*The Canadian Mineralogist* Vol. 50, pp. 21-29 (2012) DOI: 10.3749/canmin.50.1.21

# FERROTAAFFEITE-2N<sup>2</sup>S, A NEW MINERAL SPECIES, AND THE CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF Fe<sup>2+</sup>-RICH MAGNESIOTAAFFEITE-2N<sup>2</sup>S FROM THE XIANGHUALING TIN-POLYMETALLIC ORE FIELD, HUNAN PROVINCE, CHINA

# ZHUMING YANG AND KUISHOU DING

Key Laboratory of Engineering Geomechanics, Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 9825, Beijing 100029, People's Republic of China

# JEFFREY DE FOURESTIER§

Mineralogical research and consulting, 28 Broad, Gatineau, Gatineau, Quebec, J9H 4H3, Canada

# QIAN MAO AND HE LI

Key Laboratory of Engineering Geomechanics, Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 9825, Beijing 100029, People's Republic of China

# Abstract

Ferrotaaffeite-2*N*'2*S*, ideally BeFe<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>8</sub>O<sub>16</sub> with hexagonal symmetry  $P6_3mc$ , is a new mineral species of the taaffeite group (IMA No. 2011-025) occurring as a skarn mineral in the Xianghualing Sn-polymetallic ore field, Linwu County, Hunan Province, People's Republic of China. It was found near the outer contact of the Laizhiling A-type granite pluton and in the Middle-Upper Devonian carbonate rocks of the Qiziqiao Formation. The associated minerals are Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2*N*'2*S*, ferronigerite-2*N*1*S*, cassiterite, liberite, pyrite, sphalerite, pyrrhotite, galena, spinel, and phlogopite. The mineral forms tabular crystals about 100  $\mu$ m in size. It is dark green to dark grey with a white streak, transparent, and has a vitreous lustre. Cleavage is fair on {001}. It is brittle, with a conchoidal fracture. The hardness is VHN<sub>50</sub> 1801-2404 kg/mm<sup>2</sup> (8.5-9 on the Mohs scale). No fluorescence in long- or short-wave ultraviolet radiation was observed. The calculated density from the empirical chemical formula is 3.99 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. The Gladstone-Dale relationship gives N<sub>calc</sub> = 1.82. The empirical formula for the investigated ferrotaaffeite-2*N*'2*S* is Be(Fe<sub>1.39</sub>Mg<sub>0.97</sub>Zn<sub>0.42</sub>Mn<sub>0.17</sub>Sn<sub>0.03</sub>)<sub>22.98</sub>Al<sub>7.99</sub>O<sub>16</sub>. The simplified formula is Be(Fe,Mg,Zn)<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>8</sub>O<sub>16</sub>. The strongest five reflections in the X-ray powder diffraction pattern [*d* in Å (I) *hkl*] are: 2.43(100) 114, 2.60(90) 016, 1.425(90)220, 2.86(80) 110, and 1.473(80) 0210. The unit-cell parameters refined from the powder data are *a* 5.706(8), *c* 18.352(3) Å, and V 517.46(8) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2. The associated Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2*N*'2*S* has empirical formula Be(Mg<sub>1.54</sub>Fe<sub>0.98</sub>Zn<sub>0.38</sub>Mn<sub>0.14</sub> Sn<sub>0.02</sub>)<sub>23.06</sub>Al<sub>7.95</sub>O<sub>16</sub>. Its structure was solved and refined in space group *P6<sub>3</sub>mc*, with *a* 5.6978(8), *b* 5.6978(8), *c* 18.373(4) Å, V 516.57(15) Å<sup>3</sup>, to  $R_1 = 0.043$ , w $R_2 = 0.137$ .

Keywords: ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S, new mineral species, magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S, taaffeite group, Xianghualing tin mine, Tanzanian LM, felsic magnatism, China

( )

# INTRODUCTION

According to the IMA-CNMMC nomenclature (Armbruster 2002, Mills *et al.* 2009), minerals of the högbomite, nigerite, and taaffeite groups within the högbomite supergroup form polysomatic series. Minerals of the högbomite and nigerite groups are composed of spinel (*S*) and nolanite (*N*) modules. The idealised formula of a spinel module is  $T_2M_4O_8$ ,

12-05-04 09:38

where *T* and *M* represent tetrahedrally and octahedrally coordinated cations. The nolanite module has the formula  $TM_4O_7(OH)$ . Minerals of the taaffeite group are composed of spinel (*S*) and modified nolanite (*N'*) modules. The idealised formula of a modified nolanite (*N'*) module is Be $TM_4O_8$ , where one additional tetrahedral site is occupied by Be without OH groups. The composition of minerals within the högbomite supergroup depends on: (1) the composition of the *N* or *N'* 

<sup>§</sup> *E-mail address*: jeffrey.defourestier@forces.gc.ca

module, (2) the composition of the *S* module, (3) and the number of *S* and *N* or *N'* modules forming the structure. If the molar concentration of Ti > Sn, the mineral group is högbomite. If the molar concentration of Sn > Ti, the mineral group is nigerite. Taaffeitegroup minerals have tetrahedral Be but no OH groups.

