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The complete crystal structure investigation of narsarsukite $\mathrm{Na}_{2}(\mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Fe})(\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{OH})-\left[\mathrm{Si}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}\right]$ has been undertaken. It was found that narsarsukite is tetragonal, the unit cell dimensions being $a=$ $=10.72, c=7.99$ A. Atomic arrangement was determined by Patterson and Fourier syntheses. Narsarsukite represents a new structure type with $\left[\mathrm{Si}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}\right]_{\infty}$ chains stretching along c .

Narsarsukite is a rare titanosilicate found in alkali pegmatites in Greenland, the USA, and the USSR. Its composition is $\mathrm{Na}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Fe}^{2}\right) \mathrm{Si}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{11}$, with the Ti and Fe in the ratio $3: 1$ (by number of atoms, approximately); some (about $\frac{1}{2}$ ) of the O atoms are replaced by $\mathrm{OH}[1]$. The mineral is tetragonal (Laue class $4 / \mathrm{m}$ ) [1, 2] and the parameters are $\mathrm{a}=10.80$ and $\mathrm{c}=8.01 \mathrm{~A}$. The systematic absences correspond to the possible space groups $C_{4}^{5} h-I 4 / m, C_{4}^{5}-I 4$ and $S_{4}^{2}-\overline{4}$. The morphology makes the first (centrosymmetric) group very probable [2], but difficulties have been encountered in further studies of the structure.

We have used specimens given to us by I. P. Tikhonenkov to record patterns from transparent fragments about $0.3 \times 0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in cross section extended along c and terminated by perfect cleavage surfaces [on (100)].

The Laue class was confirmed as $4 / \mathrm{m}$ by means of an RKOP camera; the lattice parameters were found from oscillation photographs used in conjunction with pinacoid reflections recorded with an $x$-ray goniometer; they are : $\mathrm{a}=10.72 \pm 0.04, \mathrm{c}=7.99 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~A}$. The density is 2.779 and the molecular weight is 384.3 , so $\mathrm{N}=3.99 \approx 4$.

A KFOR camera (retigraph) was used to record the O layer lines (by rotation on c , and also on 0 kl ). Rotation on $\subseteq$ gave very good patterns, whereas that on $0 \mathrm{k} l$ gave rather few (about 60 ) spots, which were subject to very uneven absorption. The perfect cleavage on (001) prevented us from making isometric pieces for use in examination along a.

The only spots were those corresponding to $h+k+l=2 n$. The result is that the $x$-ray group is $4 / \mathrm{mI}$, $-/-$, i.e., is $I 4 / \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{I} 4$ or $\overline{\mathrm{I}}$.

The atoms to be located in the cell are eight $\mathrm{Na}, 4(\mathrm{Ti}+\mathrm{Fe}), 16 \mathrm{Si}$, and 44 O . It is difficult to make any reasonable assumption about OH , but we may suppose that the $\mathrm{Ti}+\mathrm{Fe}$ atoms are randomly distributed in one position. The Ti: Fe ratio is nearly $3: 1$, and these body-centered groups contain no position of multiplicity one or three.

The positions available in these space groups are such that the four $\mathrm{Ti}^{*}$ atoms can lie only. $4, \overline{4}$, or $\mathbf{4}_{2}$ axes. It was difficult at this early stage to locate the other atoms (particularly on account of the choice of space groups), so we had recourse to analysis of the intensities. A 30 -point blackening scale ( $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{min}}: \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{max}}=$ $=1: 300$ ) was used. The Lorentz and polarization factors were allowed for in calculating the $\mathrm{F}^{2}$. The small size of the fragments (less than $0.3 \times 0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) made absorption corrections unimportant.

First we constructed a Patterson projection along $\underline{c}$, which contained a few sharp peaks whose positions were such as to indicate that the heavy ( Ti ) atoms must be duplicated in the xy projection on one of the two * Here and in future we denote ( $\mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Fe}$ ) by Ti alone, for brevity.


Fig. 1. Two possible models of the structure of narsarsukite.


