Armalcolite: A new mineral from the Apollo 11 samples*
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Abstract—Armalcolite, \((\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Mg}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_5)\), is a new mineral from Tranquillity base with the pseudobrookite structure \((a = 9.743 \text{ Å}, \ b = 10.024 \text{ Å}, \ c = 3.738 \text{ Å}, \ V = 365.077 \text{ Å}^3)\). Theoretical density is 4.64 g/cm\(^3\). The mineral is opaque, blue-gray in reflected light, and distinctly anisotropic. Reflectivity values in air at 450 nm are \(R_1 = 14.1\) per cent, \(R_2 = 15.2\) per cent, and at 640 nm are \(R_1 = 13.0\) per cent, \(R_2 = 14.1\) per cent. Synthesis of the end members \(\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_5\) and \(\text{MgTi}_2\text{O}_5\) and armalcolite has been accomplished at 1300°C.

INTRODUCTION

Armalcolite \((\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Mg}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_5)\), a new magnesium-rich opaque oxide related to the pseudobrookite series, was discovered independently by six research groups in their examination of different samples of the lunar material collected from Mare Tranquilitatis.

Compositionally the mineral is intermediate between two end members, \(\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_5\) and \(\text{MgTi}_2\text{O}_5\). Neither of these end members is known to occur naturally. Armalcolite is proposed for the composition \(\text{FeMgTi}_2\text{O}_5\); new mineral names for the end members are not proposed. Ferro-armalcolite is rich in \(\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_5\), and magnesian

---

* This report by S. E. HAGGERTY is a compilation of analytical data on a armalcolite reported by six groups of investigators at the Apollo 11 conference and published in Science, January 30, 1970. Discussion of the paragenesis and origin of this mineral has been omitted, thus allowing free expression and interpretation by the various authors in their individual papers.
Armalcolite is rich in MgTi$_2$O$_5$. Analyses of lunar armalcolite show that in addition to Fe$^{2+}$, Ti and Mg, minor concentrations of Cr, Al, Mn, V, Ca and Zr are present. The phase is sufficiently different from related minerals in terrestrial occurrences to warrant a new mineral name.

The name armalcolite is derived from the initial letters of the three astronauts, Neil A. Armstrong, Edwin E. Aldrin and Michael Collins, who were responsible for collection and return of the Apollo 11 material. Type material is deposited at the Lunar Science Institute, Houston, Texas.

**Occurrence**

Isolated grains of armalcolite have been identified in the crystalline rocks (10022-37; 10071-28) and in rock fragments within the microbreccias (10059-27; 10067-8; 10068-25; 10084-64). In polished thin sections, grains were observed to be generally rectangular in outline, with the longest dimensions in the range 100–300 μm. In all but one case (an inclusion in a feldspar-rich fragment, 10084-12) the mineral is mantled by a well defined overgrowth of ilmenite. In all cases armalcolite is optically homogeneous and free of exsolution or alteration products.

**Optical Properties**

Armalcolite is opaque, gray and distinctly pleochroic. Its reflection anisotropy in oil varies from pale gray (minimum) to dark blue-gray (maximum) on rotation of the stage. It is free of internal reflections, with estimated white light reflectivity values in oil in the range 15–18 per cent. Quantitative reflectivity data for selected wavelengths between 450 and 640 nm in air are given in Table 1. The reflectivity and microhardness of armalcolite are less than that of ilmenite (Plate 8).