Taaffeite-group minerals are rare and few localities have been reported (Anderson *et al.* 1951, Nuber & Schmetzer 1983). The taaffeite group consists of three members: magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S (a = 5.69, c =18.34 Å), magnesiotaaffeite-6N'3S (a = 5.68, c =41.10 Å), and ferrotaaffeite-6N'3S (a = 5.70, c = 41.16Å) (Armbruster 2002, Mills *et al.* 2009).

The crystal structure of magnesiotaaffeite- $2N^2S$  was first described by Peng & Wang (1963) using a sample from Xianghualing, China, assuming the formula BeMgAl<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub> and Z = 4. Nuber & Schmetzer (1983) revised the crystal structure of magnesiotaaffeite- $2N^2S$ , investigating a sample from Sri Lanka, and the crystal-chemical formula was given as BeMg<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>8</sub>O<sub>16</sub> with Z = 2.

In this paper, both Mg-rich ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S and Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S have been examined. Due to the scarcity of single crystals, only the crystal structure of Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S was determined. As an end-member of the taaffeite group, ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S constitutes a new mineral species. The new mineral species and its name have been approved by the Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification of the International Mineralogical Association (IMA No. 2011-025). The type specimen of ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S is deposited in the collection of the Museum of the Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, under specimen number KDX017.

#### OCCURRENCE OF THE MINERALS

Both Mg-rich ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S and Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S were found at the Xianghualing tin mine in the Xianghualing tin-polymetallic ore field, Linwu County, Chenzhou Prefecture, Hunan Province, People's Republic of China (112°34'E, 25°28'N). The Xianghualing ore field is geotectonically located at the juncture of the Nanling tectonic zone (extending east-west) and the Leiyang-Linwu tectonic zone (striking north-south). The strata exposed in this area span every age except Ordovician and Silurian. Unconformable Devonian strata lie on a basement of Cambrian slate and meta-sandstone formations, which form the core part of the Xianghualing short-axis anticline. The flank part of the Xianghualing short-axis anticline consists of Middle Devonian glutenite, sandstone and sandy shale of the Tiaomajian Formation, limestone and dolomitic limestone of the Qiziqiao Formation, Upper Devonian dolomitic limestone and sandstone of the Shetianqiao Formation, Carboniferous carbonate, and clastic rock. The Cambrian and Devonian strata are

considered to be the main ore-hosting strata in this ore field (Cai 1991, Luo *et al.* 2010).

The Yanshanian granitoid rocks are prominently developed in this ore field. The Laiziling  $(2.2 \text{ km}^2)$  and Jianfengling  $(4.4 \text{ km}^2)$  granitic plutons are the principal units, emplaced into the Cambrian-Devonian strata of the Xianghualing short-axis anticline. The intrusive rocks are leucocratic and felsic, and the magma was volatile-enriched (Zhong & Li 2006). The K-Ar ages from biotite for the Laiziling and Jianfengling granite are 155 and 167 My, respectively (Yuan *et al.* 2007), which corresponds to the Late Mesozoic (LM<sub>1</sub>) J<sub>2</sub>-J<sub>3</sub> episode of post collisional anorogenic magmatism (Zhou *et al.* 2006).

The ore deposits occur around the granite plutons and display obvious zoning in the products of mineralization. The main ore deposit types in this ore field are a granitic Nb-Ta-Sn deposit, a granophyric Sn deposit, a skarn-type Be-Li deposit and wolframite deposit, a W-Sn deposit, a Sn-polymetallic deposit, and a hydrothermal Pb-Zn deposit (Cai 1991).

Ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S and Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S were found near the exocontact zone of the Laizhiling granite body and in the Middle-Upper Devonian carbonate rocks of the Qiziqiao Formation. The minerals formed during the skarn stage. Minerals associated them are ferronigerite-2N1S, cassiterite, liberite, pyrite, sphalerite, pyrrhotite, galena, spinel, and phlogopite.

# PHYSICAL AND OPTICAL PROPERTIES FOR FERROTAAFFEITE-2N'2S

Ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S has a tabular form (Fig. 1), whereas the associated Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S occurs as thick hexagonal tabular crystals. The individual grains of ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S attain a size of about 100  $\mu$ m. As the size of the available ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S crystals is so small, a number of physical and optical properties could not be measured. Ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S is dark green to dark grey with a white streak, transparent, and has a vitreous lustre. Cleavage is fair on {001}. It is brittle, with a conchoidal fracture. The Vickers microhardness is 2107 kg/mm<sup>2</sup>, ranging between 1801 and 2404 kg/mm<sup>2</sup> (load 50 g), corresponding to 8.5-9 on the Mohs hardness scale. No fluorescence in long- or short-wave ultraviolet light was observed. The density calculated from the empirical chemical formula is  $3.99 \text{ g/cm}^3$  for ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S and 3.90 g/cm<sup>3</sup> for Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite  $-2N^{2}S$ . The Gladstone-Dale relationship (Mandarino 1981) gives  $N_{calc} = 1.82$  with Kc = 0.2052, where  $N_{calc} = D_{calc}^*$ (Kc + 1) for ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S.

