ZACCARINIITE, RhNiAs, A NEW PLATINUM-GROUP MINERAL FROM LOMA PEGUERA, DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

ANNA VYMAZALOVÁ[§], FRANTIŠEK LAUFEK, AND MILAN DRÁBEK

Czech Geological Survey, Geologická 6, 152 00 Prague 5, Czech Republic

CHRIS J. STANLEY

Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK

RONALD J. BAKER, RAUL BERMEJO, GIORGIO GARUTI, AND OSCAR THALHAMMER

Montanuniversitaet Leoben, Peter. Tunner Str. 5, A-8700 Leoben, Austria

JOAQUIN A. PROENZA

University of Barcelona, C/Marti i Franques s/n, E-08028 Barcelona, Spain

FRANCISCO LONGO

Falcondo Xstrata Nickel, Box 1343, Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic

Abstract

Zaccariniite, RhNiAs, is a new platinum-group mineral discovered in the Loma Peguera ophiolitic chromitite, Dominican Republic. The mineral forms anhedral grains (1-20 µm in size) and occurs intergrown with garutiite, in association with hexaferrum, Ru-Os-Ir-Fe alloys, Ru-Os-Ir-Fe oxygenated compounds, and chromite. Zaccariniite is opaque and has a metallic luster and a grey streak. The mineral is brittle, with no cleavage. Values of VHN₅ (10 indentations on different grains of synthetic RhNiAs) fall between 166 and 286 kg/mm², with a mean value of 218 kg/mm², corresponding to a Mohs hardness of approximately 3.5-4. Under plane-polarized reflected light, the mineral is white with brownish to pinkish tints, has moderate to strong bireflectance, a strong white to pinkish brownish white pleochroism, and strong anisotropy, with rotation tints from orange to blue-green; it exhibits no internal reflections. Reflectance values of zaccariniite in air (R1, R2 in %) are: 49.4, 49.4 at 470 nm; 52.4, 53.2 at 546 nm; 54.2, 53.2 at 589 nm; and 56.6, 53.3 at 650 nm. Three electron microprobe analyses of natural zaccarinite gave an average composition of: Rh 41.77, Os 0.51, Ir 0.64, Ru 0.46, Pd 0.34, Ni 23.75, Fe 0.53, As 27.84, S 0.10, for a total of 96.09 wt.%, corresponding to the empirical formula (Rh_{1.01}Os_{0.01}Ir_{0.01}Ru_{0.01}Pd_{0.01})_{21.05}(Ni_{1.00}Fe_{0.02})_{21.02}(As_{0.92}S_{0.01})_{20.93} based on 0.05 Million (Nature 1996) (Nature 1 three atoms. The average result of twenty-eight analyses of synthetic zaccariniite is: Rh 44.57, Ni 24.50, and As 31.82 total 100.88 wt.%, corresponding to $Rh_{1.02}Ni_{0.98}As_{1.00}$. The mineral is tetragonal, belongs to space group P4/nmm with lattice parameters a =3.5498(1), c = 6.1573(2) Å, V = 77.59(1) Å³, Z = 2, and crystallizes with the Cu₂Sb structure type. The strongest lines in the X-ray powder-diffraction pattern of synthetic zaccariniite [d in Å(I)(hkl)] are: 2.5092(40)(110), 2.3252(100)(111,102), 1.9453(51)(112), 1.9453 1.7758(80)(103,200), 1.2555(40)(213,220), 1.1044(22)(302,311), 1.0547(23)(312), 0.9730(42)(215). The new Rh-Ni arsenide is named after Federica Zaccarini in recognition of her important contributions to the mineralogy of platinum-group elements.

