# THE STRUCTURE OF MARIALITE (*Me*<sub>6</sub>) AND MEIONITE (*Me*<sub>93</sub>) IN SPACE GROUPS *P*4<sub>2</sub>/*n* AND *I*4/*m*, AND THE ABSENCE OF PHASE TRANSITIONS IN THE SCAPOLITE SERIES

Sytle M. Antao<sup>1</sup> and Ishmael Hassan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Geoscience, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta T2N 1N4, Canada <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of the West Indies, Mona, Kingston 7, Jamaica

# ABSTRACT

The structure of marialite ( $Me_6$ ) from Badakhshan, Afghanistan and meionite ( $Me_{93}$ ) from Mt. Vesuvius, Italy was obtained using synchrotron high-resolution powder X-ray diffraction (HRPXRD) data and Rietveld structure refinements. Their structure was refined in space groups I4/m and  $P4_2/n$ , and similar results were obtained. The  $Me_6$  sample has a formula  $Ca_{0.24}Na_{3.37}K_{0.24}[Al_{3.16}Si_{8.84}O_{24}]Cl_{0.84}(CO_3)_{0.15}$ , and the unit-cell parameters are a =12.047555(7), c = 7.563210(6) Å, and V = 1097.751(1) Å<sup>3</sup>. The average  $\langle T1-O \rangle$  distance is 1.599(1) in I4/m, and 1.600(2) Å in  $P4_2/n$ , indicating that the T1 site contains only Si atoms. In  $P4_2/n$ ,  $\langle T2-O \rangle = 1.655(2)$  and  $\langle T3-O \rangle = 1.664(2)$  Å, these average distances are distinct and are not equal to each other. However, the mean  $\langle T2,3-O \rangle = 1.659(2)$  Å in  $P4_2/n$ , and is identical to the  $\langle T2' - O \rangle = 1.659(1)$  Å in *I4/m*. The  $\langle M - O \rangle$  [7] = 2.754(1) Å (M site is coordinated to seven framework O atoms) and M-A = 2.914(1) Å; these distances are identical in both space groups. A similar examination for the  $Me_{93}$  sample also shows that both space groups give similar results; however, the C-O distance is more reasonable in  $P4_2/n$ than in I4/m. Refining the scapolite structure near  $Me_0$  or  $Me_{100}$  in I4/m forces the T2 and T3 sites (both with multiplicity 8 in  $P4_2/n$ ) to be equivalent and form the T2' site (with multiplicity 16 in I4/m), but  $\langle T2-O \rangle$  is not equal to  $\langle T3-O \rangle$  in  $P4_2/n$ . Using different space groups for different regions across the series implies phase transitions, which do not occur in the scapolite series.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Scapolite forms solid solutions between the end members marialite,  $Na_4[Al_3Si_9O_{24}]Cl = Me_0$ and meionite,  $Ca_4[Al_6Si_6O_{24}]CO_3 = Me_{100}$ . It forms two series that meet at  $Me_{75}$ ,  $Na_2Ca_6[Al_{10}Si_{14}O_{48}](CO_3)_2$ , and the composition varies by replacement of  $[Na_4 \cdot Cl]Si_2$  for



# This document was presented at the Denver X-ray Conference (DXC) on Applications of X-ray Analysis.

Sponsored by the International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD).

This document is provided by ICDD in cooperation with the authors and presenters of the DXC for the express purpose of educating the scientific community.

All copyrights for the document are retained by ICDD.

Usage is restricted for the purposes of education and scientific research.

DXC Website – www.dxcicdd.com



ICDD Website - <u>www.icdd.com</u>



[NaCa<sub>3</sub>•CO<sub>3</sub>]Al<sub>2</sub> between  $Me_{0.75}$ , and by the replacement of [NaCa<sub>3</sub>•CO<sub>3</sub>]Si for [Ca<sub>4</sub>•CO<sub>3</sub>]Al between  $Me_{75-100}$  (Evans et al., 1969; Hassan and Buseck, 1988; Deer et al., 1992). In addition, chemical analyses of scapolite are represented by two straight lines that meet at  $Me_{75}$ , again indicating two series (see Fig. 12 in Hassan and Buseck, 1988, or Fig. 188 in Deer et al., 1992). The Ca-Na cations disordered on heating, but the Cl-CO<sub>3</sub> order remains to 900 °C (Antao and Hassan, 2002, 2008a, b).

A number of studies on scapolite divided the series into three subseries that meet at  $Me_{20-25}$  and  $Me_{60-67}$  (e.g., Sokolova et al., 1996, 2000; Teertstra and Sherriff, 1996; Zolotarev 1996, 2003; Sherriff et al., 1998, 2000; Teertstra et al., 1999; Hawthorne and Sokolova, 2008; Sokolova and Hawthorne, 2008). Ulbrich (1973a) suggested a break in cell parameters at  $Me_{65-66}$  (his Fig. 1).

Using transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and the type–b reflections (h+k+l = odd), three subseries were identified: series  $Me_{0-18}$  and  $Me_{90-100}$  have space group I4/m, whereas series  $Me_{18-90}$  has space group  $P4_2/n$  (Seto et al., 2004). Some scapolite samples were refined in both space groups I4/m and  $P4_2/n$ , for example, ON8 ( $Me_{21}$ ) and ON45 ( $Me_{93}$ ) samples do not show type-b reflections, but that does not mean that they are body centered (Lin and Burley, 1973c). These authors indicated that the type-b reflections are less intense than the detection limit of the X-ray experiments and suggested that all scapolite structure belong to space group  $P4_2/n$ , except the end members,  $Me_0$  and  $Me_{100}$ , which do not occur naturally.

