		0.01	12.052	12.027	12.023	176622		02-043	3 0017	3 0055	3 0036	3 0866
Ode 1.006 1.005 1.005 1.005 1.005 02-040 3.0378 M3a M3-01 × 2 2.0499(15) 2.0417(6) 2.0250(3) 2.0177(8) 04-04(1) 2.9627 2.9702 2.9824 2.9837 M3-03 × 2 2.1097(15) 2.1152(11) 2.1201(6) 2.1243(16) 7 7 2.8821 2.8924 2.9033 Ave. bond 2.0905 2.0907 2.0890 2.0910 01-03 3.0364 3.0252 3.0030 2.9933 Ave. engle 107.016 106.929 106.725 106.594 01-04(1) 2.8117 2.8024 2.7980 2.7893 Ave. engle 107.016 10.6929 106.725 106.594 01-04(2) 3.0664 3.0977 3.1223 V 12.096 12.093 12.053 12.072 03-03 2.8388 2.8448 2.8494 2.8518 OAV 16.405 17.829 19.912 23.058 03-04(1) 3.0539 3.0501 3.0469 3.0436		OAV	21.401	21.117	20.794	17.0052		02-04a	2 0079	3.0933	3.0930	3.0000
M3a M3-O1 × 2 2.0499(15) 2.0417(6) 2.0250(3) 2.0177(8) Q4-Q4(1) 2.9027 2.9027 2.9024 2.9017 M3a M3-O3 × 2 2.1097(15) 2.1152(11) 2.1201(6) 2.1243(16) Q4-Q4(2) 2.9143 2.9128 2.9122 2.9113 Ave. bond 2.0956 2.0970 2.0890 2.0910 O1-O1 2.8024 2.7980 2.7983 Ave. edge 2.9568 2.9570 2.9544 2.9570 O1-O4(1) 2.8117 2.8024 2.7980 2.7893 Ave. edge 2.9568 2.9570 2.9544 2.9570 O1-O4(2) 3.0626 3.0806 3.0977 3.1223 V 12.096 12.093 12.053 12.072 O3-O3 2.8882 2.8448 2.8494 2.8131 OAV 16.405 17.829 19.912 23.058 O3-O4(1) 3.0551 3.0469 3.0436 OQE 1.005 1.006 1.007 O3-O3 2.8457 03-O4(2) 2.9205 2.9099 2.9161 M3b M3-O1×2 2.0521(16) Kas		UQE	1.0000	1.000	1.006	1.005		02-040	2.0978	2 0702	2 0 9 2 4	2 0 9 2 7
M3a M3-O1 × 2 2.041/(6) 2.0250(3) 2.017/(8) O4-O4(2) 2.9128 2.9128 2.9122 2.9112 M3-O3 ×2 2.1117(12) 2.1152(9) 2.112(6) 2.1243(16) M3a O1-O1 2.8703 2.8821 2.8924 2.9933 Ave. bond 2.0905 2.0907 2.0890 2.0910 O1-O3 3.0364 3.0252 3.0030 2.9938 Ave. edge 2.9568 2.9570 2.9544 2.9570 O1-O4(1) 2.8117 2.8024 2.7980 2.7893 Ave. angle 107.016 106.929 106.725 106.594 O1-O4(2) 3.0626 3.0806 3.0977 3.1223 V 12.096 12.093 12.053 12.072 O3-O3 2.8388 2.8448 2.8494 2.8518 OQE 1.005 1.006 1.007 O3-O4(2) 2.9215 2.9205 2.9099 2.9161 M3b M3-O1 × 2 2.0521(16) M3b O1-O1 2.8761 O1-O4(2) 3.0646 01-O4(2) 3.0652 2.9099 2.9161 01-O4(2)	142.	M2 012	2 0 400(1 5)	2 0 417(6)	2 0250(2)	2 01 77(0)		04-04(1)	2.9027	2.9702	2.9624	2.9057
M3-03 x2 2.109/(15) 2.1152(11) 2.1243(16) M3-03 x2 2.1117(12) 2.1152(9) 2.1218(6) 2.1310(16) M3a O1-O1 2.8703 2.8821 2.8924 2.9033 Ave. bond 2.0956 2.9568 2.9570 2.9544 2.9570 O1-O1 2.8117 2.8024 2.7980 2.7893 Ave. angle 107.016 106.929 106.725 106.594 O1-O4(1) 2.8117 2.8024 2.7980 2.7893 V 12.096 12.093 12.053 12.072 O3-O3 2.8388 2.8448 2.8494 2.8518 OAV 16.405 17.829 19.912 23.058 O3-O4(1) 3.0539 3.0501 3.0469 3.0436 OQE 1.005 1.006 1.007 O3-O4(2) 2.9215 2.9205 2.9099 2.9161 M3b M3-O3 x2 2.1117(12) M3b O1-O1 2.8761 01-O4(2) 3.0652 2.9099 2.9161 M3b 01-04 2.8176 03-O4b(2) 3.0542 03-O4b(2) 2.9245	M3a	M3-01 ×2	2.0499(15)	2.0417(6)	2.0250(3)	2.0177(8)		04-04(2)	2.9143	2.9128	2.9122	2.9112
M3-O4a ×2 2.1117/(12) 2.1152(9) 2.1218(6) 2.1310(16) M3a O1-O1 2.8703 2.8821 2.8924 2.9933 Ave. bond 2.0905 2.0907 2.0890 2.0910 O1-O3 3.0364 3.0252 3.0030 2.9933 Ave. edge 2.9568 2.9570 2.9544 2.9570 O1-O4(1) 2.8117 2.8024 2.7980 2.7893 Ave. angle 107.016 106.929 106.725 106.594 O1-O4(2) 3.0626 3.0806 3.0977 3.1223 OAV 16.405 17.829 19.912 23.058 O3-O4(1) 3.0539 3.0561 3.0469 3.0436 OQE 1.005 1.005 1.006 1.007 O3-O4(2) 2.9215 2.9205 2.9099 2.9161 M3b M3-O3 ×2 2.1117(12) M3b O1-O1 2.8761 2.9205 2.9099 2.9161 M3b M3-O3 ×2 2.1117(12) M3b O1-O1 2.8761		M3-03 ×2	2.1097(15)	2.1152(11)	2.1201(6)	2.1243(16)	142	01.01	2 0702	2 0021	2 002 4	2 0022
