# **REFINEMENT OF THE CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF TADZHIKITE**

### FRANK C. HAWTHORNE<sup>1</sup> AND MARK A. COOPER

Department of Geological Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3T 2N2

### MATTHEW C. TAYLOR

Department of Earth Sciences, University of California, Riverside, California 92521, U.S.A.

#### Abstract

The crystal structure of tadzhikite, monoclinic, a 19.058(4), b 4.729(1), c 10.321(2) Å, B 111.39(1)°, V 866.1(3) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2, space group P2/a, has been refined to an R index of 4.9% for 1702 observed reflections measured with MoK $\alpha$  X-radiation. The crystal used to collect the X-ray-diffraction data was subsequently analyzed with an electron microprobe. Tadzhikite is isostructural with hellandite, but shows strong positional disorder of the *REE* cations that is presumably related to partial metamictization. Bond-valence analysis shows that the structure contains essential H as OH, and site populations were assigned on the basis of the refined site-scattering values and the results of electron-microprobe analysis. The general formula of tadzhikite can be written as Ca<sub>2</sub>(Ca, Y)<sub>2</sub>(Ti<sup>4+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>)(R<sup>3+</sup>,  $\Box$ )<sub>2</sub>[B<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>4</sub>O<sub>16</sub>(O,OH)<sub>6</sub>](OH)<sub>2</sub>.

Keywords: tadzhikite, crystal-structure refinement, electron-microprobe analysis, hellandite, metamictization.

#### Sommaire

Nous avons affiné la structure cristalline de la tadzhikite [monoclinique, a 19.058(4), b 4.729(1), c 10.321(2) Å, ß 111.39(1)°, V 866.1(3) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2, groupe spatial P2/a] jusqu'à un résidu R de 4.9% en utilisant 1702 réflexions observées mesurées avec rayonnement MoK $\alpha$ . Le cristal qui a servi a ensuite été analysé avec une microsonde électronique. La tadzhikite est isostructurale avec la hellandite, mais elle fait preuve d'un désordre de position important impliquant les atomes de terres rares, que nous attribuons à une métamictisation partielle. Une analyse des valences de liaison montre que le minéral possède des atomes de H sous forme de groupes OH. Les atomes ont été répartis selon la dispersion affinée des rayons X associée aux divers sites et les résultats des analyses chimiques. On peut exprimer ainsi la formule générale de la tadzhikite: Ca<sub>2</sub>(Ca, Y)<sub>2</sub>(Ti<sup>4+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>)( $R^{3+}, \Box$ )<sub>2</sub>[B<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>4</sub>O<sub>16</sub>(O,OH)<sub>6</sub>](OH)<sub>2</sub>.

(Traduit par la Rédaction)

Mots-clés: tadzhikite, affinement de la structure cristalline, données de microsonde électronique, hellandite, métamictisation.

#### INTRODUCTION

Tadzhikite is a borosilicate mineral first described by Efimov *et al.* (1970), who proposed that it is structurally related to hellandite. Mellini & Merlino (1977) solved the crystal structure of hellandite, assigned it the formula  $[Ca_{5.5}(Y,REE)_{5.0}\Box_{1.5}]$ (Al,Fe<sup>3+</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub>[Si<sub>8</sub>B<sub>8</sub>O<sub>40</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub>], and suggested the formula Ca<sub>7</sub>(Y,REE)<sub>5</sub>(Al,Ti)<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub>[Si<sub>8</sub>B<sub>8</sub>O<sub>44</sub>] or Ca<sub>7</sub>(Y,REE)<sub>5</sub>(Al,Ti)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>[Si<sub>8</sub>B<sub>8</sub>O<sub>44</sub>] for tadzhikite, noting that Efimov *et al.* (1970) reported tadzhikite as anhydrous. Tadzhikite is partly metamict owing to  $\alpha$ -decay damage from small amounts of U in the structure. Chernitsova *et al.* (1983) heated tadzhikite to 700°C and then refined the crystal structure, subsequently assigning the formula  $Ca_{6.36}(Y,REE)_{4.40}$ (Ti,Fe<sup>3+</sup>,Al)<sub>2.22</sub>B<sub>8.08</sub>(Si,Al)<sub>8</sub>O<sub>44</sub>. This formula is anhydrous, but has a number of anions different from that in the anhydrous formula suggested by Mellini & Merlino (1977). It is not clear if this difference relates to the difficulty in dealing with such a chemically complicated mineral as tadzhikite, or if the difference relates to the fact that tadzhikite was heated prior to the X-ray investigation of Chernitsova *et al.* (1983). In a synthesis of the crystal chemistry of B-bearing minerals, Hawthorne *et al.* (1996) drew attention to this problem, and the current work on crystals from Dara-i-Pioz, Tadzhikistan, was done in order to resolve this issue.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> E-mail address: frank\_hawthorne@umanitoba.ca