In space group  $P4_2/n$ , the T2 and T3 tetrahedra are symmetrically distinct and are not required to have equal  $\langle T2-O \rangle$  and  $\langle T3-O \rangle$  distances (Fig. 1). Each of the T2 and T3 sites with a multiplicity of 8 in the  $P4_2/n$  structure is symmetrically distinct, and together they form the T2' site with a multiplicity of 16 in space group I4/m. Sokolova and Hawthorne (2008) refined the structure of their S15 sample ( $Me_{76.9}$ ) in both space groups, I4/m and  $P4_2/n$ . They test for the best space group for S15 by comparing the  $\langle T2-O \rangle$  and  $\langle T3-O \rangle$ distances in the  $P4_2/n$  refinement. If these distances are equal, the space group is I4/m; if these distances are not equal, the space group is  $P4_2/n$ . Their relevant distances are 1.691(2) and 1.666(2) Å, so they assign the space group  $P4_2/n$  to sample S15. In spite of refining their S15 sample ( $Me_{76.9}$ ) in space group  $P4_2/n$ , Sokolova and Hawthorne (2008) claim a phase transition at  $Me_{60-67}$  based on a break in the *c* cell parameters. Furthermore, they refined other samples close to  $Me_0$  and  $Me_{100}$  in space group I4/m, thereby implying two phase transitions with an intermediate series that is refined in space group  $P4_2/n$ .

Hassan and Antao (2010) observed a discontinuity in unit-cell parameters at  $Me_{75}$  and the absence of any phase transition across the scapolite series; a maximum in the *c* parameter



Figure 1. (a) Scapolite structure showing framework T and interstitial M and A sites, four-membered rings, and oval-shaped channels. (b) A cage containing A and M ions and uncommon five-membered rings; A is coordinated by four M in a square-planar configuration. Space group  $P4_2/n$ .

occurs at Me<sub>37.5</sub>. In this study, the structure of a marialite ( $Me_6$ ) and a meionite ( $Me_{93}$ ) sample was refined in both space groups I4/m and  $P4_2/n$ , and similar results were obtained. There is no reason for phase transitions at  $Me_{20-25}$ ,  $Me_{60-67}$ , or  $Me_{75}$  and no reason to refine the structure near  $Me_0$  and  $Me_{100}$  in the higher symmetry space group I4/m, thereby forcing the T2 and T3 sites in  $P4_2/n$  to be the same and constitute the T2' site in I4/m.

# **PREVIOUS WORK**

The structure of scapolite was determined by Pauling (1930) and Schiebold and Seumel (1932) and refined by several researchers in either space group I4/m or  $P4_2/n$ (Papike and Zoltai, 1965; Papike and Stephenson, 1966; Lin and Burley, 1973a, b, c, 1974; Ulbrich, 1973b; Levien and Papike, 1976; Peterson et al., 1979; Aitken et al., 1984; Comodi et al., 1990; Belokoneva et al., 1991, 1993; Teertstra et al., 1999; Sokolova and Hawthorne, 2008). More data were obtained using Rietveld refinement and MAS NMR spectroscopy (Sokolova et al., 1996, 2000; Sherriff et al., 1998, 2000), valence-matching principle (Hawthorne and Sokolova 2008), and electrostatic energy

81

calculations (Chamberlain et al., 1985). A review of scapolite crystal chemistry was given by Lin (1975).

#### EXPERIMENTAL

A marialite sample from Badakhshan, Afghanistan and a meionite sample from Mt. Vesuvius, Italy were used in this study. The marialite crystals are large (0.5 x 0.5 x 0.5 cm), purple in color, and are of gem quality. The meionite crystal is colorless and was obtained from the Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History (B20018-1). Their chemical analyses were obtained by electron microprobe. The crystals are homogeneous based on optical observation and microprobe data. The chemical composition for the marialite sample is  $K_{0.24}Na_{3.37}Ca_{0.24}[Al_{3.16}Si_{8.84}O_{24}]Cl_{0.84}(SO_4)_{0.02}(CO_3)_{0.15}$  and corresponds to  $Me_{6.2}$ ; the meionite sample is  $Na_{0.29}Ca_{3.76}[Al_{5.54}Si_{6.46}O_{24}]Cl_{0.05}(SO_4)_{0.02}(CO_3)_{0.93}$  and corresponds to  $Me_{92.9}$ .

A small (about 0.2 x 0.2 x 0.2 mm) crystal was handpicked under a binocular microscope and finely crushed in an agate mortar and pestle for synchrotron high-resolution powder X-ray diffraction (HRPXRD) experiments that were performed at beamline 11-BM, Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory. The sample was loaded into a Kapton capillary and rotated during the experiment at a rate of 90 rotations per second. The data were collected to a maximum 20 of about 40° with a step time of 0.1 s/step. The step size was  $0.001^{\circ}$  and  $0.0005^{\circ}$  for  $Me_6$  and  $Me_{93}$ , respectively. Additional details of the experimental set-up are given elsewhere (Antao et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2008).