Ave. bond 2.0905 2.0907 2.0890 2.0910 O1-O3 3.0364 3.0252 3.0030 2.9933 Ave. edge 2.9568 2.9570 2.9544 2.9570 O1-O4(1) 2.8117 2.8024 2.7980 2.7893 Ave. angle 107.016 106.929 106.5725 106.594 O1-O4(1) 3.0150 3.0806 3.0977 3.1223 OAV 16.405 17.829 19.912 23.058 O3-O4(1) 3.0539 3.0501 3.0469 3.0436 OQE 1.005 1.006 1.007 O3-O4(2) 2.9215 2.9205 2.9099 2.9161 M3b M3-O1×2 2.0521(16) M3b O1-O1 2.8761 01-O3 3.0364 3.0469 3.0436 M3-O4×2 2.1118(16) 01-O3 3.0364 01-O3 3.0364 3.0501 3.0469 3.0436 M3-04×2 2.1117(12) 01-O4(2) 3.0652 03-O4(1) 3.0542 2.7492 2.7532 2.7541 Ave. edge 2.9588 <td></td> <td>M3-04a ×2</td> <td>2.1117(12)</td> <td>2.1152(9)</td> <td>2.1218(6)</td> <td>2.1310(16)</td> <td>IVI3a</td> <td>01-01</td> <td>2.8703</td> <td>2.8821</td> <td>2.8924</td> <td>2.9033</td>		M3-04a ×2	2.1117(12)	2.1152(9)	2.1218(6)	2.1310(16)	IVI3a	01-01	2.8703	2.8821	2.8924	2.9033
Ave. edge 2.9568 2.9570 2.9544 2.9570 O1-04(1) 2.8117 2.8024 2.7980 2.7893 Ave. angle 107.016 106.929 106.725 106.594 O1-04(2) 3.0626 3.0806 3.0977 3.1223 V 12.096 12.093 12.053 12.072 O3-O3 2.8388 2.8448 2.8494 2.8518 OAV 16.405 17.829 19.912 23.058 O3-O4(1) 3.0539 3.0469 3.0436 OQE 1.005 1.006 1.007 O3-O4(2) 2.9215 2.9205 2.9099 2.9161 M3b M3-O3 ×2 2.1118(16) M3b O1-O1 2.8761 01-O4b(1) 2.8116 01-O4b(2) 3.0652 03-O3 2.8457 Ave. edge 2.9588 03-O3 2.8457 03-O3 2.8457 03-O4b(2) 3.0542 03-O4b(2) 2.9245 03-O3 2.8457 V 12.123 03-O4 03-O4b(1) 3.0542 03-O4b(2) 2.9245 03-O44 2.7492 2.7532 2.7541 OAV 16.1		Ave. bond	2.0905	2.0907	2.0890	2.0910		01-03	3.0364	3.0252	3.0030	2.9933
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Ave. edge	2.9568	2.9570	2.9544	2.9570		01-04(1)	2.8117	2.8024	2.7980	2.7893
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Ave. angle	107.016	106.929	106.725	106.594		01-04(2)	3.0626	3.0806	3.0977	3.1223
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		V	12.096	12.093	12.053	12.072		03-03	2.8388	2.8448	2.8494	2.8518
OQE 1.005 1.006 1.007 O3-O4(2) 2.9215 2.9205 2.9099 2.9161 M3b M3-O1×2 2.0521(16) M3b O1-O1 2.8761 O1-O3 3.0364 O1-O4b(1) 2.8116 O1-O4b(1) 2.8116 O1-O4b(2) 3.0652 O3-O3 2.8457 O3-O4(2) 2.9245 V V V V V 12.123 O3-O4(2) 2.9245 O3-O4(2) 2.9245 V		OAV	16.405	17.829	19.912	23.058		03-04(1)	3.0539	3.0501	3.0469	3.0436
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		OQE	1.005	1.005	1.006	1.007		03-04(2)	2.9215	2.9205	2.9099	2.9161
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	M3b	M3-01 ×2	2.0521(16)				M3b	01-01	2.8761			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		M3-O3 ×2	2.1118(16)					01-03	3.0364			
Ave. bond 2.0919 01-04b(2) 3.0652 Ave. edge 2.9588 03-03 2.8457 Ave. angle 107.016 03-04b(1) 3.0542 V 12.123 03-04b(2) 2.9245 OAV 16.144 0QE 1.005 Si 02-03 2.7470 2.7492 2.7532 2.7541 Si Si-O4a 2.6349(14) 1.6329(9) 1.6315(6) 1.6310(15) 02-04a 2.6449 2.6389 2.6377 2.6331 Si Si-O4b 1.6315(13) 03-04b 2.6411 03-04a 2.7128 2.7101 2.7075 2.7073 Si-O3 1.6358(14) 1.6376(13) 1.6374(8) 1.639(2) 03-04b 2.7061 03-04b 2.7128 2.7101 2.7075 2.7073 Si-O2 1.7041(10) 1.7020(10) 1.7000(17) 04a-04b 2.7180 2.7160 2.7127 2.7171		M3-O4b ×2	2.1117(12)					O1-O4b(1)	2.8116			
Ave. edge 2.9588 03-03 2.8457 Ave. angle 107.016 03-04b(1) 3.0542 V 12.123 03-04b(2) 2.9245 OAV 16.144 002 2.7470 2.7492 2.7532 2.7541 OQE 1.005 Si 02-04a 2.6449 2.6389 2.6377 2.6331 Si Si-O4a ×2 1.6369(14) 1.6329(9) 1.6315(6) 1.6310(15) 02-04b 2.6411		Ave. bond	2.0919					01-04b(2)	3.0652			