#### CHEMICAL COMPOSITION

Chemical analyses were performed using the wavelength-dispersive spectrometry (WDS) mode with

 $( \blacklozenge$ 

#### FERROTAAFFEITE & MAGNESIOTAAFFEITE FROM XIANGHUALING ORE FIELD



FIG. 1. Back-scattered electron images of ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S (Ftf2N2S), Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S (Mtf2N2S) and ferronigerite-2N1S (Fng2N1S) from Xianghualing.

TABLE 1. CHEMICAL COMPOSITIONS OF FERROTAAFFEITE-2N'2S (23 ANALYSES) AND FE<sup>2+</sup>-RICH MAGNESIOTAAFFEITE-2N'2S (31 ANALYSES)

	1	ferrotaaffeite-2	N'2S	Fe <sup>2+</sup> -rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S			
Constituent	Wt.%	Range	Stand. Dev.	Wt.%	Range	Stand. Dev.	
SiO <sub>2</sub>	0.03	0.00-0.35	0.07	0.01	0.00-0.05	0.02	
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.02	0.00-0.08	0.02	0.01	0.00-0.09	0.02	
SnO <sub>2</sub>	0.61	0.32-0.85	0.17	0.49	0.24-0.74	0.13	
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	66.69	65.75-67.66	0.56	67.16	66.18-68.65	0.74	
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.02	0.00-0.08	0.02	0.02	0.00-0.08	0.02	
FeO	16.37	13.62-17.48	1.00	11.62	10.72-14.70	0.8	
MgO	6.41	5.95-7.31	0.35	10.25	8.32-10.88	0.57	
ZnO	5.56	4.42-7.73	0.94	5.06	2.69-7.62	1.64	
MnO	1.97	1.57-2.19	0.17	1.67	1.19-2.54	0.48	
CaO	0.02	0.00-0.04	0.01	0.01	0.00-0.03	0.01	
BaO	0.01	0.00-0.09	0.02	0.02	0.00-0.10	0.02	
BeO*	4.09			4.14			
Total	101.80			100.46			
BeO**	3.2			3.1			

 $( \blacklozenge$ 

\*BeO calculated according to stoichiometry

\*\* BeO measured using SIMS.

a JXA-8100 electron-microprobe analyzer. Accelerating voltage and specimen current were maintained at 15 kV and 20 nA. The beam diameter was 2  $\mu$ m. The following minerals and synthetic materials were used as standards: jadeite for Si and Al, bustamite for Mn and Ca, rutile for Ti, pyrope for Mg, almandine for Fe, SnO<sub>2</sub> for Sn, Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> for Cr, ZnO for Zn, and benitoite for Ba. A Cameca 1280 secondary-ion mass spectrometer (SIMS) was used to measure the content of Be. The single crystal was subjected to bombardment by O ions at 23 keV energy. The beam diameter was 20  $\mu$ m. Specimen current was maintained at 6.5 nA.

The analytical results for ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S and the associated Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S are given in Table 1. The measured amount of Be, using SIMS, is 3.2 wt% BeO for ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S and 3.1wt% for Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S. Because a Be-bearing glass was used as the standard, in which Be was not distributed evenly, SIMS has a rather poor accuracy. As the refinement does not support any replacement involving Be and Mg, the stoichiometric BeO content, corresponding to 1 atom of Be per formula unit (pfu), was used for calculation.

The average of twenty-three measurements of the full composition of ferrotaaffeite- $2N^22S$  gave the empirical formula Be(Fe<sub>1.39</sub>Mg<sub>0.97</sub>Zn<sub>0.42</sub>Mn<sub>0.17</sub>Sn<sub>0.03</sub>)  $\Sigma_{2.98}Al_{7.99}O_{16}$  on the basis of 16 atoms of oxygen and 1 Be *apfu* and assuming that Fe is present as Fe<sup>2+</sup> and that Mn is present as Mn<sup>2+</sup>. The simplified formula is Be(Fe,Mg,Zn)<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>8</sub>O<sub>16</sub> and the ideal end-member formula is BeFe<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>8</sub>O<sub>16</sub>.

The average of thirty-one measurements of the full composition of Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite- $2N^2S$  gave the empirical formula Be(Mg<sub>1.54</sub>Fe<sub>0.98</sub>Zn<sub>0.38</sub>M

(�)

( )

()

 $n_{0.14}Sn_{0.02})_{\Sigma 3.06}Al_{7.95}O_{16}$ . The simplified formula is  $Be(Mg,Fe,Zn)_3Al_8O_{16}$  and the ideal end-member formula is  $BeMg_3Al_8O_{16}$ . The variation of chemical composition of ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S and the associated Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S are shown in Figure 2.