The HRPXRD method, instead of the single-crystal method, was chosen because it is rapid (about 1 hr. for a data set), it provides superior cell parameters, and bond-distances and angles that are comparable to those obtained by the single-crystal method. Weak reflections, including satellite reflections, are easily observed because of the high beam intensity of synchrotron X-rays. In addition, single-crystal data are available to compare with our present HRPXRD data. Moreover, Antao et al. (2008) have compared data from HRPXRD and single-crystal methods for several minerals and showed that the results are comparable.

### **RIETVELD STRUCTURE REFINEMENT**

The crystal structure of marialite was modeled using the Rietveld method (Rietveld, 1969) that is incorporated in the *GSAS* program (Larson and Von Dreele, 2000) and using the *EXPGUI* interface (Toby, 2001). Initial structural parameters were taken from Teertstra et al.

(1999). The structure refinement was carried out by varying parameters in the following sequence: scale factor, background, cell, zero shift, profile, atom positions, and isotropic displacement parameters. Finally, all variables were refined simultaneously until convergence was achieved. Site occupancy factors for the M cations and A anions were fixed to that obtained by chemical analyses. We assumed that the A atoms completely occupy the 4/m site, the disordered trigonal CO<sub>3</sub> group occurs in a small quantity, so its O atom was not modeled in the refinement for marialite, but it occurs in significant quantity in meionite, where it is modeled quite well. Figure 2a, b displays the HRPXRD trace for marialite,  $Me_6$  and meionite,  $Me_{93}$ , respectively. Table I contains the refinement statistics and unit-cell parameters. Table II contains the atom positions and isotropic displacement parameters, U. Table III contains selected bond distances and angles.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### MARIALITE (Me<sub>6</sub>)

The unit-cell parameters for marialite are a = 12.047555(7), c = 7.563210(6) Å, and V = 1097.751(1) Å<sup>3</sup>. Sample S2 (*Me*<sub>7</sub>) from Sokolova and Hawthorne (2008) has a = 12.0541(5), c = 7.5682(3) Å, and V = 1099.7(1) Å<sup>3</sup>. The differences between S2 and our sample are 0.007 Å for *a*, 0.005 Å for *c*, and 1.95 Å<sup>3</sup> for *V*.

The refined marialite structure in both space groups I4/m and  $P4_2/n$  gave identical results (Table III). The average <T1-O> distances are 1.599(1) and 1.600(2) Å for space groups I4/m and  $P4_2/n$ , respectively. Therefore, the T1 site contains only Si atoms. The average  $\langle T2' - O \rangle = 1.659(1)$  Å in space group I4/m, and is identical to the mean of  $\langle T2 - O \rangle =$ 1.655(2) and  $\langle T3-O \rangle = 1.664(2)$  Å, which is 1.659(2) Å (=  $\langle T2, 3-O \rangle$ ) for the lower symmetry space group  $P4_2/n$ . The distinct T2 and T3 sites are not identical in space group  $P4_2/n$ ; they do not have identical <T-O> distances. The T2 and T3 sites are forced to be a T2' site in space group I4/m. The Al-Si atoms are disordered in the T2 and T3 sites in space group  $P4_2/n$ , and the T2' site in space group I4/m. The  $\langle M-O \rangle$ [7] (M site is coordinated to seven framework O atoms) and M-A distances are identical in both space groups (Table III). The structure in space group I4/m has a smaller number of observed reflections,  $N_{obs} = 1429$ , whereas in space group  $P4_2/n$ , N<sub>obs</sub> = 2680; nearly twice as many reflections (the type-b reflections are very weak towards  $Me_0$  and  $Me_{100}$ ). The structural results for marialite in space groups I4/m and  $P4_2/n$  are identical, but the  $\langle T2-O \rangle$  and  $\langle T3-O \rangle$  distances are not equal. Therefore, the  $P4_2/n$  space group is preferred and there is no reason for a phase transition at  $Me_{20-25}$ .



Figure 2. HRPXRD traces for (a) marialite,  $Me_6$ , and (b) meionite,  $Me_{93}$ , in space group  $P4_2/n$  together with the calculated (continuous line) and observed (crosses) profiles. The difference curves ( $I_{obs} - I_{calc}$ ) are shown at the bottom. The short vertical lines indicate allowed reflection positions. The traces beyond 20° 20 are scaled by 20x.

	$Me_6$		<i>Me</i> <sub>93</sub>		
	<i>I</i> 4/ <i>m</i>	$P4_2/n$	I4/m	$P4_2/n$	
$\chi^2$	2.100	2.071	2.679	2.684	
$R_{\rm F}^{2}$	0.0752	0.0724	0.0713	0.0674	
N <sub>obs</sub>	1429	2680	1594	3006	
2θ range	2.5 - 40	2.5 - 40	2.5 - 40	2.5 - 40	
λ (Å)	0.41226(2)	0.41226(2)	0.40243(2)	0.40243(2)	
Step size	0.001°	0.001°	0.0005°	$0.0005^{\circ}$	
No. of data pts.	37499	37499	73227	73227	
<i>a</i> (Å)	12.047554(7)	12.047555(7)	12.19882(1)	12.19882(1)	
<i>c</i> (Å)	7.563210(6)	7.563210(6)	7.576955(8)	7.576954(8)	
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	1097.751(1)	1097.751(1)	1127.537(2)	1127.535(2)	
$^{\dagger}Me\%$	6.2	6.2	92.9	92.9	
<sup>†</sup> From electron microprobe chemical analyses; $Me\% = [Ca/(Ca+Na+K)] \times 100$ .					