Avec angle 107.016 03-O4b(1) 3.0542 V 12.123 03-O4b(2) 2.9245 OAV 16.144 0QE 1.005 Si 02-O4a 2.6449 2.6389 2.6377 2.6331 Si Si-O4a ×2 1.6369(14) 1.6329(9) 1.6315(6) 1.6310(15) 02-O4a 2.6449 2.6389 2.6377 2.6331 Si Si-O4a ×2 1.6358(14) 1.6376(13) 1.6374(8) 1.639(2) 03-O4b 2.7128 2.7101 2.7075 2.7073 Si-O2 1.7041(10) 1.7020(10) 1.7020(17) O4a-O4b 2.7180 2.7160 2.7127 2.7171		Ave edge	2 9588					03-03	2.8457			
Vit vit 12.123 O3-O4b(2) 2.9245 OAV 16.144 OQE 1.005 Si O2-O3 2.7470 2.7492 2.7532 2.7541 Si Si-O4a ×2 1.6369(14) 1.6329(9) 1.6315(6) 1.6310(15) O2-O4a 2.6449 2.6389 2.6377 2.6331 Si Si-O4b 1.6315(13) O3-O4b 2.6411 O3-O4a 2.7128 2.7101 2.7075 2.7073 Si-O3 1.6358(14) 1.6376(13) 1.6374(8) 1.639(2) O3-O4b 2.7061 O3-O4b 2.7180 2.7160 2.7127 2.7171		Ave angle	107.016					O3-O4b(1)	3.0542			
OAV 16.144 OQE 1.005 Si O2-O3 2.7470 2.7492 2.7532 2.7541 O2-O4a 2.6449 2.6389 2.6377 2.6331 Si Si-O4b 1.6315(13) O2-O4a 2.6449 2.6389 2.6377 2.6331 Si-O4b 1.6315(13) O2-O4b 2.6411 O3-O4a 2.7128 2.7101 2.7075 2.7073 Si-O3 1.6358(14) 1.6376(13) 1.6374(8) 1.639(2) O3-O4b 2.7160 2.7127 2.7171		V	12 123					03-04b(2)	2,9245			
OQE 1.0144 OQE 1.005 Si O2-O3 2.7470 2.7492 2.7532 2.7541 O2-O4a 2.6449 2.6389 2.6377 2.6331 Si Si-O4a ×2 1.6369(14) 1.6329(9) 1.6315(6) 1.6310(15) O2-O4b 2.6411 Si-O4b 1.6315(13) 03-O4a 2.7128 2.7101 2.7075 2.7073 Si-O3 1.6358(14) 1.6376(13) 1.6374(8) 1.639(2) O3-O4b 2.7061 2.7101 2.7102 2.7127 2.7171 Si-O2 1.7041(10) 1.7020(10) 1.7020(6) 1.7000(17) O4a-O4b 2.7180 2.7160 2.7127 2.7171		0 AV	16 144					00 0 10(2)	2.02.15			
Si Si-O4a 2.6369(14) 1.6329(9) 1.6315(6) 1.6310(15) O2-O4a 2.6449 2.6339 2.6377 2.6331 Si Si-O4b 1.6315(13) O2-O4b 2.6411 O3-O4a 2.7128 2.7101 2.7075 2.7073 Si-O3 1.6358(14) 1.6376(13) 1.6374(8) 1.639(2) O3-O4b 2.7061 2.7128 2.7100 2.7127 2.7171 Si-O2 1.7041(10) 1.7020(10) 1.7000(17) O4a-O4b 2.7180 2.7160 2.7127 2.7171			1 0 0 5				Si	02-03	2 7/70	2 7/02	2 7532	2 75/1
Si Si-O4a ×2 1.6369(14) 1.6329(9) 1.6315(6) 1.6310(15) O2-O4b 2.6441 Si-O4b 1.6315(13) 03-O4a 2.7128 2.7101 2.7075 2.7073 Si-O3 1.6358(14) 1.6376(13) 1.6374(8) 1.639(2) O3-O4b 2.7061 2.7128 2.7160 2.7127 2.7171		UQE	1.005				51	02-043	2.7470	2.7492	2.7332	2.7341
Si Orde Z.7128 Z.7101 Z.7075 Z.7073 Si-O4 1.6358(14) 1.6376(13) 1.6374(8) 1.639(2) O3-O4b 2.7128 2.7101 2.7075 2.7073 Si-O2 1.7041(10) 1.7020(10) 1.7021(6) 1.7000(17) O4a-O4b 2.7180 2.7160 2.7127 2.7171	c:	Si 04a v2	1 6260(14)	1 6220/01	1 6215(0)	1 6210(15)		02-04a	2.0449	2.0309	2.0377	2.0331
SI-O40 1.0515(15) O3-O4a 2.7128 2.7101 2.7075 2.7073 SI-O3 1.6358(14) 1.6376(13) 1.6374(8) 1.639(2) O3-O4b 2.7061 2.7127 2.7171 SI-O2 1.7041(10) 1.7020(10) 1.7021(6) 1.7000(17) O4a-O4b 2.7180 2.7160 2.7127 2.7171	21	51-04a ×2	1.0309(14)	1.0329(9)	1.0315(6)	1.0310(15)		02-040	2.0411	2 7101	2 7075	2 2022
SI-O3 I.6328(14) I.6376(13) I.6374(8) I.639(2) O3-04b 2.7061 Si-O2 1.7041(10) 1.7020(10) 1.7021(6) 1.7000(17) O4a-O4b 2.7180 2.7160 2.7127 2.7171		SI-04D	1.6315(13)	1 (27(/22)	1 (274/2)	1 (20(2)		03-04a	2./128	2.7101	2./0/5	2./0/3
SI-O2 1./041(10) 1./020(10) 1./021(6) 1./000(17) 04a-04b 2./180 2./160 2./12/ 2./1/1		51-03	1.6358(14)	1.63/6(13)	1.6374(8)	1.639(2)		03-040	2.7001	2 71 60	2 71 27	2 7171
		51-02	1./041(10)	1./020(10)	1./021(6)	1./000(17)		048-040	2.7180	2.7100	2./12/	2./1/1