Fig. 2. Pattern ( $1 / 4$ cell) for $\rho_{2}(x y)$.
sets of fourfold axes. We took the ( 2 Ti ) atoms ( $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {eff }}>44$ ) as lying at the origin of the xy projection, in order to get the peaks in $P$ (uv) to represent the positions of most of the other atoms. The only group giving a satisfactory interpretation of this is $\mathrm{C}_{4}^{5} \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{I} 4 / \mathrm{m}$. The main argument in favor of that group is that all the peaks


Fig. 3. Inclined projection $\sigma_{2}(x y)$. The negative regions are hatched.
TABLE 1
Comparison of the Horizontal Coordinates Derived from $\rho(x y)$ and $\sigma_{2}(x y)$

| Atiom | $x$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ |  | Coordinates adopted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f(x y)$ | $\sigma_{2}(x y)$ | $p(x y)$ | $o_{2}(x y)$ | $x$ | ${ }^{11}$ |
| Na | 0.136 | 0.133 | 0.185 | 0,184 | 0.135 | 0.185 |
| Si | 0.307 | 0.307 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.307 | 0.013 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ | - | 0.025 | -... | 0.299 | 0.025 | 0.299 |
| $O_{11}$ | 0.180 | 0.175 | 0.049 | 0.049 | 0.178 | 0.049 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{11}$ | 0.101 | 0.402 | 0.133 | 0.134 | 0.402 | 0.134 |

in the xy projection can be explained only if we assume that all the atoms (not merely the Ti ones) are duplicated, i.e., that a horizontal reflection plane is present. Further evidence for group $C_{4}^{5}$ was obtained subsequently.

The two possible positions of the Ti atoms (on the 4 or $4_{2}$ axes in group $14 / \mathrm{m}$ ) give rise to two models of the structure. Figure 1 la , shows the model with the Ti atoms on the rotation axes. The $\underline{x}$ and $y$ coordinates used here derive directly from the Patterson projection, $O_{I}$ expected ( $O_{I}$ is not resolved from 2 Si in this projection, so the $x$ and $y$ for these atoms have been taken as the same). Figure 1a, illustrates the three-dimensional network formed by Ti octahedra and rings of Si tetrahedra. The octahedra are joined at opposed vertices

TABLE 2
Comparison of Measured and Calculated $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{hk} 0}$ (the F Include a Factor $\exp [-0.56 \times$ $\times\left(\sin \vartheta / \lambda^{2}\right]$