Keywords: zaccariniite, platinum-group mineral, Rh-Ni arsenide, electron-microprobe data, reflectance data, synthetic RhNiAs, X-ray diffraction data, Cu₂Sb, crystal structure, Loma Peguera, Dominican Republic

[§] E-mail: anna.vymazalova@geology.cz

INTRODUCTION

The new mineral species was discovered in a polished section of an aggregate of PGM 20×40 um in size, in chromite, obtained from a heavy-mineral concentrate from the Loma Peguera ophiolitic chromitite, Dominican Republic. It was first described as an unnamed RhNiAs phase by Zaccarini et al. (2009) in intergrowths with garutiite, in association with hexaferrum, Ru-Os-Ir-Fe alloys, and Ru-Os-Ir-Fe oxygenated compounds in chromite, from the Loma Peguera ophiolitic chromitite, Dominican Republic. The same phase had been also observed, as an unnamed phase, in an alluvial occurrence of the ophiolitic belt in the Koryak-Kamchatska fold region, former USSR by Rudashevsky et al. (1983); in gold placer in the upper Chindwin area of the northern Burma by Hagen et al. (1990); in chromitite from the Thetford Mines area, Quebec (Canada) by Corrivaux & Laflamme (1990); in the Onverwacht pipes in the Bushveld complex (Rudashevsky et al. 1992); in the Guli chromitite in Russia by Malich & Rudashevsky (1994); in the Vourinos



FIG. 1. Geological sketch-map of the Loma Caribe peridotite showing the sampling location at Loma Peguera, Dominican Republic, adapted from Proenza *et al.* (2007) and Haldemann *et al.* (1979).

complex (Greece) by Garuti & Zaccarini (1997) and Grammatikopoulos *et al.* (2011); in the Ray-Iz ophiolite complex, Polar Urals (Russia) by Garuti *et al.* (1999); in rivers draining the eastern Bushveld complex (South Africa) by Oberthür *et al.* (2004); in Nizhny Tagil in the Urals (Russia) by Augé *et al.* (2005); and in the Kempirsai ophiolite complex in Kazachstan, by Distler *et al.* (2008).

The new Rh-Ni arsenide is named after Dr. Federica Zaccarini (born 1962), University of Leoben, in recognition of her important contributions to the mineralogy of platinum-group elements and her research on PGE deposits, especially related to mafic and ultramafic rocks.

The mineral and the mineral name have been approved by the Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification of the IMA (CNMNC 2011-086). The holotype material (polished section) is deposited in the Mineralogical Museum of Leoben, Peter-Tunner-Straße 5, Leoben, Austria, and is registered under the catalogue number 8241.

We herein describe the properties and report on the crystal structure of zaccariniite.

OCCURRENCE AND ASSOCIATED MINERALS

Zaccariniite was discovered in a polished section in an aggregate of PGM, 20×40 µm in size, as an inclusion in chromite. The specimen comes from a heavy-mineral concentrate from the Loma Peguera chromitite, Dominican Republic (Fig. 1.). The Loma Peguera chromitites occur in the central part of the Loma Caribe peridotite in the Cordillera Central of the Dominican Republic (Proenza et al. 2007, Zaccarini et al. 2009). The mineral was obtained from a heavymineral concentrate by processing a *ca*. 3 kg of sample of massive chromitite using a combination of electric pulse disaggregation (EPD), and hydroseparation (HS) (e.g., Cabri et al. 2008). The recently described mineral garutiite was discovered in the same chromitite and was obtained by the same method and procedure (for details see McDonald et al. 2010).

The new mineral forms anhedral grains $(1-20 \ \mu m$ in size) and is intergrown with garutiite, in association with hexaferrum, Ru-Os-Ir-Fe alloys, and Ru-Os-Ir-Fe oxygenated compounds in chromite. An image in plane polarized reflected light of the new mineral and garutiite is shown in Figure 2.

Zaccariniite likely formed together with garutiite. These minerals are interpreted to be secondary in origin, and formed at lower temperatures during alteration of the ophiolitic host-rock (McDonald *et al.* 2010).

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

The small size of natural RhNiAs embedded in garutiite prevented its extraction and subsequent mineralogical study. Therefore, the relevant crystallographic investigations, density measurement, and determination of physical properties were performed on synthetic RhNiAs.