#### EXPERIMENTAL

Tadzhikite crystals examined vary in translucence; we assumed that this variation is due to the effect of metamictization, and selected the clearest crystal for collection of X-ray intensity data. The crystal was mounted on a Nicolet R3m (updated to Siemens P4) automated four-circle diffractometer; twenty-nine reflections were aligned using MoK $\alpha$  X-radiation. The cell dimensions were determined by least-squares refinement of the setting angles, and the values are given in Table 1. A total of 5237 intensities was

TABLE 1. TADZHIKITE: CRYSTAL DATA AND REFINEMENT INFORMATION

a (Å)	10.058(4)	Covetal size (mm)	0 13 2 0 21 2 0 31
a (A)	19.000(4)	Crystal Size (mm)	0.13 x 0.21 x 0.01
Ь	4.729(1)	Radiation	ΜοΚα
C	10.321(2)	Total unique  F <sub>e</sub>	2548
β (°)	111.39(1)	No. $ F_0  > 5\sigma$	1702
V (ų)	861.1(3)	R(obs) %	4.9
Sp. Gr.	P2/a	wR(obs) %	5.7
Unit cell co $R = \Sigma( F_0  - WR = [\Sigma W($	ontents: 2[Ca₂(Ca,Y)₂( -[ <i>F</i> <sub>c</sub> ])/Σ  <i>F</i> <sub>0</sub>     <i>F</i> <sub>0</sub> ]−[ <i>F</i> <sub>c</sub> ])²/Σ <i>F</i> <sup>2</sup> 2] <sup>16</sup> , <i>W</i> = 1	Մ <sup>₄+</sup> ,Fe <sup>3+</sup> )(R <sup>3+</sup> ,⊏)₂[B₄Si₄O <sub>16</sub> (C 1	9,OH)₀](OH)₂]

collected over two asymmetric units with the index ranges  $-25 \le h \le 24$ ,  $0 \le k \le 6$ ,  $0 \le l \le 14$  according to the procedures of Hawthorne & Groat (1985). A psi-scan absorption correction reduced *R*(azimuthal) from 9.4 to 4.4%, with the crystal modeled as a triaxial ellipsoid; application of this absorption correction to the intensity data, averaging into one asymmetric unit, and making the usual geometrical corrections, resulted in 2548 unique reflections, of which 1702 were considered as observed (greater than  $5\sigma$  above background).

All calculations were done with the SHELXTL PC(PLUS) system of programs; R indices are of the form given in Table 1. Using the atomic parameters of Chernitsova et al. (1983), the structure of tadzhikite was refined to an R index of 4.9%. All atoms were represented with anisotropic displacements except for the REE-sites (designated as RE-sites). The atoms at these sites show strong positional disorder, with site separations in the range 0.48-0.98 Å. As it was necessary to refine both positional and site-scattering parameters for these very close sites, it was necessary to use isotropic displacements and to constrain the isotropic displacements at all RE-sites to be identical; only in this way could variable correlation be damped sufficiently to obtain a stable refinement. Final positions and displacement parameters are listed in Table 2, selected interatomic distances are given in Table 3, and refined site-scattering values are given in Table 4; structure factors may be obtained from The Depository of Unpublished Data, CISTI, National Research Council, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0S2.

Subsequent to the collection of the X-ray intensity data, the crystal was analyzed by electron microprobe. A Cameca SX-50 instrument was operated in wavelength-dispersion mode at 15 or 20 kV and 20 nA using the following standards: albite (Na), diopside (Ca, Si), titanite (Ti), fayalite (Fe), YAG (Y), UO<sub>2</sub> (U), ThO<sub>2</sub> (Th), forsterite (Mg), riebeckite (F), spessartine (Mn), Sc metal (Sc), SrTiO<sub>3</sub> (Sr), kyanite (Al), zircon