| hk0 | $F_{0}$ | $F_{0}$ | $n k i 0$ | $F_{0}$ | $F_{0}$ | $h k 0$ | $F_{0}$ | $F_{0}$ | hk0 | $F_{0}$ | $F_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 020 | 125 | +127 | 1.15 .0 | 0 | -5 | 5.13 .0 | 49 | +58 | 8.12.0 | 19 | $+8$ |
| 040 | 83 | +79 | 1.17 .0 | 20 | +32 | 5.15.0 | 13 | -10 | 8.14 .0 | 24 | +24 |
| 060 | 191 | +190 | 1.19.0 | 0 | +6 | 5.17.0 | 27 | +39 | 8.16.0 | 0 | +15 |
| 080 | 23 | +35 | 220 | 104 | -109 | 620 | 143 | +135 | 910 | 25 | +21 |
| 0.10 .0 | 121 | +120 | 240 | 25 | -23 | 640 | 94 | +94 | 930 | 132 | +124 |
| 0.120 | 24 | +20 | 260 | 38 | +25 | 660 | 94 | +95 | 950 | 33 | +37 |
| 0.14 .0 | 17 | +18 | 280 | 0 | $-6$ | 970 | 65 | +73 | 12.8 .0 | 26 | $+30$ |
| 0.16 .0 | 76 | +63 | 2.10 .0 | 122 | +129 | 990 | 47 | +47 | 12.10.0 | 45 | $+45$ |
| 0.18 .0 | 0 | +5 | 2.12 .0 | 0 | $+5$ | 9.11 .0 | 49 | +53 | 12.12.0 | 0 | +1 |
| 110 | 36 | +42 | 2.14 .0 | 17 | +23 | 9.13.0 | 73 | $+73$ | 12.14.0 | 48 | +56 |
| 130 | 128 | +-125 | 2.16 .0 | 94 | +79 | 9.15.0 | 24 | +17 | 13.1.0 | 60 | +63 |
| 150 | 10 | -5 | 2.18 .0 | 24 | +31 | 9.17.0 | 31 | +31 | 13.3 .0 | 42 | +52 |
| 170 | 15 | +6 | 310 | 125 | +125 | 10.2.0 | 36 | +34 | 13.5 .0 | 24 | $+35$ |
| 190 | 20 | -32 | 330 | 185 | +218 | 10.4.0 | 107 | +111 | 13.7 .0 | 86 | $+7$ |
| 1.11 .0 | 0 | -4 | 350 | 59 | +66 | 10.6.0 | 72 | + +79 | 13.9 .0 | 24 | $+30$ |
| 1.13.0 | 39 | +39 | 370 | 160 | +170 | 19.8 .0 | 64 | +74 | 13.11.0 | 30 | +3 |
| 390 | 79 | +75 | 680 | 0 | +18 | 10.10.0 | 44 | +45 | 13.13.0 | 38 | +40 |
| 3.11 .0 | 53 | +69 | 6.10 .0 | 73 | +81 | 10.12.0 | , | -24 | 14.2.0 |  |  |
| 3.13 .0 | 156 | +121 | 6.12.0 | 55 | +51 | 10.14.0 | 30 | +44 | 14.4.0 | 49 | +49 |
| 3.15.0 | 0 | --5 | 6.14.0 | 51 | - 51 | 10.16.0 | 20 | +16 | 14.6 .0 | 18 |  |
| 3.17 .0 | 25 | +19 | 6.16 .0 | 42 | +42 | 11.1.0 | 28 | +32 | 14.8.0 | ) |  |
| 420 | 91 | +81 | 6.18 .0 | 26 | +25 | 11.3.0 | 35 | +49 | 14.10.0 | 36 | 5 |
| 440 | 148 | $+145$ | 710 | 138 | +135 | 11.5.0 | 26 | -47 | 14.12.0 | 0 | +12 |
| 460 | 436 | +118 | 730 | 124 | $+116$ | 11.7 .0 | 16 | +24 | 15.1.0 | 0 |  |
| 480 | 11 | - -9 | 750 | 12 | -25 | 11.9 .0 | 0 |  | 15.3 .0 | 0 |  |
| 4.10 .0 | 35 | +37 | 770 | 97 | + 98 | 11.11.0 | 0 | -9 | 15.5.0 | 23 | +32 |
| 4.12 .0 | 0 | + 4 | 790 | 25 | +27 | 11.13.0 | 20 | $+25$ | 15.7 .0 | 57 | $+4$ |
| 4.14 .0 | 0 | $+7$ | 7.11.0 | 0 | $+7$ | 11.15.0 | 0 | -14 | 15.9 .0 | 0 |  |
| 4.16 .0 | 0 | +4 | 7.13 .0 | 29 | +36 | 12.2.0 | 20 | +31 | 15.11 .0 | 25 | $+$ |
| 4.18 .0 | 20 | -8 | 7.15 .0 | 0 | +2 | 12.4 .0 | 42 | +45 | 16.2 .0 | 0 | + |
| 510 | 95 | +96 | 7.17 .0 | 28 | +46 | 12.6 .0 | 14 | -11 | 16.4 .0 | 95 | $+7$ |
| 530 | 0 | $-9$ | 820 | 73 | -92 | 16.6.0 | 30 | $+35$ | 17.7.0 | 0 | + |
| 550 | 98 | $-106$ | 840 | 0 | +10 | 16.8.0 | 29 | +32 | 17.9.0 | 0 | $+$ |
| 570 | 140 | $+145$ | 860 | 18 | -2 | 16.10.0 | 58 | +49 | 18.2.0 | 26 | -2 |
| 590 | 0 | -7 | 880 | 22 | --23 | 17.1.0 | 77 | +63 | 18.4.0 | 20 | $+2$ |
| 5.11 .0 | 27 | $-40$ | 8.10 .0 | 41 | $+62$ | 17.3.0 | 27 | $+32$ | 18.6 .0 | 17 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.5.0 | 0 | +12 | 19.1.0 | 29 | +-3 |