TABLE I. Refinement data for marialite  $(Me_6)$  and meionite  $(Me_{93})$ .

The S2 sample (*Me*<sub>7</sub>) from Sokolova and Hawthorne (2008) in space group *I*4/*m* has <T1-O> = 1.607 Å and <T2'-O> = 1.662 Å, which are similar to our values given above for the same space group *I*4/*m*. Their <M-O>[7] = 2.736 Å, and M-A = 2.945(1) Å, whereas we obtained 2.754(2) Å and 2.914(1) Å, respectively for both space groups (Table III). The difference between these <M-O>[7] distances is 0.018 Å and between the M-A distances is 0.031 Å.

In sodalite,  $Na_8[Si_6Al_6O_{24}]Cl_2$ , the Cl atom is surrounded by four Na atoms in a tetrahedral configuration and Na-Cl = 2.7337(4) Å (Hassan and Grundy, 1984; Hassan et al., 2004; Antao et al., 2008). In marialite, the Cl atom is also surrounded by four Na atoms in a square-planar configuration and Na-Cl = 2.914(1) Å. Repulsion of the Na atoms in the square-planar configuration causes the Na-Cl distance to be larger in marialite compared to that in sodalite.

# MEIONITE (Me93)

The unit-cell parameters for meionite ( $Me_{92.9}$ ) are a = 12.19882(1), c = 7.576954(8) Å, and V = 1127.535(2) Å<sup>3</sup>. Sample S18 ( $Me_{92.8}$ ) from Sokolova and Hawthorne (2008) for a sample

<i>I</i> 4/ <i>m</i>		Me <sub>6</sub>	<i>Me</i> <sub>93</sub>	<i>P</i> 4 <sub>2</sub> / <i>n</i>		Me <sub>6</sub>	<i>Me</i> <sub>93</sub>
T1	x	0.16191(6)	0.16095(5)	T1	x	0.58813(6)	0.58907(5)
	v	0.08945(5)	0.09296(5)		v	0.66053(5)	0.65706(5)
	z.	0	0		z.	0.2487(3)	0.2478(3)
	U	0.0090(1)	0.0101(1)		U	0.0091(1)	0.0101(1)
T2	x	0.16247(4)	0.15972(4)	T2	x	0.9130(2)	0.9078(1)
	у	0.41535(4)	0.41342(3)		у	0.1640(2)	0.1635(1)
	Z.	0.20652(5)	0.20701(5)		Z.	0.0426(3)	0.0424(3)
	U	0.0114(1)	0.0122(1)		U	0.0091(1)	0.0096(1)
				T3	x	0.6666(2)	0.6634(1)
					у	0.0880(2)	0.0886(1)
					Z.	0.9558(3)	0.9565(2)
					U	0.0091(1)	0.0096(1)
01	x	0.0433(1)	0.0420(1)	01	x	0.7067(1)	0.7081(1)
	У	0.1472(1)	0.15166(9)		У	0.6027(1)	0.59820(9)
	Z.	0	0		Z.	0.2533(6)	0.2535(5)
	U	0.0181(4)	0.0241(4)		U	0.0179(4)	0.0233(4)
O2	x	0.1943(1)	0.18656(9)	O2	x	0.9443(1)	0.93665(9)
	У	0.3822(1)	0.37567(8)		У	0.1321(1)	0.12565(8)
	Z.	0	0		Z.	0.2478(6)	0.2454(5)
	U	0.0139(4)	0.0161(4)		U	0.0141(4)	0.0158(4)
03	x	0.44825(8)	0.45047(7)	O3	x	0.6007(4)	0.6009(2)
	У	0.15010(8)	0.14988(6)		У	0.1988(4)	0.2010(2)
	Z.	0.2171(1)	0.2076(1)		Z.	0.0328(4)	0.0423(4)
	U	0.0217(3)	0.0210(3)		U	0.021(1)	0.0241(2)
04	x	0.27037(8)	0.26520(6)	O4	x	0.8024(4)	0.7997(2)
	У	0.37309(7)	0.36603(6)		У	0.0991(4)	0.0993(2)
	z	0.6717(1)	0.6762(1)		z	0.9666(4)	0.9576(4)
	U	0.0196(3)	0.0271(3)		U	0.022(2)	0.0241(2)
				05	X	0.5231(3)	0.5213(2)
					У	0.6265(4)	0.6155(2)
					z	0.0761(5)	0.0729(3)
					U	0.016(1)	0.0241(2)
				06	x	0.6201(4)	0.6164(2)
					У	0.9825(3)	0.9911(2)
					Z.	0.0803(4)	0.0748(3)
		0.05011(0)	0.05500(4)		U	0.020(1)	0.0241(2)
ïΜ	X	0.3/211(9)	0.35739(4)	Μ	X	0.62206(8)	0.60734(4)
	У	0.29485(9)	0.28175(4)		У	0.5447/(9)	0.531/1(4)
	Z,	0	0		Z,	0.7427(4)	0.7417(1)
* *	U	0.0402(3)	0.0285(1)		U	0.0390(3)	0.0275(2)
*A	x	0.5	0.5	А	x	0.75	0.75
	У	0.5	0.5		У	0.75	0.75
		U 0.0292(5)	U 0.0997(0)			0.75	0.75
050	U	0.0383(5)	0.0887(9)	070	U	0.0404(5)	0.092(1)
050	x	-	0.6030(1)	0/0	x		0.8543(1)
	У	-	0.5126(3)		У		0.7627(3)
		-	U 0.0007(0)				0.7027(11)
	U	-	0.0887(9)		U		0.092(1)
$\dagger M = (Ca, Na, K), *A = (Cl, C, S).$							

TABLE II. Atom positions and  $U(\text{\AA}^2)$  for marialite (*Me*<sub>6</sub>) and meionite (*Me*<sub>93</sub>).