**Chemistry**

Electron microprobe analyses (Table 2) indicate that the new mineral has the generalized formula Fe$^{2+}$_{0.5}Mg$_{0.5}$Ti$_2$O$_5$. The range in major element concentrations reported by the various investigating groups is as follows: 71.1–75.6 per cent TiO$_2$, 56.3–65.7 per cent MgO, 12.2–16.6 per cent FeO, 4.2–7.3 per cent Cr$_2$O$_3$, 0.5–2.3 per cent Al$_2$O$_3$, 0.2–0.8 per cent MnO, 0.2–0.8 per cent V$_2$O$_5$, 0.2–0.8 per cent CaO, 0.2–0.8 per cent ZrO$_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength (nm)</th>
<th>R$_1$</th>
<th>R$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>14·1</td>
<td>15·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>470</td>
<td>14·0</td>
<td>15·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>13·8</td>
<td>14·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>520</td>
<td>13·7</td>
<td>14·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546</td>
<td>13·4</td>
<td>14·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>589</td>
<td>13·3</td>
<td>14·3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620</td>
<td>13·2</td>
<td>14·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>640</td>
<td>13·0</td>
<td>14·1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All values in per cent. Accuracy ±0·2 per cent. Measurements carried out by E. N. Cameron, University of Wisconsin.
Table 2. Electron microprobe analyses for armalcolite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigating group*</th>
<th>10022-37</th>
<th>10022-37</th>
<th>10022-37</th>
<th>10022-37</th>
<th>1007128</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>10059-27</th>
<th>10068</th>
<th>10084-12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample No.:</td>
<td>Grain a1</td>
<td>Grain a2</td>
<td>Grain b1</td>
<td>Grain b2</td>
<td>Grain a1</td>
<td>Grain a</td>
<td>Grain b</td>
<td>Grain a1</td>
<td>Grain a</td>
<td>Grain a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>70·9</td>
<td>71·6</td>
<td>71·2</td>
<td>71·0</td>
<td>73·4</td>
<td>72·36</td>
<td>71·63</td>
<td>75·6</td>
<td>72·0</td>
<td>71·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>1·8</td>
<td>1·8</td>
<td>2·0</td>
<td>1·8</td>
<td>1·62</td>
<td>2·01</td>
<td>2·18</td>
<td>1·87</td>
<td>1·48</td>
<td>0·97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>1·3</td>
<td>1·3</td>
<td>1·25</td>
<td>1·02</td>
<td>2·15</td>
<td>1·37</td>
<td>1·38</td>
<td>1·81</td>
<td>1·94</td>
<td>1·26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>16·9</td>
<td>15·7</td>
<td>16·0</td>
<td>17·3</td>
<td>15·3</td>
<td>16·95</td>
<td>18·01</td>
<td>11·9</td>
<td>14·7</td>
<td>11·32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0·02</td>
<td>0·02</td>
<td>0·03</td>
<td>0·03</td>
<td>0·08</td>
<td>0·02</td>
<td>0·05</td>
<td>0·07</td>
<td>0·01</td>
<td>0·01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>8·6</td>
<td>8·9</td>
<td>8·7</td>
<td>8·0</td>
<td>7·70</td>
<td>5·98</td>
<td>5·52</td>
<td>8·12</td>
<td>8·7</td>
<td>11·06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0·01</td>
<td>0·13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0·32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V₂O₅</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0·5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0·07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0·02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0·01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0·01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0·01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>99·52</td>
<td>99·32</td>
<td>99·18</td>
<td>99·15</td>
<td>100·25</td>
<td>98·82</td>
<td>98·77</td>
<td>99·30</td>
<td>99·28</td>
<td>96·52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Atomic proportions based on 5 oxygens

| Ti      | 1·897 | 1·909 | 1·934 | 1·910 | 1·968 | 1·986 | 1·940 | 2·012 | 1·917 | 1·938 |
| Al      | 0·076 | 0·076 | 0·085 | 0·076 | 0·068 | 0·085 | 0·093 | 0·077 | 0·062 | 0·041 |
| Cr      | 0·037 | 0·037 | 0·036 | 0·029 | 0·061 | 0·039 | 0·040 | 0·051 | 0·055 | 0·036 |
| Fe      | 0·506 | 0·469 | 0·483 | 0·521 | 0·456 | 0·512 | 0·547 | 0·522 | 0·438 | 0·342 |
| Mn      | 0·001 | 0·001 | 0·001 | 0·001 | 0·002 | 0·001 | 0·001 | 0·001 | 0·002 | 0·002 |
| Mg      | 0·459 | 0·473 | 0·468 | 0·430 | 0·409 | 0·322 | 0·299 | 0·428 | 0·462 | 0·595 |
| Ca      | 0·0004| 0·005 |       |       |       |       |       |       | 0·012 |        |
| V       |        |        |        |        |       |       |       |       | 0·002 |        |
| Totals  | 2·976 | 2·965 | 3·000 | 2·967 | 2·914 | 2·920 | 2·920 | 2·950 | 2·952 |        |

* Investigating groups: (1) University of Chicago, (2) Geophysical Laboratory, (3) University of Wisconsin, (4) Max-Planck Institut, (5) University of New Mexico, (6) U.S. Geological Survey.

The positions of the analytical data points by Anderson (group 1) for grains a and b are shown in Fig. 2.
11.90–18.01 per cent FeO, 5.52–11.06 per cent MgO. Minor amounts of Cr₂O₃ (1.3–2.15 per cent), Al₂O₃ (1.48–2.18 per cent), MnO (0.01–0.08 per cent), and CaO (0.01–0.32 per cent) were also reported. The variations and spread in major element concentrations, in terms of the end members Fe²⁺Ti₂O₅ and MgTi₂O₅, are graphically expressed in the ternary diagram FeO–MgO–TiO₂ in Fig. 1. Detailed analyses by

**Fig. 1.** Armalcolite analyses (wt. %) plotted on the join FeTi₂O₅–MgTi₂O₅ in the system FeO–MgO–TiO₂. The tie lines represent possible solid solution series. Investigating groups: (1) University of Chicago, (2) Geophysical Laboratory, (3) University of Wisconsin, (4) Max-Planck Institut, (5) University of New Mexico, (6) U.S. Geological Survey.