TABLE 5. Bond lengths, polyhedral parameters, and polyhedral edge lengths

Note: Angle variance and quadratic elongation for octahedral (OAV, OQE) and tetrahedral (TAV, TQE) sites are measures of the distortion of the internal angles and bond lengths relative to an ideal polyhedron (Robinson et al. 1971).

measurements. Resulting equation of state parameters are given in Table 7, and are illustrated in Figure 4 with comparison to other high-pressure hydrous phases. Bulk modulus decreases with hydration, and all bulk moduli reported are markedly lower than for anhydrous wadsleyite. The trends of bulk modulus with water content and density are not linear, as there is a sharp decrease in bulk modulus between anhydrous wadsleyite and the sample with ~0.4 wt% H₂O, but a more gradual decrease among the hydrous samples. The bulk modulus of ringwoodite (γ -Mg₂SiO₄) was shown to decrease linearly with hydration (Smyth et al. 2004), and bulk moduli of hydrous Mg-silicates (olivine-humite group) vary linearly with density (Ross and Crichton 2001), which as in NAMs is linked to water content. The general trend of hydrous wadsleyite bulk modulus with water content (Fig. 4) was similar to that of grossular-hibschite-katoite (decrease in K of ~ 4.6 and ~3.7 GPa per 1 wt% H₂O) despite little hydrogarnet-type substitution apparent from the wadsleyite structure refinements. The slope of the wadsleyite trend was less negative than that of ringwoodite but greater than that of the olivine-humite series. Wadsleyite and ringwoodite partially share a 2H⁺ for Mg²⁺ substitution mechanism, but ringwoodite also undergoes a coupled substitution of 8H⁺ for 2Mg²⁺ + Si⁴⁺ (Kudoh 2001), so the difference in linearity of the corresponding curves may be due to structural differences and kinetic effects during quenching. Yusa and Inoue (1997) report a bulk modulus K_T = 155 (2) GPa and K'fixed at 4.3 for Fo₁₀₀ wadsleyite with ~2.5 wt% H₂O. This value is equivalent to our reported K_T for wadsleyite with only 1.66 wt% H₂O, but an unconstrained fit to their data yields a lower K_T (144 GPa) and a higher K' (7.6). No systematic trend in K' with water content could be determined from the available data, however recent ultrasonic data for hydrous ringwoodite indicate that K' is elevated from about 4 to 5.3 for hydrous ringwoodite containing ~1 wt% H₂O (Jacobsen and Smyth 2006), similar to the current value of K' = 5.4 for wadsleyite with 1.66 wt% H₂O.

This study demonstrates the need for caution when applying data obtained at pressures ≤ 10 GPa to the Transition Zone. Though high values of K^{*} (>4.0) obtained in this and other studies of OH-bearing nominally anhydrous phases (e.g., Yusa and Inoue 1997; Smyth et al. 2004; Jacobsen and Smyth 2006) accurately model the observed data below 10 GPa, they do not account for possible effects of K'' at higher pressures. Because it is not always possible to observe the influence of K' in volume-pressure plots, we have plotted all the compression data of hydrous wadsleyite in the current study as Birch normalized pressure F_E (Birch 1978) vs. Euler finite strain f_E (Fig. 5). Jeanloz and Hazen (1991) used this finite strain analysis on compression data sets obtained concurrently from anhydrous wadsleyites with various Fe/Mg ratios. They found that all data sets could be described using a second-order BM EoS and that bulk modulus was independent of composition. From Figure 5, it is apparent that this is not the case form hydrous wadsleyite, and that at least a third-order BM EoS is required to model the current data sets. However, a third-order equation of state with K' > 4 results in bulk sound



FIGURE 4. Bulk modulus of wadsleyite vs. water content, and comparison with other Mg-silicate series. The dashed line represents the trend of the grossular-hydrogarnet series. Wadsleyite appears to have a non-linear relation of bulk modulus to water content, whereas ringwoodite and the forsterite-hydroxylchondrodite series were shown to have linear relations. References: hydrous wadsleyite = this study; anhydrous wadsleyite = Hazen et al. (2000); ringwoodite = Smyth et al. (2004); forsterite = Downs et al. (1992); OH-clinohumite and OH-chondrodite = Ross and Crichton (2001); grossular-hydrogarnet = Wang and Ji (1997), O'Neill et al. (1993), Lager et al. (2002).



FIGURE 5. Plots of Birch normalized pressure (F_E) vs. Euler finite strain (f_E) for hydrous wadsleyites. Bulk moduli from *y*-intercepts of linear regressions are 160(3), 153(3), and 149(3) GPa for samples with 0.38, 1.18, and 1.66 wt% H₂O, respectively. Positive slopes of the linear regressions indicate K' > 4.