<i>I</i> 4/ <i>m</i>	Me <sub>6</sub>	<i>Me</i> <sub>93</sub>	$P4_{2}/n$	Me <sub>6</sub>	<i>Me</i> <sub>93</sub>
T1-O1 T1-O1' T1-O4 T1-O4' <b><t1-o></t1-o></b> T2'-O2 T2'-O3	1.590(2) 1.609(2) 1.599(1) 1.599(1) <b>1.599(1)</b> 1.6572(6) 1.652(1)	1.618(1) 1.650(1) 1.6862(8) 1.6862(8) <b>1.660(1)</b> 1.6672(5) 1.6647(8)	T1-O1 T1-O1' T1-O5 T1-O6 <b><t1-o></t1-o></b> T2-O2 T2-O3	1.589(2) 1.610(2) 1.576(4) 1.623(4) <b>1.600(2)</b> 1.643(4) 1.662(5)	$1.620(1) \\ 1.649(1) \\ 1.642(3) \\ 1.735(3) \\ 1.661(1) \\ 1.644(3) \\ 1.656(3)$
T2'-O3' T2'-O4 < <b>T2'-O</b> >	1.654(1) 1.673(1) <b>1.659(1)</b>	1.6798(8) 1.6654(8) <b>1.669(1</b> )	T2-O4 T2-O5 < <b>T2-O</b> > T3-O2 T3-O3 T3-O4 T3-O6 < <b>T3-O</b> >	1.649(5) 1.665(5) <b>1.655(2)</b> 1.673(4) 1.659(5) 1.643(5) 1.679(4) <b>1.6664(2)</b>	1.663(3) 1.739(2) <b>1.675(1)</b> 1.693(3) 1.698(3) 1.668(3) 1.597(2) <b>1.664(1)</b>
Mean T M-O2 M-O3 x 2 M-O4 x 2 M-O4' x 2	<b>1.639(1)</b> 2.387(2) 2.565(1) 2.925(1) 2.954(1)	<b>1.666(1)</b> 2.378(1) 2.5203(8) 2.8884(9) 2.6958(8)	< <b>T2,3-O&gt;</b> <b>Mean T</b> M-O2 M-O3 M-O4 M-O5 M-O5' M-O6 M-O6'	<b>1.659(2)</b> <b>1.639(1)</b> 2.388(2) 2.539(5) 2.589(5) 2.958(5) 3.032(5) 2.878(4) 2.894(5)	<b>1.670(1)</b> <b>1.667(1)</b> 2.379(1) 2.490(3) 2.553(3) 2.906(3) 2.768(3) 2.622(3) 2.874(3)
< <b>M-O&gt;[7]</b> M-O5C M-O5C' < <b>M-O</b> >[9]	2.753(1) - -	<b>2.655(1)</b> 2.555(3) 2.359(3) 2.611(2)	< <b>M-O&gt;[7]</b> M-O7C M-O7C' <m-o>[9]</m-o>	2.754(2) - -	<b>2.656(1)</b> 2.557(3) 2.351(3) 2.611(2)
M-A	2.913(1)	3.1804(5)	M-A	2.914(1)	3.1817(5)
C-O x 4 T1-O1-T1 T2'-O2-T2' T2'-O3-T2' T1-O4-T2'	160.3(1) 140.97(8) 149.56(7) 139.29(7)	1.266(2) 157.67(9) 140.38(6) 146.35(5) 136.93(5) 145.33(4)	C-O x 4 T1-O1-T1 T2-O2-T3 T2-O3-T3 T2-O4-T3 T1-O5-T2 T1-O6-T3 <t-o-t></t-o-t>	$160.1(1) \\ 140.99(8) \\ 149.7(3) \\ 149.4(3) \\ 140.5(3) \\ 138.1(3) \\ 146.5(1)$	1.285(2) $157.47(9)$ $140.21(6)$ $146.6(2)$ $146.1(2)$ $134.6(2)$ $138.8(2)$ $143.95(7)$

TABLE III. Bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for marialite ( $Me_6$ ) and meionite ( $Me_{93}$ ).

In space group  $P4_2/n$ ,  $\langle T2, 3-O \rangle = [\langle T2-O \rangle + \langle T3-O \rangle]/2$  and mean  $T = [\langle T1-O \rangle + \langle T2-O \rangle + \langle T3-O \rangle]/3$ . In space group I4/m, the mean  $T = [\langle T1-O \rangle + (2 \times \langle T2^2-O \rangle)]/3$ .

from the same locality has a = 12.2077(5), c = 7.5832(3) Å, and V = 1130.1(1) Å<sup>3</sup>. The differences between S18 and our sample are 0.0089 Å for *a*, 0.0062 Å for *c*, and 2.57 Å<sup>3</sup> for *V*. Although these samples are from the same locality and have similar composition, our cell parameters are different from those reported by Sokolova and Hawthorne (2008).

