# Munakataite, a new mineral from the Kato mine, Fukuoka, Japan

Satoshi MATSUBARA<sup>\*</sup>, Takaaki MOURI<sup>\*\*</sup>, Ritsuro MIYAWAKI<sup>\*</sup>, Kazumi YOKOYAMA<sup>\*</sup> and Masae NAKAHARA<sup>\*\*\*</sup>

\*Department of Geology and Paleontology, National Museum of Nature and Science, 3-23-1 Hyakunin-cho, Shinjuku, Tokyo 169-0073, Japan \*\*Kagawa Prefectural Waterworks Office, 6-1 Tenjinmae, Takamatsu, Kagawa 760-0018, Japan \*\*\*Kagawa Prefectural Industrial Technology Center, 587-1 Gotou-cho, Takamatsu, Kagawa 761-8031, Japan

Munakataite, Pb<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>2</sub>(Se<sup>4+</sup>O<sub>3</sub>)(SO<sub>4</sub>)(OH)<sub>4</sub>, occurs as a thin coating on a fracture in a quartz vein containing Cu-Zn-Pb-Ag-Au ore minerals in the Kato mine, Munakata City, Fukuoka Prefecture, Japan. It is monoclinic with the space group  $P2_1/m$  and lattice parameters a = 9.766(8), b = 5.666(5), c = 9.291(10) Å,  $\beta = 102.40(8)^\circ$ , V =502.1(8) Å<sup>3</sup>, and Z = 2. An electron microprobe analysis gave the empirical formula as Pb<sub>2.03</sub>(Cu<sub>1.94</sub>Ca<sub>0.01</sub>)<sub>Σ1.95</sub> (Se<sup>4+</sup>O<sub>3</sub>)<sub>1.00</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>1.02</sub>(OH)<sub>3.92</sub> on the basis of Pb + Cu + Ca + Se + S = 6 *apfu* and the calculated (OH) with a charge balance. Munakataite is a member of the linarite-chenite group, and it is the first selenite mineral in Japan, corresponding to a (SO<sub>4</sub>)-dominant analogue of schmiederite. The mineral occurs as light-bluish aggregates composed of minute fibrous crystals up to 30 µm long. The calculated density is 5.526 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, and Mohs hardness is less than 2.

Keywords: Munakataite, New mineral, Selenite, Kato mine

## INTRODUCTION

During a mineralogical investigation of secondary minerals in the Kato mine, Fukuoka Prefecture, Japan, we recognized a light-blue mineral resembling linarite in a cavity of a quartz vein. A qualitative analysis of this mineral indicated that it contains a considerable amount of Se. A powder X-ray diffraction study indicated that its diffraction pattern is similar to the diffraction patterns of linarite and schmiederite.

Although schmiederite appeared as schmeiderite in Hey's Index published in 1963, Mitchell (1980) corrected the name to schmiederite after the German geographer, Oskar Schmieder; the mineral was initially named by Juan A. Olsacher. Schmiederite was found in the Condor mine, La Rioja, Argentina; however, a description of the mineral has not yet been published. In 1987, Sarp and Burri reported the chemical formula and mineralogical properties of the Condor mine material. Moreover, Effen-

doi:10.2465/jmps.080116a

berger (1987) described the crystal structure of the synthetic material and discussed its relationship to linarite. From these studies, schmiederite has been described as a lead-copper hydrous selenite-selenate mineral, Pb<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub>(SeO<sub>4</sub>)(SeO<sub>3</sub>), having the same space group as linarite and double unit cells  $(2 \times c)$  of linarite.

In order to determine the detailed chemical composition of the material from the Kato mine, we performed electron microprobe analyses. The results showed that S is also a major constituent of the mineral, besides Pb, Cu, and Se. Moreover, the FT-IR spectrum confirmed that Se and S are separated into a selenite group,  $(Se^{4+}O_3)^{2-}$ , and a sulfate group,  $(S^{6+}O_4)^{2-}$ , respectively. Consequently, we considered the mineral to be a  $(SO_4)$ -dominant analogue of schmiederite. It is a member of the linarite-chenite group (Strunz and Nickel, 2001). The mineral name is for the city in which the Kato mine is located, Munakata. The mineral and the name have been approved by the IMA, CNMNC, #2007–012. This type material is deposited at the National Museum of Nature and Science, Tokyo, Japan (NSM-M28982).