P (GPa)	a (Å)	b (Å)	<i>c</i> (Å)	β (°)	V (ų)
SS0401 (1	66 wt% H ₂ O)			
0.0001	5 673(2)	, 11 551(3)	8 251(2)	90.06(2)	540 68(16)
0.87(3)	5 653(3)	11 546(3)	8 229(2)	90 19(2)	537 16(20)
1 99(4)	5 661(2)	11 481(4)	8 210(1)	90.04(2)	533 62(11)
374(5)	5 643(2)	11 456(5)	8 177(1)	90.04(2)	528 64(14)
1.62(A)	5.641(2)	11,430(5)	8 161(1)	00.00(2)	525 78(15)
6 95(2)	5.615(2)	11 200(6)	0.101(1)	90.00(2)	510 20(14)
0.03(3)	5.604(2)	11.300(0)	0.119(1)	90.02(2)	519.20(14)
7.40(4)	5.004(2)	11.390(0)	0.110(1)	90.09(3)	516.04(13)
0.10(4)	5.596(5)	11.304(7)	0.090(2)	90.09(3)	510.12(19)
8.50(3)	5.599(2)	11.304(0)	8.091(1)	90.03(2)	514.84(10)
8.90(4)	5.596(1)	11.362(3)	8.087(1)	90.12(2)	514.11(10)
9.32(6)	5.595(3)	11.342(8)	8.082(1)	90.02(2)	512.83(16)
9.58(3)	5.595(3)	11.333(8)	8.076(1)	90.03(2)	512.10(16)
550402 (1	10 w#04 U O	,			
330402 (1	- 10 WI% Π2U	11 520(2)	9 240(2)		E20 97/16)
0.0001	5.001(2)	11.520(5)	0.249(2)		539.07(10)
0.22(2)	5.070(2)	11.510(2)	0.245(2)		539.00(14)
0.40(2)	5.677(2)	11.508(2)	8.240(2)		538.44(16)
2.54(2)	5.651(2)	11.464(2)	8.201(1)		531.27(14)
5.05(2)	5.635(3)	11.409(2)	8.148(2)		523.85(19)
6.05(3)	5.627(2)	11.394(2)	8.130(2)		521.26(14)
6.55(2)	5.621(2)	11.380(2)	8.122(2)		519.52(17)
7.17(3)	5.612(3)	11.371(3)	8.115(2)		517.88(23)
7.64(2)	5.610(2)	11.366(3)	8.106(2)		516.84(19)
8.06(5)	5.601(2)	11.355(2)	8.100(1)		515.22(15)
8.56(2)	5.602(1)	11.345(2)	8.088(1)		514.05(10)
550402 (0	20+0/ LL O	\ \			
330403 (0	5 701/2)	11 464(4)	9 250(2)		520 19(22)
0.0001	5.701(2)	11.404(4)	0.230(2)		559.10(22)
0.64(2)	5.098(3)	11.454(5)	8.231(3)		537.23(32)
2.58(2)	5.676(2)	11.415(4)	8.198(2)		531.12(22)
3.58(2)	5.664(3)	11.393(4)	8.178(2)		527.72(23)
4.83(3)	5.651(3)	11.377(5)	8.154(3)		524.26(22)
6.13(2)	5.641(3)	11.347(4)	8.133(3)		520.60(24)
6.72(3)	5.634(2)	11.344(4)	8.122(2)		519.14(22)
7.34(2)	5.631(4)	11.333(6)	8.111(3)		517.59(34)
7.80(2)	5.627(2)	11.323(4)	8.105(2)		516.38(21)
8.20(3)	5.626(3)	11.316(4)	8.099(3)		515.59(25)
8.54(6)	5.620(2)	11.306(4)	8.091(2)		514.08(22)
9.01(3)	5.619(3)	11.296(4)	8.083(2)		513.01(23)
MCDOFC					
WS3056 (annydrous)	11 440 (5)	0.057(0)		520 21 (1 C)
0.0001	5.698 (3)	11.440 (5)	8.257 (2)		538.21 (16)
0.51(2)	5.694 (2)	11.429 (4)	8.248 (1)		536./6(16)
1.26 (2)	5.684 (2)	11.415 (3)	8.231 (1)		534.10 (18)
2.30 (3)	5.676 (3)	11.396 (4)	8.214 (1)		531.33 (24)
3.52 (2)	5.669 (2)	11.373 (3)	8.190 (1)		528.03 (15)
5.00 (2)	5.650 (2)	11.352 (3)	8.163 (1)		523.61 (15)
5.57 (3)	5.650 (3)	11.335 (4)	8.155 (1)		522.24 (18)
5.88 (3)	5.644 (2)	11.334 (3)	8.149 (1)		521.33 (15)
6.38 (3)	5.640 (3)	11.321 (5)	8.138 (1)		519.59 (23)
7.00 (3)	5.638 (3)	11.312 (4)	8.130 (1)		518.51 (18)
7.30 (3)	5.633 (2)	11.312 (3)	8.125 (1)		517.73 (13)

TABLE 6. Unit-cell parameters for hydrous wadsleyite at pressure

velocities that are higher for the hydrous phases than for anhydrous wadsleyite at Transition Zone pressures. The bulk sound velocity of anhydrous wadsleyite and hydrous wadsleyite (0.4 wt% H₂O) are plotted together in Figure 6 using the current third-order Birch-Murnaghan equations of state. For comparison, we also plot the sound velocity of hydrous wadsleyite assuming K' = 4.0 (dashed line in Figure 6). To illustrate the importance of K", we also calculated a hypothetical equation of state (i.e., not fitted to the data) that satisfies two requirements: (1) it is consistent with observed data over the experimental pressure range, and (2) it results in bulk sound velocities that are equal or lower than anhydrous wadsleyite. To satisfy both requirements, hypothetical equation of state parameters $K_0 = 161$ GPa, K' =5.1, and K'' = -0.1 GPa⁻¹ are required (Fig. 6). Though there is no physical basis for the hypothetical equation of state with K" = -0.1, this exercise is meant only to illustrate what would be

order of increasing water content							
Reference	wt% H_2O	$P_{\rm max}$ (GPa)	V ₀ (Å ³)	K _{TO}	K'		
Hazen et al. (2000)	0*	10.12	539.26(9)	172(3)	6.3(7)		
Zha et al. (1997)	0*	14		170(2)‡	4.3(2)		
Li et al. (1998)	0†	7		172(2)‡	4.2(1)		
This study, WS3056	0.005	7.30	538.22(12)	173(5)	4.1(15)		
			538.21(10)	174(1)	4.0§		
This study, SS0403	0.38	9.01	539.23(10)	161(4)	4.9(11)		
			539.12(22)	164.7(12)	4.0§		
This study, SS0402	1.18	8.56	539.80(10)	158(4)	4.2(9)		
			539.75(10)	159.2(8)	4.0§		
This study, SS0401	1.66	9.58	540.55(16)	154(4)	5.4(11)		
			540.43(14)	160.3(12)	4.0§		
Yusa et al (1997)	25	85		155(2)	438		

TABLE 7. Equation of state parameters for wadsleyite, presented in

Note: Refined zero-pressure volumes are given if they were reported in the referenced study.