# XRPD DATA FOR FERROTAAFFEITE-2N'2S

Ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S is hexagonal with space group  $P6_{3}mc$ . The X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) data for ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S were obtained using a Debye-Scherrer camera 114.6 mm in diameter, with FeK $\alpha$ radiation (Table 2). The strongest five reflections in the XRPD pattern ( $d_{hkl}$  in Å) are: 2.43<sub>114</sub> (I = 100), 2.60<sub>016</sub> (90),  $1.425_{220}(90)$ ,  $2.86_{110}(80)$ , and  $1.473_{0210}(80)$ . The unit-cell parameters refined from the powder data are a 5.706(8), c 18.352(3) Å, V = 517.46(8) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2. The c:a ratio calculated from the unit-cell parameters is 3.216. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies could not be carried out because of the small size of the crystal and the lack of suitable crystals. However, as larger crystals of the associated Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S were available, the crystal structure for this mineral was solved.

# $\label{eq:refinement} \begin{array}{l} Refinement \ Of \ Crystal \ Structure \\ For \ Fe^{2+}\mbox{-}rich \ Magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S \end{array}$

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data for  $Fe^{2+}$ -rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S were collected using

monochromatic MoK $\alpha$  radiation with a Rigaku RA-Micro7HF diffractometer with a Saturn 724+ CCD system. The crystal structure was determined by direct methods (SHELXS-97; Sheldrick 1997) and subsequent Fourier and Fourier difference syntheses. After the determination of all atom positions, the displacement parameters were first refined isotropically, then anisotropically. The refinement procedure was conducted by full-matrix least-square techniques on  $F^2$ .

The structure for Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2Swas solved and refined in space group  $P6_3mc$ , with a 5.6978(8), b 5.6978(8), c 18.373(4) Å, V 516.57(15) Å<sup>3</sup>, to a residual  $R_1 = 0.043$  (w $R_2 = 0.137$ ). The Flack parameter is 0.05(7) and indicates that the structure is not twinned by a center of symmetry operation. According to the crystal-structure refinement, the apparent formula of Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S is Be(Mg<sub>1.73</sub>Fe<sub>0.89</sub>Zn<sub>0.32</sub>Sn<sub>0.06</sub>) $\Sigma_3$ Al<sub>8</sub>O<sub>16</sub> with Z = 2. Crystal data, data-collection information, and refinement details for  $Fe^{2+}$ -rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S are listed in Table 3. The final coordinates, displacement parameters, and occupancy factors of atoms are given in Table 4. Owing to their similar X-ray scattering power, Mg and Al were not distinguished in the refinement. According to the refinement, the M1, T3, M4, and M5 sites are fully occupied by Al. The final site-occupancy factors (sof) for the M2, T6, and T7 sites are constrained according to Mg + Fe = 1, Mg + Fe + Sn = 1, and Mg+ Zn = 1, respectively.

The selected interatomic distances are summarized in Table 5. A listing of structure factors and a CIF file



FIG. 2. The variation of chemical compositions for ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S and Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S.



d <sub>meas.</sub>	d <sub>calc.</sub>	hkl	I	d <sub>meas.</sub>	d <sub>calc.</sub>	hkl
9.2	9.18	002	40	1.921	1.922	026
4.96	4.94	010	4	1.889	1.885	019
4.78	4.77	011	6	1.86	1.858	121
4.56	4.59	004	6	1.835	1.835	0 0 10
4.35	4.35	012	4	1.8	1.798	027
3.85	3.84	013	10	1.732	1.73	124
3.36	3.36	014	10	1.72	1.72	0 1 10
3.04	3.06	006	20	1.682	1.681	028
2.95	2.95	015	20	1.665	1.665	125
2.86	2.85	110	20	1.65	1.647	030
2.73	2.72	112	4	1.645	1.641	031
2.6	2.6	016	70	1.595	1.59	033
2.45	2.45	021	30	1.575	1.573	029
2.43	2.42	114	50	1.551	1.55	034
2.39	2.39	022	30	1.54	1.543	1 1 10
2.32	2.32	017	10	1.505	1.503	035
2.29	2.29	008	80	1.473	1.473	0 2 10
2.18	2.18	024	90	1.425	1.426	220
2.08	2.08	018	40	1.383	1.383	0 2 11
2.05	2.05	025				
	d <sub>meas.</sub> 9.2 4.96 4.78 4.56 4.35 3.85 3.36 3.04 2.95 2.86 2.73 2.6 2.45 2.43 2.39 2.32 2.29 2.18 2.08 2.05	dmeas. dcalc.   9.2 9.18   4.96 4.94   4.78 4.77   4.56 4.59   4.35 4.35   3.85 3.84   3.36 3.36   2.95 2.95   2.86 2.85   2.73 2.72   2.6 2.6   2.45 2.45   2.39 2.39   2.32 2.32   2.29 2.29   2.18 2.18   2.08 2.08	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

TABLE 2. POWDER XRD DATA (IN Å) FOR FERROTAAFFEITE-2N'2S



FIG. 3. Polyhedral representation of the Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S structure with unit-cell outlines. Light grey octahedra = M5O<sub>6</sub>, M2O<sub>6</sub>, M4O<sub>6</sub> and M1O<sub>6</sub>; light grey tetrahedron = T6O<sub>4</sub>; grey tetrahedron = T3O<sub>4</sub>; dark grey tetrahedron = T7O<sub>4</sub>; dark tetrahedron = BeO<sub>4</sub>.

are available from the Depository of Unpublished Data on the Mineralogical Association of Canada website [document Magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S CM50\_21].