S. Matsubara, matubara@kahaku.go.jp Corresponding author

## OCCURRENCE

Munakataite occurs as a thin coating on a fracture in a quartz vein containing Cu-Zn-Pb-Ag-Au ore minerals at a dump in the Kato mine, Munakata City, Fukuoka Prefecture, Japan (33°49' N, 130°33' E). It exists in the form of aggregates composed of minute fibrous crystals with a length of up to 30 µm (Fig. 1). The hydrothermal ore veins develop into a tertiary porphyritic andesite. The Kato mine was operated as a branch of the Munakata gold mine during the Edo period (19th century); however, the mine was closed in 1958. The main ore minerals are electrum, chalcopyrite, sphalerite, and galena including hessite and sylvanite as minor constituents (Ueno et al., 1990). Although Matsukuma (1955) reported the occurrence of aguilarite through an optical study, he corrected it to hessite after performing an EPMA analysis (Ueno et al., 1990).

The secondary minerals such as cerussite, anglesite, linarite, pyromorphite, malachite, and brochantite are abundant. Munakataite occurs with malachite or with no other mineral on a tiny fracture of quartz. Its appearance is very similar to that of linarite; however, it is extremely rare.

#### PHYSICAL AND OPTICAL PROPERTIES

Munakataite is light blue in color with bluish white streaks. It is transparent with vitreous luster and pearly on cleavage surface. No fluorescence is observed. Munakataite is very soft, and its Mohs hardness is less than 2. Tenacity is brittle but fracture is not observed. Cleavage is perfect or distinct in one direction, // b. Although the density cannot be measured because of its small grain size, it is calculated to be 5.526 g/cm<sup>3</sup> by using an empirical formula.

Its optical properties also cannot be measured because of its small grain size. The minimum value of n(589 nm) is greater than 1.800, and the average value of ncalculated from Gladstone-Dale constants and the density is 1.891 (Mandarino, 1976). It shows parallel extinction and positive elongation, and pleochroism is weak (colorless to very faint blue).

### **IR ABSORPTION SPECTRA**

Infrared absorption spectra of the mineral were obtained using an FT-IR microspectrometer (JEOL JIR6000) with ATR and KBr methods for the region from 400 to 4000 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The spectrum of munakataite (the main bands are underlined) exhibits absorption bands at 3400 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1100 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 600 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 790 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 720 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 440 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 540 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 470 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and 490 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2). In order to examine the characteristic bands of  $(SO_4)$ ,  $(SO_3)$ ,  $(SeO_4)$ , and  $(SeO_3)$ groups, we used the following reference reagents in the FT-IR measurements: Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>, and Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>. The spectra of the four reagents exhibit absorption bands as follows: Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, at 600 (medium) and 1100 (strong) cm<sup>-1</sup>; Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>, at 500 (weak) and 960 (strong)  $cm^{-1}$ ; Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>, at 420 (strong) and 820 (medium)  $cm^{-1}$ ; and Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>, at 440 (medium), 720 (strong), and 790 (weak) cm<sup>-1</sup>. These data indicate that the absorption bands at 600 and 1100 cm<sup>-1</sup> are due to the presence of the (SO<sub>4</sub>) group, and those at 440, 720, and 790 cm<sup>-1</sup> are due to the presence of the (SeO<sub>3</sub>) group. The characteristic bands of the  $(SO_3)$  group at 960 cm<sup>-1</sup> and those of the  $(SeO_4)$  group at 420 cm<sup>-1</sup> are absent. The absorption band at 3400 cm<sup>-1</sup> can be assigned to the (OH) group.

#### X-RAY CRYSTALLOGRAPHY

The powder X-ray diffraction pattern of munakataite was obtained by using a Gandolfi camera, 114.6 mm in diameter, employing Ni-filtered CuKa radiation. This diffraction pattern resembles the diffraction patterns of schmiederite and linarite (Table 1). A single-crystal study was not carried out because of the small crystal size. It is considered to be monoclinic with the space group  $P2_1/m$ , analogous to schmiederite. The unit cell parameters are as follows: a = 9.766(8), b = 5.666(5), c = 9.291(10) Å,  $\beta =$  $102.40(8)^{\circ}$ , V = 502.1(8) Å<sup>3</sup>, and Z = 2. Compared with the unit cell parameters of schmiederite, a = 9.922(3), b =5.712(2), c = 9.396(3) Å,  $\beta = 101.96(3)^{\circ}$ , and V = 520.8Å<sup>3</sup> (Effenberger, 1987), the a, b, and c-axes of munakataite are distinctly shorter due to the replacement of (SeO<sub>4</sub>) with (SO<sub>4</sub>). Since munakataite and schmiederite have unit cells with twice the *c*-dimension of linarite, characteristic diffraction peaks of *l* having odd values such as 001, 10-1, 101, 11-1, and 201 can be observed for munakataite and schmiederite, whereas the corresponding peaks are not observed in the diffraction pattern of linarite. These characteristic peaks are indicated in boldface in Table 1 for comparison.