The synthetic RhNiAs phase was prepared using an evacuated silica-glass-tube method in the Laboratory of Experimental Mineralogy of the Czech Geological Survey in Prague. Rhodium (99.99%), nickel (99.999%), and arsenic (99.999%) obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Co. were the starting materials for the synthesis. A carefully weighed sample was loaded into the high-purity silica tube and a tightly fitting silica-glass rod was placed on top of the reagents in order to keep the charge in place and also to reduce the volume of vapor on heating. The evacuated tube with its charge was sealed and then annealed at 1200 °C for three days. After cooling in a cold-water bath, the charge was removed from the tube, ground to powder in acetone using an agate mortar, and thoroughly mixed to homogenize it. The pulverized charge was again sealed in an evacuated silica-glass tube and reheated at 800 °C for 840 hours. Temperature was controlled electronically (±4 °C). The experimental product was rapidly quenched in a cold-water bath. The resulting product is composed of crystalline RhNiAs (ca. 98 wt.%) and (Rh,Ni) alloy (ca. 2 wt.%).

PHYSICAL AND OPTICAL PROPERTIES

Anhedral grains of zaccariniite about 1–20 μ m across (Fig. 2) occur intergrown with garutiite in chromite. Synthetic zaccariniite is opaque and has a metallic luster and a grey streak. It is brittle, with no cleavage. Values of VHN₅ (10 indentations on different grains of synthetic RhNiAs) are between 166 and 286 kg/mm², with a mean value of 218 kg/mm², corresponding to a Mohs hardness of approximately 3.5–4. The density,



FIG. 2. Microphotograph (plane polarized reflected light, magnification 200×) of zaccariniite in association with garutiite.

calculated on the basis of the empirical formula of the natural material, is 10.19 g/cm³, and that of the synthetic material is 10.12 g/cm³. The density measured by weighing RhNiAs in toluene is 10.09 g/cm³. Under plane-polarized reflected light, zaccariniite and synthetic RhNiAs are white with brownish to pinkish tints, have a moderate to strong bireflectance, strong pleochroism from white to pinkish brownish white, and strong anisotropy with rotation tints from orange to blue–green. The grains examined do not exhibit internal reflections.

Reflectance data of natural and synthetic zaccariniite were obtained in air using a Zeiss Axiotron microscope equipped with a Crystal Structures (Lanham) superstage to level the specimen and standard prior to measurement. Measurements were made in air with a J & M Tidas diode array spectrometer relative to a Zeiss WTiC standard using Cavendish Instruments Onyx software at intervals of 0.823 nm from 400 to 700 nm. The reflectance data are given in Table 1 and color values in Table 2. It was not possible to obtain data for certain Ro and Re and the designations are therefore R1 and R2. Figure 3 shows the reflectance curves of natural and synthetic zaccariniite.

CHEMICAL DATA

Chemical analyses of natural and synthetic zaccariniite were made with a JEOL JXA 8200 Superprobe

TABLE 1. REFLECTANCE VALUES OF NATURAL AND SYNTHETIC ZACCARINIITE

) (nm)	nat	tural	synt	synthetic			
λ (nm) -	R1	R2	R1	R2			
400	45.4	50.9	45.2	51.5			
420	46.2	51.1	46.1	52.0			
440	47.4	51.4	47.0	52.5			
460	48.8	52.2	48.4	53.0			
470	49.4	52.6	49.1	53.3			
480	49.9	52.9	49.7	53.6			
500	50.7	53.3	50.8	54.0			
520	51.4	53.3	51.7	54.1			
540	52.0	53.2	52.6	54.1			
546	52.4	53.2	53.1	54.1			
560	52.8	53.2	53.6	54.0			
580	53.7	53.2	54.6	53.9			
589	54.2	53.2	55.2	53.9			
600	54.6	53.2	55.7	53.9			
620	55.4	53.2	56.6	53.9			
640	56.2	53.2	57.4	53.8			
650	56.6	53.3	57.9	53.9			
660	57.0	53.3	58.3	53.9			
680	58.0	53.9	59.2	54.1			
700	59.0	54.5	60.2	54.4			

The standard wavelengths (COM) were interpolated, and are given in bold.

(Eugen F. Stumpfl Laboratory, Leoben), in wavelengthdispersion mode, operating with an accelerating voltage of 20 keV, a beam current of 10 nA, and a beam diameter of 1 μ m. The following diffracting crystals were selected: PETJ for S; PETH for Ru, Os, Rh; LIF for Cu; and LIFH for Ni, Ir, and Pt. The X-ray lines used were: $K\alpha$ for S, Fe, Cu, and Ni; $L\alpha$ for Ir, Ru, Rh, and Pt; and $M\alpha$ for Os. As reference materials we used pure metals for PGE, synthetic PtAs₂ for As, NiS for Ni, and natural CuFeS₂ for Fe, Cu, and S.