DESCRIPTION OF CRYSTAL STRUCTURE FOR FE<sup>2+</sup>-RICH MAGNESIOTAAFFEITE-2N'2S

In general, the structures of minerals within the högbomite supergroup are based on a closed-packed oxygen framework with three types of cation layers (Nuber and Schmetzer 1983, Hejny *et al.* 2002). In the case of minerals of the högbomite and nigerite groups, these cation layers are:

**O** layers with three metal atoms per layer in the unit-cell in octahedral sites,

 $T_1$  layers with one metal atom in octahedral and one metal atom in tetrahedral sites,

 $T_2$  layers with one metal atom in octahedral and two metal atoms in tetrahedral sites.

In the case of minerals of taaffeite group, besides O layers and  $T_2$  layers,  $T_1$  layers are modified into  $T_1$ ' layers with one metal atom in an octahedral site and one Be and one metal atom in tetrahedral sites.

In the case of  $Fe^{2+}$ -rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S, these cation layers are (Table 4, Fig. 3):

**O** layers with M(1) or M(4) in octahedral sites,

 $T_1{}^{\prime}$  layers with M(2) in octahedral, T(3) and Be in tetrahedral sites,

 $T_2$  layers with M(5) in octahedral, T(6) and T(7) in tetrahedral sites.

The cation-layer sequence in Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S can be described as  $O-T_2-O-T_1'-O-T_2-O-T_1'$ , where  $O-T_2$  represents a spinel

( )

( )

module (S) and **O–T<sub>1</sub>** represents a modified nolanite (N') module (Figs. 3-6). The framework of Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S is composed of two spinel modules (S) and two modified nolanite modules (N'), yielding the sequence SN'SN'. Because the idealised

TABLE 3. CRYSTAL DATA, DATA COLLECTION INFORMATION AND REFINEMENT DETAILS FOR FE<sup>2+</sup>-RICH MAGNESIOTAAFFEITE-2N<sup>2</sup>S

Refined formula Formula weight Space group a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) y (°) V (Å <sup>3</sup> ), Z µ (mm <sup>-1</sup> ) Crystal dimensions (mm) F (000), $\rho_{calc}(cm^{-3})$ Diffractometer $\lambda$ (MoK $\alpha$ )(Å), T(K) $\theta$ range for collection (°) <i>h</i> , <i>k</i> , <i>l</i> ranges Total reflections measured Unique reflections Reflections > 4 $\Sigma$ ( <i>F</i> ) Refinement on $R_1(F)$ , $wR_2all(F^2)$ Extinct. Coefficient No. of refined parameters	Be(Mg,Fe,Zn,Sn) <sub>3</sub> Al <sub>8</sub> O <sub>16</sub> 606.43 $P 6_{3}mc$ 5.6978(8) 5.6978(8) 18.373(4) 120 516.57(15), 2 3.278 0.40 × 0.27 × 0.15 590, 3.899 Saturn 724+ CCD system 0.71073, 293(2) 2.22 to 30.49 $-6 \rightarrow 8, -8 \rightarrow 7, -26 \rightarrow 26$ 5022 672 [R(int) = 4.59%] 668 $F^{2}$ 4.27%, 13.74% 4.41%, 14.94% 0.00(4) 74
No. of refined parameters	74
GooF on $F^2$	1.019
$\Delta \rho min, \Delta \rho max (e/Å^3)$	-0.993, 0.987

formula of a spinel module and a modified nolanite (N') module are  $T_2M_4O_8$  and  $BeTM_4O_8$ , respectively, an idealised taaffeite-2N'2S polysome has the composition  $2 \times (BeT_3M_8O_{16})$ .

The crystal structure of Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S from Xianghualing is similar to that from Sri Lanka, and related to ferrohögbomite-2N2S. Cation assignment for Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S can be compared with those of magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S studied by Peng & Wang (1963), magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S by Nuber & Schmetzer (1983), and ferrohögbomite-2N2S (3) by Hejny *et al.* (2002), as shown in Table 6. It indicates that the replacements of Mg and Fe were found at the M2, T6, and T7 sites in Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S, while no replacement of cations was found in the crystal structures determined by Peng & Wang (1963) and by Nuber & Schmetzer (1983).