#### **CHEMICAL COMPOSITION**

Chemical analyses of munakataite were carried out using a Link Systems energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer (QX-2000) for Pb, Cu, Ca, S, and Se (15 kV, 1 nA, 3  $\mu$ m beam diameter). The standard materials used were PbSO<sub>4</sub> for Pb and S, chalcopyrite for Cu, wollastonite for Ca, and TeSe for Se. Sulfur and selenium were obtained from SO<sub>3</sub> and SeO<sub>2</sub>, respectively, according to FT-IR spectroscopy. The amount of H<sub>2</sub>O could not be directly determined be-



Figure 1. Photomicrograph of aggregates of munakataite crystals. Field view: approximately 1.8 mm wide.





Figure 3. Element distribution color maps of galena including chalcopyrite. Abbreviations: Gn, galena; Cp, chalcopyrite; Sp, sphalerite; Qz, quartz.

Table 1. Comparison of X-ray powder diffraction data on munakataite, schmiederite, and linarite

	Munakataite			Sch	Schmiederite			Linarite (PDF#30-0493)				
			Munakatano	Effenb	Effenberger (1987)							
h	k	l	$d_{\rm obs.}$ $d_{\rm calc.}$ $I/I_0$	d	I <sub>calc.</sub>	I <sub>obs.</sub>	d		<u>h</u>	k		
1	0	0	9.50 9.54 13	9.7	20	10	9.46	11	1	0	0	
0	0	1	9.04 9.07 3									
1	0	-1	7.38 7.42 3	<b>j</b>								
1	0	1	5.94 5.97 9	6.07	5							
1	1	0	4.86 4.87 44	4.92	42		4.849	40	1	1	0	
0	1	1	4.81	4.85	6							
2	0	0	4.77 4.77 14	4.85	21	50	4.731	3	2	0	0	
1	1	-1	4.50	4.54	3							
1	0	-2	4.47 4.49 57	4.52	47	50	4.521	60	1	0	-1	
2	0	1	3.89 3.89 9	3.96	3							
1	0	2	3.79				3.805	9	1	0	1	
2	0	-2	3.70 3.71 8	3.74	7	10	3.717	3	2	0	-1	
2	1	0	3.65 3.65 14	3.7	7		3.625	30	2	1	0	
0	1	2		3.57	67	60	3.556	55	0	1	1	
1	1	-2	3.53 { 3.52 } 39	3.54	13							
2	1	1	3.21	3.26	4							
3	0	0	3.18 3.18 100	) 3.23	100	100	3.151	100	3	0	0	
1	1	2	Γ 3.15 ] <sub>(0</sub>	3.19	89	90						
2	1	-2	$3.14$ $\{3.10\}$ 68	3.13	99	90	3.106	40	2	1	-1	
0	0	3	3.03	3.06	5							
2	0	2	2.98 2.98 13	3.03	11	10	2.978	19	2	0	1	
3	0	-2	2.92 2.91 2				2.912	2	3	0	-1	
2	0	-3	2.85	2.86	31	30						
0	2	0	2.83 2.83 15				2.826	17	0	2	0	
3	1	Ő	2.78 2.77 2	2.81	4		2.754	6	3	1	0	
1	0	3	<u>د</u> 2.72 ۲	2.76	4		21701	0	U	-	Ū	
1	2	0	2 72 2 72 2 22	2.74	33	40	2 707	30	1	2	0	
1	1	-3		2 73	7		21,0,	20	•	-	Ũ	
0	1	3	2.68 2.67 6	2.75	,							
2	1	2	2.64 2.64 6									
3	1	_2	2.59 2.59 10	262	18	20	2 587	25	3	1	-1	
1	2	1	2.59 2.59 10 C 2.56 1	2.02	10	20	2.507	25	5	1	-1	
2	1	_3	$2.52 \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 2.50 \\ 2.54 \end{array} \right\} = 2$									
1	1	3	2.5+5	2 48	4							
2	2	0	2.45	2.40	21	10	2 424	8	2	2	0	
0	2	2	2.44 2.44 11	2.40	21	20	2.424	17	0	2	1	
3	0	2	2.40 2.40 14	- 2.42	24	20	2.405	5	3	0	1	
1	0	2	2.30 C 2.33 D	2 25	16	30	2.305	20	1	0	1	
4	0	-∠ 1	$2.33 \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 2.33 \\ 2.32 \end{array} \right\} = 18$	2.33	10	50	2.31/	20 1	4	0	-1	
1	2	-4 2	C 2.32 J	2.34	14		2.344	4 20	1	2	-2 1	
1	2	۲ ۸	$2.27 \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 2.27 \\ 2.27 \end{array} \right\} $ 11	2.29	15	10	2.20/	20	I	2	1	
0	0	4	C 2.27 J	2.29	4	10	2 250	0	n	n	1	
2	2	-2	2.25	0.00	1.1		2.250	20	2	2	-1	
2	1	-4	2.24 2.24 6	2.26	11	20	2.201	20	2	U	-2	
4	1	0	$2.20 \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 2.20 \\ 2.10 \end{array} \right\}$ 15	2.23	9	20	2 101	17	n	1	1	
3	1	2	C 2.19 J	2.23	18		2.181	1/	3	1	1	
2	1	3		2.19	/		2 1 4 5	1	4	1	1	
4	1	-2	2.10 2.15	2.18	5		2.145	0	4	1	-1	
1	1	-4	2.15		~~		2.164	19	1	1	-2	
3	2	0		2.14	22	20	2.104	12	5	2	0	
1	0	4	2.12 - 2.11 + 14	2.13	12	30	2.119	20	I	0	2	
0	1	4	C 2.11 J	2.13	3		0.001	4	~	~	~	
3	0	-4	2.07	2.082	4		2.081	4	3	0	-2	
0	2	3	2.07	2.086	6		<b>a</b> a ta	6	•	~		
2	2	2	2.05 2.05 5				2.049	8	2	2	I	