The electron-microprobe results are given in Table 3. Chemical composition data of RhNiAs phases



FIG. 3. Reflectance data for natural zaccariniite (triangles) compared to synthetic (circles) in air. The reflectance values (R %) are plotted versus wavelength λ in nm.

reported in the literature are summarized in Table 4. The empirical formula (based on three atoms) for natural zaccariniite (average result of three analyses) is $(Rh_{1.01}Os_{0.01}Ir_{0.01}Ru_{0.01}Pd_{0.01})_{\Sigma_{1.05}}(Ni_{1.00}Fe_{0.02})_{\Sigma_{1.02}}(As_{0.92}S_{0.01})_{\Sigma_{0.93}}$, and for synthetic zaccariniite (average result of 28 analyses) corresponds to $Rh_{1.02}Ni_{0.98}As_{1.00}$.

CRYSTAL-STRUCTURE DATA

As no single crystals suitable for single-crystal diffraction analysis were available, the crystal structure of synthetic zaccariniite was refined from the powder X-ray diffraction data.

The X-ray diffraction pattern used for Rietveld refinement was collected in Bragg-Brentano geometry with an X Pert Pro PANalytical diffractometer, equipped with X Celerator detector and a CoK α radiation source. The data were collected in the range between 10 and 143° 2 θ ; a full width at half maximum of 0.095° in 2 θ was obtained at 41.75° 2 θ , indicating good crystallinity of the sample. The details of data collection and basic crystallographic properties are given in Table 5.

The crystal structure of synthetic zaccariniite was refined using the initial structure model for synthetic RhNiAs given by Roy-Montreuil *et al.* (1984). The FullProf program (Rodríguez-Carvajal 2006) was employed for Rietveld refinement. The pseudo-Voigt function was used to generate the shape of the diffraction peaks in Rietveld refinement. The background was determined by the linear interpolation between consecutive breakpoints in the pattern. The refined parameters include those describing the peak shape and width, peak

A illuminant						C illuminant				
x	0.458	0.449	0.46	0.448	0.321	0.312	0.324	0.312		
у	0.41	0.409	0.41	0.409	0.327	0.32	0.329	0.32		
Y%	53.4	53.2	54.2	54	52.8	53.2	53.5	54		
λd	589	579	588	577	579	568	579	567		
Pe%	8.4	1.8	10.4	1.6	5.8	1.5	7	1.3		

TABLE 2. COLOR VALUES FOR ZACCARINIITE

TABLE 3. ELECTRON-MICROPROBE DATA OF NATURAL AND SYNTHETIC ZACCARINIITE (in wt.%)

	Os	lr	Ru	Rh	Pt	Pd	Fe	Ni	Cu	Со	S	As	Σ
Natural material (3 analyses)													
Mean	0.51	0.64	0.46	41.77	0.05	0.34	0.53	23.75	0.04	0.07	0.1	27.84	96.09
Min-Max	0.30-0.90	0.34-1.14	0.29-0.74	40.99-42.58	0-0.08	0.19-0.45	0.33-0.88	23.54-23.91	0-0.06	0.05-0.08	0.08-0.13	27.07-29.25	
σ	0.24	0.31	0.17	0.56	0.03	0.09	0.21	0.13	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.86	
Synthetic	material (2	8 analyses)											
Mean				44.57				24.5				31.82	100.88
Min-Max				44.15-44.65				24.23-24.58				30.98-32.47	
σ				0.32				0.17				0.37	

TABLE 4. ELECTRON-MICROPROBE DATA FOR ZACCARINIITE REPORTED IN THE LITERATURE (in wt.%)