* Anhydrous, no Raman hydroxyl peaks observed.

† Assumed anhydrous, no water content given.

‡ Adiabatic bulk modulus.

§ Fixed value, unrefined during fitting

needed to satisfy the observed data and keep hydrous velocities below anhydrous velocities at Transition Zone pressures, as well as to emphasize the need for ultrasonic or light scattering measurements of wadsleyite sounds velocities at pressures above 10 GPa.

Cation ordering

The decrease in bulk modulus with hydration in NAMs is probably due to the large number of M-site cation vacancies required by substitution of OH. These vacancies are initially more compressible than occupied sites, but rapidly stiffen with pressure because of strong O-O repulsive forces in the vicinity of cation vacancy. This is the likely cause of the high K' values seen in static compression studies of NAMs conducted at pressures lower than the stability range of the material in the mantle. In room-temperature compression experiments on wadsleyite, ordering of cations onto a preferred M3 site could result in differing compressibilities for the two M3 sites. The result is that violation of the a-normal mirror plane becomes more apparent with pressure, and therefore the deviation from orthorhombic symmetry becomes more pronounced. Behavior under high pressure and high temperature is not known, since high pressure and high temperature tend to have competing effects on cation ordering. (Hazen and Navrotsky 1996)

Monoclinic symmetry in wadsleyite has been observed in various studies for hydrous compositions, but the monoclinic angle and presumably the degree of ordering is variable between samples with similar water contents. In the current study, sample SS0401 was monoclinic with β angle at ambient conditions ranging from 90.09° to 90.16° for a hydrous iron-free composition with ~1.6 wt% H₂O. Sample SS0402 (~1.2 wt% H₂O) contained some crystals with orthorhombic and some with monoclinic symmetry. All crystals of sample SS0403 (~0.4 wt% H₂O) examined were statistically orthorhombic. Smyth et al. (1997) described an iron-bearing wadsleyite with 2.3 wt% H2O and a maximum β-angle of 90.4°. Jacobsen et al. (2005) observed orthorhombic symmetry in samples with 0.32 wt% H₂O and below, increasing to $\beta = 90.055(3)^{\circ}$ for the sample with 0.6 wt% H₂O, and up to $90.125(3)^{\circ}$ in the sample with 1.06 wt% H₂O. Kohn et al. (2002) report iron-free wadsleyites with orthorhombic symmetry at



FIGURE 6. Bulk sound speed plots for anhydrous and hydrous wadsleyite (solid black curves), and a hypothetical curve representing possible behavior of hydrous wadsleyite at Transition Zone pressures (gray). Curves are extrapolated from zero-pressure Birch-Murnaghan equation of state parameters; data points represent pressures at which volume data were collected. The dashed curve represents a refit of the hydrous data with K' = 4.0, consistent with the anhydrous value. The gray curve is calculated from the fitted K_0 and K', with K'' selected so that bulk sound speeds for the hydrous composition remain lower than the speeds for the anhydrous composition through the pressure stability range of wadsleyite in the Transition Zone. The vertical line represents the approximate pressure at the 410 km seismic discontinuity.

water contents up to ~ 1.5 wt%.

If hydrous wadsleyite is orthorhombic at mantle *P*-*T* conditions, it is likely that differences in quenching rates are partially responsible for the inconsistency in reported β angles. It is unclear if the hydrous samples were monoclinic or orthorhombic at synthesis conditions; however, the presence of strain consistent with fine polysynthetic twinning suggests that they were orthorhombic and that ordering of the vacancies occurred on quench. It may be possible to resolve this question by examination of crystals quenched at different rates.

Sample SS0402 contained wadsleyite crystals with a maximum diameter of ~300 µm. Unit-cell dimensions at ambient conditions were measured for several crystals to characterize symmetry. Roughly half of the crystals were statistically orthorhombic, and half were statistically monoclinic with β angles ranging to approximately 90.1°. To identify possible internal strain, directional scans in reciprocal space were performed on both orthorhombic and monoclinic crystals from SS0402. A representative scan set is shown in Figure 7. Significant relative peak broadening was observed in the c* direction of the orthorhombic sample and in the b* direction of the monoclinic crystal. Strain in the orthorhombic structure may be related to polysynthetic twinning normal to $(0\ 0\ 1)$, whereas strain in the monoclinic structure may be primarily due to distortion of the edge-sharing M3 quartets. Data collection using the CCD area detector revealed no significant peaks inconsistent with either Imma or I2/m indicative of possible polytypism. No relative broadening was observed in the a* direction, but possible peak splitting in the monoclinic sample was observed, perhaps related to violation of the mirror plane normal to a*.



FIGURE 7. Omega scans of the (2 4 4) diffraction peak for two separate wadsleyite crystals from sample SS0402. Black = orthorhombic crystal; gray = monoclinic crystal. Width of scan field is approximately 1.5° . Broadening in the monoclinic sample is interpreted to result from polysynthetic twinning.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by U.S. National Science Foundation grant EAR 03-37611 to J.R.S., the Bayerisches Geoinstitut Visitors Program, and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. Thorough reviews by W. Crichton and J. Burt significantly improved the manuscript. C.M.H. is supported in part by NSF EAR-0721449 to S.D.J.