The average M-O distances (M1–O, M2–O, M4–O, M5–O) in Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2*N*'2*S* range from 1.911 to 2.117 Å and, similar to those, from 1.907 to 2.110 Å [Al3–O, Mg1–O, Al2–O, Al4–O] in magnesiotaaffeite-2*N*'2*S* studied by Nuber & Schmetzer (1983). T3 has a smaller cation-oxygen distance of 1.785 Å than T6 (1.933 Å) and T7 (1.947 Å) in Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2*N*'2*S*. The corresponding cation-oxygen distances are 1.790 Å (Al1–O), 1.912 Å (Mg3–O), and 1.944 Å (Mg2–O) in magnesiotaaffeite-2*N*'2*S*. In both cases T3 was fully occupied by Al.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S is the Fe<sup>2+</sup> analogue of magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S, BeMg<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>8</sub>O<sub>16</sub> (Nuber & Schmetzer

TABLE 4. ATOMIC COORDINATES AND DISPLACEMENT PARAMETERS (IN Å<sup>2</sup>) WITH E.S.D.'S IN PARENTHESES FOR FE<sup>2+</sup>-RICH MAGNESIOTAAFFEITE-2*N*'2S

	Layer	x/a	y/b	z/c	U <sub>eq</sub>	U <sub>11</sub>	U <sub>22</sub>	U <sub>33</sub>	U <sub>23</sub>	U <sub>13</sub>	U <sub>12</sub>
M1	0	0.6674(4)	0.83369(19)	0.94034(11)	0.0106(5)	0.0095(8)	0.0105(7)	0.0114(9)	0.0003(3)	0.0005(7)	0.0047(4)
M2	T <sub>1</sub> '	0	0	0.8008(2)	0.0154(5)	0.0150(7)	0.0150(7)	0.0164(9)	0	0	0.0075(3)
Т3	T <sub>1</sub> '	0.6667	0.3333	0.8507(3)	0.0084(7)	0.0103(9)	0.0103(9)	0.0048(16)	0	0	0.0051(5)
Be	T <sub>1</sub> '	0.3333	-0.3333	0.7940(11)	0.014(3)	0.014(4)	0.014(4)	0.012(9)	0	0	0.007(2)
M4	0	0.0015(4)	0.5008(2)	0.69539(14)	0.0100(4)	0.0094(8)	0.0097(6)	0.0110(9)	0.0003(3)	0.0007(6)	0.0047(4)
M5	T <sub>2</sub>	0.6667	0.3333	0.5689(3)	0.0102(8)	0.0097(9)	0.0097(9)	0.011(2)	0	0	0.0048(5)
T6	T <sub>2</sub>	0.3333	-0.3333	0.53471(13)	0.0076(7)	0.0083(8)	0.0083(8)	0.0060(14)	0	0	0.0042(4)
T7	T <sub>2</sub>	0	0	0.59987(19)	0.0071(5)	0.0080(6)	0.0080(6)	0.0052(9)	0	0	0.0040(3)
01		0	0	0.9916(6)	0.0136(19)	0.010(2)	0.010(2)	0.021(5)	0	0	0.0050(11)
02		0.0396(10)	0.5198(5)	0.0023(3)	0.0136(10)	0.018(2)	0.0111(14)	0.014(2)	-0.0021(9)	-0.0042(18)	0.0092(12)
O3		0.3333	-0.3333	0.8864(4)	0.0136(16)	0.020(2)	0.020(2)	0.000(3)	0	0	0.0102(12)
04		0.8374(5)	0.6748(11)	0.8830(2)	0.0113(9)	0.0139(15)	0.014(2)	0.0061(17)	-0.0016(14)	-0.0008(7)	0.0070(10)
O5		-0.3333	-0.6667	0.7540(4)	0.0058(16)	0.006(2)	0.006(2)	0.005(4)	0	0	0.0032(10)
06		0.6274(9)	0.8137(5)	0.7541(2)	0.0107(9)	0.013(2)	0.0098(14)	0.0106(19)	0.0010(8)	0.0019(16)	0.0064(10)
07		0.3333	0.6667	0.6396(5)	0.0120(18)	0.014(2)	0.014(2)	0.009(3)	0	0	0.0068(12)
08		0.8162(5)	0.6324(9)	0.6361(2)	0.0107(9)	0.0125(16)	0.017(2)	0.0042(17)	0.0002(17)	0.0001(8)	0.0085(10)

Occupancy: M1 =1.0Al; M2 = 0.597(4)Fe + 0.403(4)Mg; T3 =1.0Al; M4 =1.0Al; M5 =1.0Al; T6 = 0.649(4)Mg + 0.288(10)Fe + 0.063(8)Sn; T7 = 0.678(4)Mg + 0.322(4)Zn.

( )

۲

FIG. 4. Polyhedral representations of the O-layers in the Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S structure seen along c. Light grey octahedron =  $M(4)O_6$ . M(4) a1 a2 FIG. 5. Polyhedral representation of the T(6)  $T_2$ -layer in the Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S structure seen along c. Light grey octahedron = M5O<sub>6</sub>; grey tetrahedron = M(5) T6O<sub>4</sub>; dark grey tetrahedron =  $T7O_4$ . a1 T(7) a2 FIG. 6. Polyhedral representation of the  $T_1$ '-layer in the Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S structure seen along c. Light grey octahedron =  $M2O_6$ ; grey tetrahedron =  $T3O_4$ ; dark tetrahedron =  $BeO_4$ . Be T(3) a1 -M(2)

۲

۲

۲

1983), and is a new end-member of the taaffeite group (new Dana group 07.02.11) within the högbomite supergroup (Armbruster 2002, Mills *et al.* 2009). Comparison of the new end member ferrotaaffeite-2N'2S with the intermediate Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S and end-member magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S is known in (Table 7).