Sample	Os	Ir	Ru	Rh	Pt	Pd	Fe	Ni	Cu	Co	S	As	Total
Koryak-Kamcha	tska op	hiolitic ch	romitites	, Russia ⁽	1)								
12		0.56		43.50	0.50			24.20				30.60	99.36
Thetford mine o	phiolitic	chromitite	es, Queb	ec (2)									
108A				42.30			2.20	24.30				30.90	99.70
109A				42.50			1.00	24.50				32.00	100.0
21				42.10	0.50	0.20	2.30	25.70				29.20	100.0
Gold placer in C	hindwir	area of r	orthern	Burma ⁽³⁾									
	0.93	2.08	1.72	40.56			0.37	21.44			1.81	29.52	98.43
Onverwacht pip	e, Bush	veld Com	plex ⁽⁴⁾										
10/13 30		3.00		37.90			4.60	23.60		1.40	2.20	21.10	93.80
Guli chromitite,	Russia	(5)											
8		1.30		42.50	3.70		1.10	23.00	0.40			27.40	99.40
9				40.80	4.40		0.90	24.00				28.30	98.40
Vourinos ophioli	tic chro	mitites, G	reece (6,	12)									
VS5a-3-3 ⁽⁶⁾	0.03	10.53	0.08	25.36	7.33	3.30	1.01	19.93		0.05	0.08	27.89	95.60
V-2 ⁽¹²⁾	0.33	2.90	1.46	41.45		0.10	4.04	20.96			0.54	32.15	103.93
Ray Iz, ophiolitic	c chrom	itites, Ura	ls ⁽⁷⁾										
2243b-2	0.81	5.30	6.41	31.28		0.61		20.39			0.66	28.60	94.06
Eastern Bushve	ld, nugo	gets, Sout	h Africa	(8)									
AS6392 102				41.29	1.29	4.59	0.11	23.49	2.80			26.09	99.66
Nizhny Tagil, Ur	al-Alasł	an chrom	itites, Ur	als ⁽⁹⁾									
3VA 15 53		0.23	0.06	41.88	1.23		0.58	23.06	2.13	0.02		28.46	97.65
3VA 15 54		0.14		42.71	1.24	0.36	0.94	22.91	1.72	0.02		29.20	99.24
13VA 9 26		0.48		40.70	6.52	1.29	1.08	21.43	0.50	0.02		27.54	99.56
43-2 3 204		0.59	0.53	35.37	15.28	0.42	2.64	18.94	1.23			26.17	101.17
NT8 1 225		0.10		43.65	1.09	0.17	0.62	23.26	3.06	0.02		29.86	101.83
NT8 1 226		0.04		43.33	0.86		0.23	23.66	3.07			30.95	102.14
Kempirsai chron	nitites, I	Kazachsta	an ⁽¹⁰⁾										
1				43.20				24.40				32.40	100.0
Loma Peguera,	ophiolit	ic chromit	ites, Dor	ninican R	epublic ⁽¹¹)							
6 25 5 3	0.90	1.14	0.74	42.58		0.19	0.88	23.54		0.08	0.08	29.25	99.38
6 125 4 2	0.30	0.34	0.29	41.73	0.06	0.45	0.33	23.80	0.06	0.08	0.13	27.07	94.64
6 25 4 3	0.32	0.44	0.34	40.99	0.08	0.37	0.39	23.91	0.06	0.05	0.10	27.20	94.25

¹Rudashevsky *et al.* (1983); ²Corrivaux & Laflamme (1990); ³Hagen *et al.* (1990); ⁴Rudashevsky *et al.* (1992); ⁵Malich & Rudashevsky (1994); ⁶Garuti & Zaccarini (1997); ⁷Garuti *et al.* (1999); ⁸Oberthur *et al.* (2004); ⁹Auge *et al.* (2005); ¹⁰Distler *et al.* (2008); ¹¹Zaccarini *et al.* (2009); ¹²Grammatikopoulos *et al.* (2011)

asymmetry, unit-cell parameters, fractional coordinates, and isotropic displacement parameters. In total, 16 parameters were refined. The final cycles of refinement converged to the residual factors: $R_{\text{Bragg}} = 0.069$, $R_{\text{wp}} = 0.053$ and $R_{\text{p}} = 0.036$. The crystal-structure data are given in Table 6, the final Rietveld plot in Figure 4, and the powder X-ray diffraction data in Table 7.

The crystal structure of synthetic RhNiAs (Roy-Montreuil *et al.* 1984) was in principle confirmed for synthetic zaccariniite, as the refined fractional coordinates do not deviate more than 0.01 from the starting values. Nevertheless, we report slightly different lattice parameters. This difference may be ascribed to the different methods of synthesis of RhNiAs.