into chains along $\underline{z}$; the $\underline{c}$ period equals the length of two links in the chain. The rings are formed by $\mathrm{SiO}_{4}$ tetrahedra facing in opposite directions in pairs. The rings are coupled vertically via their $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ atoms into infinite $\left[\mathrm{Si}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}\right]_{\infty}$ chains or columns. The circles in Fig. 1 denote the Na atoms.

In the second model (Fig. 1b) the Ti atoms lie on the $4_{2}$ axes. The structure follows the same general plan, the most important difference being that the tetrahedra take up other positions in the rings; all four are oriented identically. These different rings are coupled in a different fashion; in the first model the rings formed chains, whereas in the second we have duplicated four-member rings having the same $\mathrm{Si}: \mathrm{O}$ ratio in their radicals.

The two models have identical $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{uv})$, as follows from the fact that $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{hk} l}^{2}$ ( I ) $=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{hk} l}^{2}$ (II) for hkl reflections having $l$ even [3]. Later we analyzed the odd layer lines and proved conclusively that the first model represents the true structure, but even at this stage there were very strong indications that the first model was the correct one; the distances in the structures provided valuable evidence. In both models there are tetrahedra nearly regular in shape and so oriented that their heights are parallel to z . Here $\mathrm{c}=4 \mathrm{~h}_{\text {tetr }}$, so a is $\sqrt{6 / 8} \cdot \mathrm{c}=2.45 \mathrm{~A}$. A tetrahedron of side 2.45 A is improbable; some rotation (distortion of the four-membered rings) is required in order to produce normal distances. The axis of the rings is a 4 axis in the second model, and that axis allows only one type of distortion, namely rotation of the tetrahedra about the line joining adjacent $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}$ atoms; the $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}^{\prime}$ distance remains low. But if the axis is $4_{2}$ (first model), the symmetry allows a greater variety of rotations, which means that normal spacings can be achieved.



TABLE 3
Atomic Coordinates for Narsarsukite

| Атом | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | Атом | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Na | 0.135 | 0.185 | (0) | $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}$ | 0,178 | 0.049 | 0.225 |
| (Ti, Fe) (4) | (0) | (0) | 0.250 | $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}$ (16) | 0.402 | 0.134 | 0.302 |
| Si (16) | 0.307 | 0.013 | 0.298 $(0.500)$ | $(0.0 \mathrm{OH})_{\mathrm{I}}$ | (0) |  | (0.500) |
| $)_{1}$ (8) | 0.025 | 0.299 | (0.500) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}(\mathrm{O} . \mathrm{OH})_{\mathrm{I}} & (2) \\ (\mathrm{O} . \mathrm{OH})_{\mathrm{II}} & (2)\end{array}\right.$ | (0) $(0)$ | (0) (0) | $(0.500)$ $(0)$ |