The refined meionite structure in both space groups I4/m and  $P4_2/n$  gave similar results (Table III). The average  $\langle T1-O \rangle$  distances are 1.660(1) and 1.661(1) Å for space groups I4/m and  $P4_2/n$ , respectively. Therefore, the Al-Si atoms are partially disordered in the T1 site. The average  $\langle T2' - O \rangle = 1.669(1)$  Å in space group I4/m, and is identical to the mean of  $\langle T2-O \rangle = 1.675(1)$  and  $\langle T3-O \rangle = 1.664(1)$  Å, which is  $\langle T2, 3-O \rangle = 1.670(1)$  Å for space group  $P4_2/n$ . Based on  $\langle T-O \rangle$  distances, the Al-Si order in the distinct T2 and T3 sites are not identical in space group  $P4_2/n$ . The Al-Si atoms are partially disordered in the T2 and T3 sites in space group  $P4_2/n$ , and the T2' site in space group I4/m. The <M-O>[7] and M-A distances are identical in both space groups (Table III). For the disordered CO<sub>3</sub> group, a more reasonable C-O distance is obtained in space group  $P4_2/n$  compared to I4/m. The structural results for meionite in space groups I4/m and  $P4_2/n$  are similar, so there is no reason for a phase transition at  $Me_{60-67}$  and have the structure in the higher symmetry space group I4/m. The meionite structure in space group I4/m has the number of observed reflections,  $N_{obs} = 1594$ , whereas in space group  $P4_2/n$ ,  $N_{obs} = 3006$ ; nearly twice as many reflections (the type-b reflections are very weak towards  $Me_{93}$ ). Refinement of the structure in the lower symmetry space group  $P4_2/n$  shows that the T2 and T3 sites are not equivalent. In  $Me_6$ ,  $\langle T2-O \rangle$  is slightly lesser than  $\langle T3-O \rangle$ , whereas in  $Me_{93}$ ,  $\langle T2-O \rangle$  is slightly greater than <T3-O> (Table III).

The S18 sample ( $Me_{92.8}$ ) from Sokolova and Hawthorne (2008) has  $\langle T1-O \rangle = 1.658$  Å and  $\langle T2'-O \rangle = 1.683$  Å, compared to our  $\langle T1-O \rangle = 1.660(1)$  Å and  $\langle T2'-O \rangle = 1.669(1)$  Å in space group *I4/m*. Their  $\langle M-O \rangle$ [7] = 2.639 Å, and M-A = 3.196(1) Å, whereas we obtained 2.655(1) Å and 3.1804(5) Å, respectively for both space groups (Table III). The difference between these respective  $\langle M-O \rangle$ [7] and M-A distances is 0.016 Å for both, and probably arise from the different cell parameters used.

Why are the type-b reflections unobserved near  $Me_0$  and  $Me_{100}$ ? The simple answer is that they are too weak to be observed. Lin and Burley (1973c) did not observe type-b reflections in their ON8 ( $Me_{21}$ ) and ON45 ( $Me_{93}$ ) samples, but they refined these samples in both space groups. With TEM, type-b reflections were observed between  $Me_{18-90}$  (Seto et al., 2004). Phakey and Ghose (1972) and Hassan and Buseck (1988) have shown that type-b reflections arise from Cl-CO<sub>3</sub> order, instead of Al-Si order, and give rise to antiphase domain boundaries (APBs). Complete order of Cl-CO<sub>3</sub> is achieved where their ratio is 1:1, which occurs at  $Me_{37.5}$ , [Na<sub>5</sub>Ca<sub>3</sub>[Al<sub>8</sub>Si<sub>16</sub>O<sub>48</sub>]Cl(CO<sub>3</sub>)] (Hassan and Buseck, 1988). For the  $Me_6$  sample, the amount of Cl and CO<sub>3</sub> are 0.84 and 0.15, respectively. This means that 0.15 CO<sub>3</sub> can couple with 0.15 Cl to form an ordered distribution, whereas the remaining 0.69 Cl are distributed randomly throughout the structure. This 0.15 CO<sub>3</sub> - 0.15 Cl order distribution occurs in small domains (about 15% of the sample). Only if such small domains are observed by TEM, then the type-b reflections may be observed in a high-voltage TEM. The chance of observing such small domains becomes challenging towards  $Me_0$ , but they are easily observed at  $Me_{37.5}$ , where 1:1 Cl-CO<sub>3</sub> order occurs throughout the crystal (Hassan and Buseck, 1988). Using high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM), domains from series-1 scapolite  $(Me_{0.75})$  were observed as inclusions in series-2 scapolite  $(Me_{75-100})$ , so type-b reflections were observed in a  $Me_{79.6}$  scapolite sample, but a discontinuity in cell parameters and composition occurs at  $Me_{75}$ ,  $[Na_2Ca_6[Al_{10}Si_{14}O_{48}](CO_3)_2]$ , where the A site is completely filled with CO<sub>3</sub> groups from  $Me_{75-100}$  (Hassan and Buseck, 1988; Hassan and Antao, 2010). Scapolite analyses fall on two straight lines that meet at  $Me_{75}$ . A discontinuity occurs in the unit-cell parameters at  $Me_{75}$  and no phase transition occurs across the scapolite series.