	Schmiederite					<b>.</b>							
			Munakataite			Effenberger (1987)			Linarite (PDF#30-0493)				
h	k	l	d <sub>obs.</sub>	$d_{\text{calc.}}$	$I/I_0$	d	I <sub>calc.</sub>	I <sub>obs.</sub>	d	Ι	h	k	l
3	2	-2	2.03	2.03	6	2.049	16	20	2.027	20	3	2	-1
3	0	3	1.986	1.989	2	2.023	3						
3	1	-4	1.947	1.943	2	1.956	3						
5	0	-2	1.914	1.911	3	1.936	3						
5	0	0		1.908		1.941	3						
2	0	4	1.893	1.897	2	1.925	4		1.902	2	2	0	2
3	1	3	1.875	1.876	2								
4	0	-4	1 952 5	ך 1.854 ך	6	1.868	4						
1	3	0	1.055 ]	1.853 ∫	. 0	1.868	4		1.847	5	1	3	0
4	1	2	1.842	1.840	12	1.873	16	10	1.830	12	4	1	1
4	2	0		1.824		1.849	10	10	1.813	20	4	2	0
3	2	2		1.820		1.846	5						
0	0	5	1 912 5	ך 1.815	10	1.833	4	30					
5	1	-2	1.813 1	1.811	- 19	1.833	22		1.802	17	5	1	-1
5	1	0		1.808					1.793	14	5	1	0
1	2	-4	1.794	1.796	9	1.810	26	20					
0	2	4		1.771					1.777	7	0	2	2
1	1	-5		1.765		1.780	3						
2	2	-4		1.759		1.771	3		1.766	9	2	2	-2
2	3	0		1.756					1.750	5	2	3	0
0	3	2	1.740	ך 1.744	-	1.758	8	10	1.742	8	0	3	1
2	1	-5	1.740 f	1.743	/	1.755	6						
4	2	1		1.734		1.760	3						
5	1	1	1.711	1.708	4	1.738	3						
1	3	2	1.692	1.691	6	1.706	13	20	1.688	5	1	3	1
2	3	-2	1.687	1.683	6	1.696	16	20	1.680	8	2	3	-1
2	3	-4		1.671					1.675	8	2	3	-2
5	0	-4	ſ	ן 1.644		1.658	4		1.646	4	5	0	-2
1	1	5	1.641	1.643	- 6	1.662	6						
5	0	2	Ĺ	ل 1.637 J					1.626	5	5	0	1
3	2	3		1.628		1.651	5						
3	1	4		1.614					1.613	5	3	1	2
4	2	2	1.605	1.604	5	1.628	8						
6	0	0		1.590					1.577	13	6	0	0
3	3	-2	ا دەر (	ך 1.585	0	1.598	4		1.580	8	3	3	-1
5	2	0	1.585 {	1.582	8	1.605	12	20	1.572	9	5	2	0
2	2	4		1.576		1.596	10	10					
4	2	-4	1.554	1.552	5	1.563	19	10					

Table 1. (Continued)

cause of lack of material; however, it was calculated for charge balance as OH, as confirmed by FT-IR spectroscopy. Table 2 shows the average range of results of seven analyses. The empirical formula is  $Pb_{2.03}(Cu_{1.94}Ca_{0.01})_{\Sigma 1.95}$  $(Se^{4+}O_3)_{1.00}(SO_4)_{1.02}(OH)_{3.92}$  based on Pb + Cu + Ca + Se + S = 6 *apfu*. The simplified formula is Pb<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>2</sub>(Se<sup>4+</sup>O<sub>3</sub>)(SO<sub>4</sub>) (OH)<sub>4</sub>, which requires PbO 53.62, CuO 19.11, SeO<sub>2</sub> 13.32, SO<sub>3</sub> 9.62, and H<sub>2</sub>O 4.33, total = 100.00 wt%.