TABLE 2. ATOMIC POSITIONS AND DISPLACEMENT FACTORS\* FOR TADZHIKITE

	x	У	z	U <sub>11</sub>	U22	U <sub>33</sub>	U <sub>23</sub>	U13	U12	U <sub>eq</sub>
<i>Si</i> (1)	0.1025(2)	0.4847(8)	0.6480(3)	19(1)	28(2)	13(1)	0(1)	6(1)	0(1)	20(1)
Si(2)	0.3870(2)	0.4963(8)	0.8365(3)	19(2)	27(2)	12(1)	0(1)	6(1)	1(1)	20(1)
Π	0	0	0	19(1)	30(2)	14(1)	-3(1)	2(1)	1(1)	22(1)
B(1)	0.1724(6)	0.5238(28)	0.4515(9)	15(4)	27(6)	9(4)	1(4)	4(3)	2(5)	17(3)
B(2)	0.2465(6)	0.4582(25)	0.8650(10)	17(5)	22(5)	8(4)	4(4)	5(3)	1(4)	15(3)
Ca(1)	0.2521(1)	0.0011(5)	0.3402(2)	22(1)	29(1)	11(1)	1(1)	7(1)	0(1)	21(1)
Ca(2)	0.3443(1)	0.9615(4)	0.0703(1)	17(1)	30(1)	13(1)	-1(1)	7(1)	0(1)	20(1)
RE(1)	0.0385(9)	0.0147(16)	0.3593(5)	_	_	-	_	_	_	11(1)
RE(2)	-0.0475(7)	0.0809(45)	0.6369(10)	_	_	_	· _	-	_	11(1)
RE(3)	0.0371(8)	0.1225(52)	0.3585(12)	-	-	-	-	-	_	11(1)
RE(4)	0.0474(11)	0.0230(16)	0.3628(5)	_	-	-	_	-		11(1)
O(1)	0.4567(5)	0.2525(9)	0.4341(8)	30(4)	32(4)	16(3)	2(3)	9(3)	5(4)	26(3)
O(2)	0.3226(5)	0.3155(19)	0.2430(8)	24(4)	34(5)	20(4)	2(3)	6(3)	0(4)	27(3)
O(3)	0.0719(4)	0.7002(19)	0.7329(8)	29(4)	36(5)	20(4)	-1(3)	5(3)	10(4)	30(3)
O(4)	0.1329(5)	0.6660(18)	0.5416(8)	29(4)	32(4)	21(4)	2(3)	13(3)	-1(4)	26(3)
O(5)	0.4619(5)	0.1964(20)	0.1308(8)	32(4)	43(5)	19(4)	3(4)	11(3)	7(4)	31(3)
O(6)	0.2537(5)	0.7545(19)	0.1398(8)	29(4)	36(5)	19(4)	2(3)	11(3)	2(4)	27(3)
O(7)	0.1673(5)	0.2288(19)	0.4473(8)	22(4)	37(5)	17(3)	0(3)	6(3)	-4(4)	26(3)
O(8)	0.1330(5)	0.6713(20)	0.3096(8)	36(5)	35(5)	14(3)	2(3)	4(3)	2(4)	30(3)
O(9)	0.3125(5)	0.3286(20)	0.8392(8)	25(4)	37(5)	28(4)	5(4)	13(3)	-4(4)	29(3)
O(10)	0.0864(4)	0.7294(20)	0.0431(8)	22(4)	38(5)	20(4)	3(3)	7(3)	2(4)	27(3)
O(11)	0.0538(5)	0.2578(20)	0.1584(8)	28(4)	38(5)	18(3)	-1(3)	8(3)	-10(4)	28(3)
O(12)	1/4	0.3315(27)	0	37(7)	38(7)	10(4)	0	8(4)	0	29(4)
O(13)	1/4	0.6494(26)	1/2	25(6)	32(6)	24(5)	0	10(4)	0	27(4)