TABLE 4
Interatomic Distances in Narsarsukite

| Polyhedron | Interatomic di | stances | Limits of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Ti-octahedron | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ti}-(\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{OH})_{\mathrm{I}} & =2.00 \\ \mathrm{Ti}-(\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{OH})_{\mathrm{II}} & =2.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}=1.98$ | 1.98-2.00 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}^{\prime}=2.80 \\ \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{II}}-(\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{OH})_{\mathrm{II}}=2.68 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}-(\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{OH})_{\mathrm{I}}=2,96$ | 2.68-2.96 |
| Si-tetrahedron | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}=1.67 \\ \mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}=1.55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{III}}=1.65 \\ \mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{o}^{\prime \mathrm{III}}=1.57 \end{array}$ | 1.55-1.67 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}=2.67 \\ \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}=2.58 \\ \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}^{\prime}=2.64 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{III}} & =2.64 \\ \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{O}_{1 I I}^{\prime} & =2.57 \\ \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}} & =2.65 \end{aligned}$ | 2.57-2.67 |
| Na -octahedron | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}} & =2.36 \\ \mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}^{\prime} & =2.67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}=2.54 \\ \mathrm{Na}-(\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{OH})_{\mathrm{HI}}=2.46 \end{gathered}$ | 2.36-2.67 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}^{\prime}=2.80 \\ \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}^{\prime \prime}=3.60 \\ \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{II}}-(\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{OH})_{\mathrm{II}}=2.68 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}^{\prime}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{IIII}}^{\prime \prime}=2.57 \\ & \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{IIII}}^{\prime \prime \prime}=3.51 \\ & \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{III}}=3.16 \end{aligned}$ | 2.57-3.60 |

The $x$ and $Y$ of the first model were used to establish the signs of the $F_{h k 0}$ (identical coordinates were adopted for $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and Si ). We used 135 independent reflections in the Fourier synthesis for $\rho_{1}$ ( xy ); this synthesis showed that the coordinates of Na had been chosen wrongly, but that the model was otherwise reliable. Fresh coordinates were used to find the signs of the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{hk} 0}$ again and to construct $\rho_{2}$ ( xy ) (Fig. 2). Although the pattern was very sharp, it was not possible to establish the coordinates of $O_{I}$; the only useful point was that the peak was unsymmetrical, so $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ does not lie exactly above Si . The peak heights (in el/ar are $\rho(2 \mathrm{Ti}+2 \mathrm{OH})=$ $=229.6, \rho(\mathrm{Na})=29.4, \rho(2 \mathrm{Si})=99.8, \rho\left(2 \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}\right)=47.2$ and $\rho\left(2 \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right)=51.6$. The $\underline{x}$ and $y$ were used to calculate theoretical $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{hk} 0}\left(\mathrm{~B}=0.6 \mathrm{~A}^{2}\right)$, and were compared with the $\mathrm{F}_{0}$.

Here $R$ (with allowance for all visible reflections up to $\sin \theta / \lambda \leq 1.1$ ) was $17.1 \%$.
Next we used $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{vw})$ in an attempt to find the z coordinates. The patterns to be used contained only about 60 spots, so we could not expect to get a sharp pattern by projection along the long (10.72 A) a axis.


Fig. 6. Two links in the $\left[\mathrm{Si}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}\right]_{\infty}$ chains.


Fig. 7. Projection of the structure of narsarsukite along c . (The circles denote Na atoms at various heights.)

TABLE 5
Balance of Valences in Narsarsukite

| Arom | Si | $\mathrm{Ti}+\mathrm{Fe}$ | Na | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ | $2.4 / 4$ | - | - | 2 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}$ | $4 / 4$ | $15 / 4: 6$ | $2.1 / 7$ | $2-5 / 56$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}$ | $2.4 / 4$ | - | $1 / 7$ | $2+1 / 7$ |
| $(\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{OH})_{\mathrm{I}}$ | - | $2.15 / 4: 6$ |  | $1+1 / 4$ |
| $(\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{OH})_{\mathrm{II}}$ | - | $2.15 / 4: 6$ | $4.1 / 7$ | $2-5 / 28$ |

## Inclined Projections

A projection $\int \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{uvw}) \cos 2 \pi 2 \mathrm{wdw}$ was constructed from 140 independent hk2 reflections to test the model and to bring the hk0 and hk2 into correspondence, which is difficult in class $4 / \mathrm{m}$ because $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{hk} l}^{2} \neq \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{hk} l}^{2}$. The results confirmed that the model is correct; this ideal model was then subjected to analysis in order to establish the vertical coordinates more exactly (the $\underline{x}$ and $y$ being already known).

All the spacings were brought into agreement with the standard ones quite easily; the second model did not allow of this.