Zaccariniite adopts the Cu₂Sb-type crystal structure (also referred as Fe₂As-type). Its unit cell contains one Ni position, one Rh position, and one As position. The As atom is surrounded by four Ni atoms at 2.359(1) Å and five Rh atoms $[2.385(2) + 4 \times 2.597(1) \text{ Å}]$ showing monocapped tetragonal antiprismatic coordination (Fig. 5a). These polyhedra share the Ni-Ni, Ni-Rh, and Rh-Rh edges forming the crystal structure of RhNiAs. As was noted by Nuss et al. (2006), the phases with the Cu₂Sb-type structure can be illustratively described as consisting of stacked layers. In the RhNiAs structure, the Rh and As atoms form a corrugated double layer where these atoms vary in all directions (Fig. 5b). This double layer is sandwiched by two square planar layers of atoms at position 2a, *i.e.*, the Ni atoms (Nuss et al. 2006). The Ni–Ni distance in this layer is 2.51 Å, which is close to the Ni–Ni distance of 2.492 Å observed in the element (Suh et al. 1988). The most structurally related mineral species is cuprostibite (Cu₂Sb), which shows essentially the same crystal structure as zaccariniite.



FIG. 4. The observed (circles), calculated (solid line), and difference Rietveld profiles for zaccariniite. The upper reflection marks correspond to zaccariniite, and the lower marks to a 2 mass percent (Rh, Ni) alloy impurity.



FIG. 5. The crystal structure of zaccariniite (RhNiAs). (a) Polyhedral representation showing the edge-connected [AsNi₄Rh₅] polyhedra. (b) Projection on c-a plane illustrating the double layers of Rh and As atoms.

PROOF OF IDENTITY OF NATURAL AND SYNTHETIC ZACCARINIITE

The structural identity between the synthetic RhNiAs and the natural material was confirmed by the Raman spectroscopy technique. The Raman spectra of natural and synthetic RhNiAs are identical (Fig. 6). Raman spectra were obtained using a LABRAM (ISA Jobin Yvon) instrument installed at the University of Leoben. A frequency-doubled 100 mW Nd-YAG laser with an excitation of wavelength of $\lambda = 532.6$ nm was used.

The Raman spectra, chemical identity and optical properties confirmed that the natural and synthetic



FIG. 6. Raman spectra for natural and synthetic RhNiAs (the different intensities of synthetic and natural samples is due to the different orientation of the measured grains).

TABLE 5. POWDER X-RAY DIFFRACTION EXPERIMENTA	٩L
DETAILS AND RIETVELD ANALYSIS OF ZACCARINIITE	

Data collection	
Radiation type, source	X-ray, CoKα
Generator settings	40kV, 30mA
Range in 20 (°)	10–143
Step size (°)	0.02
Crystal data	
Space group	P4/nmm (No. 129)
Unit-cell content	RhNiAs, $Z = 2$
Unit-cell parameters (Å)	a = 3.5498(1)
	c = 6.1573(2)
Unit-cell volume (Å ³)	77.59(1)
Rietveld analysis	
No. of reflections	48
No. of structural parameters	5
No. of profile parameters	7
R _{Bragg}	0.69
R _n	0.036
Rwp	0.053
Weighting scheme	1/v ₀

R agreement factors defined according to McCusker *et al.* (1999).

materials are the same. Consequently, our results render

legitimate the use of the synthetic phase to support the

complete characterization of zaccariniite.

TABLE 6. ATOMIC POSITIONS AND ISOTROPIC DISPLACEMENT PARAMETERS FOR SYNTHETIC ZACCARINIITE

Atom	Wyckoff position	x	У	Z	B _{iso} (Å ²)
Ni	2 <i>a</i>	3/4	1/4	0	0.47(5)
Rh	2c	1/4	1/4	0.3604(2	2) 0.25(3)
As	2c	1/4	1⁄4	0.7508(2	2) 0.21(3)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (project No. P210/11/P744) and by the internal project from the Czech Geological Survey (No. 332300). This is also a contribution to the Czech – Austrian mobility program AKTION (project No. MEB061113). We thank to Jan Drahokoupil for the collection of the powder-diffraction data. We thank A. Barkov and T. Grammatikopoulos for their helpful comments on the manuscript. The editorial care of Robert F. Martin is especially acknowledged.