\* U is multiplied by 103

TABLE 3. SELECTED INTERATOMIC DISTANCES (Å) IN TADZHIKITE

<i>Si</i> (1)–O(1)a		1.581(9)	<i>B</i> (1)–O(4)	1.547(16)
<i>Si</i> (1)O(2)a		1.666(8)	B(1)O(7)	1.398(16)
<i>Si</i> (1)–O(3)		1.587(10)	<i>B</i> (1)–O(8)	1.547(12)
<i>Si</i> (1)O(4)		1.656(10)	B(1)-O(13)	1.501(12)
< <i>Si</i> (1)–O>		1.623	< <i>B</i> (1)–O>	1.498
<i>Si</i> (2)–O(8)a		1.639(9)	B(2)-O(2)	1.536(12)
<i>Si</i> (2)–O(9)		1.636(10)	B(2)-O(6)	1.402(15)
<i>Si</i> (2)–O(10)a		1.599(9)	B(2)-O(9)	1.508(16)
<i>Si</i> (2)O(11)a		1.582(10)	B(2)-O(12)	1.496(12)
< <i>Si</i> (2)–O>		1.614	< <i>B</i> (2)–O>	1.486
Ca(1)O(2)		2.450(10)	Ca(2)O(2)e	2.586(9)
<i>Ca</i> (1)–O(4)b		2.618(8)	<i>Ca</i> (2)–O(3)a	2.416(8)
Ca(1)O(6)c		2.384(9)	Ca(2)O(5)e	2.372(9)
Ca(1)O(7)		2.509(10)	Ca(2)-O(6)	2.314(10)
<i>Ca</i> (1)–O(7)a		2.423(7)	Ca(2)-O(6)f	2.489(7)
Ca(1)O(8)c		2.677(10)	Ca(2)O(9)g	2.831(9)
<i>Ca</i> (1)–O(9)a		2.384(8)	Ca(2)O(10)f	2.333(10)
Ca(1)-O(13)d		2.353(8)	Ca(2)-O(12)f	2.422(9)
< <i>Ca</i> (1)0>		2.475	< <i>Ca</i> (2)–O>	2.470
77O(5)f	x2	1.982(10)	<i>RE</i> (1)– <i>RE</i> (2)h	0.48(2)
<i>Ti</i> –O(10)c	x2	2.004(9)	RE(1)-RE(3)	0.51(2)
<i>Tĩ</i> –O(11)	x2	1.997(8)	RE(1)-RE(4)	0.16(2)
< <i>Ti</i> -0>		1.994	<i>RE</i> (2)— <i>RE</i> (3)h	0.98(3)
			<i>RE</i> (2)– <i>RE</i> (4)h	0.49(2)
			RE(3)-RE(4)	0.51(3)
<i>RE</i> (1)–O(1)a		2.384(11)	<i>RE</i> (2)O(1)a	2.260(18)
<i>RE</i> (1)–O(1)i		2.345(18)	<i>RE</i> (2)–O(1)i	2.646(18)
<i>RE</i> (1)–O(3)j		2.386(17)	<i>RE</i> (2)–O(3)c	2.785(19)
RE(1)-O(4)c		2.650(12)	<i>RE</i> (2)–O(4)j	2.300(16)
<i>RE</i> (1)–O(5)i		2.485(10)	<i>RE</i> (2)–O(5)a	2.419(13)
RE(1)-O(7)		2.499(18)	<i>RE</i> (2)–O(7)k	2.582(18)
RE(1)-O(8)c		2.609(18)	<i>RE</i> (2)–O(8)j	2.233(19)
<i>RE</i> (1)–O(11)		2.478(13)	RE(2)O(11)i	2.688(18)
< <i>RE</i> (1)–O>		2.480	< <i>RE</i> (2)–O>	2.489
RE(3)-O(1)a		2.189(17)	<i>RE</i> (4)O(1)a	2.388(13)
RE(3)O(1)i		2.640(22)	<i>RE</i> (4)–O(1)i	2.480(20)
HE(3)-O(3)j		2.116(18)	<i>RE</i> (4)–O(3)j	2.494(18)
HE(3)O(4)c		3.008(21)	RE(4)O(4)c	2.592(12)
HE(3)		2./19(18)	RE(4)-O(5)i	2.572(11)
TE(3)-U(7)		2.365(17)	HE(4)O(7)	2.340(19)
		2.970(23)	HE(4)	2.525(19)
n=(3)=∪(11)		2.292(18)	HE(4)O(11)	2.425(13)
<n=(3)=u></n=(3)=u>		2.00/	<he(4)< td=""><td>2.477</td></he(4)<>	2.477

a: ½-x, y, 1-z; b: ½-x, y-1, 1-z; c: x, y-1, z; d: x, 1-y, z; e: x, 1+y, z; f: ½-x, y, -z; g: x, 1+y, z-1; h: -x, -y, 1-z; i: ½+x, -y, z; j: -x, 1-y, 1-z.