REFERENCES CITED

- Angel, R.J. (2001) EoSFit, a program for fitting equations of state to *P-V-T* data. Version 5.2. Crystallography Laboratory, Virgina Tech, Blacksburg (http:// www.crystal.vt.edu/crystal/software.html).
- Angel, R.J., Miletich, R., and Finger, L.W. (1997) The use of quartz as an internal pressure standard in high-pressure crystallography. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 30, 461–466.
- Bercovici, D. and Karato, S. (2003) Whole-mantle convection and the transitionzone water filter. Nature, 425, 35–44.
- Bina, C.R. and Wood, B.J. (1987) Olivine-spinel transitions: experimental and thermodynamic constraints and implications for the nature of the 400 km seismic discontinuity. Journal of Geophysical Research, 92, 4853–4866.
- Chen, J., Inoue, I., Yurimoto, H., and Weidner, D.J. (2002) Effect of water on olivine-wadsleyite phase boundary in the (Mg,Fe)₂SiO₄ system. Geophysical Research Letters, 29, 1875, DOI: 10.1029/2001GL014429.
- Cromer, D.T. and Mann, J. (1968) X-ray scattering factors computed from numerical Hartree-Fock wave functions. Acta Crystallographica, A24, 321–325.
- Demouchy, S., Deloule, E., Frost, D.J., and Keppler, H. (2005) Temperature and pressure dependence of water solubility in iron-free wadsleyite. American Mineralogist, 90, 1084–1091.
- Downs, R.T., Zha, C-S., Duffy, T.S., and Finger, L.W. (1996) The equation of state of forsterite to 17.2 GPa and the effects of pressure media. American Mineralogist, 81, 51–55.
- Drake, M.J. and Righter, K. (2002) Determining the composition of the Earth. Nature, 416, 39–44.
- Farrugia, L.J. (1999) WinGX software package. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 32, 837–838.
- Frost, D.J. and Dolejš, D. (2007) Experimental determination of the effect of H₂O on the 410-km seismic discontinuity. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 256, 182–195, DOI: 10.1016/j.epsl.2007.01.023.
- Hazen, R.M. and Finger, L.W. (1977) Modifications in high-pressure singlecrystal diamond-cell techniques. Carnegie Institution of Washington Year Book, 76, 655–656.
- Hazen, R.M., Zhang, J., and Ko, J. (1990) Effects of Fe/Mg on the compressibility of synthetic wadsleyite, β-(Mg_{1-x}Fe_x)₂SiO₄, (x < 0.25). Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 17, 416–419.
- Hazen, R.M., Weinberger, M.B., Yang, H., and Prewitt, C.T. (2000) Comparative high-pressure crystal chemistry of wadsleyite, β-(Mg_{1-x}Fe_x)₂SiO₄, with x = 0 and 0.25. American Mineralogist, 85, 770–777.
- Hirschmann, M.M. (2006) Water, melting, and the deep earth H₂O cycle. Annual Review of Earth Planetary Sciences, 34, 629–653.
- Hirth, G. and Kohlstedt, D.L. (1996) Water in the oceanic upper mantle: Implications for rheology, melt extraction and the evolution of the lithosphere. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 144, 93–108.
- Huang, X., Xu, Y., and Karato, S. (2005) Water content in the Transition Zone from electrical conductivity of wadsleyite and ringwoodite. Nature, 434,

746-749.

- Inoue, T. (1994) Effects of water on melting phase relations and melt composition in the system Mg₂SiO₄-MgSiO₃-H₂O to 15 GPa. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 85, 237–263.
- Inoue, T., Yurimoto, H., and Kudoh, Y. (1995) Hydrous modified spinel, Mg₁₇₅SiH₀₅O₄: a new water reservoir in the mantle transition region. Geophysical Research Letters, 22, 117–120.
- Inoue, T., Tanimoto, Y., Irifune, T., Suzuki, T., Fukui, H., and Ohtaka, O. (2004) Thermal expansion of wadsleyite, hydrous wadsleyite and hydrous ringwoodite. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 143–144, 279–290.
- Jacobsen, S.D. (2006) Effect of water on the equation of state of nominally anhydrous minerals. In H.J. Keppler and J.R. Smyth, Eds., Water in Nominally Anhydrous Minerals, 62, p. 321–342. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, Mineralogical Society of America, Chantilly, Virginia.
- Jacobsen, S.D. and Smyth, J.R. (2006) Effect of water on the sound velocities of ringwoodite in the Transition Zone. In S.D. Jacobsen and S. van der Lee, Eds., Earth's Deep Water Cycle, 168, p. 131–145. American Geophysical Union, Geophysical Monograph Series, Washington, D.C.
- Jacobsen, S.D., Demouchy, S., Frost, D.J., Boffa-Ballaran, T., and Kung, J. (2005) A systematic study of OH in hydrous wadsleyite from polarized FTIR spectroscopy and single-crystal X-ray diffraction: Oxygen sites for hydrogen storage in the Earth's interior. American Mineralogist, 90, 61–70.
- Jeanloz, R. and Hazen, R.M. (1991) Finite strain analysis of relative compressibilities: Application to the high-pressure wadsleyite phase as an illustration. American Mineralogist, 76, 1765–1768.
- Jeanloz, R. and Thompson, A.B. (1983) Phase transitions and mantle discontinuities. Reviews in Geophysics and Space Physics, 21, 51–74.
- Jung, H. and Karato, S. (2001) Water induced fabric transitions in olivine. Science. 293, 1460–1463.
- Kavner, A. (2003) Elasticity and strength of hydrous ringwoodite at high pressure. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 214, 645–654.
- Kiefer, B., Stixrude, L., Hafner, J., and Kresse, G. (2001) Structure and elasticity of wadsleyite at high pressures. American Mineralogist, 86, 1387–1395.
- Karato, S. (1990) The role of hydrogen in the electrical conductivity of the upper mantle. Nature, 347, 272–273.
- (2006) Influence of hydrogen-related defects on the electrical conductivity and plastic deformation of mantle minerals: A Critical Review. In S.D. Jacobsen and S. van der Lee, Eds., Earth's Deep Water Cycle, 168, p. 113–129. American Geophysical Union, Geophysical Monograph Series, Washington, D.C.
- Kohn, S.C., Brooker, R.A., Frost, D.J., Slesinger, A.E., and Wood, B.J. (2002) Ordering of hydroxyl defects in hydrous wadsleyite (β-Mg₂SiO₄). American Mineralogist, 87, 293–301.
- Komabayashi, T. (2006) Phase relations of hydrous peridotite: Implications for water circulation in the Earth's mantle. In S.D. Jacobsen and S. van der Lee, Eds., Earth's Deep Water Cycle, 168, p. 29–43. American Geophysical Union, Geophysical Monograph Series, Washington, D.C.
- Kudoh, Y. (2001) Structural relation of hydrous ringwoodite to hydrous wadsleyite. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 28, 523–530.
- Kudoh, Y. and Inoue, T. (1999) Mg-vacant structural modules and dilution of the symmetry of hydrous wadsleyite, β -Mg_{2-x}SiH_{2x}O4 with 0.00 $\leq x \leq$ 0.25. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 26, 382–388.
- Lager, G.A., Downs, R.T., Origlieri, M., and Garoutte, R. (2002) High-pressure single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of katoite hydrogarnet: Evidence for a phase transition from Ia3d → I43d symmetry at 5 GPa. American Mineralogist, 87, 642–647.