References

AUGÉ, T., GENNA, A., LEGENDRE, O., IVANOV, K.S., & VOL-CHENKO, Y.A. (2005) Primary platinum mineralization in the Nizhny Tagil and Kachkanar ultramafic complexes, Urals, Russia: a genetic model for PGE concentration in chromite rich zones. *Economic Geology*, **100**, 707-732.

$I_{(calc)}$	I _(obs)	$d_{(calc)}$	$d_{(obs)}$	h	k	1
1	1	6.1572	6.1508	0	0	1
3	3	3.0787	3.0773	0	0	2
1		3.0754		1	0	1
36	40	2.5101	2.5092	1	1	0
100	100	2.3258	2.3252	1	1	1
		2.3244		1	0	2
16	16	2.0524	2.0524	0	0	3
54	51	1.9454	1.9453	1	1	2
31	80	1.7768	1.7758	1	0	3
53		1.7749		2	0	0
3	3	1.5889	1.5889	1	1	3
2	3	1.5377	1.5378	2	0	2
1	21	1.4123	1.4110	1	0	4
22		1.4110		2	1	2
20	18	1.3425	1.3425	2	0	3
5	5	1.3122	1.3123	1	1	4
26	40	1.2557	1.2555	2	1	3
21		1.2551		2	2	0
1	2	1.2315	1.2314	0	0	5
21	19	1.1634	1.1634	1	0	5
12	10	1.1226	1.1225	3	1	0
7	22	1.1045	1.1044	3	0	2
16		1.1044		3	1	1
12	12	1.0707	1.0707	2	2	3
23	23	1.0546	1.0547	3	1	2
9	10	1.0251	1.0251	3	0	3
3	3	1.0118	1.0118	2	0	5
6	6	0.9858	0.9856	1	0	6
37	42	0.9730	0.9730	2	1	5
2	3	0.9499	0.9499	1	1	6

TABLE 7. X-RAY POWDER-DIFFRACTION DATA FOR SYNTHETIC ZACCARINIITE (CoKα radiation). REFLECTIONS WITH INTENSITIES ≥ 1% ARE SHOWN

- CABRI, L.J., RUDASHEVSKY, N.S., RUDASHEVSKY, V.N., & OBERTHUR, T. (2008) Electric-Pulse Disaggregation (EPD), Hydroseparation (HS) and their use in combination for mineral processing and advanced characterization of ores. *Canadian Mineral Processors 40th Annual Meeting,Proceedings* Paper 14, 211-235.
- CORRIVAUX, L. & LAFLAMME, J.H.G. (1990) Mineralogie des elements du groupe du platine dans les chromitites de l'ophiolite de Thetford mines, Quebec. *Canadian Mineralogist*, 28, 579-595.
- DISTLER, V.V., KRYACHKO, V.V., & YUDOVSKAYA, M.A. (2008) Ore petrology of chromite-PGE mineralization in the Kempirsai ophiolite complex. *Mineralogy and Petrology* 92, 31-58.
- GARUTI, G. & ZACCARINI, F. (1997) In-situ alteration of platinum-group minerals at low temperature: evidence from chromitites of the Vourinos complex (Greece). *Canadian Mineralogist* 35, 611-626.
- GARUTI, G., ZACCARINI, F., MOLOSHAG, V., & ALIMOV, V. (1999) Platinum-Group minerals as indicator of sulfur fugacity in ophiolitic upper mantle: an example from

chromitites of the Ray-Iz ultramafic complex (Polar Urals, Russia). *Canadian Mineralogist* **37**, 1099-1115.