TABLE 4. REFINED SITE-SCATTERING VALUES (epfu) AND ASSIGNED
SITE-POPULATIONS (aptu)* IN TADZHIKITE

	site scattering	site population	effective scattering
Ti	20.4(3)	0.56 Ti + 0.30 Fe + 0.07 Al + 0.04 Mn	21.9
<i>RE</i> (1)	41.7(8.6)	0.70 R³+	41.7
<i>RE</i> (2)	7.0(4)	0.12 R <sup>3+</sup>	7.0
<i>RE</i> (3)	5.8(4)	0.10 R <sup>3</sup> ≁	5.8
<i>RE</i> (4)	38.0(8.6)	0.63 R³+	38.0
Ca(1)	41.7(5)	1.96 Ca + 0.04 R <sup>3+</sup>	41.6
<i>Ca</i> (2)	56.7(6)	0.90 Ca + 0.89 Y + 0.18 Na + 0.03 R <sup>3+</sup>	56,5

R<sup>3+</sup> = rare-earth species (mean Z ~ 60 for tadzhikite)

AND U	NIT FOR	MULA FOR TADZ	HIKITE
SiO <sub>2</sub>	22.04	Si	4.000
TiO₂	4.13		
$Al_2O_3$	0.33	Ti⁴⁺	0.564
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.17	Fe <sup>3</sup> *	0.296
Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.28	AI	0.071
CaO	14.68	Mn <sup>3+</sup>	0.038
Na₂O	0.96	Σ	0.969
Ce <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	10.22		
Y₂O₃	9.26	Ca	2.855
Sm₂O₃	1.21	Na	0.338
Pr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.42	Y	0.894
Nd <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	5.20	Ce	0.679
La₂O₃	2.64	Nd	0.337
Gd₂O <sub>3</sub>	1.42	La	0.177
Dy₂O₃	1.96	Dy	0.115
Er <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.84	Pr	0.094
Yb₂O₃	0.28	Gd	0.085
Ho <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.32	Sm	0.076
UO₂	0.68	Er	0.048
ThO₂	0.06	Ho	0.018
$(B_2O_3)$	12.77	Yb	0.015
Total	<u>93.87</u>	U	0.027
		Th	0.002

TABLE 5. CHEMICAL COMPOSITION\*

\* Zr. Sc. Eu. Tm. Tb, Lu, Pb, Sr, Mg, F not detected

Σ

В

5.760

4

(Zr), PbTe (Pb), CeO<sub>2</sub> (Ce), *REE* glass 1 (Eu, Gd, Tm, Tb), *REE* glass 2 (Sm, Nd, Yb, Lu), *REE* glass 3 (Pr, La), and *REE* glass 4 (Dy, Er, Ho).

The chemical composition of tadzhikite is given in Table 5. It was normalized to Si = 8.0 apfu (atoms per formula unit), as the results of crystal-structure refinement indicate that the two *Si*-sites are occupied only by Si.

#### DISCUSSION

The results of the current work indicate that tadzhikite does have a hellandite-type structure, as suggested by Efimov *et al.* (1970) and Mellini & Merlino (1977) and as shown by Chernitsova *et al.* (1983). However, the current results do show very significant differences from the results of Chernitsova *et al.* (1983), particularly with regard to the distribution of *REE* in the structure. Chernitsova *et al.* (1983) showed a single *REE*-site in their refinement, whereas our refinement shows that the *REE*-site is positionally disordered into four distinct sites from 0.16 to 0.98 Å apart. However, prior to any detailed considerations of this disorder and its resulting chemical implications, it is necessary to assign site populations.

TABLE 6. BOND-VALENCE SUMS AT ANIONS COORDINATING A VACANCY AT THE Ca(1), Ca(2) AND RE SITES, RESPECTIVELY

	<i>Ca</i> (1)	Ca(2)	RE					
O(1)	_	-	1.50(1.12)*					
O(2)	1.73	1.79						
O(3)	-	1.45	1.40					
O(4)	1.76	-	1.71					
O(5)	-	0.79	0.92					
O(6)	1.57	-						
0(7)	1.57	-	1.45					
O(8)	1.83	-	1.74					
O(9)	1.76	1.96	-					
O(10)	-	1.61	-					
O(11)	-	-	1.67					
0(12)	-	1.73	-					
O(13)	1.74	-	-					

\* value for locally associated pair of vacancies

### Site populations

The  $\langle B-O \rangle$  and  $\langle Si-O \rangle$  distances indicate a well-ordered chain of tetrahedra with complete occupancy of the *B*- and *Si*-sites by B and Si, respectively. However, the other cation sites show evidence of disorder, and the refined site-scattering values (in *epfu*: electrons per formula unit) are given in Table 4. The  $\langle Ti-O \rangle$  distance, 1.994 Å (Table 3), indicates that this site is occupied by small high-valence cations, and the sum of Ti<sup>4+</sup> + Fe<sup>3+</sup> + Al + Mn<sup>3+</sup> is close to the ideal sum

for this site (Table 5). The effective site scattering for this assignment matches the refined site-scattering (Table 4), and these cations were thus assigned.