The signs of the $F_{h k 2}$. were determined from the $\underline{x}$ and $\underline{y}$ derived from the final $\rho(x y)$ and from the $\underline{z}$ derived from the model and corrected in accordance with the standard spacings. The projection

$$
\sigma_{2}(x y)=\int_{0}^{1} \rho(x y z) \cos 2 \pi 2 z c d z
$$

constructed from the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{hk2}}$ (Fig. 3) corresponds well with the model and with the previous syntheses. The most important result obtained from $\sigma_{2}(x y)$ was the $\underline{x}$ and $y$ coordinates of $O_{I}$, which were not obtainable from $\rho$ (xy). Table 1 gives a comparison of the horizontal coordinates derived from $\rho(x y)$ and $\sigma_{2}(x y)$.

The new $\underline{x}$ and $y$ were used to calculate theoretical $F_{h k 0}$, which were compared with the $F_{0}$ (Table 2 and Fig. 4); the results are $R=13 \%$ (for $F_{0} \neq 0$ ) and $R=17.4 \%$ for all reflections up to $\sin \theta / \lambda \leq 1.1$.

## Coordinates and Atomic Separations

The 0 kl line had few spots (the axis is 10.72 A long), but the $\rho(\mathrm{yz})$ constructed from 60 spors gave all coordinates except the $z$ of OII; the values are very close to those found by analysis of the model. Figure 5 compares the two sets of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{hk} 2}$. The results are $\mathrm{R}=16.5 \%\left(\mathrm{~F}_{0} \neq 0\right)$ and $\mathrm{R}=19.3 \%$ for all hk2 up to $\sin \theta / \lambda \leq$ $\leq 1.1$.

The coordinates finally adopted are given in Table 3; here $\underline{z}$ for $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}$ derives only from chemical crystallography. Four O atoms partly replaced by OH lie in positions not having parameters; the Ti atoms are defined by one parameter ( 00 z ), the Na and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ by two parameters (xy0), and the rest ( $\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{II}}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}$ ) are in general positions. In all, there are 14 parameters (Table 3).

Table 4 gives the interatomic distances.

## Description of the Structure and Balance of Valences

The structure is based on chains of ( $\mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Fe}$ ) octahedra joined by opposite vertices and on chains $\left[\mathrm{Si}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}\right] \infty$ extending along c . The members of the latter chains are four-membered rings composed of $\mathrm{SiO}_{4}$ tetrahedra joined at their corners in pairs facing in opposite directions (Fig. 6). The (Ti, Fe) chains lie on fourfold rotation axes, while the axes of the $\left[\mathrm{Si}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}\right]_{\infty}$ chains are $4_{2}$ axes. Horizontally the two kinds of chain are joined at the free vertices of octahedra and tetrahedra (Fig. 7); the octahedra and tetrahedra are almost regular. The coordination polyhedron of Na has seven corners, being a triangular prism having a pyramid on one of its faces. Polyhedra of this type have been found in ilvaite [4] and other minerals.

Table 5 gives the balance of valences, for which purpose the valence $+15 / 4$ has been assigned to the cations at the centers of the octahedra ( $\mathrm{Ti}: \mathrm{Fe} \approx 3: 1$ ).

The valences indicate that the OH ions are distributed over the two twofold positions of group $14 / \mathrm{m}$, with a considerable preference for position 2(b): $00 \frac{1}{2}$.

The properties of narsarsukite correspond well with the structure. The mineral is optically positive, and the birefringence is high ( $0.030-0.040$ ); these features correspond to the chains of ( $\mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Fe}$ ) octahedra and chains of silicon-oxygen figures. The large holes in the structure result in a low specific gravity (2.78). The cleavage planes pass through the $O$ atoms at points where the chains of both sorts are joined.

The structure can be considered as of a new type. Other structures having the [ $\mathrm{Si}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ ] radical are layered (mica, apophyllite), but in narsarsukite that radical forms chains extending indefinitely in one dimension (compare $\left[\mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right]$ in sillimanite).

The minerals most similar in structure to narsarsukite are those of the scapolite group, but in those minerals it is scarcely correct to separate four-membered rings, because the scapolites are silicates having extended frameworks rather than chains or rings.
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