#### DISCUSSION

Although S-rich schmiederite was introduced by Sarp and Burri (1987), no properties other than the chemical com-

position have been reported. Therefore, it may chemically correspond to munakataite. Munakataite resembles linarite and schmiederite in appearance; however, X-ray powder diffraction patterns can be used to differentiate munakataite from linarite and schmiederite. Moreover, the FT-IR measurement is a practical method to distinguish between munakataite and linarite or schmiederite.

No ore minerals, including Se, as major constituents are still known in the Kato mine, in spite of our examinations of a few ores. To determine the source of Se, we tried to detect traces of Se in the major minerals by using a WDS electron microprobe analyzer (JEOL 8800M). We were able to detect approximately 0.1 wt% Se in galena

 Table 2. Chemical composition of munakataite

Constituent	wt%	Range	Standard dev.
PbO	53.71	53.24 - 54.09	0.29
CuO	18.33	17.74 - 19.81	0.74
CaO	0.04	0.00 - 0.26	0.10
$SO_3$	9.73	8.13 - 11.16	1.09
SeO <sub>2</sub>	13.19	11.10 - 14.48	1.14
$H_2O*$	4.19		
Total	99.19		

\* For charge balance.

including chalcopyrite (Table 3). Se is more or less homogeneously distributed in galena (Fig. 3); therefore, it is considered a clausthalite (PbSe) mole in a galena-clausthalite solid solution. This indicates that the condition for forming munakataite and other selenite minerals is not extremely rare. In fact, munakataite has also been found in the Kisamori mine, Akita Prefecture, Japan, which is a well known site of linarite, after the approval of munakataite as a new mineral (Toshiyuki Hirama and Takashi Yamada, pers. comm.). We assume that more munakataite will be found in the form of light-blue fibrous aggregates, misidentified as linarite in various sites.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Mrs. M. Shigeoka for her assistance in the EPMA analyses, Dr. T. Yamada for his comments on the occurrence of munakataite in the Kisamori mine, and Mr. S. Iwano for providing information on literature about the Kato mine. We would also like to thank Prof. N. Shimobayashi for his helpful review of the manuscript.

Constituent Standard dev. wt% Range Pb 85.76 85.18 - 86.13 0.38 S 13.26 13.04 - 13.66 0.22 0.09 - 0.12 Se 0.11 0.01 Total 99.13

#### REFERENCES

- Effenberger, H. (1987) Crystal structure and chemical formula of schmiederite, Pb<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub>(SeO<sub>4</sub>)(SeO<sub>3</sub>), with a comparison to linarite, PbCu(OH)<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>). Mineralogy and Petrology, 36, 3-12.
- Mandarino, J.A. (1976) The Gladstone-Dale relationship—Part I: Derivation of new constants. Canadian Mineralogist, 14, 498-502.
- Matsukuma, T. (1955) Selenium-bearing gold-silver ores of the Kato mine, Fukuoka Prefecture. Mining Geology, 5, 89-94 (in Japanese).
- Mitchell, R.S. (1980) Schmiederite: comments on the name. Mineralogical Magazine, 43, 824.
- Sarp, H. and Burri, G. (1987) Etude de la mine Condor, La Rioja (Sierra de Cacheuta), Argentine, un séléniate et sélénite hydrate de plomb et de cuivre. Schweizerische mineralogische und petrographische Mitteilungen, 67, 219-223.
- Strunz, H. and Nickel, E.H. (2001) Strunz mineralogical tables. pp. 870, E. Schweizerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung, Stuttgart.
- Ueno, T., Shimada, N., Yamabe, T., Nakazono, H. and Hakusan, S. (1990) Gold-silver-bearing lead-zinc veins and their mineral parageneses of the Kato mine, Fukuoka Prefecture, Japan. Mining Geology, 40, 175-182 (in Japanese).

Manuscript received January 16, 2008 Manuscript accepted June 1, 2008

Manuscript handled by Masaki Enami

Table 3. Chemical composition of galena