The structure of marialite ( $Me_6$ ) and meionite ( $Me_{93}$ ) refines equally well in both space groups I4/m and  $P4_2/n$ , and similar structural results were obtained. There is no reason to refine samples close to  $Me_0$  and  $Me_{100}$  in the higher symmetry space group I4/m, thereby implying transitions and forcing the T2 and T3 sites to be the same T2' site. In space group  $P4_2/n$ ,  $Me_6$  and  $Me_{93}$  have <T2-O> and <T3-O> distances that not identical. Therefore, space group I4/m should not be used for scapolite. We reconfirm that scapolite forms two solid solutions that meet at  $Me_{75}$ , where a discontinuity occurs in chemical composition and unitcell parameters (Hassan and Antao, 2010), as was previously noted (Evans et al., 1969; Hassan and Buseck, 1988). Moreover, there is no phase transition across the scapolite series.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the referees and T. Blanton, the Editor-in-Chief, for useful comments that improved this manuscript. J. Post is thanked for the  $Me_{93}$  sample. Use of the Advanced Photon Source was supported by the U. S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. This work was supported by a University of Calgary grant, a discovery grant from the National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada, and an Alberta Ingenuity New Faculty Award.

#### REFERENCES

- Aitken, B.G., Evans, H.T., and Konnert, J.A. (1984). "The crystal-structure of a synthetic meionite," Neues Jahr. Mineral.-Abh. 149, 309-324.
- Antao, S.M. and Hassan, I. (2002). "Thermal behavior of scapolite Me<sub>79.6</sub> and Me<sub>33.3</sub>," Can. Mineral. 40, 1395-1401.
- Antao, S.M. and Hassan, I. (**2008a**). "Increase in Al-Si and Na-Ca disorder with temperature in scapolite Me<sub>32.9</sub>," Can. Mineral. **46**, 1577-1591.

- Antao, S.M. and Hassan, I. (**2008b**). "Unusual Al-Si ordering in calcic scapolite, Me<sub>79.6</sub>, with increasing temperature," Am. Mineral. **93**, 1470-1477.
- Antao, S.M., Hassan, I., Wang, J., Lee, P.L., and Toby, B.H. (2008). "State-of-the-art highresolution powder X-ray diffraction (HRPXRD) illustrated with Rietveld structure refinement of quartz, sodalite, tremolite, and meionite," Can. Mineral. 46, 1501-1509.
- Belokoneva, E.L., Sokolova, N.V., and Dorokhova, G.I. (1991). "Crystal structure of natural Na, Ca-scapolite - an intermediate member of the marialite-meionite series," Sov. Phys. Crystallogr., 36, 828-830.
- Belokoneva, E.L., Sokolova, N.V., and Urusov, V.S. (1993). "Scapolites crystallinestructures of marialite (Ma11) and Meinite (Me88) - spatial group as a function of composition," Kristallografiya, 38, 52-57.
- Chamberlain, C.P., Docka, J.A., Post, J.E., and Burnham, C.W. (1985). "Scapolite alkali atom configurations, antiphase domains, and compositional variations," Am. Mineral. 70, 134-140.
- Comodi, P., Mellini, M., and Zanazzi, P.F. (**1990**). "Scapolites; variation of structure with pressure and possible role in the storage of fluids," E. J. Mineral. **2**, 195-202.
- Deer, W.A., Howie, R.A., and Zussman, J. (1992) An introduction to the rock-forming *minerals* (John Wiley, New York) 2<sup>nd</sup> ed.
- Evans, B.W., Shaw, D.M., and Haughton, D.R. (1969). "Scapolite stoichiometry," Contrib. Mineral. Petrol. 24, 293-305.
- Hassan, I. and Antao, S.M. (2010). "An Al-Si order and composition model for scapolite solid solutions with conformation form HRPXRD data," Acta Mineralogica-Petrographica, 6, 719.
- Hassan, I., Antao, S.M., and Parise, J.B. (2004). "Sodalite: high temperature structures obtained from synchrotron radiation and Rietveld refinements," Am. Mineral. 89, 359-364.
- Hassan, I. and Buseck, P.R. (**1988**). "HRTEM characterization of scapolite solid solutions," Am. Mineral. **73**, 119-134.
- Hassan, I. and Grundy, H.D. (**1984**). "The crystal structures of sodalite-group minerals," Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Structural Science, **40**, 6-13.
- Hawthorne, F.C. and Sokolova, E. (2008). "The crystal chemistry of the scapolite-group minerals. II. The origin of the  $I4/m \leftarrow P4_2/n$  phase transition and the nonlinear variations in chemical composition," Can. Mineral. 46, 1555-1575.
- Larson, A.C. and Von Dreele, R.B. (2000) *General structure analysis system (GSAS)* (Report LAUR 86-748). Los Alamos, New Mexico: Los Alamos National Laboratory.
- Lee, P.L., Shu, D., Ramanathan, M., Preissner, C., Wang, J., Beno, M.A., Von Dreele, R.B., Ribaud, L., Kurtz, C., Antao, S.M., Jiao, X., and Toby, B.H. (2008). "A twelve-

analyzer detector system for high-resolution powder diffraction," J. Syn. Rad. 15, 427-432.