ANDERSON (Table 2, Fig. 2) indicate that armalcolite in section 10022-37 is unzoned, but similar electron microprobe analyses by KEIL, PRINZ and BUNCH (Fig. 3) of an armalcolite grain in section 10059-27 show slight core-to-boundary variations in Fe, Ti and Mg; in both of these examples armalcolite is mantled by ilmenite and apparent zoning may be due to geometry effects.

**SYNTHESIS**

Thermal stability experiments similar to those described by HAGGERTY and LINDSLEY (1970) for the series Fe₂TiO₅–FeTi₂O₅ are in progress for members of the join FeTi₂O₅ (F)–MgTi₂O₅ (M) at the Geophysical Laboratory. LINDSLEY (1965)
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Fig. 2. Armalcolite (medium gray) rimmed by ilmenite (light gray). Electron microprobe analyses were carried out at points 1 and 2 in each of grains a and b by A. T. Anderson. These data are listed in Table 2 (group 1). Rock section 10022-37. Oil immersion, plane polarized light.

and HAGGERTY and LINDSLEY (1970) have established in reversible experiments that the FeTi$_2$O$_5$ end member breaks down to FeTiO$_3$ + TiO$_2$ at 1140° ± 10°C. The predicted decomposition products for the end member MgTi$_2$O$_5$ are MgTiO$_3$ + TiO$_2$, whereas intermediate members should break down to FeTiO$_3$–MgTiO$_3$ ss + TiO$_2$.

Synthetic armalcolite with compositions F$_{40}$M$_{60}$, F$_{50}$M$_{50}$, F$_{60}$M$_{40}$, as well as the end members FeTi$_2$O$_5$ and MgTi$_2$O$_5$, have been successfully synthesized* at 1300°C; the intermediate members represent the span of analyses for the lunar armalcolite. The experimental products have a black metallic luster and provide an excellent polish. The synthetic minerals are gray and distinctly anisotropic in oil immersion in tones of pale gray with a tan tint. Estimated reflectivity values for the synthetic phases are comparable with lunar armalcolite (15–18 per cent). An equigranular texture with some well developed laths, and a large number of twinned grains (Fig. 4)

* Experiments were carried out in sealed, evacuated silica glass tubes reacted for 2 hr. and quenched. Reactants were chemically pure and dried Fe, Fe$_2$O$_3$, TiO$_2$, and MgO. Compositions were thoroughly mixed and ground under alcohol for 2–4 hr before firing.
Fig. 3. Electron microprobe traverse across ilmenite-armalcolite-ilmenite, showing variations in Cr$_2$O$_3$, MgO, FeO and TiO$_2$ contents (University of New Mexico group).

Fig. 4. An intergranular aggregate of synthetic armalcolite produced at 1300°C. Note the bladed form of some of the grains and also the twinned crystals. Oil immersion and polarized light, nicol $\times 10^\circ$ (S. E. Haggerty).
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are produced in each of the experiments. X-ray diffraction data for $F_{50}M_{50}$ are presented in Table 3.

**X-RAY DIFFRACTION DATA**

A small amount of armalcolite was extracted from the surface of polished section 10071-28 using the microsampling technique described by KINGSTON (1966). A Debye–Scherrer X-ray pattern using Mn filtered Fe$K_α$ radiation was obtained on this material. These data (Geophysical Laboratory) are presented in Table 3, and the

Table 3. X-ray data for synthetic and lunar armalcolite*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$hkl$</th>
<th>Synthetic armalcolite</th>
<th>Lunar armalcolite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$d$ (obs)</td>
<td>$d$ (cal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>020</td>
<td>5.019</td>
<td>5.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>4.879</td>
<td>4.876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101, 220</td>
<td>3.493</td>
<td>3.499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>2.762</td>
<td>2.761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>2.452</td>
<td>2.452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>2.438</td>
<td>2.438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>2.415</td>
<td>2.416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>2.233</td>
<td>2.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>2.194</td>
<td>2.193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430</td>
<td>1.972</td>
<td>1.971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>1.858</td>
<td>1.858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>341</td>
<td>1.755</td>
<td>1.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>232, 620, 450</td>
<td>1.549</td>
<td>1.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>531</td>
<td>1.537</td>
<td>1.536</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Diffractometer patterns and an internal Si standard were used for synthetic armalcolite (Fe$_{50}$Mg$_{50}$Ti$_2$O$_5$). A Debye–Scherrer pattern was used for the lunar armalcolite. This pattern was contaminated by adhering ilmenite. Reflections considered to be due to the armalcolite alone are presented (Geophysical Laboratory).