The refinement of the crystal structure for the Fe<sup>2+</sup>rich magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S led to the ideal formula BeMg<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>8</sub>O<sub>16</sub> with Z = 2. No evidence of replacement of Be and Mg in the structure of magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S was found in this refinement. This is in agree-

TABLE 5. SELECTED INTERATOMIC DISTANCES (Å) FOR THE COORDINATION POLYHEDRA IN FE<sup>2+</sup>-RICH MAGNESIOTAAFFEITE-2N'2S

M1O <sub>6</sub>		M4O <sub>6</sub>	
M1–O1	1.892(6)	M4-07	1.931(6)
–O2 ×2	1.929(4)	06 ×2	1.891(3)
-04 ×2	1.935(3)	–08 ×2	1.912(3)
-O3	1.923(5)	-O5	1.972(5)
<m1–o>6</m1–o>	1.924	<m4–o>6</m4–o>	1.918
M2O <sub>6</sub>		M5O <sub>6</sub>	
M2–O6 ×3	2.029(5)	M5–O2 ×3	1.897(6)
-O4 ×3	2.204(6)	–O8 ×3	1.924(6)
<m2–o>6</m2–o>	2.117	<m5–o>6</m5–o>	1.911
T6O4		T7O <sub>4</sub>	
T6–O7	1.928(10)	T7–O1	1.990(12)
-O2 ×3	1.934(5)	–O8 ×3	1.932(5)
<t6–o></t6–o>	1.933	<t7–o></t7–o>	1.947
T3O4		BeO <sub>4</sub>	
T3–O5	1.777(8)	Be-O3	1.70(2)
-04 ×3	1.787(5)	-O6 ×3	1.625(10)
<t3–o></t3–o>	1.785	<be–o></be–o>	1.644

ment with result of Nuber & Schmetzer (1983) and further discard the formula  $Be_2Mg_2Al_8O_{16}$  with Z = 4 by Peng & Wang (1963).

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the help of Dr. Qiuli Li with the SIMS analyses and Dr. Xiang Hao in the collection of single-crystal structure data at the Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences. The project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 40872044). We also wish to thank the referees and associate editor for their insightful input.

#### REFERENCES

- ANDERSON, B.W., PAYNE, C.J., CLARINGBULL, G.F., & HEY, M.H. (1951) Taaffeite, a new beryllium mineral, found as cut gemstone. *Mineralogical Magazine* 29, 765-772.
- ARMBRUSTER, T. (2002) Revised nomenclature of högbomite, nigerite, and taaffeite minerals. *European Journal of Min*eralogy 14, 389-395.
- CAI, H. (1991) The metalogenic geological setting and approach on ore genesis of Xianghuanling tin-polymetallic orefield. *Mineral Resources and Geology* 23(4), 272-283 (in Chinese, English abstract).
- HEJNY, C., GNOS, E., GROBETY, B., & ARMBRUSTER, T. (2002) Crystal chemistry of the polysome ferrohögbomite-2N2S, a long-known but newly defined mineral species. *European Journal of Mineralogy* 14, 957-967.

TABLE 6. CATION ASSIGNMENT FOR Fe<sup>2+</sup>-RICH MAGNESIOTAAFFEITE-2N'2S (THIS STUDY), MAGNESIOTAAFFEITE-2N'2S (1) (PENG & WANG 1963), MAGNESIOTAAFFEITE-2N'2S (2) (NUBER & SCHMETZER 1983), AND FERROHÖGBOMITE-2N'2S (3) (HEJNY *ET AL*. 2002)

	Layer	This study	1	2	Layer	3
M1	0	AI	AI	AI	0	Al
M2	T <sub>1</sub> '	(Fe,Zn) <sub>0.60</sub> Mg <sub>0.40</sub>	AI	Mg	T <sub>1</sub>	Fe <sub>0.60</sub> Ti <sub>0.40</sub>
Т3	T <sub>1</sub> '	Al	Mg	AI	T <sub>1</sub>	(AI,Mg) <sub>0.57</sub> (FeZn) <sub>0.43</sub>
Be	T <sub>1</sub> '	Ве	Be	Be	T <sub>1</sub>	Н
M4	0	Al	AI	AI	0	AI
M5	T <sub>2</sub>	Al	AI	AI	T <sub>2</sub>	AI
T6	T <sub>2</sub>	Mg <sub>0.65</sub> (Fe,Zn,Sn) <sub>0.35</sub>	Mg	Mg	T <sub>2</sub>	(Fe,Zn) <sub>0.89</sub> (Mg,Al) <sub>0.11</sub>
T7	T <sub>2</sub>	Mg <sub>0.68</sub> (Fe,Zn) <sub>0.32</sub>	Be	Mg	T <sub>2</sub>	(Fe,Zn) <sub>0.68</sub> (Mg,AI) <sub>0.32</sub>