There are three remaining cation sites if we temporarily ignore the positional disorder at the RE sites, Ca(1), Ca(2) and RE, and these constitute six sites in the structural formula. As there are only 5.76 cations to occupy these sites (Table 5), it is not possible to assign the site populations unambiguously owing to the presence of vacancies. Moreover, the mean bond-lengths at these three sites are all ~2.48 Å, and hence give no information as to relative occupancy. However, we may look at the bond-valence requirements of the anions coordinating the Ca(1), Ca(2) and REsites to examine whether it is possible to locally compensate for a vacancy at that cation site. Table 6 shows the bond-valence sums at the coordinating anions for vacancies at Ca(1), Ca(2) and RE, respectively. For vacancies to occur at Ca(1) or Ca(2), there need to be symmetrical H-bonds, as none of the bond-valence sums in Table 6 under the Ca(1) or Ca(2) columns are less than 1.5 vu (valence units). Symmetrical H-bonds are very uncommon and considered to be energetically unfavorable. Hence, it seems more probable that the vacancies occur at the RE site.

The Ca(1) site-scattering (Table 5) indicates that it is almost completely occupied by Ca. The Ca(2)site-scattering indicates that Ca(2) must be occupied by Ca and a species of higher aggregate X-ray scattering power than Ca. If all the remaining Ca in the formula unit (2.86–1.96 = 0.90 *apfu*) is assigned to Ca(2), then the remaining occupancy must be dominated by Y (Z = 39) rather than by the *REE* (Z  $\approx$  60) in order to

			IA	BLE 7.8	SOND-V	ALENC	E TABLE	FOR 1	ADZHIK	JIE			
	<i>Si</i> (1)	<i>Si</i> (2)	<i>B</i> (1)	<i>B</i> (2)	Ti	Ca(1)	<i>Ca</i> (2)	Σ	RE(1)	RE(2)	RE(3)	<i>RE</i> (4)	Σ
O(1)	1.12							1. <b>12</b>	0.18 0.19	0.04 0.02	0.04 0.01	0.15 0.12	1.87
O(2)	0.89			0.64		0.26	0.20	1 <b>.9</b> 9					1.99
O(3)	1.10						0.30	1.40	0.17	0.01	0.05	0.12	1.75
O(4)	0.91		0.62			0.18		1.71	0.09	0.04	0.01	0.09	1.94
O(5)					0.59 <sup>#2</sup> 1		0.33	0.92	0.13	0.03	0.01	0.09	1.12
O(6)				0.93		0.30	0.39 0.25	1.87	•				1.87
O(7)			0.94			0.23 0.28		1.45	0.13	0.02	0.03	0.17	1.80
O(8)		0.96	0.62			0.16		1.74	0.09	0.04	0.01	0.11	1.99
O(9)		0.96		0.69		0.30	0.11	2.06					2.06
O(10)		1.06			0.55 <sup>12</sup> 1		0.37	1.98					1.98
0(11)		1.11			0.56 <sup>z2</sup> 1			1.67	0.13	0.01	0.03	0.14	1.98
0(12)				0.72 <sup>±2</sup> -			0.29 <sup>±2</sup> -	2.02					2.02
O(13)			0.71 <sup>×2</sup> -			0.32*2-		2.06					2.06
Σ	4.02	4.09	2.89	2.98	3.40	2.03	2.24		1.11	0.21	0.19	0.99	
Σ.	(4)	(4)	(3)	(3)	(3.58)		(2.36)		(1.05)	(0.18)	(0.15)	(0.95)	

TABLE 7. SOND-VALENCE TABLE FOR TADZHIKITI

\* aggregate charge at each cation site calculated from the assigned site-populations