- Li, B., Liebermann, R.C., and Weidner, D. (1998) Elastic Moduli of Wadsleyite (β-Mg₂SiO₄) to 7 Gigapascals and 873 Kelvin. Science, 281, 675–677.
- Libowitzky, E. and Rossman, G.R. (1997) An IR absorption calibration for water in minerals. American Mineralogist, 82, 1111–1115.
- McMillan, P.F., Akaogi, M., Sato, R.K., Poe, B., and Foley, J. (1991) Hydroxyl groups in β-Mg₂SiO₄. American Mineralogist, 76, 354–360.
- Mei, S. and Kohlstedt, D.L. (2000) Influence of water on plastic deformation of olivine aggregates. Journal of Geophysical Research, 105, 21457–21481.
- Moore, P.B. and Smith, J.V. (1970) Crystal structure of β -Mg₂SiO₄: Crystalchemical and geophysical implications. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 3, 166–177.
- Mosenfelder, J.L., Deligne, N.I., Asimow, P.D., and Rossman, G.R. (2006) Hydrogen incorporation in olivine from 2–12 GPa. American Mineralogist, 91, 285–294.
- O'Neill, B., Bass, J.D., and Rossman, G.R. (1993) Elastic properties of hydrogrossular garnet and implications for water in the upper mantle. Journal of Geophysical Research, 98, B11, 20031–20037.
- Ringwood, A.E. (1975) Composition and petrology of the Earth's mantle. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Robinson, K., Gibbs, G.V., and Ribbe, P.H. (1971) Quadratic elongation: a quantitative measure of distortion in coordination polyhedra. Science, 172, 567–570.
- $\label{eq:Ross} N.L. \ and \ Crichton, W.A. (2001) \ Compression \ of \ synthetic \ hydroxylclinohumite \ [Mg_9Si_4O_{16}(OH)_2] \ and \ hydroxylchondrodite \ [Mg_5Si_2O_8(OH)_2]. \ American \ Mineralogist, \ 86, \ 990-996.$
- Smyth, J.R. (1987) β-Mg₂SiO₄; a potential host for water in the mantle? American Mineralogist, 72, 1051–1055.
- ——(1994) A crystallographic model for hydrous wadsleyite (β-Mg₂SiO₄): an ocean in the Earth's interior? American Mineralogist, 79, 1021–1024.
- Smyth, J.R. and Frost, D.J. (2002) The Effect of Water on the 410-km Discontinuity: An experimental study. Geophysical Research Letters, 292, 101485, DOI: 10.1029/2001GL014418.
- Smyth, J.R. and Jacobsen, S.D. (2006) Nominally anhydrous minerals and the Earth's deep water cycle. In S.D. Jacobsen and S. van der Lee, Eds., Earth's Deep Water Cycle, 168, p. 1–11. American Geophysical Union, Geophysical Monograph Series, Washington, D.C.

- Smyth, J.R., Kawamoto, T., Jacobsen, S.D., Swope, R.J., Hervig, R.L., and Holloway, J.R. (1997) Crystal structure of monoclinic hydrous wadsleyite. American Mineralogist, 82, 270–275.
- Smyth, J.R., Holl, C.M., Frost, D.J., and Jacobsen, S.D. (2004) High pressure crystal chemistry of hydrous ringwoodite and water in the Earth's interior. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 143–144, 271–278.
- Smyth, J.R., Frost, D.J., and Nestola, F. (2006) Olivine hydration in the deep upper mantle: Effects of temperature and silica activity. Geophysical Research Letters, 33, L15301.
- Tokonami, M. (1965) Atomic scattering factor for O²⁻. Acta Crystallographica, 19, 486.
- van der Lee, S. and Wiens, D.A. (2006) Seismological constraints on Earth's deep water cycle. In S.D. Jacobsen and S. van der Lee, Eds., Earth's Deep Water Cycle, 168, p. 13–27. American Geophysical Union, Geophysical Monograph Series, Washington, D.C.
- Wang, Z. and Ji, S. (2001) Elasticity of six polycrystalline silicate garnets at pressure up to 3.0 GPa. American Mineralogist, 86, 1209–1218.
- Wood, B.J. (1995) The effect of H₂O on the 410-kilometer seismic discontinuity. Science, 268, 74–76.
- Young, E.T., Green, H.W., Hofmeister, A.M., and Walker, D. (1993) Infrared spectroscopic investigation of hydroxyl in β-(Mg, Fe)₂SiO₄ and coexisting olivine: implications for mattle evolution and dynamics. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 19, 409–422.
- Yusa, H. and Inoue, T. (1997) Compressibility of hydrous wadsleyite (β-phase) in Mg₂SiO₄ by high pressure X-ray diffraction. Geophysical Research Letters, 24, 1831–1834.
- Zha, C-S., Duffy, T.S., Mao, H-K., Downs, R.T., Hemley, R.J., and Weidner, D.J. (1997) Single-crystal elasticity of β-Mg,SiO, to the pressure of the 410 km seismic discontinuity in the Earth's mantle. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 147, E9–E15.

MANUSCRIPT RECEIVED FEBRUARY 21, 2007 MANUSCRIPT ACCEPTED OCTOBER 12, 2007 MANUSCRIPT HANDLED BY GEORGE LAGER