electron microprobe analysis for the same grain is listed in Table 2 (group 2). Diffractometer data on synthetic armalcolite (Table 3) were obtained with Ni-filtered Cu$K_α$ radiation. A powdered Si internal standard was used whose absolute cell edge ($a = 5.4301$ Å) was previously measured (J. Whitney, Dupont Co.) with a Hågg–Guinier camera and a Mann photometer. Refinement by computer of the unit cell was initiated for both the natural and synthetic armalcolite on values approximated from PAULING's (1930) structure for pseudobrookite. Lattice constants and unit-cell volume data for lunar and synthetic armalcolite and for the end members FeTi$_2$O$_5$ and MgTi$_2$O$_5$ are presented in Table 4.

**DENSITY**

The theoretical density of armalcolite is 4.94 g/cm$^3$. This is the value determined for synthetic armalcolite, $F_{0.5}M_{0.5}$Ti$_2$O$_5$; the calculation is based on the unit-cell volume data presented above.
Table 4. Comparison of lattice constants and unit-cell volume data for natural and synthetic armalcolite and for the members FeTi$_2$O$_5$ and MgTi$_2$O$_5$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$a$ (Å)</th>
<th>$b$ (Å)</th>
<th>$c$ (Å)</th>
<th>$V$ (Å$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lunar armalcolite*</td>
<td>9.743</td>
<td>10.024</td>
<td>3.738</td>
<td>365.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic armalcolite†</td>
<td>9.752</td>
<td>10.048</td>
<td>3.736</td>
<td>366.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic FeTi$_2$O$_5$‡</td>
<td>9.798</td>
<td>10.041</td>
<td>3.741</td>
<td>368.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic MgTi$_2$O$_5$§</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>362.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Rock section 10071-28 (Type A lava).
† Geophysical Laboratory.
‡ AKIMOTO et al. (1957).
§ ZHDANOV and RUSAKOV (1952). ASTM Index File No. 9-16.

DISCUSSION

Neither Fe$_2^+$.Ti$_2$O$_5$ ("ferropseudobrookite") nor MgTi$_2$O$_5$ ("karrooite") has previously been found as a discrete end member in nature. Both phases have been synthesized, but a complete solid solution series between them has not yet been experimentally demonstrated. The formula of pure pseudobrookite is Fe$_{3+}$.Ti$_2$O$_5$, and solid solution of MgTi$_2$O$_5$ or Fe$_2^+$.Ti$_2$O$_5$ with this phase is accomplished by the coupled substitution (Mg, Fe$_2^+$)-Ti for Fe$_3^+$.Fe$_3^+$. Fe$_2^+$.Ti$_2$O$_5$ and MgTi$_2$O$_5$ have been found as subordinate components in various pseudobrookite-like minerals in terrestrial occurrences (SMITH, 1965). An example of such a mineral is kennedyite (Fe$_{3+}$.2MgTi$_3$O$_{10}$), a phase found in the Karroo basalts (VON KNORRING and COX, 1961). Kennedyite is isostructural with pseudobrookite and contains 28.77 per cent Fe$_2$O$_3$ and 60.33 per cent TiO$_2$. The MgO content of kennedyite (6.45 per cent) is within the range of the lunar phase (5.52-11.06 per cent), but the apparent absence of Fe$_2$O$_3$ and higher TiO$_2$ content in armalcolite make its composition distinctive.

A low content of Fe$_2$O$_3$ in armalcolite is obviously essential for its recognition as a new mineral. There are several reasons that lead to the strong presumption that the concentration of Fe$_{3+}$ is very small in this lunar phase. If the electron probe analyses of armalcolite are recalculated to an ionic formula where O = 5 (Table 2), Ti is found to vary between 1.897 and 2.012 (mean 1.941), in comparison with the maximum possible value of 2.00. Inasmuch as half of any Fe$_{3+}$ present must replace Ti, there is obviously not much possibility of significant Fe$_{3+}$. Moreover, native iron coexists with armalcolite in all samples. In conclusion, therefore, the presence of metallic iron, the occurrence of Cr$_{2+}$ in olivine (HAGGERTY et al., 1970), the compositions of other solid solutions (e.g. titanian chromite and chromian ulvöspinel; AGRELL et al., 1970), and the lack of ferric iron in chemical analyses of lunar rock samples (e.g. ROSE et al., 1970) indicate that these rocks crystallized under highly reducing conditions and that ferric iron is absent.

* "Ferropseudobrookite" (AGRELL and LONG, 1960) and "karrooite" (VON KNORRING and COX, 1961) have no standing as mineral names.
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