TABLE 8. PROPOSED H-ATOMS AND
H-BONDS LOCALLY ASSOCIATED WITH D
AT THE RE-SITES IN TADZHIKITE

AT THE RESTIES IN TADZHINTE								
	Σ*	<i>H</i> (1)	H(3)	H(7)	Σ			
O(1)	1.12	0.80			1.92			
O(3)	1.40		0.65		2.05			
O(4)	1.71	0.20			1.91			
0(7)	1.45			0.65	2.10			
O(8)	1.74			0.35	2.09			
O(11)	1.67		0.35		2.02			

\* sum from neighboring cations

achieve the refined value of the site scattering (Table 4). If all Y is assigned to Ca(2), then a small amount of Na and *REE* at this site completes the occupancy (Table 5). This leaves the *REE* (plus minor Na and U) to be assigned over the four *RE*-sites. The mean atomic number of the *REE* in tadzhikite is ~60, and the site populations of the four *RE*-sites was assigned directly from their refined site-scattering values using 60 as the effective site-scattering of the occupying *REE* species. Complete site-populations are given in Table 4.

### Bond-valence summation

The bond-valence table, calculated from the parameters of Brown (1981), is shown as Table 7. For the *RE*-sites, the sums were calculated for the mean *REE* composition of the unit formula (Table 4) weighted according to the site populations of Table 4. The sums of the bond valence around the *RE*-sites are in good accord with the aggregate charge at these sites (see bottom line of Table 7).

Inspection of Table 7 immediately shows that O(5)is an OH-anion, and hence tadzhikite does contain essential H in contrast to the analytical results of Efimov et al. (1970). Table 7 is a long-range representation of the bond-valence arrangement in the crystal; it is the average of all the short-range bond-valence arrangements in the crystal. If we require that all the short-range bond-valence arrangements obey the valence-sum rule of Brown (1981), then we may derive information on the patterns of short-range order in the crystal (Hawthorne 1996, 1997). This approach is of particular relevance to tadzhikite as the RE-sites are not completely occupied (*i.e.*,  $\Sigma REE = 1.55 apfu \neq 2.0 apfu$ , the number of RE-sites available. Thus some local configurations in tadzhikite must involve  $\Box$  (vacancies) at the RE-site(s), and hence this local arrangement could also involve a different type of anion (e.g., OH) than the local arrangement where RE is occupied by a *REE* cation. In Table 7, the first of the columns labeled  $\Sigma$  denotes the incident bond-valence around each anion without any contribution from cations at the RE-sites.

Hence this column denotes the bond-valence sums around the anions when the *RE*-sites are all locally occupied by  $\Box$  (*i.e.*, 0.45/2.00 = 22.5% of the site, or 0.45  $\Box$  *pfu*). The sum at the O(1)-site is 1.12 vu (Table 7), and hence O(1) is an OH group when locally associated with a  $\Box$  at the adjacent *RE*-sites. The H of this OH-group, designated as H(1), can form a H-bond with O(4), [O(1)-O(4) = 3.00 Å], thus also satisfying the bond-valence requirement at the O(4)-site. Table 8 shows the proposed arrangement of H-atoms and H-bonds.

## Positional disorder of the REE cations

The *REE* cations occupy four sites in tadzhikite: *RE*(1), *RE*(2), *RE*(3) and *RE*(4). The separations between these sites lie between 0.16 and 0.98 Å (Table 3), whereas in heated tadzhikite (Chernitsova *et al.* 1983), there is one corresponding *RE*-site, and the constituent cations at this site have a normal displacement parameter  $(1.02 \text{ Å}^2)$ . Tadzhikite contains detectable U (Table 5), and the broad reflections (Chernitsova *et al.* 1983, this study) of unheated crystals suggest that tadzhikite shows significant radiation damage. This being the case, it seems reasonable to assign the positional disorder of the *RE*-sites to radiation damage.

# The chemical composition of tadzhikite

If we simplify the formula of tadzhikite slightly by disregarding the small (0.04 apfu) amount of *REE* at the *Ca*(1)-site, the formula unit may be written as

 $\begin{array}{l} Ca_{2}(Ca_{0.86}Y_{0.89}Na_{0.25})(Ti_{0.56}Fe^{3+}_{0.30}Al_{0.07}Mn^{3+}_{0.04})(\mathcal{R}^{3+}_{1.55}\Box_{0.45})\\ [B_{4}Si_{4}O_{16}(O_{0.78}\{OH\}_{0.22})_{6}](OH)_{2}. \end{array}$ 