- GRAMMATIKOPOULOS, T.A., KAPSIOTIS, A., TSIKOURAS, B., HATZIPANAGIOTOU, K., ZACCARINI, F., & GARUTI, G. (2011) Spinel composition, PGE geochemistry and mineralogy of the chromitites from the Vourinos ophiolite complex, Northwestern Greece. *Canadian Mineralogist* 49, 1571-1598.
- HAGEN, D., WEISER, T., & HTAY, T. (1990) Platinum-group minerals in quaternary gold placers in the upper Chindwin area of northern Burma. *Mineralogy and Petrology* 42, 265-286.
- HALDEMANN, E.G., BUCHAN, R., BLOWES, V.H., & CHAN-DLER, T. (1979) Geology of the laterite nickel deposits, Dominican Republic. *In* International Laterite Symposium (D.J. Evans, R.S. Shoemaker, & H. Velman, eds.). Society of Mining Engineers, New Orleans, Louisiana, p. 57-74.
- MALICH, K.N. & RUDASHEVSKY, N.S. (1994) Bedrock platinum-metal mineralization in Chrimitite of the Guli massif. *Doklady Earth science sections* 327, 165-169.

- MCCUSKER, L.B., VON DREELE, R. B., COX, D. E., LOUËR, D., & SCARDI, P. (1999) Rietveld refinement guidelines. *Journal of Applied Crystallography* 32, 36-50
- MCDONALD, A.M., PROENZA, J.A., ZACCARINI, F., RUDA-SHEVSKY, N.S., CABRI, L.J., STANLEY, C.J., RUDASHEVSKY, V.N., MELGAREJO, J.C., LEWIS, J.F., LONGO, F., & BAKKER, R.J. (2010) Garutiite, (Ni,Fe,Ir) a new hexagonal form of native Ni from Loma Peguera, Dominican Republic. *European Journal of Mineralogy* 22, 293-304.
- NUSS, J., WEDING, U., & JANSEN, M. (2006) Geometric variations and electron localizations in intermetallics: PbFCl type compounds. *Zeitschrift für Kristallographie* 221, 554-562.
- OBERTHÜR, T., MELCHER, F., GAST, L., WOHRL, C., & LODZIAK, J. (2004) Detrital platinum group minerals in rivers draining the eastern Bushveld complex, South Africa. *Canadian Mineralogist* **42**, 563-582.
- PROENZA, J.A., ZACCARINI, F., LEWIS, J.F., LONGO, F., & GARUTI, G. (2007) Chromian spinel composition and the platinum-group minerals of the PGE-rich Loma Peguera chromitites, Loma Caribe peridotite, Dominican Republic. *Canadian Mineralogist* 45, 631-648.
- RODRÍGUEZ-CARVAJAL, J. (2006) FullProf.2k Rietveld Profile Matching & Integrated Intensities Refinement of X-ray and/or Neutron Data (powder and/or single-crystal). Laboratoire Léon Brillouin, Centre d'Etudes de Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

- ROY-MONTREUIL, J., CHAUDOUET, P., ROUAULT, A., BOURSIER, D., SENATEUR, J.O., & FRUCHART, R. (1984) Analyse de l'ordre dans les arseniures MM^{As.} Annales de Chimie 4, 411-417.
- RUDASHEVSKY, N.S., AVDONTSEV, S.N., & DNEPROVSKAYA, M.B. (1992) Evolution of PGE mineralization in hortonolitic dunites of the Mooihoek and onverwacht pipes, Buschveld Complex. *Mineralogy and Petrology* 47, 37-54.
- RUDASHEVSKY, N.S., MOCHALOV, A.G., MEN'SHIKOV, Y.P., & SHUMSKAYA, N.I. (1983) Ferronickelplatinum Pt₂FeNi - a new mineral species. *Zapiski Vsesoyuznogo Mineral*ogicheskogo Obshchestva **112**, 487-494 (in Russian).
- SUH, I.K., OHTA, H., & WASEDA, Y. (1988) High-temperature expansion of six metallic elements measured by dilatation method and X-ray diffraction. *Journal of Materials Science* 23, 757-760.
- ZACCARINI, F., PROENZA, J.A., RUDASHEVSKY, N.S., CABRI, L.J., GARUTI, G., RUDASHEVSKY, V.N., MELGAREJO, J.C., LEWIS, J.F., LONGO, F., BAKKER, R., & STANLEY, C.J. (2009) The Loma Peguera ophiolitic chromitite (central Dominican Republic): a source of new platinum group minerals (PGM) species. *Neues Jahrbuch für Mineralogie Abhandlungen* 185, 335-349.
- Submitted March 8, 2012, revised manuscript accepted July 4, 2012.