( )

Fe<sup>2+</sup>-rich magnesiotaaffeite-2*N*'2*S* (this study)

Refined formula:  $Be(Mg_{1.73}Fe_{0.89}Zn_{0.32}Sn_{0.06})_{\Sigma 3.00}Al_8O_{16}$ 

Analyzed composition:  $Be(Mg_{1.54}Fe_{0.98}Zn_{0.38}Mn_{0.14}Sn_{0.02})_{\Sigma 3.06}AI_{7.95}O_{16}.$ 

Magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S (1) (Peng & Wang 1963)

Refined formula: Be2Mg2Al8O16.

Magnesiotaaffeite-2N'2S (2) (Nuber & Schmetzer 1983)

Refined formula: BeMg<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>8</sub>O<sub>16</sub>

Analyzed composition:  $Be(Mg_{2.81}Fe_{0.15}Zn_{0.04})_{\Sigma 3.00}AI_8O_{16}$ 

( )

	1	2	3*
Formula	$\begin{array}{l} Be(Fe_{1.39}Mg_{0.97}Zn_{0.42}\\ Mn_{0.17}Sn_{0.03})_{\Sigma 2.98}\\ Al_8O_{16} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} Be(Mg_{1.54}Fe_{0.98}Zn_{0.38}\\ Mn_{0.14}Sn_{0.02})_{\Sigma3.06}AI_8O_{16} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} Be(Mg_{2.81}Fe_{0.15}\\ Zn_{0.04})_{\Sigma 3}Al_8O_{16} \end{array}$
Space group	P 6 <sub>3</sub> mc	P 63mc	P 6 <sub>3</sub> mc
a (Å)	5.706(8)	5.6978(8)	5.6867(6)
c (Å)	18.352(3)	18.373(4)	18.337(3)
V (Å <sup>3</sup> )	517.46(8)	516.57(15)	513.54(6)
Z	2	2	2
Density (calc.) (g/cm3	)3.99	3.90	3.62

TABLE 7. COMPARISON OF UNIT CELL PARAMETERS FOR (1) FERROTAAFFEITE-2N'2S, (2) FE<sup>2+</sup>-RICH MAGNESIOTAAFFEITE-2N'2S, AND (3) MAGNESIOTAAFFEITE-2N'2S

\* Nuber & Schmetzer 1983

- LUO, W., LI, W., ZHOU, T., & DAI, T. (2010) Discussion on the genesis and geological-geochemical characteristics of the Xianghualing tin-polymetallic orefield in the South of Hunan Province. *Geological Survey and Research*, 33(1), 1-11 (in Chinese, English Abstract).
- MANDARINO, J.A. (1981) The Gladstone-Dale relationship, part IV. The compatibility concept and its application. *Canadian Mineralogist* 19, 441-450.
- MILLS, S. J., HATERT, F., NICKEL, E.H., & FERRARIS, G. (2009) The standardisation of mineral group hierarchies: application to recent nomenclature proposals. *European Journal* of Mineralogy 21, 1073-1080.
- NUBER, B. AND SCHMETZER, K. (1983): Crystal structure of ternary Be-Mg-Al oxides: taaffeite, BeMg<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>8</sub>O<sub>16</sub>, and musgravite,BeMg<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>6</sub>O<sub>12</sub>. *Neues Jahrbuch für Mineralogie Monatshefte* **1983**, 393-402.
- PENG, C.C. & WANG, K.J. (1963): Discovery of a compact structure with 8-layers. Crystal structure analysis of taaffeite. *Scientia Sinica* 12(2), 276-278 (in Russian).

- SHELDRICK, G.M. (1997) SHELXL-97, Program for the refinement of crystal structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- YUAN, S., PENG, J., SHEN, N., HU, R., & DAI, T. (2007) <sup>40</sup>Ar-<sup>39</sup>Ar isotopic dating of the Xianghualing Sn-polymetallic orefield in Southern Hunan, China and its geological implications. *Acta Geologica Sinica* 81(2), 278-286 (in Chinese, English Abstract).
- ZHONG JIANGLIN & LI CHUPING (2006) Geological characteristics and genesis of Xianghualing skarn type tin deposit. *Mineral Resources and Geology* 20(2), 147-151 (in Chinese, English abstract).
- ZHOU, XINMIN, SUN, TAO, SHEN, WEIZHOU, SHU, LIANGSHU, & NIU, YAOLING. (2006) Petrogenisis of Mesozoic granitoids and volcanic rocks in South China: A response to tectonic evolution. *Episodes* 29(1), 26-33.
- Received November 28, 2011, revised manuscript accepted March 4, 2011.

( )

(�)

( )