This formula is not quite neutral (having an excess  $0.08^+$  charge), but this deviation is acceptable considering the chemical and structural complexity of the material. Mellini & Merlino (1977) suggested two alternative structural hypotheses for tadzhikite: (1) tadzhikite contains essential (OH); (2) tadzhikite is anhydrous and contains Ti<sup>4+</sup> in square-pyramidal coordination. It is apparent from the current study that hypothesis (1) of Mellini & Merlino (1977) is correct. Furthermore, the formula of tadzhikite can be simplified and generalized to:

$$Ca_2(Ca, Y)_2(Ti^{4+}, Fe^{3+})(R^{3+}, \Box)[B_4Si_4O_{16}(O, OH)_6](OH)_2.$$

### Nomenclature

Tadzhikite was originally described by Efimov *et al.* (1970), and the structure was solved by Chemitzova *et al.* (1983); these authors used the name *tadzhikite.* However, the mineral appears as "tadzhikite-(Ce)" in Fleischer & Mandarino (1995). Furthermore,

Pekov (1998) described the minerals from this locality as "tadzhikite-(Ce)" and "tadzhikite-(Y)", based on the date of Efimov *et al.* (1970). "Tadzhikite-(Y)" is said to be much more abundant at Dara-Pioz than "tadzhikite-(Ce)".

First, neither "tadzhikite-(Ce)" nor "tadzhikite-(Y)" are valid, as they have not been approved by the CNMMN of the IMA (J.D. Grice, pers. commun., 1998). Second, Efimov et al. (1970) described two varieties of tadzhikite: I and II. "Tadzhikite-I" is enriched in Y relative to "tadzhikite-II". The crystal refined here is enriched in Y and corresponds closely to "tadzhikite-I", informally named "tadzhikite-(Y)" by Pekov (1998). In the structure of tadzhikite (Table 5), Y occurs at the Ca(2) site, whereas the *REE* cations occur predominantly at the RE sites. The dominant REE at the RE site is Ce (Table 4), and hence the suggestion that this variety of tadzhikite be named "tadzhikite-(Y)" is not correct; Y has a role different from that of the REEs in tadzhikite. Tadzhikite could be formally renamed "tadzhikite-(Ce)".

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank S. Merlino and M. Sacerdoti for their comments on this manuscript. This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Operating and Major Equipment Grants to FCH.

#### REFERENCES

BROWN, I.D. (1981): The bond-valence method: an empirical approach to chemical structure and bonding. *In Structure* and Bonding in Crystals II (M. O'Keeffe and A. Navrotsky, eds.). Academic Press, New York, N.Y. (1-30).

- CHERNITSOVA, N.M., PUDOVKINA, Z.V. & PYATENKO, YU.A. (1983): Crystal structure of tadzhikite,  $\{(Ca,TR)_4(Y,TR)_2(Ti,Fe,Al)(O,OH)_2[Si_4B_4O_{22}]\}$ . Sov. Phys. Dokl. 27, 367-368.
- EFIMOV, A.F., DUSMATOV, V.D., ALKHAZOV, V.YU., PUDOVKINA, Z.G. & KAZAKOVA, M.E. (1970): Tadzhikite, a new rare-earth borosilicate of the hellandite group. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR.* **195**, 136-139.
- FLEISCHER M. & MANDARINO, J.A. (1995): Glossary of Mineral Species 1995. The Mineralogical Record Inc., Tucson, Arizona.
- HAWTHORNE, F.C. (1996): Structural mechanisms for light-element variations in tourmaline. Can. Mineral. 34, 123-132.
  - \_\_\_\_\_\_ (1997): Short range order in amphiboles: a bond-valence approach. *Can. Mineral.* **35**, 201-216.
  - \_\_\_\_\_, BURNS, P.C. & GRICE, J.D. (1996): The crystal chemistry of boron. *Rev. Mineral.* 33, 41-115.
- & GROAT, L.A. (1985): The crystal structure of wroewolfeite, a mineral with [Cu<sub>4</sub>(OH)<sub>6</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)(H<sub>2</sub>O)] sheets. Am. Mineral. **70**, 1050-1055.
- MELLINI, M. & MERLINO, S. (1977): Hellandite: a new type of silicoborate chain. Am. Mineral. 62, 89-99.
- PEKOV, I.V. (1998): Minerals First Discovered on the Territory of the Former Soviet Union. Ocean Pictures, Moscow, Russia.
- Received January 13, 1997, revised manuscript accepted April 21, 1998.