- Levien, L. and Papike, J.J. (1976). "Scapolite crystal chemistry: aluminum-silicon distributions, carbonate group disorder, and thermal expansion," Am. Mineral. 61, 864-877.
- Lin, S.B. (1975). "Crystal chemistry and stoichiometry of the scapolite group," Acta Geol. Taiwanica, 18, 36-48.
- Lin, S.B. and Burley, B.J. (1973a). "Crystal structure of a sodium and chlorine-rich scapolite," Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Structural Science, 29, 1272-1278.
- Lin, S.B. and Burley, B.J. (1973b). "The crystal structure of meionite," Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Structural Science, 29, 2024-2026.
- Lin, S.B. and Burley, B.J. (**1973c**). "On the weak reflections violating body-centered symmetry in scapolites," Tsch. Mineral. Petrogr. Mitt. **20**, 28-44.
- Lin, S.B. and Burley, B.J. (1974). "The crystal-structure of an intermediate scapolite wernerite," Tsch. Mineral. Petrogr. Mitt. 21, 196-215.
- Papike, J.J. and Stephenson, N.C. (1966). "The crystal structure of mizzonite, a calcium- and carbonate-rich scapolite," Am. Min. 51, 1014-1027.
- Papike, J.J. and Zoltai, T. (1965). "The crystal structure of a marialite scapolite," Am. Mineral. 50, 641-655.
- Pauling, L. (1930). "The structure of some sodium and calcium alumino-silicates," Wash. Proc. Acad. Sci. 16, 453-459.
- Peterson, R.C., Donnay, G., and LePage, Y. (1979). "Sulfate disorder in scapolite," Can. Mineral. 17, 53-61.
- Phakey, P.P. and Ghose, S. (1972). "Scapolite: observation of anti-phase domain structure," Nature Phys. Sci. 238, 78-80.
- Rietveld, H.M. (**1969**). "A profile refinement method for nuclear and magnetic structures," J. Appl. Crystallogr. **2**, 65-71.
- Schiebold, E. and Seumel, G. (1932). "Über die kristallstruktur von skapolith," Zeit. Kristallogr. 81, 110-134.
- Seto, Y., Shimobayashi, N., Miyake, A., and Kitamura, M. (**2004**). "Composition and  $I4/m-P4_2/n$  phase transition in scapolite solid solutions," Am. Mineral. **89**, 257-265.
- Sherriff, B.L., Sokolova, E.V., Kabalov, Y.K., Jenkins, D.M., Kunath-Fandrei, G., Goetz, S., Jäger, C., and Schneider, J. (2000). "Meionite: Rietveld structure-refinement, <sup>29</sup>Si MAS and <sup>27</sup>Al SATRAS NMR spectroscopy, and comments on the marialite-meionite series," Can. Mineral. 38, 1201-1213.
- Sherriff, B.L., Sokolova, E.V., Kabalov, Y.K., Teertstra, D., Kunath-Fandrei, G., Goetz, S., and Jäger, C. (1998). "Intermediate scapolite: <sup>29</sup>Si MAS and <sup>27</sup>Al SATRAS NMR spectroscopy and Rietveld structure-refinement," Can. Mineral. 36, 1267-1283.

- Sokolova, E. and Hawthorne, F.C. (2008). "The crystal chemistry of the scapolite-group minerals. I. Crystal structure and long-range order," Can. Mineral. 46, 1527-1554.
- Sokolova, E.V., Gobechiya, E.R., Zolotarev, A.A., and Kabalov, Y.K. (2000). "Refinement of the crystal structures of two marialites from the Kukurt deposit of the east Pamirs," Crystallogr. Rep. 45, 934-938.
- Sokolova, E.V., Kabalov, Y.K., Sherriff, B.L., Teertstra, D.K., Jenkins, D.M., Kunath-Fandrei, G., Goetz, S., and Jäger, C. (1996). "Marialite: Rietveld structure-refinement and <sup>29</sup>Si MAS and <sup>27</sup>Al satellite transition NMR spectroscopy," Can. Mineral. 34, 1039-1050.
- Teertstra, D.K., Schindler, M., Sherriff, B.L., and Hawthorne, F.C. (**1999**). "Silvialite, a new sulfate-dominant member of the scapolite group with an Al-Si composition near the  $14/m-P4_2/n$  phase transition," Mineral. Mag. **63**, 321-329.
- Teertstra, D.K. and Sherriff, B.L. (**1996**). "Scapolite cell-parameter trends along the solid-solution series," Am. Mineral. **81**, 169-180.
- Toby, B.H. (2001). "EXPGUI, a graphical user interface for GSAS," J. Appl. Crystallogr. 34, 210-213.
- Ulbrich, H.H. (**1973a**). "Crystallographic data and refractive indicies of scapolites," Am. Mineral. **58**, 81-92.
- Ulbrich, H.H. (1973b). "Structural refinement of the Monte Somma scapolite, a 93% meionite," Sch. Mineral. Petrogr. Mitt. 53, 385-393.
- Wang, J., Toby, B.H., Lee, P.L., Ribaud, L., Antao, S.M., Kurtz, C., Ramanathan, M., Von Dreele, R.B., and Beno, M.A. (2008). "A dedicated powder diffraction beamline at the advanced photon source: commissioning and early operational results," Rev. Sci. Instr. 79, 085105.
- Zolotarev, A.A. (1996). "Once more on isomorphic schemes and isomorphic series in the scapolite group," Zap. Vser. Mineral. Obshchest. 125, 69-73.
- Zolotarev, A.A., Petrov, T.G., and Moshkin, S.V. (2003). "Peculiarities of chemical compositions of the scapolite group minerals," Zap. Vser. Mineral. Obshchest. 132, 63-84.